

Second Semiannual Report on the Progress of Remedy Selection

TVA Johnsonville Fossil Plant, New Johnsonville, Humphreys County, Tennessee

July 15, 2020

Prepared for:

Tennessee Valley Authority Chattanooga, Tennessee

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

July 15, 2020

1.0 Introduction

In accordance with 40 CFR § 257.97(a), the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) has prepared this second semiannual report to document progress toward remedy selection and design at the Active Ash Pond 2 (CCR Unit) at the Johnsonville Fossil Plant (JOF) in New Johnsonville, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

1.1 Regulatory Background

On April 17, 2015, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) published a rule that sets forth national criteria for the management of coal combustion residuals (CCR) produced by electric utilities. The requirements can be found in Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 257, Subpart D. The rule includes requirements for monitoring groundwater and assessing corrective measures if constituents listed in Appendix IV to Part 257 of the rule are detected in groundwater samples collected from downgradient monitoring wells at statistically significant levels (SSLs) greater than established groundwater protection standards (GWPS).

In January 2019, TVA determined if there were SSLs over established GWPS as defined in 40 CFR § 257.95(h) for one or more Appendix IV constituents in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.95(g). At the CCR Unit, assessment monitoring in 2018 detected SSLs greater than the GWPS for cobalt at monitoring wells 10-AP3 and JOF-103. Since this time, TVA has updated the statistical analysis.

- In late 2019, TVA recalculated the statistical analysis after incorporating additional groundwater monitoring data from the second assessment monitoring event in 2019.
- In mid-2020, TVA updated the statistical analysis after incorporating results from the second assessment monitoring retest event from 2019, and the first semiannual assessment monitoring event and retest event in 2020.

The same SSLs were observed at the same monitoring wells as previously identified. As of the date of this report, TVA has not demonstrated that a source other than the CCR Unit associated with wells 10-AP3 and JOF-103 caused the SSLs, as allowed under 40 CFR § 257.95(g)(3)(ii).

In accordance with 40 CFR § 257.96(a), TVA prepared the 2019 Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACM) Report for the CCR Unit at JOF, placed it in the facility operating record on July 15, 2019, and uploaded it to the TVA CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information website on August 14, 2019. The ACM Report provided an assessment of the effectiveness of potential corrective measures in achieving the criteria provided in 40 CFR § 257.96(c). Three primary strategies were evaluated to address groundwater exhibiting concentrations of cobalt above the GWPS:

- Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA);
- Hydraulic Containment and Treatment; and,
- Enhanced In-Situ Treatment (EIST).

Following preparation of the ACM Report, TVA began the remedy selection process. Semiannual reports are required pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.97(a) to document progress toward remedy selection and design.

July 15, 2020

The CCR Rule contemplates that more investigation and consideration may be needed to evaluate and design the remedy before making the final selection. TVA placed the first Semiannual Report on the Progress of Remedy Selection into the facility operating record on January 15, 2020 pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.97(a) and § 257.105(h)(12). TVA provided notification of the availability of the semiannual report describing the progress in selecting and designing the remedy and placed it on the TVA CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information website on February 14, 2020 in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.106(h)(9) and § 257.107(h)(9). TVA will continue to review new data as it becomes available and implement changes to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action program as necessary to maintain compliance with 40 CFR § 257.98.

At least 30 days prior to final groundwater remedy selection pursuant to the CCR Rule, a public meeting will be held with interested and affected parties to discuss the results of the corrective measures assessment in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.96(e). The selected remedy must meet the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.97(b) and must consider the evaluation factors set forth in 40 CFR § 257.97(c). Once a final remedy is chosen, a final report describing the remedy and how it meets the standards set forth in 40 CFR § 257.97(b) will be prepared. The owner/operator must provide a schedule for implementing the selected remedy that considers the factors set forth in 40 CFR § 257.97(d).

1.2 Summary of State Required Investigation and Remedy Selection Process

TVA is currently conducting environmental investigations (EIs) of the CCR disposal areas at JOF, including the CCR Unit, under the oversight of the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) through the TDEC Commissioner's Order, OGC 15-0177 (TDEC Order), issued on August 6, 2015. Once the EIs are complete, TVA will submit environmental assessment reports (EARs) that provide an analysis of the extent of CCR impacts, including groundwater impacts, to TDEC for approval. Then, as part of the TDEC Order process, TVA will submit Corrective Action/Risk Assessment (CARA) Plans that specify all actions that TVA plans to take at a site, including corrective measures for groundwater remediation, to TDEC for approval. TDEC must approve the CARA Plans, including the CCR unit closure methodologies, selected final remedy(s) and corrective measures for groundwater remediation. The TDEC Order process includes a public comment period for the public to provide comments on the CARA Plans.

1.3 Report Contents

This second semiannual progress report provides a summary of JOF site characteristics, the groundwater assessment monitoring program, the findings of the ACM process, and the current progress of selecting and designing a final remedy for statistically significant GWPS exceedances.

July 15, 2020

2.0 Site Background and Characteristics

JOF is located in New Johnsonville, Humphreys County, Tennessee. The facility lies on the eastern bank of the Tennessee River (Kentucky Lake). **Figure 1** shows an overview map of JOF including the CCR Unit. Construction of JOF began in 1949, and operations commenced in 1951. Coal-fired power generation ceased in December of 2017. The coal combustion process at JOF resulted in the production of fly ash and bottom ash. The plant most recently managed these materials in the Active Ash Pond 2 CCR Unit.

Active Ash Pond 2 encompasses approximately 90 acres and is surrounded by perimeter dikes with a height that ranges from approximately 25 to 35 feet. During plant operations, the CCR Unit received sluiced fly and bottom ash, plant effluent, and stormwater runoff pumped from the Coal Yard Drainage Basin. The last JOF coal-fired generating units were shut down in December 2017 and CCR discharges to the CCR Unit ceased. The CCR Unit will continue to receive non-CCR waste streams until new systems for handling these flows are completed later this summer. Thus, the CCR Unit is not closed.

2.1 Conceptual Site Model Summary

The hydrogeologic conceptual site model (CSM) is one of the primary tools that can be used to support decisions on corrective measures. This section of the report provides a summary of the hydrogeologic CSM. The geology and hydrogeology of the JOF site have been characterized during implementation of multiple investigations. These investigations provide a detailed understanding of site geology and the water-bearing zones.

The CCR Unit has two clay dikes, a hydraulic fill layer, and two foundation layers. The two clay dikes are the upper clay dike and the lower clay dike. The dikes and foundation layers are separated by a hydraulic fill layer. The upper clay dike has textural descriptions of lean clay, lean clay with sand and lean clay with gravel. Underlying the upper clay dike is the lower clay dike with textural descriptions ranging from lean clay to silt with sand and gravel in places. The hydraulic fill material underlies the lower clay dike and consists of clay, silt, sand and gravel. The uppermost foundation layer is encountered below the hydraulic fill material and is comprised of alluvial lean clay, lean clay with sand and gravel, silt, and silt with gravel. Beneath the alluvial clay and silt layer is the lower foundation layer consisting of alluvial sand and gravel. A typical cross-section view of the subsurface geology is shown on **Figure 2**. The groundwater flow direction is primarily west towards the Tennessee River. **Figure 3** presents a groundwater flow direction map for JOF.

2.2 Potential Receptor Review

There are two public drinking water providers that use the Tennessee River as the source of drinking water in the vicinity of JOF. The town of New Johnsonville has a surface water intake on the east bank of the Tennessee River approximately 1.8 miles upstream of the JOF facility. The town of Camden also sources its drinking water from the Tennessee River, and based on the location of the Camden Water Plant, the intake is on the west bank of the Tennessee River upstream from JOF (approximately 0.45 miles). Upstream drinking water providers are not expected to be affected by the facility because of the direction of flow in the river. No downstream water providers using the Tennessee River as a source of drinking water were identified from immediately north of JOF to the border with Kentucky, approximately 37 miles downstream.

July 15, 2020

Two identified private well locations are located south of JOF within a 1/2-mile radius from the center of the facility property on the south side of Highway 70. Based on their locations and the understanding of groundwater flow directions in this area, which is primarily west towards the river, these wells are either upgradient or cross-gradient of the facility or are located far enough from the facility that groundwater migration from the facility to the wells would be negligible.

July 15, 2020

3.0 Groundwater Assessment Monitoring Program

Groundwater assessment monitoring for the CCR Unit is conducted at JOF in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.95.

3.1 Groundwater Monitoring Well Network

In compliance with 40 CFR § 257.91, two background wells (B-9 and JOF-101) were installed upgradient and four monitoring wells (10-AP1, 10-AP3, JOF-103, and JOF-104) were installed downgradient of the CCR Unit. The locations of these monitoring wells are presented on **Figure 1**.

3.2 Groundwater Characterization

Groundwater assessment monitoring was conducted during 2018 and 2019, and at the time of this report, the first semiannual assessment monitoring event and retest event in 2020 had been conducted. The following Appendix IV constituent was detected at SSLs above a GWPS in 2018, 2019 and for the first semiannual assessment monitoring and retest events in 2020:

- SSLs for cobalt were identified at monitoring wells 10-AP3 and JOF-103; and,
- The GWPS for cobalt is 6 µg/L.

Data from existing wells have been used to characterize the nature and extent of SSLs as required by 40 CFR § 257.95(g)(1). Additional monitoring wells have been installed that will further refine this characterization. The targeted area for corrective measures to address the estimated extent of cobalt above GWPS along the unit perimeter is illustrated on **Figure 4**. The work being performed under the TDEC Order process will further inform the evaluation and selection of the remedy(s) under 40 CFR § 257.97 of the CCR Rule.

July 15, 2020

4.0 Assessment of Corrective Measures

TVA prepared the 2019 ACM Report for the CCR Unit and placed it in the operating record on July 15, 2019. The report was posted to the TVA CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information website on August 14, 2019. The ACM Report provided an assessment of the effectiveness of potential corrective measures in achieving the criteria provided in 40 CFR § 257.96(c).

4.1 Planned Source Control Measures

The objectives of corrective measures under 40 CFR § 257.96(a) are to "prevent further releases [from the CCR units], to remediate any releases, and to restore affected areas to original conditions." Ultimately, in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.97(b)(3), the selected corrective measure must at a minimum "Control the source(s) of releases so as to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of constituents of appendix IV to this part into the environment."

The Preamble (80 Fed. Reg. 21302, 21406) to the CCR Rule discusses that source control measures may include modifying operational procedures. To comply with regulatory requirements and timeframes under the CCR Rule, TVA will close the CCR Unit. The method of final closure for the CCR Unit will be determined following the outcome of the TDEC Order process and will be in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.102.

Routing of the coal yard runoff pond, which includes process flows from the Johnsonville Combustion Turbine (CT) site, and the retired fossil plant station sump flows to the CCR Unit will be discontinued. An interim flow management (IFM) system is being constructed and will address flows from these two sources with an anticipated in-service date of August 2020. The coal yard is scheduled for closure upon completion of the TDEC Order, which will stop flows from this area. The process flows from the Johnsonville CT site will be rerouted to a new process water basin that is anticipated to be in-service in September 2020. The IFM system will handle the flows from the station sumps until plant demolition is complete. Once the IFM is in-service, the CCR Unit can be completely removed from service and dewatering operations can commence.

The initial closure methods described above will reduce the potential for releases and migration of CCR constituents. Groundwater assessment monitoring as required by 40 CFR § 257.96(b) will continue until a remedy is selected. The monitoring will be conducted to track changes in groundwater conditions as a result of these closures and operational changes. Long term groundwater assessment monitoring is a component of the corrective measures implementation. This data will also be considered in the selection and design of a remedy in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.97.

July 15, 2020

4.2 Potential Remedial Technologies

Subject to all necessary environmental reviews, the CCR Unit will be closed in accordance with the TDEC Order and the criteria set forth in 40 CFR § 257.102.

In addition to source control measures, three primary strategies were evaluated to address groundwater exhibiting concentrations of cobalt above the GWPS including:

- Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA);
- Hydraulic Containment and Treatment; and,
- Enhanced In-Situ Treatment (EIST).

The ACM Report provides a more detailed description of these corrective measures. The effectiveness of each potential corrective measure was assessed in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.96(c) and all measures are currently considered feasible for remediating the groundwater at the CCR Unit.

July 15, 2020

5.0 Selection of Remedy: Current Progress

A remedy to address SSLs in groundwater will be selected in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.97. Upon selection of a remedy, the owner or operator must prepare a final report (i.e., Remedy Selection Report) describing the selected remedy and how it meets the standards specified below pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.97(b)(1)-(5). Remedies must: (1) Be protective of human health and the environment; (2) Attain the groundwater protection standard as specified pursuant to §257.95(h); (3) Control the source(s) of releases so as to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of constituents in appendix IV to this part into the environment; (4) Remove from the environment as much of the contaminated material that was released from the CCR unit as is feasible, taking into account factors such as avoiding inappropriate disturbance of sensitive ecosystems; and (5) Comply with standards for management of wastes as specified in §257.98(d).

In support of the remedy selection process, additional investigation is needed and is described below.

5.1 Data Requirements for Design of Groundwater Corrective Action

The characterization of the horizontal extent of cobalt impacts downgradient of the CCR Unit is being further refined by the environmental investigation required under the ongoing TDEC Order process. Additional data may be required to further refine the targeted area for corrective measures, develop remedial cost estimates, and finalize the alternative for the CCR Unit. It is noted that additional data collection requirements will include ongoing TDEC Order work that is reported separately.

Recent activities completed to further evaluate site conditions include:

- Completed installation of three additional monitoring wells on the west side of the coal yard. These monitoring wells will provide groundwater quality data hydraulically upgradient of the CCR Unit.
- Completed installation of three additional monitoring wells around former Ash Disposal Area 1, which is closed and not regulated as a CCR unit under the CCR Rule. These monitoring wells will provide groundwater quality data hydraulically upgradient of Active Ash Pond 2.
- Completed a dye trace study of the CCR Unit.
- Performed slug testing at 32 monitoring wells located on the dike surrounding the CCR Unit.
- Completed installation of five temporary monitoring wells at Active Ash Pond 2 to collect CCR material, pore water, and analyze water levels within the CCR Unit.

Potential future activities to further evaluate MNA include:

- A geochemical investigation might be conducted to evaluate groundwater, pore water, aquifer solids and CCR material associated with the CCR Unit.
- Numerical groundwater flow modeling might be conducted incorporating the additional groundwater elevation data gained from the ongoing EI and additional hydrogeologic characterization efforts. This groundwater flow modeling effort would be used to further refine the understanding of groundwater flow direction and velocity.

July 15, 2020

If necessary, groundwater fate and transport modeling might be conducted to further evaluate the natural attenuation and constituent migration. The refined groundwater flow model will be used in conjunction with the fate and transport model.

Potential future activities to further evaluate hydraulic containment and treatment include:

- Groundwater Flow Modeling The numerical groundwater flow model might be used to evaluate hydraulic containment, as appropriate. A calibrated groundwater model might be used to evaluate a variety of approaches (e.g., vertical wells, horizontal wells, physical barriers) and to estimate the groundwater extraction rates necessary to contain an identified target zone.
- Groundwater Treatability Study For ex-situ treatment of extracted groundwater, treatability studies would be needed to evaluate technologies for the treatment of extracted groundwater.
- Supplemental Hydraulic Properties Evaluation This evaluation could be necessary if the existing
 understanding of the hydraulic characteristics of the subsurface are not sufficient to evaluate
 hydraulic capture geometry and potential groundwater recovery rates. If needed, installation of
 new wells and performance of pumping tests to evaluate hydraulic capture geometry and potential
 groundwater recovery rates would feed back into the groundwater flow modeling simulations for
 groundwater extraction. This data would inform the feasibility, design, and implementation of any
 groundwater recovery systems.

Potential future activities to evaluate Enhanced In-situ Treatment include:

• Groundwater Treatability Study – For in-situ treatment of groundwater, bench-scale treatability studies may be conducted on representative groundwater samples prior to selecting a groundwater corrective measure.

5.2 Semiannual Reporting, Public Meeting, Remedy Selection and Final Report

Progress toward the selection and design of the remedy will be documented in semiannual reports in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.97(a). Semiannual reports will be placed into the facility operating record pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.105(h)(12). TVA will provide notifications of the availability of the semiannual reports describing the progress in selecting and designing the remedy and will place the reports on the TVA CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information website in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.106(h)(9) and § 257.107(h)(9) respectively 30 days after placement in the facility operating record. At least 30 days prior to selecting a remedy, a public meeting to discuss the results of the corrective measures assessment will be conducted as required by 40 CFR § 257.96(e). A final report will be prepared after the remedy is selected. This final report will describe the remedy and how it meets the standards specified in 40 CFR § 257.97(b) and 257.97(c). Recordkeeping requirements specified in 40 CFR § 257.105(h), notification requirements specified in 40 CFR § 257.106(h), and internet requirements specified in 40 CFR § 257.107(h) will be complied with as required by 40 CFR § 257.96(f).

July 15, 2020

Attachments:

Figures

- Figure 1 CCR Unit with Background and Downgradient Wells
- Figure 2 Geological Cross-Section
- Figure 3 Groundwater Flow Direction
- Figure 4 Monitoring Wells and Limits of COI Impacts

FIGURE 1 CCR UNIT WITH BACKGROUND AND DOWNGRADIENT WELLS



Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for errifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.

FIGURE 2 GEOLOGICAL CROSS SECTION



Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for errifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.

FIGURE 3 GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION



Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for eact and completeness of the data.

FIGURE 4 MONITORING WELLS AND LIMITS OF COI IMPACTS



Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for errifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.