

**Appendix E.3 – Wetlands and Waters Survey Memo for Proposed
Transmission Line Upgrades (L5108, L5302, and L5383) Associated
with the Kingston Retirement Project. December 2022**

Waters of the U.S. Memo for Proposed Transmission Line Upgrades for the Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement Project

Date: Friday, December 02, 2022

Project: Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement

To: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

From: Lyranda Thiem, HDR Engineering, Inc.
Misty Huddleston, HDR Engineering, Inc.

Subject: Summary of Waters of the U.S. features for the proposed transmission line upgrades associated with Alternative A the Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement EIS, Roane County, Tennessee

HDR Engineering, Inc (HDR) conducted an environmental site assessment of transmission lines proposed for upgrades under Alternative A of the Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement EIS Project in Kingston, Roane County, Tennessee on behalf of Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). Under Alternative A, TVA would make improvements to existing transmission lines within the Kingston Reservation, including new transmission line (TL) connections to the proposed CC/CT facilities and switch station. The 3,872.96-acre Project study area consists of TL access roads (existing and proposed new) and two TL segments -one to the west of the Kingston Reservation (Western Segment) and one to the east (Eastern Segment). The following Ecological Resources and Inventory Report (ERIR) memorandum includes the site description, methodology, and results of the site assessment.

Preliminary Data Review

Prior to the field investigation, resource information pertaining to the Project study area was gathered from:

- Aerial imagery via ESRI and Google Earth Software (Figure 1 and 2),
- United States Geologic Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute quadrangle map (Figure 3),
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey (Figure 4),
- USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) mapped streams (Figure 5),
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI) mapped wetlands (Figure 5), and
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Map Service Center (Figure 5).

Western Transmission Line Corridor

A review of the NHD dataset indicates that the western transmission corridor and access roads cross streams in 19 locations and waterbodies in 6 locations, totaling 5,619 linear feet of stream and 3.88 acres of open water within the transmission line corridor. In addition, the NWI database identified 27 locations where wetlands may be crossed by the western transmission line corridor and access roads, totaling 5.21 acres of open water, 3.66 acres of forested wetland, and 1.98 acres of emergent wetlands (Figure 5). According to the FEMA floodplain database, the central portion of the TL is located within a 100-year floodplain (Zone AE), which FEMA defines as an area subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance

flood event determined by detailed methods (FEMA 2022). According to the USDA NRCS Soil Survey of Cumberland County, TN, there are 18 soil map units within the Project study area (Appendix E). Of these soil units, Atkins loam (At) and Bonair loam (Bo) were listed as having hydric components.

Eastern Transmission Line Corridor

Based on a review of the NHD dataset, the eastern TL corridor and access roads cross streams in approximately 63 locations and waterbodies in 10 locations, with 21,832 linear feet of stream and 18.46 acres of open water falling within the transmission line corridor. In addition, the NWI database identified 81 wetland locations, totaling 40.1 acres, within or crossed by the eastern TL corridor and access roads (Figure 5). According to the FEMA floodplain database, the Project study area is located within two 100-year floodplains and within four regulatory floodways. A regulatory floodway is defined as the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that may be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height (FEMA 2022).

According to the USDA NRCS Soil Survey of Roane County, TN, there are 44 soil map units within the Project study area (Appendix E). Of these soil units, Capshaw silt loam (CaB), Colbert-Lyerly Rock outcrop complex (CbD), Cedarbluff loam (Cd), Chenneby silt loam (Ce), and Hamblen silt loam (Hb) were listed as having hydric components.

Jurisdictional Delineation and Hydrological Determination

On June 6th through 10th, June 13th through 17th, and June 20th through 24th, HDR environmental scientists Lyraanda Thiem (QHP-IT), Jon Calderon Brandt, Braxton Eden, Josh Mace, Jenessa Kay, Garrett Reinsfelder, Lindsey Hue, and Blake Hartshorn (QHP-IT) reviewed the Project study area for jurisdictional waters of the U.S. (WOUS) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Jurisdictional WOUS were delineated according to the methodology and guidance described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual, USACE Rapanos Guidance, and the USACE Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region Regional Supplement (Version 2.0) (Regional Supplement) (USACE 2012). Wetland features were classified according to the Cowardin naming convention (Cowardin et al. 1979). Streams were classified according to the guidance outlined in USACE Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-05-Ordinary High Water Mark Identification (USACE 2005) and the Tennessee Department of Environment & Conservation (TDEC) Division of Water Pollution Control Guidance for Making Hydrologic Determinations (Version 1.5) (TDEC 2020). Potential jurisdictional wetlands and streams were flagged in the field and recorded using a Trimble® GPS unit capable of sub-meter accuracy; GPS data were post-processed utilizing Trimble® GPS Pathfinder Office Software and imported into ESRI ArcGIS software where data were reviewed and edited for quality. Physical characteristics of wetlands and waterbodies were recorded on USACE wetland determination forms, TDEC Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method (TRAM) forms, and/or TDEC Hydrologic Determination data forms (Appendix B). Representative photographs are provided in Appendix D.

A pedestrian Survey for potential federally protected terrestrial wildlife and their suitable habitat as well as a survey of suitable habitat for federally protected plant species was performed throughout the 3,872.96-acre Project study area.

Results

Thirty-five (35) perennial streams, twenty-two (22) intermittent streams, seventeen (17) ephemeral streams, twenty-four (24) upland drainage features, seven (7) open waters, thirty-two (32) palustrine emergent wetlands (PEM), seven (7) palustrine forested wetlands (PFO), three (3) Palustrine Scrub-Shrub (PSS) wetlands, three (3) PEM/PSS wetlands, three (3) PEM/PFO wetlands, and one (1) PSS/PFO Wetland were



identified within the Project study area during the field survey (Appendix D). No other waterbodies were identified. A summary of delineated features is provided below.

USACE Non-Jurisdictional Features

Ten (10) potentially non-jurisdictional palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands totaling 1.07 acres, one (1) non-jurisdictional open water totaling 1.19 acres, and twenty-four (24) non-jurisdictional upland drainage features totaling 6,165 linear feet occur within the Project study area. These features were determined likely non-jurisdictional and not regulated by USACE.

NON-JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS

W001, W003, W004, W010, W016, W019, W023, W029, W030, and W034 are isolated PEM wetlands within the Project study area and total approximately 1.07 acres (Table 1). The primary hydrologic input for these wetlands is surface runoff from surrounding upslope areas. These non-jurisdictional wetlands were not identified in the NHD or NWI databases of mapped waterbodies or on the USGS topographic map. These non-jurisdictional wetlands are located outside of floodplains and do not exhibit direct hydrologic connections to Traditionally Navigable Water (TNW) or other type of jurisdictional feature. Therefore, these wetlands are likely non-jurisdictional isolated wetlands not regulated by the USACE.

Table 1. Non-Jurisdictional Wetland Features within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Type	Cowardin Classification ¹	Latitude/ Longitude	Data Point (DP) No. Wet/ Up	Figure No.	Photo No.	Estimated Acreage of Aquatic Resource in Project Study Area
W001	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	36.015845°/- 85.050127°	DP1-W1/ DP2-UP1	1 (West)	1	0.19 ac
W003	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	36.013965°/- 85.044934°	DP6-W3/ DP7-UP3	1 (West)	3	0.03 ac
W004	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	36.012835°/- 85.041765°	DP8-W4/ DP9-UP4	2 (West)	4	0.19 ac
W010	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	36.000731°/- 85.010591°	DP20-W10/ DP21-UP10	6 (West)	10	0.06 ac
W016	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.989622°/- 84.98442°	DP34-W16/ DP35-UP17	7 (West)	16	0.11 ac
W19	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.916667°/- 84.471224°	DP40-W19/ DP41-UP20	14 (East)	19	0.19 ac
W023	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.919253°/- 84.462020°	DP48-W23/ DP49-UP24	14 (East)	23	0.12 ac
W029	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.927300°/- 84.402255°	DP61-W29/ DP62-UP30	23 (East)	29	0.12 ac
W030	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.927923°/- 84.403228°	DP63-W30/ DP62-UP30	23 (East)	30	0.05 ac.
W034	Non-tidal; Non-Section 404	PEM1	35.947278°/- 84.387772°	DP68-W34/ DP69-UP34	24 (East)	34	0.01 ac
Total Non-Jurisdictional Wetland Features within the Project Study Area							1.07 ac

1) PEM: Palustrine Emergent Wetland



NON-JURISDICTIONAL OPEN WATER

There is one non-jurisdictional open water (farm pond) located within the Project study area (P005), totaling approximately 1.19 acres (Table 2). Open Water 5 has been an agricultural farm pond since at least 1985 and was excavated in uplands. During the survey, P005 was surrounded by TVA ROW and forested area (Appendix A, Figure 14). P005 is located outside of the floodplain, does not replace a stream or wetland based on NHD/NWI, and does not exhibit direct hydrologic connection to a TNW or other type of jurisdictional feature. P005 is likely used for agricultural purposes and therefore, is likely a non-jurisdictional farm pond not regulated by the USACE.

Table 2. Non-Jurisdictional Open Water Feature within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Type	Latitude/ Longitude	Cowardian Classification ¹	Figure No.	Photo No.	Estimated Acreage of Aquatic Resource in Project Study Area
P005	Open Water	35.918438°/- 84.466508°	PUBHh	14	5 (East)	1.19 ac

1) PUBHh: Palustrine Unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded

UPLAND DRAINAGE FEATURE

E001 through E025 (24 features total) are erosional gullies located within the Project study area (Table 3), totaling approximately 6,156 linear feet (Appendix A). These upland drainage features do not have an ordinary high-water mark (OHWM). During the site visit no standing water was observed within the channel. These features are wet weather conveyances (WWCs) that drain uplands, do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water, do not replace existing stream or wetland features, and have no connection to TNWs or other jurisdictional features. Therefore, E001 through E025 (24 features total) do not provide intermittent or perennial flow to a jurisdictional water and are likely non-jurisdictional and not regulated by the USACE.



Table 3. Non-Jurisdictional Upland Drainage Features within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Stream Type	Streamside Management Zone Category	Stream Name	Field Notes	Cowardin Code	HGM	Lat	Long
E008	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators Selected	R6	Riverine	35.937113°	-84.421117°
E009	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators Selected	R6	Riverine	35.936802°	-84.421426°
E010	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators Selected	R6	Riverine	35.934211°	-84.422809°
E011	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		TDEC Score 11	R6	Riverine	35.939214°	-84.410925°
E012	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		TDEC Score 7	R6	Riverine	35.940649°	-84.413421°
E013	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.941584°	-84.409905°
E016	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.951341°	-84.360019°
E017	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.955798°	-84.357793°
E018	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.973250°	-84.340878°
E019	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.972666°	-84.341094°
E021	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.988349°	-84.328472°
E023	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.992170°	-84.324660°
E026	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.006217°	-84.312111°
E027	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.007512°	-84.310343°
E028	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.018912°	-84.299864°
E029	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.025986°	-84.294582°
E031	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.015559°	-84.275742°
E032	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.010572°	-84.271491°
E034	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	36.001073°	-84.261043
E035	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.999292°	-84.257671
E037	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.923554°	-84.335052°



E038	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.926594°	-84.330692°
E039	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.979274°	-84.317523°
E040	WWC	Best Management Practices (BMP's)		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.932734°	-84.336385°

1) R6: A wetland, spring, stream, river, pond, or lake that only exists for a short period

* The upland drainage feature numbering system skips 13, going from 12 to 14 due to errors made during field surveys. Drainage numbering begins at 1 and ends at 25 although there are only 24 features in total.



USACE Jurisdictional Features

Thirty-nine (39) jurisdictional wetlands (Table 4), seven (7) jurisdictional open waters (Table 5), and seventy-two (72) jurisdictional streams (Table 6) potentially occur within the Project study area. Jurisdictional wetlands delineated total approximately 20.1 acres and include 22 PEM wetlands (11.27 acres), 7 palustrine forested (PFO) wetlands (0.65 acres), 3 palustrine scrub-shrub wetlands (0.14 acres), 3 PEM/PFO wetlands (3.63 acres), 3 PEM/PSS wetlands (4.02 acres), and 1 PFO/PSS wetland (0.39 acres). Identified jurisdictional linear waters include approximately 18,730 linear feet of stream channel. These features were determined likely jurisdictional and regulated by USACE. See provided datasheets in Appendix B for reasonings behind delineations and determinations.



WETLANDS

Table 4. Jurisdictional Wetland Features within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Type	Cowardin Classification ¹	Latitude/ Longitude	Data Point (DP) No. Wet/ Up	Figure No.	Photo No.	Estimated Acreage of Aquatic Resource in Project Study Area
W002	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.014901°/-85.046211	DP3-W2/ DP5-UP2	1 (West)	2	0.66 ac
W005	Non-tidal; section 404	PFO	36.008885°/-85.031464°	DP11-W5/ DP12-UP6	3 (West)	5	0.08 ac
W006	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.005536°/-85.022705°	DP13-W6/ DP14-UP7	4 (West)	6	0.41 ac
W007	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS	36.005558°/-85.021606°	DP15-W7/ DP17-UP8	4 (West)	7	0.12 ac
W008	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.003887°/-85.017372°	DP16-W8/ DP17-UP8	5 (West)	8	0.13 ac
W009	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.001451°/-85.011965°	DP18-W9/ DP19-UP9	5 (West)	9	0.17 ac
W011	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM1/PFO	35.998973°/-85.006412°	DP22-W11/ DP23-UP11	6 (West)	11	3.88 ac
W012	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.996617°/-85.002772°	DP26-W12/ DP27-UP13	6 (West)	12	1.38 ac
W013	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.994324°/-84.995521°	DP28-W13/ DP29-UP14	8 (West)	13	0.67 ac
W014	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.993234°/-84.992439°	DP30-W14/ DP31-UP15	8 (West)	14	0.72 ac
W015	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.993021°/-84.992031°	DP33-W15/ DP32-UP16	8 (West)	15	0.04 ac
W017	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.914149°/-84.478822°	DP36-W17/ DP37-UP18	12 (East)	17	0.02 ac
W018	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS	35.915674°/-84.47362°	DP38-W18/ DP39-UP19	14 (East)	18	0.07 ac
W020	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.917268°/-84.468740°	DP42-W20/ DP43-UP21	14 (East)	20	0.28 ac
W021	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.917747°/-84.467428°	DP44-W21/ DP45-UP22	14 (East)	21	0.44 ac
W022	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.919204°/-84.464462°	DP46-W22/ DP47-UP23	14 (East)	22	0.15 ac
W024	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.919806°/-84.460770°	DP50-W24/ DP51-UP25	14 (East)	24	0.16 ac
W025	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.920480°/-84.457555°	DP52-W25/ DP53-UP26	15 (East)	25	0.14 ac
W026	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS/PFO	35.926067°/ 35.926067°	DP54-W26/ DP55-UP27	17 (East)	26	0.39 ac
W027	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.932806°/-84.420730°	DP56-W27/ DP57-UP28	19 (East)	27	0.09 ac
W028	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.936313°/-84.411968°	DP58-W28/ DP59-UP29	22 (East)	28	3.91 ac
W031	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.942531°/-84.413743°	DP64-W31/ DP65-UP32	21 (East)	31	0.16 ac
W032	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM/PFO	35.944227°/-84.397141°	DP67-W33/ DP66-UP33	25 (East)	32	0.83 ac
W033	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.944649°/-84.396618°	DP67-W33/ DP66-UP33	25 (East)	33	0.13 ac



Sequence ID	Type	Cowardin Classification ¹	Latitude/ Longitude	Data Point (DP) No. Wet/ Up	Figure No.	Photo No.	Estimated Acreage of Aquatic Resource in Project Study Area
W035	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.945894°/-84.385764°	DP70-W35/ DP71-UP35	27 (East)	35	0.95 ac
W036	Non-tidal; section 404	PFO	35.947537°/-84.367221°	DP72-W36/ DP75-UP36	29 (East)	36	0.23 ac
W037	Non-tidal; section 404	PFO	35.947482°/-84.366640°	DP73-W37/ DP75-UP36	29 (East)	37	0.16 ac
W038	Non-tidal; section 404	PFO	35.947204°/-84.367025°	DP74-W38/ DP75-UP36	29 (East)	38	0.05 ac
W039	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM/PFO	35.947908°/-84.364273°	DP76-W39/ DP77-UP37	29 (East)	39	2.64 ac
W040	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.960537°/-84.352802°	DP78-W40/ DP79-UP38	32 (East)	40	0.12 ac
W041	Non-tidal; section 404	PFO	35.966534°/-84.347361°	DP82-W41/ DP83-UP40	31 (East)	41	0.03 ac
W042	Non-tidal; section 404	PFOA	35.969650°/-84.344710°	DP84-W42/ DP85-UP41	31 (East)	42	0.07 ac
W043	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM1	36.023624°/-84.295223°	DP86-W43/ DP87-UP42	39 (East)	43	0.06 ac
W044	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.004817°/-84.265689°	DP89-W44/ DP88-UP43	42 (East)	44	0.02 ac
W045	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	36.002553°/-84.263139°	DP91-W45/ DP92-UP45	42 (East)	45	0.53 ac
W046	Non-tidal; section 404	PEM	35.923792°/-84.345068°	DP94-W46/ DP95-UP47	45 (East)	46	0.07 ac
W047	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS	35.922853°/-84.335932°	DP96-W47/ DP97-UP48	47 (East)	47	0.08 ac
W048	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS	35.934151°/-84.317672°	DP98-W48/ DP99-UP49	48 (East)	48	0.05 ac
W049	Non-tidal; section 404	PSS	35.934044°/-84.317455°	DP98-W48/ DP99-UP49	48 (East)	49	0.01 ac

1) PEM: Palustrine emergent wetland
 PSS: Palustrine Scrub/shrub Wetland
 PFO: Palustrine Forested Wetland



OPEN WATERS

Table 5. Jurisdictional Open Water Features within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Type	Waterbody Name	Latitude/ Longitude	Cowardian Classification ¹	Figure No.	Photo No.	Estimated Acreage of Aquatic Resource in Project Study Area
P001	Open Water	Open Water 1	36.016587°/-85.052343°	PUBHh	1 (West)	1	0.05 ac
P002	Open Water	Open Water 2	36.010617°/-85.035754°	PUBHh	3 (West)	2	0.84 ac
P003	Open Water	Open Water 3	35.997317°/-85.003067°	PUBHh	6 (West)	3	0.65 ac
P004	Open Water	Open Water 4	35.914236°/-84.479094°	PUBHh	12 (East)	4	0.02 ac
P006	Open Water	Open Water 6	35.918714°/-84.464903°	PUBHh	14 (East)	6	0.5 ac
P007	Open Water	Open Water 7	35.920114°/-84.460673°	PUBHh	14 (East)	7	0.12 ac
P008	Open Water	Open Water 8	35.934412°/-84.411171°	PUBHh	21 (East)	8	6.14 ac
Total Jurisdictional Open Waters within the Project Study Area							8.32 ac

1) PUBHh: Palustrine Unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded



STREAMS

Table 6. Jurisdictional Stream Features within the Project Study Area

Sequence ID	Stream Type	Streamside Management Zone Category	Stream Name	Field Notes	Cowardin Code	HGM	Lat	Long
S001	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 27.5; OHWM 1 foot; Substrate of mud, sand, and gravel	R4SB2	Riverine	36.016987°	-85.030509°
S002	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 27.5, OHWM: 4 ft, Substrate consists of cobble and sand	R3UB1	Riverine	36.016242°	-85.052529°
S003	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 27.5, OHWM 5 ft, Substrate consists of cobble and sand	R3UB1	Riverine	36.014680°	-85.046744°
S004	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 29.5, OHWM 5 ft, Substrate is sand, cobble, boulder	R3UB2	Riverine	36.010006°	-85.034433°
S005	Perennial	Category A	Obed River	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	36.009809°	-84.496374°
S006	Perennial	Category A	Rocky Branch	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R3RS2	Riverine	36.009146°	-85.031181°
S007	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 18.5 OHWM 10 ft, Substrate is cobble and boulders	R4SB1	Riverine	36.009264°	-85.031174°
S008	Perennial	Category A	Rocky Branch	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	36.008860°	-85.030509°
S009	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 22.5; OHWM 3 ft substate of gravel and small cobble	R4SB5	Riverine	36.005364°	-85.014106°
S010	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 22; OHWM 3 ft substate of gravel and small cobble	R4SB3	Riverine	36.003468°	-85.017315°
S011	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 18.5; OHWM 3 ft substate of gravel and mud	R4SB5	Riverine	35.999325°	-85.006704°
S011b	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 22; OHWM 2 ft substate of gravel and small cobble	R4SB5	Riverine	36.001122°	-85.011948°



S012	Perennial	Category A	Rocky Branch	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.999158°	-85.006913°
S013	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 16.5; OHWM 4 ft, substate of silt, gravel, and cobble	R4SB5	Riverine	35.998308°	-85.004438°
S014	Perennial	Category A	Emory River	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.903219°	-84.496374°
S015	Perennial	Category A	Lewis Branch	Primary Indicators selected, fish present	R3UB2	Riverine	35.915554°	-84.473504°
S016	Perennial	Category A		Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R5US1	Riverine	35.915887°	-84.473859°
S017	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 23.5; OHWM 1 ft, substrate silt, gravel and sand	R4SB5	Riverine	35.916210°	-84.465146°
S018	Perennial	Category A		Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R5UB1	Riverine	35.926098°	-84.438702°
S019	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 20.5; OHWM 3 ft, substrate silt, gravel and sand	R5UB1	Riverine	35.932714°	-84.421228°
S020	Perennial	Category A	Clinch River	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.932442°	-35.932442
S021	Perennial	Category A	Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.927439°	-84.401340°
S022	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 22; OHWM 2 ft; substrate of bedrock, gravel, small cobble	R4SB5	Riverine	35.942476°	-84.413553°
S023	Perennial	Category A	Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.943845°	-84.402642°
S024	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 19.5; OHWM 4 ft; substrate of sand, silt and gravel	R5UB1/R4SB3	Riverine	35.949303°	-84.388392°
S025	Perennial	Category A	Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.946171°	-84.386323°
S026	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 19.5; OHWM 4 ft;	R4SB5	Riverine	35.945696°	-84.384590°
S027	Perennial	Category A	East Fork Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.949260°	-84.375516°
S028	Perennial	Category A	Bear Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.947714°	-84.368594°
S029	Perennial	Category A	Bear Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.947257°	-84.366843°



S030	Perennial	Category A	Bear Creek	Primary indicator selected; fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.948476°	-84.363732°
S031	Perennial	Category A	Bear Creek	Primary indicator selected; fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.947146°	-84.363374°
S032	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 28; OHWM 5 ft; substrate of sand, gravel and cobble	R5UB1	Riverine	35.954115°	-84.359167°
S033	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 30.5; OHWM 8 ft; substrate of sand, gravel and cobble	R5UB2	Riverine	35.954929°	-84.361512°
S034	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 30.5; OHWM 4 ft;	R4SB5	Riverine	35.955049°	-84.361059°
S035	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 19.5; OHWM 5 ft; Substrate of cobble, silt, sand, bedrock	R4SB5	Riverine	35.960713°	-84.353002°
S036	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 27.5; OHWM 6 ft; Substrate of cobble, silt, sand, bedrock	R5UB1	Riverine	35.963970°	-84.349514°
S037	Perennial	Category A	East Fork Poplar Creek	Primary indicators selected; fish present	R2UB	Riverine	35.985150°	-84.330830°
S037b	Intermittent	Category A	East Fork Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected; Fish Present	R4SB3	Riverine	35.985475°	-84.331274°
S038	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 19; OHWM 3 ft; Substrate of cobble, silt, sand,	R4SB3	Riverine	35.987402°	-84.329503°
S039	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 21	R4SB3	Riverine	35.988449°	-84.328463°
S040	Intermittent	Category A	Walker Branch	TDEC Score 20.5; OHWM 5 ft	R4SB3	Riverine	35.989711°	-84.327414°
S041	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 21.5; OHWM 6 ft; Substrate of sand, gravel, and cobble	R4SB3	Riverine	35.993755°	-84.323067°
S042	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 20.5; OHWM 3 ft	R4SB3	Riverine	35.999347°	-84.318661°
S043	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 25.5; OHWM 6 ft; Substrate of sand, cobble and gravel	R4SB3	Riverine	36.000043°	-84.317372°
S044	Perennial	Category A	Brushy Fork	Primary indicators selected; fish present	R2UB	Riverine	36.023687°	-84.294953°



S045	Perennial	Category A	Brushy Fork	Primary indicators selected; fish present	R2UB	Riverine	36.024538°	-84.292644°
S046	Perennial	Category A		TDEC Score 32.5; OHWM 6 ft; Substrate sand, gravel and cobble	R5UB1	Riverine	36.022079°	-84.287760°
S047	Perennial	Category A	East Fork Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, Fish Present	R2UB	Riverine	36.009421°	-84.269742°
S048	Perennial	Category A	East Fork Poplar Creek	Primary Indicators Selected, Fish Present	R2UB	Riverine	36.002783°	-84.263655°
S049	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 18.5; OHWM 4 ft	R4SB3	Riverine	36.002928°	-84.263495°
S050a	Perennial	Category A		Primary Indicators Selected; Fish Present	R5UB1	Riverine	36.018996°	-84.299587°
S050b	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 19; OHWM 4 ft	R4SB3	Riverine	35.923100°	-84.336069°
S051	Intermittent	Category A		TDEC Score 16.5; OHWM 4 feet; Substrate gravel, sand and small cobble	R4SB3	Riverine	35.930342°	-84.326228°
S052	Perennial	Category A	Poplar Creek	Primary indicators selected, fish present	R5UB1	Riverine	35.933391°	-84.317469°
S053	Perennial	Category A		Primary indicators selected, fish present	R4SB3	Riverine	35.932734°	-84.317523°
E001	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 10.5; OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	36.002224°	-85.014106°
E002	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 9; OHWM 1 ft	R6	Riverine	36.001665°	-85.012190°
E003	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 9.5; OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	35.993222°	-84.992164°
E004	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 9.5; OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	35.992002°	-84.990570°
E005	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 9.5	R6	Riverine	35.912327°	-84.481701°
E006	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 14.5; OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	35.917849°	-84.468907°
E007	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 7	R6	Riverine	35.920213°	-84.458002°
E014	Ephemeral	Category A		Primary Indicators Selected	R6	Riverine	35.944089°	-84.400698°
E015	Ephemeral	Category A		Primary Indicators Selected	R6	Riverine	35.947349°	-84.376639°
E022	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 11 OHWM 3 ft	R6	Riverine	35.989260°	-84.327599°



E024	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 11; OHWM 6 ft	R6	Riverine	35.996467°	-84.320449°
E013	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 12; OHWM 5 ft	R6	Riverine	36.001820°	-84.316064°
E030	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 13, OHWM 3 ft	R6	Riverine	36.018182°	-84.279217°
E033	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 12 OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	36.004762°	-84.265852°
E036	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 12, OHWM 2 ft	R6	Riverine	35.923750°	-84.345038°
E039	Ephemeral	Category A		TDEC Score 5, UNT to White Oak Creek	R6	Riverine	35.933744°	-84.317490°
E040	Ephemeral	Category A		Primary Indicators selected	R6	Riverine	35.933275°	-84.317558°

* The ephemeral numbering system skips 10, going from 9 to 11 due to errors made during field surveys. Ephemeral begins at 1 and ends at 18 although there are only 17 features in total.

* The stream numbering system goes from 1-53 although this range includes 56 features in total, due to numbering errors made during field surveys.



TDEC Features

Tennessee state waters are regulated by TDEC, the agency that determines which watercourses require a permit prior to being altered. Two designations for watercourses are used by TDEC, streams (jurisdictional) and wet weather conveyances (WWC; non-jurisdictional).

TDEC JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS

W001-W049 met all three wetland characteristics defined by the USACE. Therefore, W001-W049 are likely jurisdictional state waters regulated by TDEC.

TDEC JURISDICTIONAL OPEN WATERS

P001-P008 met characteristics defined by the USACE and are likely jurisdictional state waters regulated by TDEC.

TDEC JURISDICTIONAL STREAMS

S001-S053 (56 total features) exhibited characteristics of a stream as defined by TDEC and are likely jurisdictional state waters regulated by TDEC.

TDEC NON-JURISDICTIONAL WET WEATHER CONVEYANCES (WWC)

Features identified as E001 through E040 (41 total features) drain uplands, do not replace existing stream or wetland features, and do not carry relatively permanent flow of water (ephemeral). Therefore, E001 through E040 (41 total features) are likely non-jurisdictional WWCs and not regulated by TDEC.

Recommendations

Based on a desktop review and results of the field investigations, 24 upland drainage features totaling 6,156 linear feet are likely non-jurisdictional upland drainage features/erosional gullies which are not subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Further, one isolated open water and 10 isolated wetlands are likely non-jurisdictional and not subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Seventy-three streams, totaling 18,730 delineated linear feet (4.7 acres), 39 wetland features totaling 20.1 acres, and 7 open waters totaling 8.32 acres within the Project study area are likely jurisdictional and regulated by the USACE. Therefore, impacts these features would require Section 404 permits. The USACE Regulatory Division can officially render a final jurisdictional determination for Section 404 requirements through the formal review processor the features can be assumed to be jurisdictional based on the findings of this report and permitted accordingly. TVA will comply with any permitting and subsequent mitigation requirements.

The 24 upland drainage features were determined to not meet the definition of waters of the state and, therefore, are not regulated by TDEC and are not subject to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

The 49 delineated wetlands, 73 delineated streams, and 7 open waters were determined to be waters of the state and, therefore, are regulated by TDEC and subject to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. TDEC can render an official hydrologic determination for Section 401 requirements, or the features can be assumed to be jurisdictional based on the findings of this report and permitted accordingly.

Based on a desktop review, field investigation, and current scope of the Project, the following clearances and permits are expected to be required

- CWA 401/TDEC Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit (ARAP)
- CWA 404 Permit
- NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit
- NPDES Facility Wastewater Permit (or modification of existing permit)

Conclusion

Seventeen USACE-jurisdictional ephemeral streams, 35 jurisdictional perennial streams, 22 jurisdictional intermittent streams, 7 jurisdictional open waters, and 39 jurisdictional wetlands (USACE and TDEC) were observed within the Project study area. Twenty-four non-jurisdictional drainage features, 10 non-jurisdictional wetlands, and 1 non-jurisdictional open waters were observed in the Project study area.

The reported results are limited to areas within the Project study area provided in Figure 6. Areas that fall outside of the Project study area boundary were not evaluated in the field and are not included in this report.

The field survey results presented herein apply to the existing site conditions at the time of our assessment. They cannot apply to site changes of which HDR is unaware and has not had the opportunity to review. Changes in the condition of a property may occur with time due to natural processes or human impacts at the Project site or on adjacent properties. Changes in applicable standards may occur due to legislation or the expansion of knowledge over time. Accordingly, the findings of this report may be invalidated, wholly or in part, by the changes beyond the control of HDR.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist TVA with this Project. If you have any questions regarding the findings summarized in this report, please feel free to contact me at 615.507.9167 or lyranda.thiem@hdrinc.com.

Sincerely,

HDR Engineering Inc.,



Lyranda Thiem (QHP-IT)
Environmental Scientist

Misty Huddleston, Ph.D.
Environmental NEPA Lead



Attachments:

Appendix A: Figures

Figure 1- Project Vicinity Map

Figure 2- Project Overview Map

Figure 3- USGS Topographic Map

Figure 4-NRCS Soil Survey of Roane County, TN

Figure 5-NHD, NWI, and FEMA Flood Zones


Figure 6-Delineated Waters

Appendix B: Wetland and Stream Data Forms

Appendix C: Normal Weather Conditions

Appendix D: Photographs

Appendix E: Soils Summary



A

Appendix A – Figures




This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 1



This page intentionally left blank.



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINE


LEGEND

Study Area



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery

OVERVIEW





This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 2



This page intentionally left blank.




Figure 2-1. Aerial imagery via ESRI and Google Earth software



Figure 2-2. Aerial imagery via ESRI and Google Earth software




Figure 2-3. Aerial imagery via ESRI and Google Earth software




Figure 2-4. Aerial imagery via ESRI and Google Earth software

Figure 3



This page intentionally left blank.




Figure 3. USGS Topographic Quadrangles



This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 4



This page intentionally left blank.




Figure 4-1. USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey




Figure 4-2. USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey




Figure 4-3. USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey



Figure 4-4. USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey



Figure 5



This page intentionally left blank.




Figure 5-1. USFWS NWI mapped wetlands




Figure 5-2. USFWS NWI mapped wetlands

Figure 6



This page intentionally left blank.




Figure 6. Watershed Boundaries by USGS HUC for the Proposed Transmission Line Upgrade Footprint




This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 7



This page intentionally left blank.




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND


- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland

E113
WWC
104 linear feet

E112
WWC/Erosional Gully
35 linear feet



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland















DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

-  Study Area
-  Wetland Data Point
-  Upland Data Point
-  Culvert
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Delineated Intermittent Streams
-  Delineated Ephemeral Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  HDR Delineated Open Water
-  HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland


E117
WWC/Erosional Gully
46 linear feet

E116
WWC/Erosional Gully
22 linear feet

McKinney
Cemetery



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery






KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery








KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





W145
Emergent
0.34 acre

White Wing Rd


Bear Creek Rd

Bear Creek Rd

95













White Wing Rd

95




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

-  Study Area
-  Wetland Data Point
-  Upland Data Point
-  Culvert
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Delineated Intermittent Streams
-  Delineated Ephemeral Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  HDR Delineated Open Water
-  HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES


LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery






KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES


- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



E127
WWC
687 linear feet





KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES


LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland

S137
Perennial
224 linear feet















DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND













-  Study Area
-  Wetland Data Point
-  Upland Data Point
-  Culvert
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Delineated Intermittent Streams
-  Delineated Ephemeral Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  Delineated Perennial Stream
-  Wet Weather Conveyance
-  HDR Delineated Open Water
-  HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
-  Study Area
 -  Wetland Data Point
 -  Upland Data Point
 -  Culvert
 -  Delineated Perennial Stream
 -  Delineated Intermittent Streams
 -  Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 -  Wet Weather Conveyance
 -  Delineated Perennial Stream
 -  Wet Weather Conveyance
 -  HDR Delineated Open Water
 -  HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES


- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland

S135
Intermittent
483 linear feet

W168
Emergent
0.03 acre



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

LEGEND













- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
-  Study Area
 -  Wetland Data Point
 -  Upland Data Point
 -  Culvert
 -  Delineated Perennial Stream
 -  Delineated Intermittent Streams
 -  Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 -  Wet Weather Conveyance
 -  Delineated Perennial Stream
 -  Wet Weather Conveyance
 -  HDR Delineated Open Water
 -  HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery




KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES


LEGEND

- Study Area
- Wetland Data Point
- Upland Data Point
- Culvert
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Delineated Intermittent Streams
- Delineated Ephemeral Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- Delineated Perennial Stream
- Wet Weather Conveyance
- HDR Delineated Open Water
- HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery






KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



KINGSTON TRANSMISSION LINES

- LEGEND**
- Study Area
 - Wetland Data Point
 - Upland Data Point
 - Culvert
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Delineated Intermittent Streams
 - Delineated Ephemeral Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - Delineated Perennial Stream
 - Wet Weather Conveyance
 - HDR Delineated Open Water
 - HDR Delineated Wetland



DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery



Appendix B

Wetland and Stream Data
Forms



This page intentionally left blank.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E111		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.911557	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.482936	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Dewey silt loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forested, open grassland, pasture		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 5.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 5.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 2ft. _____

OHWM: 3ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E112		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.913893	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.47716	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Montevallo channery silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; Forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

Erosional gully

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft _____

OHWM: 2ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E113		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.914220	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.475968	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Montevallo channery silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; Forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 7.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1.5
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	1.5
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 7.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft _____

OHWM: 2ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E114		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.916923	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.469411	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 14.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 3.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	1
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	1
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 14.00 _____

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 0.5ft.

OHWM: 1.5ft

Wetland area (W011A) channelizes and connects to S008A

water depth 1"

substrate: mud



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E115		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.919619	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.458881	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Capshaw silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 7.50

Justification / Notes :

Agricultural drainage ditch

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 7.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 2ft. _____

OHWM: 3ft _____

Manmade drainage ditch _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E116		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.928735°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.429564°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool <small>Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :</small>		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 7.00

Justification / Notes :

BW: 1- 2 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 0 inches

Substrate: Same as surrounding soils

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = <u>7.00</u>

<i>Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points</i>

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E117		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.929718	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.427140	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

WWC (swale) at the bottom of two hills.

BW: 1 foot

BH: 0- 6 inches

WD: 0 inches

Substrate: Same as surrounding soils

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E118		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.911377	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.394014	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft.

OHWM: 1ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E119		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.910334	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.390841	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

This WWC starts from forest runs down into wetland

BW: 1 foot

BH: 1 foot

WD: 0-2 inches

Substrate: silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.50)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0.5
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0.5
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E120		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.910816	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.391075	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

This WWC starts from forest runs down into wetland

BW: 1 foot

BH: 1 foot

WD: 0-2 inches

Substrate: silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0.5
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0.5
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00 _____

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Flows into and out of a PEM wetland



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E121		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.927589	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.359460	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes : _____

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft. _____

OHWM: 1ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/19/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E122		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.932454	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.350436	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00 _____

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft

OHWM: 5ft

Flows into S015A (Grassy Creek) near culverts.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/19/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E123		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.934497	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.346829	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 6.50

Justification / Notes :

Flows at the bottom of two hills

 BW: 1 foot

 BH: 6 inches

 WD: 0 inches

 Substrate: silt, soil similar to surrounding area

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.50)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1	
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0	
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0	
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0	
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0	
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0	
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0	
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0	
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5	
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.00)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0	
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0	
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1	
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5	
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5	
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5			0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.00)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1	
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	1	
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0	
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0	
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0	
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0	
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 6.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/7/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E124		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.941047°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.335535°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

- _____ Bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species.
- _____ Begins at culvert in road
- _____ Heavy vegetation of rubus, rhopalomyia solidaginis, and red cedar saplings
- _____
- _____

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

The bank height and width could not be defined.

Substrate: coarse sand

Final point ends due to heavy vegetation, unable to go further.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E125		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.944684°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.329190°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E126		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.942005	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.318608°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E127		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.936584°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.317680°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 14.00

Justification / Notes :

Erosional upland feature

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 14.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/5/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E128		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070404	Latitude: 35.946914°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.278559°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : TnD, Townley silt loam	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Bank Width: 1 foot
 Bank Height: 6 inches
 Water depth: 0 inches
 Substrate: silt, soil
 Flows from wetland into forested area, non-jurisdictional

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E129		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.950720°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.277774°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/5/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E130		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.953061°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.280766°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Collegedale silt loam	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

No baseflow and heavy vegetation with upland plants.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

BH: 1'

BW: 1'

Substrate: sandy



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E131		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.968640°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.272313°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Fullerton-Pailo complex	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

<p>Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE</p> <p>Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 8.00</p>
--

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 8.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Bank height and width could not be defined.

Substrate: coarse sand

WWC is across access road.

19: gravel road



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: Emory River		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S101		TVA Kingston
Site Location: TVA Kingston/ existing linear transmission ROW that crosses forested, scrub/shrub, and pasture		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 935.90259202592	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.49590202	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Water	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Open grassland		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD named waterbody- Emory River

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S102		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Dewey silt loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forested, open grassland		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 23.50

Justification / Notes :

NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 8.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	1
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 23.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

OHWM 1.5ft

Top of bank width: 1ft

Flows into Wetland area



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S103		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Montevallo channery silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 25.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 12.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	1
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	2
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 25.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft.

OHWM: 5ft

Stream continues offsite



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S104		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 27.00

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)
 strong headcuts and grade controls

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 13.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	1
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	1
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 8.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	1
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 27.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 4ft.

OHWM: 1ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E101		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 14.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral
 Connects wetland area to stream S006

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 3.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = <u>14.00</u>

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft.

OHWM: 2ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E102		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.917294	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.467247	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 14.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral
 Wetland channelizes and continues as stream offsite

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	1
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 14.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft.

OHWM: 1ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S105		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.917935	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.46652525	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 23.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 11.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	2
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 23.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft. _____

OHWM: 2ft _____

overflow from pond _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S106		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102080408	Latitude: 35.918041	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.465157	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 30.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD Lewis Branch

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 16.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	1
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	1
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	2
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	2
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 30.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 8ft.

OHWM: 3ft

substrate: bedrock, sand

Deep pools with fish



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/15/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S107		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 36.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS Blueline stream (Brashear Creek).Moderate current within stream. No vegetation ontop of water

BW: 6-8 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 8 inches - 1 foot

Substrate: gravel, small cobble, silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 18.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	2
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 11.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	1
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 36.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Four+ Caddisflies and many left handed snails were found within the stream channel



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S108a		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.925620	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.398520	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Poplar Creek runs in and out of transmission line many times

BW: 40-50 feet

BH: 1-2 feet

WD: Too deep to access

Substrate: Very deep but sand, cobble, and some gravel was observed on the banks

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Potential very large trout observed swimming within river system



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S108b		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.921691	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: - 84.403498	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Poplar Creek runs in and out of transmission line many times

BW: 40-50 feet

BH: 1-2 feet

WD: Too deep to access

Substrate: Very deep but sand, cobble, and some gravel was observed on the banks

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0	
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0	
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0	
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0	
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0	
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0	
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0	
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0	
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0	
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0	
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0	
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5			0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0	
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0	
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0	
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0	
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0	
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0	
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0	

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S109		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.915730	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.404301	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 29.50

Justification / Notes :

Adjacent to Poplar creek, A large dike separates this stream from Poplar Creek

BW: 4-5 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 8 inches- 1 foot

Substrate: silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 11.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 11.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	1
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 29.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S110		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.916181	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.404702	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Poplar Creek runs in and out of transmission line many times

 BW: 40-50 feet

 BH: 1-2 feet

 WD: Too deep to access

 Substrate: Very deep but sand, cobble, and some gravel was observed on the banks

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Carp and sliders observed within river system



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/16/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S111		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.911487	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 2 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.394968	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 38,967.31 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 29.50

Justification / Notes :

Adjacent to Poplar creek, A large dike separates this stream from Poplar Creek

BW: 4-5 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 8 inches- 1 foot

Substrate: silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 11.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 11.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	1
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

<p>Total Points = <u>29.50</u></p>

<p><i>Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points</i></p>
--

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S112		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.911100	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.389023	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 24.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 12.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	1
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 3.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 9.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 24.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft.

OHWM: 15ft

Channelized. 36-48" culvert under the road that separates W014A and S010A.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E103		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.912536	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.385758	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 9.50

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral Stream, runs through jurisdictional PEM/PFO wetland

 BW: 1 foot

 BH: 6 inches

 WD: 0-2 inches

 Substrate: silt, muck

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0.5
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0.5
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 9.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Flows into and out of a PEM/PFO wetland



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E104		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.913545	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.384102	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral Stream

 BW: 1 foot

 BH: 6 inches

 WD: 0-2 inches

 Substrate: silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	2
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.00 _____

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Flows into and out of a PEM/PFO wetland



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S113		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.914104	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.383929	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 25.00

Justification / Notes :

Intermittent stream, mapped blue line stream. The southwest portion of stream is altered by beaver activity

BW: 2-3 feet

BH: 6 inches

WD: 0-2 inches

Substrate: silt, muck

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 12.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 25.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Flows into and out of a PEM/PFO wetland



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/17/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S114		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.915505	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.380768	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 26.50

Justification / Notes :

Intermittent stream. Mapped blue line stream

BW: 1-2 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 2-4 inches

Substrate: silt, gravel, sand

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 13.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	2
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	1
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 5.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1.5
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 8.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0.5
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0.5
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 26.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Scuds were observed within the stream channel



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S115		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.916673	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.379641	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 22.50

Justification / Notes :

Spring, Intermittent stream

 BW: 1 foot

 BH: 1 foot

 WD: 2 inches

 Substrate: silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 11.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	1
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 22.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/7/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S116		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.919058	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.375665	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Perennial blueline stream; beaver dam located on stream; slow moving

BW: 15-20 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: too deep to see

Substrate: cobble, silt, sand

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E105a		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.920878	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.372517	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 13.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral Stream

BW: 1-2 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 0-4 inches

Substrate: sand/silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0.5
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 13.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E105b		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.920421	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.372424	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 13.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral Stream, Second half of stream. This stream blows out into a wetland before coming back together

BW: 1-2 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 0-4 inches

Substrate: sand/silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0.5
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 3.00)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	1
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 13.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S117		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude: 35.922417	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude: -84.369910	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 34.00

Justification / Notes :

This stream starts from forest runs down into wetland

 BW: 4-5 feet

 BH: 1 foot

 WD: 4-6 inches

 Substrate: bedrock, gravel, small cobble

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 15.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 12.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	1
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	2
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 34.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Left handed snails, water penny, and water striders observed within channel



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S118		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.923859	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.367412	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 21.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 9.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = <u>21.50</u>

<i>Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points</i>

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft

OHWM: 1ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S119		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 22.50

Justification / Notes :

Mapped blue line stream, starts outside of Study Area and flows into wetland then back out of Study Area

BW: 2-3 feet

BH: 6 inches

WD: 2-4 inches

Substrate: sand, silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 10.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 22.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S120		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): Emory River 06010208	Latitude:	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : ~ 1.8 inches within the previous seven days	Longitude:	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 26.50

Justification / Notes :

Perennial stream, Mapped blue line stream

BW: 2-3 feet

BH: 1-2 feet

WD: 6-8 inches

Substrate: sand, silt, small cobble

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 11.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 5.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 9.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0.5
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0.5
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	2
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 26.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Left handed snails, leopard frog observed within channel



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E106		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.927625	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.360519	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 21.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 9.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 21.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft _____

OHWM: 1ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S121		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.928045	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.359677	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 25.00

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 12.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	1
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	1
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 8.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	1
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 25.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Substrate is sand and pebbles

OHWM: 3ft



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/18/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S122		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.933988	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.348708	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 20.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS NHD blue line stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0.5
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	1
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 20.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft _____

OHWM: 1ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/19/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E107		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.931625	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.352633	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 13.50

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 13.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft

OHWM: 1ft

Flows into WET021A



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/19/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S123		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.936987	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.343118	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 24.50

Justification / Notes :

USGS blue-lined mapped stream (Grassy Creek)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 10.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	1
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 8.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 24.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 1ft

OHWM: 5ft

Flows through W21B



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 5/19/23
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, Brittany Schweiger and Michelle Emmerson		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S124		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Near Kingston, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.937513	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 1.16 in	Longitude: -84.342335	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Unmapped	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : ROW; forested, scrub/shrub		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 22.00

Justification / Notes :

USGS blue-lined mapped stream (unnamed)

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 10.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	1
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 22.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Top of bank width: 4ft _____

OHWM: 2ft _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: Bear Creek		Date/Time: 6/7/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, M. Inman, R. Riley		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S125		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.938051	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) :	Longitude: -84.340474	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average		USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Perennial stream, jurisdictional

BW: 10-12 ft

BH: 3-4 ft

WD: 6-12 in

Substrate: gravel, silt, loam, clay

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S126		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.942778	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.333070	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 24.50

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 9.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	1
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	1
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	2
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	3
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 24.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

BH:1'

BW:2-3'

Substrate: coarse sand

Modified stream

17: It is roadside, so sediment could be from dirt hauling.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E108		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.944910	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.329525	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 13.50

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral stream; no flowing water at the time, small amount of water at top

 BW: 1 ft

 BH: 6 inches

 WD: 0 inches

 Substrate: silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0.5
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0.5
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 13.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/8/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S127		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.947277	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.325609	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 28.50

Justification / Notes :

Blueline stream; water flowing in channel at time of survey

 BW: 5-8 ft

 BH: 1-2 ft

 WD: 6-8 inches

 Substrate: cobble, silt, gravel, sand, bedrock

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 13.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	2
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	2
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 9.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	1
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	2
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	1
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 28.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

- 23. Left-handed snails _____
- 25. Isopods, caddisflies, water pennies _____
- Perennial stream _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/7/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S128		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.950132	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.321179	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 27.00

Justification / Notes :

Blueline stream; water flowing in channel at time of survey

BW: 2-3 feet

BH: 1 foot

WD: 4-6 inches

Substrate: cobble, silt, gravel

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)

	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)

	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)

	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/7/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S130		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302	Latitude: 35.944214°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.318566°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 35.00

Justification / Notes :

Perennial blueline stream, moderate flow through step pools

 BW: 4-6 feet

 BH: 1 foot

 WD: 4-12 in

 Substrate: cobble, silt, bedrock

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 15.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	2
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 12.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	2
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 35.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

- 23. Left-handed snails
 - 24. Leopard frogs
 - 25. Caddisflies, isopods
- Perennial stream



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: White Oak Creek		Date/Time: 6/6/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S131		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.941075°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.301223°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Perennial blueline stream

 BW: 5-7 feet

 BH: 1-2 feet

 WD: 8-12 in

 Substrate: gravel,cobble, silt, bedrock

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

Many left-handed snails, moderate flowing water



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/6/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S132		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070404	Latitude: 35.943452°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.288051°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : TbB (Tasso silt loam), CgD (Collegedale silt loam)	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 36.50

Justification / Notes :

Perennial stream

 BW: 4-5 feet

 BH: 1 foot

 WD: 6-8 in

 Substrate: gravel, small cobble, silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 17.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	2
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	2
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	1
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	3

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	3
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 12.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	1
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	2
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 36.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

- 23. Left-handed snails _____
- 24. Leopard frog _____
- 25. Caddisflies _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/5/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E109		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070404	Latitude: 35.949376°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.278337°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : CfD, Colbert-Lyerly-Rock outcrop	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 15.00

Justification / Notes :

Ephemeral stream, jurisdictional

 BW: 3-4 feet

 BH: 1 foot

 WD: 0 in

 Substrate: gravel, cobble, silt

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 9.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0.5
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	1
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	1
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 15.00 _____

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/5/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S133		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070404	Latitude: 35.9535700°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.276364°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : CfD, Colbert-Lyerly-Rock outcrop	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 19.50

Justification / Notes :

See secondary indicators.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 13.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	2
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	2
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	2
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	3
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 19.50

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

BH:1'

BW: 4'

Substrate: Cobble

autumn olive, poison ivy, and very dense vegetation (difficult to find channel)



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: Walker Branch		Date/Time: 6/5/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S134		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.955038°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.278202°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Dewey silt loam	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Fish are present.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

BW: 1-10'

BH:2'

Substrate: sandy/cobble

It is good riparian habitat.

Fish, frogs, and salamanders are present



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet
 Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody:		Date/Time: 6/5/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: E110		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.952404°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.283808°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Collegedale silt loam	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WET WEATHER CONVEYANCE
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 12.00

Justification / Notes : _____

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 5.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	1
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	1
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	1
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 3.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1.5
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		1.5

C. Biology (Subtotal = 4.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	2
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 12.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

BH:1'

BW:1'

Substrate:sandy

No baseflow

Ends in wetland at the north side of row, and there is better structure at the north side.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: Bearden Creek, S135		Date/Time: 6/6/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement, Transmission Line Surveys		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.9503286°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.2881748°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Etowah loam	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Fish are present.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	NA
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

- BH: 1'

- BW: 3-5'

- Substrate: coarse sand

- Salamanders, fish, damselfly, caddisfly, and mayfly are present.



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: Whiteoak Creek		Date/Time: 6/6/23
Assessors/Affiliation: Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley/HDR		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: S136		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070302;060102070405 (Lower Clinch)	Latitude: 35.946499°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.297663°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool		
Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Anderson, Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 21.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 16.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 2.50)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	NA
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	1
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	1
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	NA
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	2
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 21.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

- BH:5'

- BW:6-10'

- Soil, fibrous roots not scored due to hard limestone bedrock bank.

- Substrate: coarse sand



Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Resources, Version 1.5 (Fillable Form)

Named Waterbody: S137		Date/Time: 6/6/2023
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR, L.Thiem, E. Lawton		Project ID :
Site Name/Description: Kingston Fossil Plant Retirement, Transmission Line Surveys		TVA Kingston
Site Location: Oak Ridge, TN		
HUC (12 digit): 060102070405	Latitude: 35.949680°	
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : 0.60 inches	Longitude: -84.301612°	
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : average USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool Source of recent & seasonal precip. data :		
Watershed Size : 41,856.29 acres	County: Roane	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : NOTCOM	Source: USDA Web Soil Survey	
Surrounding Land Use : Forest, Transmission Line ROW, Oak Ridge Laboratory, and Agricultural fields		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (select one & describe fully in Notes) : Moderate		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-DWR Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = STREAM
Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 0.00

Justification / Notes :

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3	0
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3	0
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3	0
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3	0
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3	0
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3	0
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3	0
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3	0
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	0	1	2	3	0

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3	0
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	0	1	2	3	0
16. Leaf litter in channel	1.5	1	0.5	0	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5		0

C. Biology (Subtotal = 0.00)					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3	0
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3	0
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3	0
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3	0
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	0
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5	0

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 0.00

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W101

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W101

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 14

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	14
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	38

Kingston - New Lines

W101

Rank = Low

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W102

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W102

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 14

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	14
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	43

Kingston - New Lines

W102 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W103

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	4
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 4.00

W103

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 13

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	13
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	37

Kingston - New Lines

W103 Rank= LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W104

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.50

W104

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	10.5
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	2
	TOTAL SCORE	28

Kingston - New Lines

W104

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W105

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W105

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 18

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	23
	Metric 4: Habitat	18
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	2
	TOTAL SCORE	54

Kingston - New Lines

W105

Rank = Moderate

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W106

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	2
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	4
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 4.00

W106

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 18

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	1

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	
	Metric 3: Hydrology	
	Metric 4: Habitat	
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	
	TOTAL SCORE	

W106

Rank=MODERATE

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W107

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W107

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 17

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	16
	Metric 4: Habitat	17
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	45

Kingston - New Lines

W107

Rank=MODERATE

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
4.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 5.00

W108

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W108

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 17

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	5
	Metric 3: Hydrology	22
	Metric 4: Habitat	17
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	50

Kingston - New Lines

W108

Rank=MODERATE

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W109

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W109

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 13

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	13
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	36

Kingston - New Lines

W109

Rank=LOW

"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W110

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	4
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 4.00

W110

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 15

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	17
	Metric 4: Habitat	15
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	39

Kingston - New Lines

W110

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W111

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W111

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
X	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	6
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	27

Kingston - New Lines

W111

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W112

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W112

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	7
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	23

Kingston - New Lines

W112

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland “buffers”, or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

<p>2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.</p>		
7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	
<p>2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland’s buffer zone.</p>		
7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W113

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 1.00

W113

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 11

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	1
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		0
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		0
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		0
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		0

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	11
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	34

KIF Retirement EIS

W113

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W114

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W114

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 14

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	17
	Metric 4: Habitat	14
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	42

KIF Retirement EIS

W114

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W115

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	2
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W115

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	X	Other (specify): Riprap
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 5

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	1

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	5
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	7
	TOTAL SCORE	43

KIF Retirement EIS

W115

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	4
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 4

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland “buffers”, or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland’s buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W116a

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	3
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	4
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 4.00

W116a

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 19

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 15

<p>Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).</p>	
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	Score
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	3
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	2

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	4
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	4
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	27
	Metric 4: Habitat	19
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	15
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	13
	TOTAL SCORE	87

KIF Retirement EIS

W116a

Rank = High

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	4
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 4

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W116b

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	3
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	4
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 4.00

W116b

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	9
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
9.00

Metric 4 Total 19

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 15

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	2

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	4
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	4
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	27
	Metric 4: Habitat	19
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	15
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	13
	TOTAL SCORE	87

KIF Retirement EIS

W116b

Rank = High

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	3
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 3

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W117a

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	2
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W117a

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	3
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	36

Kingston - New Lines

W117a

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	3
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total **3**

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W117b

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	1
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	2
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W117b

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	3
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	37

Kingston - New Lines

W117b Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	3
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total **3**

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W118

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W118

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).		Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>		
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>		
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>		2
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>		
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>		2
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>		
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>		

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	3
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	39

KIF Retirement EIS

W118

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W119

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W119

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).		Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>		
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>		
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>		2
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>		
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>		2
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>		
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>		

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	16
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	9
	TOTAL SCORE	39

KIF Retirement EIS

W119 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W120

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W120

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	16
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	9
	TOTAL SCORE	39

KIF Retirement EIS

W120

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W121

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W121

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	8
	TOTAL SCORE	40

KIF Retirement EIS

W121

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W122

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W122

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography	8
	TOTAL SCORE	40

KIF Retirement EIS

W122

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 7.00

W123

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	3
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W123

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 13

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	7
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	13
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	45

KIF Retirement EIS

W123

Rank = Moderate

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W124

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W124

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 14

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).		Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>		
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>		
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>		3
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>		
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>		1
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>		
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>		

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	4
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	14
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	7
	TOTAL SCORE	44

KIF Retirement EIS

W124

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W125

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W125

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	17
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	37

KIF Retirement EIS

W125

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W126

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W126

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	32

KIF Retirement EIS

W126

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W127

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W127

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	32

KIF Retirement EIS

W127

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W128

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W128

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	18
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	36

KIF Retirement EIS

W128

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W129

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W129

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	6
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	18

Kingston - New Lines

W129

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W130

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W130

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	7
	TOTAL SCORE	34

Kingston - New Lines

W130 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
2.50

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 5.50

W131

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W131

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	5.5
	Metric 3: Hydrology	9
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	30

Kingston - New Lines

W131

Rank=LOW

"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W132

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W132

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 11

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	11
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	7
	TOTAL SCORE	35

Kingston - New Lines

W132

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W133

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W133

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	0
	TOTAL SCORE	24

Kingston - New Lines

W133

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	3
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total **3**

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W134

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W134

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	1

4c Avg. = 1.00

Metric 4 Total 6

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	3
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	9
	Metric 4: Habitat	6
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	24

Kingston - New Lines

W134

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W135

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W135

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	1
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	34

KIF Retirement EIS

W135 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W136

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W136

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	1
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	34

KIF Retirement EIS

W136

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W137

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W137

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	30

KIF Retirement EIS

W137 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W138

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W138

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	30

KIF Retirement EIS

W138

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W139

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 3.00

W139

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	1
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	30

KIF Retirement EIS

W139

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W140

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W140

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	7
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	23

Kingston - New Lines

W140

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W141

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W141

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	6
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	19

Kingston - New Lines

W141

Rank=LOW

"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
0.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 3.00

W142

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W142

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	0




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	3
	Metric 3: Hydrology	7
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	20

Kingston - New Lines

W142

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W143

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W143

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	33

KIF Retirement EIS

W143

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland “buffers”, or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland’s buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W144

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W144

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).		Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>		
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>		
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>		1
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>		
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>		
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>		
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>		

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	24

KIF Retirement EIS

W144 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	2
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 2

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	0
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
3.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
4.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W145

Metric 2 Total 7.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

3d Avg.= 1.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W145

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	other (specify) in transmission ROW

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.			score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.		
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.		7.00
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.		
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.		
			3e Avg= 7.00

KIF Retirement

Metric 3 Total 14.00

PID#

W145

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	3.0
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg. =
3.00

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	4.0
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg. =
4.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W045

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID#

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

PID#

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	3.0
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	2
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID#

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	

PID#




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	0
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	2
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	7
	Metric 3: Hydrology	14
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	7
	TOTAL SCORE	40

KIF Retirement

PID#

W145

Rank = Low

"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."

TRAM 2015, pg 2)

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W146

Metric 2 Total 6.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

3d Avg.= 2.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W146

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	7.0
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=
7.00

KIF Retirement

Metric 3 Total 12.00

PID

W146

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	3.0
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg. =
3.00

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	3.0
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg. =
3.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W146

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6.0
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 12

PID

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3.0
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

PID

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3.0
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	

PID




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	-3
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		0
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		0
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		0
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		0

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	12
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	34

KIF Retirement

PID

W146

Rank = Low

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W147

Metric 2 Total 9.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

3d Avg.= 2.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W147

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=
3.00

KIF Retirement

Metric 3 Total 12.00

PID

W147

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	---

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	2.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg. =
2.00

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	3.00
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg. =
3.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W147

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6.0
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 11

PID

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	3.0
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2.0
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	

PID




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	-1
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		0
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		0
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		0
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		0

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	11
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	37

KIF Retirement

PID

W147

Rank = Low

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W148

Metric 2 Total 6.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

3d Avg.= 2.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W148

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=
3.00

KIF Retirement

Metric 3 Total 11.00

PID

W148

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	---

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	2.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg. =
2.00

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	2.0
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg. =
2.00

KIF Retirement

PID

W148

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6.0
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 10

PID

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	2.0
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1.0
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	

PID




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	-1
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		0
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		0
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		0
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		0

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	2
	TOTAL SCORE	30

KIF Retirement

PID

W148

Rank = Low

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W149

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W149

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	12
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	27

Kingston - New Lines

W149

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W150

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W150

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	13
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	31

Kingston - New Lines

W150

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W151

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W151

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	26

Kingston - New Lines

W151

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W152

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W152

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	31

Kingston - New Lines

W152 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 6.00

W153

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W153

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	6
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	26

Kingston - New Lines

W153

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W154

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.

5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	3
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	

3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score

1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator *does not* need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.

3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1

3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.

4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W154

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	26

Kingston - New Lines

W154

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W155

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W155

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	2
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	13
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	28

Kingston - New Lines

W155

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W156

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	5
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	3
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 3.00

W156

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 9

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	3
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	9
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	33

Kingston - New Lines

W156 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W157

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W157

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	11
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	1
	TOTAL SCORE	25

Kingston - New Lines

W157

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W158

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W158

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Quantitative Rating

Metric 5. Special wetland communities.		Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method	
Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.			
5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat	
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water	
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC	

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	25

Kingston - New Lines

W158

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W159

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W159

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	25

Kingston - New Lines

W159

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W160

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W160

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	25

Kingston - New Lines

W160 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W161

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W161

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.

Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):
 filling and grading
 plowing
 grazing (hooves)
 vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)
 sedimentation
 dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil

Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.
---	---	---	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 6

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	6
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	22

Kingston - New Lines

W161

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W162

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W162

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 6

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	6
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	22

Kingston - New Lines

W162

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W163

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W163

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mowing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dredging
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Row-crop or orchard farming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shrub/sapling removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	1
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low," "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	3
	TOTAL SCORE	26

Kingston - New Lines

W163

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W164

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 1.00

W164

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 8

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	7
	Metric 4: Habitat	8
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	24

Kingston - New Lines

W164 Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
1.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
3.00

Kingston - New Lines

Metric 2 Total 4.00

W165

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

Kingston - New Lines

3d Avg.= 2.00

W165

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 7

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".	
5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	4
	Metric 3: Hydrology	8
	Metric 4: Habitat	7
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	24

Kingston - New Lines

W165

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

PID#

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
3.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
4.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W166

Metric 2 Total 7.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

3d Avg.= 1.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W166

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID#

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

PID#

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	2
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	2
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID#

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	2
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	7
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	6
	TOTAL SCORE	39

KIF Retirement

PID#

W166

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
3.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
4.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W167

Metric 2 Total 7.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

3d Avg.= 1.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W167

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID#

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

PID#

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

<p>Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).</p>	
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	Score
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	3.0
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layer can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID#

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	7
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersed, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	37

KIF Retirement

PID#

W167

Rank=LOW

"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.							
acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	0
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	1
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	0

2a Avg.=
3.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	3
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
4.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W168

Metric 2 Total 7.00

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	1
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a part of, other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	1
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	1

3d Avg.= 1.00

KIF Retirement

PID#

W168

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=

Metric 3 Total _____

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	--

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	4a Avg.=

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.		
7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	4b Avg.=

Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method

PID#

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

X	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.
---	---	---	--

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	3.0
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
3.00

Metric 4 Total 10

PID#

<p>Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.</p>			
	5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools		5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
	Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)		5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
	10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches		10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
<p>6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.</p>	
<p>1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> spp., <i>Spirodela</i> spp.) are excluded from definition of "aquatic bed." Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an "understory" below shrubs or trees.</p>	
<p>2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.</p>	3.0
<p>3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.</p>	
<p>4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layer can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are "vernal pools".</p>	
<p>5)Mudflats The "mudflat" class is equivalent to the "unconsolidated bottom/mud" class/subclass (PUB₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.</p>	
<p>6)Open water The "open water" class is equivalent to the "open water - unknown bottom" class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and "open", i.e. there is no "canopy" of any type of vegetation.</p>	

PID#

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or "high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	1
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	7
	Metric 3: Hydrology	15
	Metric 4: Habitat	10
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4
	TOTAL SCORE	37

KIF Retirement

PID#

W168

Rank=LOW

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

**Quantitative Rating
Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method**

Metric 1. Wetland area (max 6 pts). Estimate the area of wetland and select the appropriate size class and assign score. Estimated areas should clearly place the wetland within the appropriate class.

6pts	>50 acres (west TN)	>25 acres (middle TN)	>10 acres (east TN *)	
5pts	25 - <50 acres (west TN)	10- 25 acres (middle TN)	7-<10 acres (east TN*)	
4pts	10 - <25 acres (west TN)	7-< 25acres (middle TN)	3-<7 acres (east TN*)	
3pts	3 - <10 acres(west TN)	3< 7 acres (middle TN)	1-<3 acres (east TN)	
2pts	0.3 - <3 acres (west TN)	0.5- <3 acres (middle TN)	0.5-<1 acres (east TN)	
1pt	0.1 - <0.3 acres(west TN)	<0.5 acres (middle TN)	<0.5 acres (east TN)	1

*More applicable to West Tennessee; use with discretion in Middle Tennessee, Consult TDEC-DWR Natural Resources Unit for use in East Tennessee.

Table 2. Metric to English conversion table with visual estimation sizes.

acres	ft ²	yd ²	ft on side	yd on side	ha	m ²	m on side
50	2,177,983	241,998	1476	492	20.2	202,000	449
25	1,088,992	120,999	1044	348	10.1	101,000	318
10	435,596	48,340	660	220	4.1	41,000	203
3	130,679	14,520	362	121	1.2	12,000	110
0.3	13,067	1,452	114	38	0.12	1,200	35
0.1	4,356	484	66	22	0.04	400	20

Metric 1 Total 1

Metric 2. Upland buffers and intensity of surrounding land uses (Max 14 points). Wetlands without upland "buffers", or that are located where human land use is more intensive, are often, but not always, more degraded and often have lower wildlife habitat resource value.

2a. Average Buffer Width (ABW). Calculate the average buffer width and select only one score. To calculate ABW, estimate buffer width on each side (max of 50m) and divide by the number of sides. Example: ABW of a wetland with buffers of 100m, 25m, 10m and 0m would be calculated as follows: $ABW = (50m + 25m + 10m + 0m)/4 = 21.25m$. Intensive land uses are not buffers, e.g. active row cropping, paved areas, housing developments, etc.

7pts	WIDE. >50m (164ft) or more around perimeter.	
4pts	MEDIUM. 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around the perimeter.	4
1pt	NARROW. 10m to <25m (32 to <82ft) around the perimeter.	
0pts	VERY NARROW. <10m (<32ft) around perimeter.	

2a Avg.=
4.00

2b. Intensity of predominant surrounding land use(s) Select one, or choose up to two and average score, for the intensity of the predominant land use(s) outside the wetland's buffer zone.

7pts	VERY LOW. 2 nd growth or older forest, prairie, barren, wildlife area, etc.	
5pts	LOW. Old fallow field, shrub land, early successional young forest, etc.	5
3pts	MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, pasture, orchard, park, conservation tillage, mowed field, etc.	
1pt	HIGH. urban, industrial, row cropping, mining, construction, etc.	

2b Avg.=
5.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 2 Total 9.00

W169

Metric 3. Hydrology (Max 30 points). This metric evaluates the wetland's water budget, hydroperiod, the hydrologic connectivity of the wetland to other surface waters, and the degree to which the wetland's hydrology has been altered by human activity. **A wetland can receive no more than 30 points for Metric 3 even though it is possible to score more than 30 points.**

3a. Sources of Water. Select all that apply and sum the score. This question relates to a wetland's water budget. It also is reflective that wetlands with certain types of water sources, or multiple water sources, e.g. high pH groundwater or perennial surface water connections, can be very high quality wetlands or can have high functions and values.		
5pts	High pH groundwater (7.5-9.0)	
3pts	Other groundwater	
1pts	Precipitation	1
3pts	Seasonal surface water	3
5pts	Perennial surface water (lake or stream)	
3b. Connectivity. Select all that apply and sum score		
1pt	100 year floodplain. "Floodplain" is defined as "...the relatively level land next to a stream or river channel that is periodically submerged by flood waters. It is composed of alluvium deposited by the present stream or river when it floods." Where they are available, flood insurance rate maps (FIRMs) and flood boundary and floodway maps may be used.	
1pt	Between stream/lake and other human land use. This question asks whether the wetland is located <u>between</u> a surface water and a different adjacent land use, such that run-off from the adjacent land use could flow through wetland before it discharges into the surface water buffering it. "Different adjacent land uses" include agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, or residential uses.	
1pt	Part of a larger wetland or upland complex. This question asks whether the wetland is in physical proximity to, or a other nearby wetland or upland habitat areas.	1
1pt	Part of riparian corridor.	
3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. The evaluator <i>does not</i> need to actually observe the wetland when its water depth is greatest in order to award the maximum points for this question. The use of secondary indicators, as outlined in the 1987 Manual will be useful in answering this question.		
3 pts	>0.7m (27.6in)	
2pts	0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in)	
1pt	<0.4m (<15.7in)	1
3d. Duration of inundation/saturation. Select one or double check and average the scores if duration is uncertain. The use of ACOE 1987 Manual secondary indicators is necessary and expected in order to properly answer this question.		
4pts	Semi-permanently to permanently inundated or saturated	
3pts	Regularly inundated or saturated	
2pts	Seasonally inundated	2
1pt	Seasonally saturated in the upper 30cm (12in) of soil	

KIF Retirement EIS

3d Avg.= 2.00

W169

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Check all observable modifications from list below. Score by selecting the most appropriate description of the wetland. Scores may be double checked and averaged. This question asks the evaluator to assess the "intactness" of, or lack of disturbance to, the natural hydrologic regime of the type of wetland that is being evaluated.

Once the evaluator has listed all possible past and ongoing disturbances, the evaluator should check the most appropriate category to describe the present state of the wetland. In instances where the evaluator believes that a wetland falls between two categories, or where the evaluator is uncertain as to which category is appropriate, it is appropriate to choose more than one and average the score.

The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural hydrologic regime is intact. However, see Metric 4 where these same disturbances may be habitat alterations.

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland.

<input type="checkbox"/>	ditch(es), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	point source discharges to the (non-stormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/>	tile(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	filling/grading activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	dike(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	road beds/RR beds in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	weir(s), in or near the wetland	<input type="checkbox"/>	dredging activities in or near the wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	stormwater inputs (addition of water)	<input type="checkbox"/>	other (specify)

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural hydrologic regime.	<u>YES</u> Assign a score 1, 3 or 7, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	<u>NO</u> Assign a score of 12 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	<u>NOT SURE</u> Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 9.5.
---	---	--	--

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		score
12pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no modifications or no modifications that are apparent to the evaluator.	12
7pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past modifications.	
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past modifications.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The modifications have occurred recently occurred, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past modifications, and/or the modifications are ongoing.	

3e Avg=
12.00

KIF Retirement EIS

Metric 3 Total 20.00

W169

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development (Max 20 points). While hydrology may be the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes, there is a range of other factors and activities which affect wetland quality and cause disturbances to wetlands that are unrelated to hydrology. These disturbances are termed "habitat alteration." In many instances, items checked as hydrologic disturbances in Question 3e will present as alterations to a wetland's habitat or disruptions in its development (successional state). In some instances, a disturbance may be appropriately considered under both Metric 3 and Metric 4. To determine the appropriate metric scores, the evaluator should carefully determine the actual cause of the disturbance to the wetland.

<p>4a. Substrate/Soil Disturbance. Select one or double check and average. This question evaluates physical disturbances to the soil and surface substrates of the wetland. Note also that the labels on the scoring categories are intended to be descriptive but not controlling. In some instances, it may be more appropriate to consider the scoring categories as fixed locations on a disturbance continuum, from very high to very low or no disturbance.</p>	<p>Examples of substrate/soil disturbance include (circle all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filling and grading</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> plowing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> grazing (hooves)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> vehicle use (off-road vehicles, construction vehicles)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sedimentation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> dredging, and other mechanical disturbances to the soil</p>
--	---

<p>Have any of soil or substrate disturbances caused or appear to have caused more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural soils</p>	<p><u>YES</u></p> <p>Assign a score 1, 2 or 3, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.</p>	<p><u>NO</u></p> <p>Assign a score of 4 since there are no or no apparent modifications.</p>	<p><u>NOT SURE</u></p> <p>Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 3.5.</p>
--	--	--	---

Select one or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		
4pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no disturbances or no disturbances apparent to the evaluator.	
3pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past disturbances.	3
2pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past disturbances.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The disturbances have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past disturbances, and/or the disturbances are ongoing.	

4a Avg.=
3.00

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. This question asks the evaluator to assign an overall qualitative rating of how well-developed the wetland is in comparison to other ecologically and/or hydrogeomorphically similar wetlands. This question presumes knowledge of the types of wetlands and the range in quality typical of the region or access to data from reference standard examples. If unsure, score as GOOD or MODERATELY GOOD.

7pts	EXCELLENT. Wetland appears to represent the best of its type or class.	
6pts	VERY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a very good example of its type or class but is lacking in characteristics which would make it excellent.	
5pts	GOOD. Wetland appears to be a good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, or other reasons, is not excellent.	
4pts	MODERATELY GOOD. Wetland appears to be a fair to good example of its type or class.	4
3pts	FAIR. Wetland appears to be a moderately good example of its type or class but because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc. is not good.	
2pts	POOR TO FAIR. Wetland appears to be a poor to fair example of its type or class.	
1pt	POOR. Wetland appears <u>not</u> to be a good example of its type or class because of past or present disturbances, successional state, etc.	

4b Avg.=
4.00

4c. Habitat alteration. This question evaluates the "intactness" the natural habitat of the type of wetland that is being evaluated. This question does not discriminate between wetlands with different types of habitat. Check all possible alterations that are observed. All available information, field visits, aerial photos, maps, etc. can be used to identify possible alterations. Evaluate whether the alteration is trivial in relation to the wetlands overall habitat. Select the most appropriate score that best describes the present state of the wetland. It is appropriate to "double check" and average scores. **The evaluator may check one or several of these possible disturbances, yet still determine that the natural habitat is intact.**

Check all that are observed present in or near the wetland

	Mowing		Herbaceous layer/aquatic bed removal
	Grazing (cattle, horses, etc.)		Sedimentation
	Clearcutting		Dredging
X	Selective cutting		Row-crop or orchard farming
X	Woody debris removal		Nutrient enrichment, e.g. nuisance algae
	Toxic pollutants		Other (specify):
X	Shrub/sapling removal		Other (specify):

Have any of the disturbances identified above caused or appeared to cause more than trivial alterations to the wetland's natural habitat.	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>NOT SURE</u>
	Assign a score 1, 3 or 6, or an intermediate score, depending on degree of recovery from the disturbance.	Assign a score of 9 since there are no or no apparent modifications.	Choose "recovered" and assign a score of 6.

Select one score or double check adjoining numbers and average the score.		Score
9pts	NONE OR NONE APPARENT. There are no past or current alterations that are apparent to the evaluator.	
6pts	RECOVERED. The wetland appears to have recovered from past alterations.	6
3pts	RECOVERING. The wetland appears to be in the process of recovering from past alterations.	
1pt	RECENT OR NO RECOVERY. The alterations have occurred recently, and/or the wetland has not recovered from past alterations, and/or the alterations are ongoing.	

4c Avg. =
6.00

Metric 4 Total 13

Metric 5. Special wetland communities. Assign points in left column if the wetland meets the associated criteria below. Refer to Narrative Rating for guidance. If wetland scores over 30 points within Metric 5 further determination needed to assess if the wetland exhibits outstanding ecological or recreational values as discussed in the Narrative Rating Section.

5pts - >10m sq sphagnum or other moss or other vernal pools	5 pts - Superior fish, waterfowl, bat, or amphibian breeding habitat
Ecological community with global rank (NatureServe): G1 (10pts), G2 (5pts), G2/G3 (3pts) or uncommon ecological resource in the ecoregion (habitat and/or species diversity, geology, wetland type, distribution/ occurrence) (10 pts)	5 pts - Wetland contains and is a buffer for a headwater stream or wetland contributes significantly to the water quality of 303(d) listed stream and/or to surface or and/or to ground water
10 pts - Older-aged mature forested wetland avg. DBH >= 30 inches	10 pts - Supports species Deemed in Need of Management by TWRA or TN Special Concern by TDEC

Metric 5 Total 0

Metric 6. Vegetation, Interspersion, and Microtopography (Max 20 points).	Score
6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Check each community present both vertically and horizontally within the wetland with an area of at least 0.1 hectares or 1000m ² (0.2471 acres). Assign a score of 0 to 3 using Table 3 for 1-4 or Table 5 for 5-6. Sum the scores for the classes present.	
1)Aquatic Bed Includes areas of wetlands dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years. Floating aquatic species like duckweed (<i>Lemna spp.</i> , <i>Spirodela spp.</i>) are excluded from definition of “aquatic bed.” Aquatic beds often occur as a distinct zone as an “understory” below shrubs or trees.	
2)Emergent Includes areas of wetlands dominated by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years. Common names for emergent communities include marsh, wet meadow, wet prairie, sedge meadow, and fens.	2
3)Shrub Includes areas of wetlands dominated by woody vegetation less than 1m (3ft.) - 6m (20 ft) tall with a dbh of <3in. The plant species include true shrubs, young trees, or trees or shrubs that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions. Shrub wetlands may represent a successional stage leading to a forested wetland or they may be relatively stable plant communities.	
4)Forested Includes wetlands or areas of wetlands characterized by woody vegetation greater than 6m (20ft) or taller. Forested wetlands have an overstory of trees and often contain an understory of young trees and shrubs and an herbaceous layer, although the young tree/shrub and herbaceous layers can be largely missing from some types of forested wetlands. Some forested wetlands are “vernal pools”.	
5)Mudflats The “mudflat” class is equivalent to the “unconsolidated bottom/mud” class/subclass (PUB ₃) described in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas of wetlands characterized by exposed or shallowly inundated substrates with vegetative cover less than 30%.	
6)Open water The “open water” class is equivalent to the “open water - unknown bottom” class in Cowardin et al. (1979) and includes areas that are 1) inundated, 2) un-vegetated, and 3) and “open”, i.e. there is no “canopy” of any type of vegetation.	

Table 3. Use this table to assign a cover score for Metric 6a to each of the vegetation communities identified on the preceding page. Refer to Table 4 for narrative description of "low," "moderate," and "high" quality.

Cover Scale	Description
0	The vegetation community is either 1) absent from wetland or 2) Comprises less than 0.1 ha (.2471 acres) of contiguous area within the wetland
1	Vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low or moderate quality, or 2) if it comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of low quality
2	Thee vegetation community is present and either, 1) comprises a significant part of the wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or 2) the vegetation community comprises a small part of the wetland's vegetation but is of high quality
3	The vegetation community is of high quality and comprises a significant part, or more, of the wetland's vegetation

Table 4. Use this table in conjunction with Table 3 to determine what is a "low", "moderate," or " high" quality community.

Narrative	Description
Low	Low species richness and a predominance of invasive, non-native, or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species.
Moderate	Native species are the dominant component of the vegetation, although non-native or disturbance tolerant "weedy" species can also be present, and species richness is moderate to moderately high, but generally without the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered species.
High	A predominance of native species, with non-native species absent or virtually absent, and high species diversity and/or the presence of rare, threatened or endangered species.

Table 5. Mudflat and open water community cover scale.

0	Absent <0.1 ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 ha to < 4 ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4 ha (9.88 acres) or more

6b. Horizontal (plan view) interspersion. Evaluate the wetland from a "plan view," i.e. as if the looking down upon it. See Figure 1.		Score
5pts	HIGH Wetland has a high degree of interspersion	
4pts	MODERATELY HIGH Wetland has a moderately high degree of interspersion	
3pts	MODERATE Wetland has a moderate degree of interspersion	3
2pts	MODERATELY LOW Wetland has a moderately low degree of interspersion	
1pt	LOW Wetland has a low degree of interspersion.	
0pt	NONE Wetland has no plan view interspersion	




Figure 1. Hypothetical Wetlands for estimating degree of interspersion

6c. Coverage of Invasive Plant Species. Refer to Tennessee Exotic Pest Plant Council (http://www.tneppc.org/) for official list. Select only one and assign score.		Score
-5pts	Extensive >75% areal cover of invasive species	
-3pts	Moderate 25-75% areal cover of invasive species	
-1pts	Sparse 5-25% areal cover of invasive species	
0pt	Nearly absent. <5% areal cover of invasive species	0
1pt	Absent	
6d. Microtopography. Check each feature present in the wetland. Assign cover score of 0 to 3 using Table 6. Evaluate various microtopographic habitat features often present in wetlands.		Score
Vegetated hummocks and tussocks		0
Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) in diameter		0
Standing dead trees >25cm (10in) diameter at breast height		0
Amphibian breeding habitat, e.g. vernal pools with standing water of sufficient duration and depth to support reproduction, or habitat for frog reproduction		0

Table 6. Cover scale for microtopographic habitat features

Microtopographic habitat quality	Narrative description
0	Feature is absent or functionally absent from the wetland
1	Feature is present in the wetland in very small amounts or if more common, of low quality
2	Feature is present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of the highest quality

NON-HGM TRAM Summary Worksheet

Non-HGM Quantitative Rating	Metric 1: Size	1
	Metric 2: Buffers and surrounding land use	9
	Metric 3: Hydrology	20
	Metric 4: Habitat	13
	Metric 5: Special Wetland Communities	0
	Metric 6: Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	5
	TOTAL SCORE	48

KIF Retirement EIS

W169

Rank = Moderate

**"Wetland Conditions with an overall score of 100-75 are considered Exceptional Tennessee Waters. Wetlands with a score of 74-45 are considered to have moderate resource value, and wetland with a score of 44 and below have a low resource value."
(TRAM 2015, pg 2)**

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/15/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W101_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 4
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9150825 Long: -84.4732168 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Montevallo channery silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes NWI classification: R5UBH
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Upland data point paired with W001. At edge of cleared ROW	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W101_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	15	Yes	UPL
2. <u>Rubus occidentalis</u>	5	Yes	UPL
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
20 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	20	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Rubus occidentalis</u>	10	Yes	UPL
3. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	10	Yes	FACW
4. <u>Kalmia latifolia</u>	5	No	FACU
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
45 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u>		20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>250</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.85</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W101_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy clay

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/15/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W101-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9150149° Long: -84.4733317 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Wetland along stream	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W101

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	=Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	5	Yes	FACW
2. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	5	Yes	FACU
3. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	5	Yes	UPL
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	15 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	25	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Carex lurida</u>	25	Yes	OBL
3. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	25	Yes	UPL
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	75 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>	20% of total cover: <u>15</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	=Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>280</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.11</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W101

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 5/2	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
6-14	7.5YR 5/2	96	7.5YR 5/8	4	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
14-18	5Y 4/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W102-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.916195 ,ong: 84.4702368 Datum: N/GS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Connects to previously delineated wetland	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>4</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>4</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W102_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Fraxinus americana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
45 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u>		20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
70 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>35</u>		20% of total cover: <u>14</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 7 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 87.5% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>35</u>	x 1 = <u>35</u>
FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>270</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.16</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W102_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
12-18	10yr 5/1	92	10YR 4/6	8	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W102_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 4
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9161596 Long: -84.4708349 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W102_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u><i>Elaeagnus umbellata</i></u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>11</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>45.5%</u> (A/B)
2. <u><i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i></u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
	<u>80</u> =Total Cover			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>60</u> x 2 = <u>120</u> FAC species <u>25</u> x 3 = <u>75</u> FACU species <u>20</u> x 4 = <u>80</u> UPL species <u>30</u> x 5 = <u>150</u> Column Totals: <u>135</u> (A) <u>425</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.15</u>
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>		20% of total cover: <u>16</u>		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)				
1. <u><i>Quercus stellata</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	
2. <u><i>Ligustrum sinense</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
9. _____				
	<u>15</u> =Total Cover			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)				
1. <u><i>Campsis radicans</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u><i>Asplenium platyneuron</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u><i>Lonicera japonica</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u><i>Elaeagnus umbellata</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
9. _____				
10. _____				
11. _____				
	<u>30</u> =Total Cover			Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
50% of total cover: <u>15</u>		20% of total cover: <u>6</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)				
1. <u><i>Smilax rotundifolia</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> X </u>

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W102_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W103-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9160750 Long: -84.4703268 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Emergent, tie to pond offsite	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W103_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	=Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	5	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	5	Yes	FACU
3. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	5	Yes	FACU
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	15 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	30	Yes	FACW
2. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	10	Yes	OBL
3. <u>Carex lurida</u>	10	Yes	OBL
4. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	5	No	FACW
5. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	5	No	OBL
6. <u>Dichanthelium oligosanthes</u>	10	Yes	FACU
7. <u>Phragmites australis</u>	10	Yes	FACW
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	80 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>	20% of total cover: <u>16</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	=Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 62.5% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>45</u>	x 2 = <u>90</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>210</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.21</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W103_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 4/2	98	5YR 5/8	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-12	7.5YR 4/2	96	5YR 5/8	3	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
			10YR 7/8	1	C	M		Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
12-18	7.5YR 4/2	97	5YR 5/8	3	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W103_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9162167 Long: 84.4702111 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: <u>Mowed ROW cleared of trees and shrubs</u>	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W103_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Geranium carolinianum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u>Trifolium campestre</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
6. <u>Carex blanda</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. <u>Dichantheium oligosanthos</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
90 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>45</u>		20% of total cover: <u>18</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>65</u>	x 4 = <u>260</u>
UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>375</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.17</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W103_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 4/4	99	10YR 4/6	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W104-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9162277 Long: -84.4704616 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Crossed by access road	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>4</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W104_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>50</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>25</u>	20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Ligustrum sinense</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>15</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>8</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Juncus effusus</i></u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u><i>Carex tribuloides</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u><i>Carex vulpinoidea</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u><i>Microstegium vimineum</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u><i>Carex lurida</i></u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. <u><i>Andropogon virginicus</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u><i>Solidago gigantea</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
8. <u><i>Dichantherium oligosanthes</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>90</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>45</u>	20% of total cover: <u>18</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>45</u>	x 2 = <u>90</u>
FAC species <u>70</u>	x 3 = <u>210</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>155</u> (A)	<u>400</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.58</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** X **No** _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W104_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-9	7.5YR 4/2	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
9-18	7.5YR 5/2	99	7.5YR 5/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W104_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9117412 Long: -84.4728598 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: No hydro, no veg. Hill slope dominated by red maple, tulip tree, and autumn olive	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W104 UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Quercus montana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>75</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Diervilla</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>20</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. <u>Diervilla</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Polystichum acrostichoides</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>40</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)			
1. <u>Smilax rotundifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>5</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 28.6% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>65</u>	x 3 = <u>195</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>
Column Totals: <u>140</u> (A)	<u>540</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.86</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u>X</u>
------------	-------------	-----------	----------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W104_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 5/3	99	10YR 5/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)				
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)				
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)				
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)					

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W105-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9169104 Long: -84.4690999 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: <u>Tie to pond</u>	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W105_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>60</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>30</u>	20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>20</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>10</u>	20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Agrimonia parviflora</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Boehmeria cylindrica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>75</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>38</u>	20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 71.4% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>40</u>	x 1 = <u>40</u>
FACW species <u>75</u>	x 2 = <u>150</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>
Column Totals: <u>155</u> (A)	<u>340</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.19</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W105_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 4/2	98	5YR 5/8	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	7.5YR 5/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Kingston, Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W105_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9169099 Long: -84.4693588 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Upland WWC	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W105_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	15	Yes	UPL
2. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	10	Yes	FACU
3. <u>Diervilla</u>	15	Yes	UPL
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
40 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rumex crispus</u>	5	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	10	Yes	UPL
3. <u>Diervilla</u>	10	Yes	UPL
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
25 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>13</u>		20% of total cover: <u>5</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 16.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>50</u>	x 5 = <u>250</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>305</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.69</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W105_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	7.5YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W106-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9176523 Long: - 84.4673309 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Connects to previously delineated wetland. Continues offsite. PEM. Invasive encroachments	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W106_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>13</u>		20% of total cover: <u>5</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>295</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.36</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W106_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	2.5Y 5/1	99	2.5Y 5/4	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W106-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9174689 Long: -84.4670593 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Arnuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W106-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Carex echinata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Dichanthelium oligosanthes</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Andropogon virginicus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>		20% of total cover: <u>16</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 16.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>80</u>	x 4 = <u>320</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>375</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.75</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W106-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam
6-18	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W107-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9178798 Long: - 84.4662434 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: PEM. Connects to PFO. Dead/bent veg. PEM goes over S008A above culvert, not through the stream.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>6</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W107_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex lurida</u>	10	No	OBL
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	30	Yes	FACW
3. <u>Juncus diffusissimus</u>	15	No	FACW
4. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	30	Yes	FACW
5. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	15	No	UPL
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
100 = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>75</u>	x 2 = <u>150</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>235</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.35</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W107_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	7.5YR 5/2	95	7.5YR 5/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W107-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9178692 Long: -84.4664080 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W107-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Pinus virginiana</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u><i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Toxicodendron radicans</i></u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u><i>Solidago gigantea</i></u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u><i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i></u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u><i>Packera anonyma</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u><i>Dichantheium oligosanthes</i></u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>100</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>50</u>	20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.68</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W107-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 3/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loamy sand
2-18	10YR 3/6	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Faint redox concentrations. Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W108-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9179173 Long: -84.4660990 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: PFO. Forested wetland draining from PUB. Connects to PEM + S008A via WWC004. Coarse woody debris	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W108_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fraxinus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>50</u> = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>		20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fraxinus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>50</u> = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>		20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>90</u>	x 1 = <u>90</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>130</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.18</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W108_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 5/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W108_UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9179841 Long: -84.4661003 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W108_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fraxinus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>5</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Smilax rotundifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>45</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u> 20% of total cover: <u>9</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>175</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.92</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W108 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silty clay loam
2-18	10YR 3/6	99	7.5YR 5/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W109-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: _____ 67 _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Soils disturbed from past grazing	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) <u>X</u> Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W109_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	15	Yes	OBL
2. <u>Carex grayi</u>	5	No	FACW
3. <u>Scirpus atrocinctus</u>	25	Yes	FACW
4. <u>Vernonia gigantea</u>	10	No	FAC
5. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	5	No	FACW
6. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	15	Yes	FACU
7. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	25	Yes	FACW
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
100 = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>
FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>225</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.25</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W109_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 3/6	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	10YR 4/2	90	2.5YR 5/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W109-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9177496 Long: -84.4655262 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W109-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>5</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Arrhenatherum elatius</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>100</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>85</u>	x 4 = <u>340</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)	<u>375</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.57</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W109-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	7.5YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: bedrock
 Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W110-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): swale Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat:3 _____ Long: _____ Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: PEM. Disturbed fill	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks:	

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W110_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Juncus diffusissimus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Leersia oryzoides</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. <u>Phyla lanceolata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
7. <u>Eleocharis obtusa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>48</u>		20% of total cover: <u>19</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>60</u>	x 1 = <u>60</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>140</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.40</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W110_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	2.5Y 5/4	94	10YR 6/8	5	C	M	Sandy	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy fill
			10YR 8/8	1	C	M		Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy fill

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: bedrock
 Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W110-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width:50%;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Disturbed fill			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W110-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Diervilla</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Oxalis dillenii</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Rumex acetosa</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Plantago lanceolata</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
4. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Geranium carolinianum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>		20% of total cover: <u>16</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 16.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>65</u>	x 4 = <u>260</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>425</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.25</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W110-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 5/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: bedrock
 Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W111-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): swale Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9186134 Long: -84.4631903 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Wetland with no hydric soils. Disturbed due to previous cattle use/grazing	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W111-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
			=Total Cover
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
			=Total Cover
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Vernonia gigantea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Eleocharis palustris</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
9. <u>Carex blanda</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
			<u>95</u> =Total Cover
50% of total cover: <u>48</u>		20% of total cover: <u>19</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
			=Total Cover
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>30</u>	x 3 = <u>90</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>255</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.68</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W111-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 5/4	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy clay loam
6-18	10YR 5/4	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W111-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9186153 Long: -84.4632972 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Open pasture, previously grazed	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W111-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	25	Yes	FACU
2. <u>Packera anonyma</u>	10	No	UPL
3. <u>Ranunculus bulbosus</u>	5	No	FAC
4. <u>Trifolium pratense</u>	10	No	FACU
5. <u>Salvia lyrata</u>	15	Yes	FACU
6. <u>Carex blanda</u>	5	No	FAC
7. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	25	Yes	FACU
8. <u>Pyrus calleryana</u>	5	No	UPL
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>75</u>	x 4 = <u>300</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.05</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W111-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 4/4	99	10YR 6/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W112-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9188466 Long: -84.4620977 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Disturbed from ATV use. Continues from previously delineated wetland. Low spot in field.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>4</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W112-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus diffusissimus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Scirpus ancistrochaetus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Ranunculus sardous</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Carex grayi</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. <u>Cyperus lupulinus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
7. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>		20% of total cover: <u>16</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>205</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.56</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W112-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	7.5YR 5/1	95	10YR 5/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W112-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9188762 Long: -84.4621986 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Disturbed from previous ATV use	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W112-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salvia lyrata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Packera anonyma</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Ranunculus bulbosus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Carex blanda</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>420</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.20</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W112-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W113_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9198522° Long: 84.4576810° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W113_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Andropogon virginicus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Allium vineale</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>70</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>35</u> 20% of total cover: <u>14</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>5</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>75</u>	x 4 = <u>300</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>300</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W113_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-20	10YR 5/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/15/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W113-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9197214 Long: - 84.4579227 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W113-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Saururus cernuus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Impatiens capensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Eutrochium purpureum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>125</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.08</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W113-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	98	7.5YR 4/4	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W114_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9252719° Long: 84.4380634° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W114_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Aralia spinosa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u> 20% of total cover: <u>8</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Pueraria montana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>18</u> 20% of total cover: <u>7</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)	<u>160</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	___	No	<u>X</u>
-----	-----	----	----------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W114_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/3	100					loamy/clayey	Silt Loam
								** Restrictive layer at 12 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils not present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/15/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W114-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9256875 Long: -- 84.4385222 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>X</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W114-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Saururus cernuus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Impatiens capensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Eutrochium purpureum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>125</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.08</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W114-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	98	7.5YR 4/4	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W115_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9154535° Long: 84.4052837° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W115_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Apocynum androsaemifolium</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Lespedeza cuneata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u> 20% of total cover: <u>9</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>35</u>	x 4 = <u>140</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>35</u> (A)	<u>140</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W115_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	7.5YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W115-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9156372 Long: -84.4047832 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W115-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	=Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Bolboschoenus robustus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Rubs sp</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>45</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>23</u>	20% of total cover: <u>9</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>105</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.91</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	<u> </u>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-----------	----	-------------------------------------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W115-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: _____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation _____ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% _____ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ _____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) _____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH). Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH. Shrub - Woody Plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody Vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No _____
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)				

SOIL

Sampling Point: W115-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-10	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Restrictive layer at 10 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W116a_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): flat Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9151069° Long: 84.4039283° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W116a_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>5</u> x 2 = <u>10</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>65</u> x 4 = <u>260</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>70</u> (A) <u>270</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.86</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Andropogon virginicus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Allium vineale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. <u>Andropogon glomeratus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
5. <u>Apocynum androsaemifolium</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W116a_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	7.5YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W116a-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9146027 Long: -84.4025325 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Per the USACE's antecedent precipitation tool, climactic and hydrologic conditions in the area were wetter than normal for this time of year. Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W116a-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>12</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>6</u> 20% of total cover: <u>3</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Bolboschoenus robustus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>50</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>45</u>	x 1 = <u>45</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>12</u>	x 4 = <u>48</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>128</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.78</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W116a-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-10	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Restrictive layer at 10 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/16/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W116b-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9135820 Long: -84.3997115 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W116b-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>12</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>6</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Bolboschoenus robustus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>50</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>	20% of total cover: <u>10</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>45</u>	x 1 = <u>45</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>12</u>	x 4 = <u>48</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>128</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.78</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W116b-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-10	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Restrictive layer at 10 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W117a_W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9123165 Long: 84.3961352 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: R5UBH

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: PEM. Soils disturbed from prior construction	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W117a_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Ulmus alata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>20</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Fraxinus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>15</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u> 20% of total cover: <u>3</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Carex grayi</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus diffusissimus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>30</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>15</u> 20% of total cover: <u>6</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>45</u>	x 2 = <u>90</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>160</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.46</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W117a_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	5YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silty clay loam
2-18	7.5YR 5/4	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	M	Sandy	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W117a-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9322975 Long: -84.3531424 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? </td> <td style="width:40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Upland area along old access road. Soils restricted by fill and gravel			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W117a-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
			=Total Cover
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
			10 =Total Cover
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lespedeza cuneata</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Rubus allegheniensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Verbesina virginica</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u>Verbascum thapsus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. <u>Valerianella radiata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. <u>Lapsana communis</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. <u>Sonchus asper</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
			100 =Total Cover
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
			=Total Cover
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>
UPL species <u>25</u>	x 5 = <u>125</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>430</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.91</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W117a-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W117b-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9112915 Long: -84.3940229 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%;">Is the Sampled Area</td> <td style="width:50%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>within a Wetland?</td> <td>Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area		within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area					
within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>				
Remarks: Soils restricted by fill and gravel					

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td><u> </u> Surface Water (A1)</td> <td><u> </u> True Aquatic Plants (B14)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> High Water Table (A2)</td> <td><u> </u> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Saturation (A3)</td> <td><u> </u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Water Marks (B1)</td> <td><u> </u> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Sediment Deposits (B2)</td> <td><u> </u> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Drift Deposits (B3)</td> <td><u> </u> Thin Muck Surface (C7)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)</td> <td><u> </u> Other (Explain in Remarks)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Iron Deposits (B5)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u> </u> Aquatic Fauna (B13)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<u> </u> Surface Water (A1)	<u> </u> True Aquatic Plants (B14)	<u> </u> High Water Table (A2)	<u> </u> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<u> </u> Saturation (A3)	<u> </u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	<u> </u> Water Marks (B1)	<u> </u> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<u> </u> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<u> </u> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<u> </u> Drift Deposits (B3)	<u> </u> Thin Muck Surface (C7)	<u> </u> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<u> </u> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<u> </u> Iron Deposits (B5)		<u> </u> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		<u> </u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		<u> </u> Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr><td><u> </u> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Drainage Patterns (B10)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Moss Trim Lines (B16)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Crayfish Burrows (C8)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Geomorphic Position (D2)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Shallow Aquitard (D3)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> Microtopographic Relief (D4)</td></tr> <tr><td><u> </u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)</td></tr> </table>	<u> </u> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<u> </u> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<u> </u> Drainage Patterns (B10)	<u> </u> Moss Trim Lines (B16)	<u> </u> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	<u> </u> Crayfish Burrows (C8)	<u> </u> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	<u> </u> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	<u> </u> Geomorphic Position (D2)	<u> </u> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	<u> </u> Microtopographic Relief (D4)	<u> </u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
<u> </u> Surface Water (A1)	<u> </u> True Aquatic Plants (B14)																																		
<u> </u> High Water Table (A2)	<u> </u> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)																																		
<u> </u> Saturation (A3)	<u> </u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)																																		
<u> </u> Water Marks (B1)	<u> </u> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)																																		
<u> </u> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<u> </u> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)																																		
<u> </u> Drift Deposits (B3)	<u> </u> Thin Muck Surface (C7)																																		
<u> </u> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<u> </u> Other (Explain in Remarks)																																		
<u> </u> Iron Deposits (B5)																																			
<u> </u> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)																																			
<u> </u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9)																																			
<u> </u> Aquatic Fauna (B13)																																			
<u> </u> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)																																			
<u> </u> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)																																			
<u> </u> Drainage Patterns (B10)																																			
<u> </u> Moss Trim Lines (B16)																																			
<u> </u> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)																																			
<u> </u> Crayfish Burrows (C8)																																			
<u> </u> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)																																			
<u> </u> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)																																			
<u> </u> Geomorphic Position (D2)																																			
<u> </u> Shallow Aquitard (D3)																																			
<u> </u> Microtopographic Relief (D4)																																			
<u> </u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)																																			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W117b-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>6</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lespedeza cuneata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Bromus japonicus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Verbesina virginica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>1</u>	x 3 = <u>3</u>
FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>
UPL species <u>25</u>	x 5 = <u>125</u>
Column Totals: <u>86</u> (A)	<u>368</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.28</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W117b-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W117b-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9110782 Long: -84.3939012 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: L2UBHh

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Wetland spans width of ROW and ties to S010A. Restrictive fill	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W117b-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex granularis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Asclepias incarnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
7. <u>Carex davisii</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>45</u>		20% of total cover: <u>18</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>45</u>	x 1 = <u>45</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>210</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.91</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W117b-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W118_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9108059° Long: 84.3910046° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W118_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Quercus alba</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>55</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>28</u>	20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dioscorea villosa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Vitis rotundifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>250</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.85</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ___ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W118_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W118-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9103204 Long: -84.38991496 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in a forested wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>X</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>X</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W118-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Carpinus caroliniana</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>40</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>20</u>	20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>30</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>15</u>	20% of total cover: <u>6</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>80</u>	x 3 = <u>240</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>280</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.11</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W118-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 2/2	98	10YR 3/4	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
3-20	10YR 4/3	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W119_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9116565° Long: 84.3879762° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W119_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Quercus alba</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>55</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>28</u>	20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Polystichum acrostichoides</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Podophyllum peltatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>15</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>8</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>80</u>	x 4 = <u>320</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>320</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W119_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 3/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W119-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9116594 Long: -84.3878729 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in a forested wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>X</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>16</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W119-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carpinus caroliniana</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Platanus occidentalis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>50</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>25</u>	20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carpinus caroliniana</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>20</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>10</u>	20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>20</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>10</u>	20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 85.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>70</u>	x 3 = <u>210</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>85</u> (A)	<u>240</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.82</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W119-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	98	5YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 5/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W120_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9128353° Long: 84.3859564° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W120_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Quercus alba</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>55</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>28</u>	20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Polystichum acrostichoides</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Podophyllum peltatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>15</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>8</u>	20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>80</u>	x 4 = <u>320</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>320</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W120_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-4	10YR 3/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 3/6	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W120-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9126472 Long: -84.3858385 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W120-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
4. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>105</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.91</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W120-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 4/2	80	10YR 4/6	20	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
10-20	10YR 4/1	98	10YR 5/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W121_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9137441° Long: 84.3840542° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W121_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Poaceae sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
40 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
20 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>30</u> (A)	<u>120</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W121_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W121-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9136664 Long: -84.3841394 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W121-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>170</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.27</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W121-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-5	10YR 4/2	97	10YR 3/6	3	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
5-20	10YR 4/1	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W122_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9137441° Long: 84.3840542° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W122_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Poaceae sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u> 20% of total cover: <u>8</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>30</u> (A)	<u>120</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W122_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/17/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W122-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9137950 Long: -84.3836820 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W122-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>170</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.27</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W122-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-5	10YR 4/2	97	10YR 3/6	3	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
5-20	10YR 4/1	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W123_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9158656° Long: -84.3810172° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydic Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W123_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Quercus alba</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>45</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>23</u>	20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Quercus alba</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 20.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>235</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.92</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W123_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 3/6	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W123-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9156484 Long: 84.3808796 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>16</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W123-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>45</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>23</u>	20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Onoclea sensibilis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>25</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>13</u>	20% of total cover: <u>5</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 85.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>70</u>	x 3 = <u>210</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>280</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.11</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W123-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/2	80	5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
3-6	10YR 3/1	98	10YR 5/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-9	10YR 4/1	80	7.5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
9-20	10YR 3/1	97	10YR 4/4	3	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W124_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9166474° Long: -84.3797139° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W124_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer saccharum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Carya laciniosa</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>55</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)			
1. <u>Fagus grandifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>20</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)			
1. <u>Polystichum acrostichoides</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>15</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)			
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>5</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>85</u>	x 4 = <u>340</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>370</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.89</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W124_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W124-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9163460 Long: 84.3796254 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>4</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W124-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
4. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>		20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>45</u> (A)	<u>75</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.67</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W124-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
3-10	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
10-20	2.5Y 5/2	90	10YR 5/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W125_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9190536° Long: -84.3757270° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W125_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u><i>Acer saccharum</i></u>	<u>30</u>	Yes	FACU		<p>Dominance Test worksheet:</p> <p>Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)</p> <p>Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>7</u> (B)</p> <p>Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>28.6%</u> (A/B)</p> <p>Prevalence Index worksheet:</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%; border:none;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width:50%; border:none;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td style="border:none;">x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td style="border:none;">x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">FAC species <u>35</u></td> <td style="border:none;">x 3 = <u>105</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">FACU species <u>75</u></td> <td style="border:none;">x 4 = <u>300</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td style="border:none;">x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border:none;">Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)</td> <td style="border:none;"><u>405</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="border:none; text-align:center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.68</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>	FACU species <u>75</u>	x 4 = <u>300</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.68</u>
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																			
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>																			
FACU species <u>75</u>	x 4 = <u>300</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.68</u>																				
2. <u><i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i></u>	<u>20</u>	Yes	FACU																	
3. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>10</u>	No	FAC																	
4. <u><i>Carya glabra</i></u>	<u>5</u>	No	FACU																	
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
<u>65</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>																		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	<p>Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:</p> <p><u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation</p> <p><u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50%</p> <p><u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹</p> <p><u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)</p> <p><u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</p> <p>¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.</p> <p>Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:</p> <p>Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.</p> <p>Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.</p> <p>Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.</p> <p>Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.</p>																
1. <u><i>Acer rubrum</i></u>	<u>5</u>	Yes	FAC																	
2. <u><i>Carya glabra</i></u>	<u>5</u>	Yes	FACU																	
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
8. _____																				
9. _____																				
<u>10</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u><i>Toxicodendron radicans</i></u>	<u>20</u>	Yes	FAC																	
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
8. _____																				
9. _____																				
10. _____																				
11. _____																				
<u>20</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	<p>Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u></p>																
1. <u><i>Lonicera japonica</i></u>	<u>10</u>	Yes	FACU																	
2. <u><i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i></u>	<u>5</u>	Yes	FACU																	
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
<u>15</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>																		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W125_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-1	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
1-20	10YR 3/4	98	5YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W125-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9187447 Long: -84.3756424 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W125-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
4. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>115</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.92</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W125-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/2	90	5YR 4/6	10	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-20	2.5Y 4/2	95	5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W126_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9202881° Long: -84.3726527° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W126_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>5</u> (A)	<u>20</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W126_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/3	95	5YR 4/4	5	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
12-20	7.5YR 4/6	60	10YR 5/2	40			Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W126-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9205081 Long: 84.3725057 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W126-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>7</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>4</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>60</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>12</u>	x 1 = <u>12</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>37</u> (A)	<u>82</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W126-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 5/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-12	10YR 4/1	80	7.5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
12-20	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W127_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 10-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9212150° Long: 84.3711953° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W127_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>5</u> (A)	<u>20</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W127_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/3	95	5YR 4/4	5	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
12-20	7.5YR 4/6	60	10YR 5/2	40			Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W127-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9212720 Long: 84.3711004 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W127-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>7</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>4</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>60</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>12</u>	x 1 = <u>12</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>37</u> (A)	<u>82</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W127-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 5/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-12	10YR 4/1	80	7.5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
12-20	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W128_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9222707° Long: 84.3699594° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W128_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Eutrochium purpureum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>55</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>50</u> (A)	<u>190</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.80</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	___	No	<u>X</u>
-----	-----	----	----------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W128_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/3	95	5YR 4/4	5	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
12-20	7.5YR 4/6	60	10YR 5/2	40			Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Absent

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W128-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9220198 Long: 84.3697872 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W128-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Ambrosia trifida</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>57</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>29</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>2</u>	x 4 = <u>8</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>57</u> (A)	<u>113</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.98</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W128-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 6/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 6/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W129_W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9226751 Long: -84.3686101 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W129_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Ulmus americana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Agrimonia parviflora</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Rosa carolina</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Pycnanthemum virginianum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u>		20% of total cover: <u>17</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>220</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.44</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W129_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	2.5YR 5/2	98	7.5YR 3/3	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W129-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9227053 Long: -84.3686207 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Soils >6" restrictive fill	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W129-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Pycnanthemum virginianum</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u><i>Erigeron strigosus</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u><i>Solidago gigantea</i></u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u><i>Bromus inermis</i></u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u><i>Poa cuspidata</i></u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u>		20% of total cover: <u>17</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Lonicera japonica</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>50</u>	x 5 = <u>250</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>375</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.95</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W129-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 5/4	99	2.5Y 6/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Loamy sand
3-6	10YR 5/4	95	2.5Y 6/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 7

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W130_W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9236039 Long: -84.3673162 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: R4SBC

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W130_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Facu</u>
2. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Carex crinita</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>70</u>	x 1 = <u>70</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
Facu species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>115</u> (A)	<u>180</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.57</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W130_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	2.5YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
3-6	2.5YR 4/2	98	7.5YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	N 3/	99	10YR 5/6	1	C	M	Sandy	Prominent redox concentrations. Clayey

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W130-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9234073 Long: -84.3672552 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W130-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Acer saccharinum</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u><i>Fagus grandifolia</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Rubus flagellaris</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u><i>Cornus florida</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>15</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u> 20% of total cover: <u>3</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Verbesina alternifolia</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u><i>Dichanthelium clandestinum</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u><i>Toxicodendron radicans</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u><i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>35</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>18</u> 20% of total cover: <u>7</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u><i>Lonicera japonica</i></u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>20</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 37.5% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>285</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.56</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W130-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loamy sand
12-18	10YR 5/1	98	10YR 3/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W131-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9251366 Long: -84.3646808 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: R4SBC

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Woody encroachment	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W131_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Cornus amomum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>60</u>	x 1 = <u>60</u>
FACW species <u>45</u>	x 2 = <u>90</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>170</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.55</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W131_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 7/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
8-18	N 4/	98	10YR 7/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Clay

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W131-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9249411 Long: -84.644985 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Restrictive fill	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W131-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Asclepias syriaca</u>	5	No	FACU
2. <u>Verbesina virginica</u>	5	No	UPL
3. <u>Lespedeza cuneata</u>	30	Yes	FACU
4. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	30	Yes	UPL
5. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	30	Yes	UPL
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>35</u>	x 4 = <u>140</u>
UPL species <u>65</u>	x 5 = <u>325</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>465</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.65</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W131-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W132-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9263644 Long: -84.3620006 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: R4SBC

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Between slopes, woody encroachment by shrubs	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>4</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W132_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Juncus effe</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	
4. <u>Carex tribuloides</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Agrimonia parviflora</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. <u>Eleocharis palustris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
7. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u> 20% of total cover: <u>20</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>65</u>	x 1 = <u>65</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>85</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.13</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W132_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 5/1	90	7.5YR 2.5/3	10	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	N 6/	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W132-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9264177 Long: -84.3618432 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: >6" restrictive fill			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W132-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Ulmus alata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		<u>15</u> = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Leucanthemum vulgare</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Packera anonyma</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
4. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u>Vernonia gigantea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		<u>60</u> = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Pycnanthemum virginianum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		<u>25</u> = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>13</u>		20% of total cover: <u>5</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 42.9% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>400</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W133-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9273960 Lor: -84.3604119 Datum: WGS 8
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Concave depression. Woody encroachment	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>6</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W133_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>65</u>	x 1 = <u>65</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>125</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.56</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W133_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/1	95	10YR 3/6	5	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
6-18	5Y 5/1	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Clayey

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W133-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9274796 Long: -84.3602295 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W133-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carya tomentosa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Rubus flagellaris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>38</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 20.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>90</u>	x 4 = <u>360</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>395</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.95</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W133-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 3/4	99	10YR 7/6	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W134-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9272112 Long: -84.3601850 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W134_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Eleocharis palustris</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Carex lurida</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>90</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>45</u> 20% of total cover: <u>18</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 8 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>80</u>	x 1 = <u>80</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A)	<u>150</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.36</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W134_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	2.5Y 4/1	96	2.5Y 5/4	4	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations. Clayey

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W134-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9273460 Long: -84.3602006 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: ROW, fill/graded between wetlands that divides wetlands W020A and W021A. >3" restrictive fill			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W134-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leucanthemum vulgare</u>	5	No	UPL
2. <u>Poa cuspidata</u>	80	Yes	UPL
3. <u>Anthoxanthum odoratum</u>	5	No	FACU
4. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	10	No	FACU
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>85</u>	x 5 = <u>425</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>485</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.85</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W134-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____ fill
 Depth (inches): _____ 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W136_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9276492° Long: -84.3596183° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width: 40%; text-align: center;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W136_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Eupatorium capillifolium</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Dichanthelium commutatum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ 85 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u> 20% of total cover: <u>17</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ 20 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>85</u>	x 4 = <u>340</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>85</u> (A)	<u>340</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W136_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 3"

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W135-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9276444 Long: 84.3594228 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____		

Remarks:
 Point was taken in an emergent wetland. Connects to W037 Via stream and culvert

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:	Wetland Hydrology Present?
Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <u>X</u> No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata – Use scientific names of plants.)

Sampling Point: W135-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Common Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		=Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Common Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		<u>5</u> =Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Common Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		<u>50</u> =Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>	20% of total cover: <u>10</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Common Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		=Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>50</u> (A)	<u>80</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A : <u>1.60</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
- 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide support data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W135-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-12	2.5Y 5/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: Limestone Bedrock
 Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W136_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9276492° Long: -84.3596183° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W136_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Eupatorium capillifolium</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u> 20% of total cover: <u>9</u>			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>220</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W136_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
3-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
								** Restrictive Layer at 12 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W136-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9279661 Long: 84.3595471 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland. Connects to W037 Via stream and culvert	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W136-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
5. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>25</u>	x 1 = <u>25</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>50</u> (A)	<u>80</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.60</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W136-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 4/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-12	2.5Y 5/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: Limestone Bedrock
 Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W137_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9279730° Long: -84.3595514° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W137_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Barbarea vulgaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
50 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>		20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>30</u> (A)	<u>120</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W137_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 4/6	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W137-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9293146 Long: 84.3569988 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W137-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>70</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>35</u> 20% of total cover: <u>14</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>52</u>	x 1 = <u>52</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>67</u> (A)	<u>82</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W137-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W138_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9367902° Long: -84.3282219° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W138_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Barbarea vulgaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ 50 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>30</u> (A)	<u>120</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W138_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 4/6	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W138-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9290988 Long: 84.3567786 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W138-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>70</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>35</u> 20% of total cover: <u>14</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>52</u>	x 1 = <u>52</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>67</u> (A)	<u>82</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W138-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W139_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9299050° Long: -84.3554156° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W139_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Barbarea vulgaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ 50 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>30</u> (A)	<u>120</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W139_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 4/6	100				Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W139-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9301252 Long: 84.3552949 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W139-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Glyceria striata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>70</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>35</u> 20% of total cover: <u>14</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>52</u>	x 1 = <u>52</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>67</u> (A)	<u>82</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W139-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
4-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W140-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9326098 Long: -84.3505171 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Distrubed near road	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W140_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Coreopsis tripteris</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Carex davisii</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>40</u>		20% of total cover: <u>16</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>
FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>230</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.42</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W140_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 5/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
8-18	2.5YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy clay

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W140-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9325636 Long: -84.3504394 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W140-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Packera anomyma</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Pycnanthemum virginianum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Carex granularis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>45</u>		20% of total cover: <u>18</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>310</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.44</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W140-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 5/4	98	10YR 6/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations. Loamy sand

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W141-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9323223 Long: -84.3507732 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Soils disturbed from road construction	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) <u>X</u> Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W141_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	25	Yes	FACW
2. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	5	No	FAC
3. <u>Pycnanthemum virginianum</u>	10	Yes	FAC
4. <u>Carex granularis</u>	5	No	FACW
5. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	10	Yes	FACU
6. <u>Eleocharis palustris</u>	25	Yes	OBL
7. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	10	Yes	FACW
8. <u>Scirpus atrovirens</u>	10	Yes	OBL
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>		20% of total cover: <u>20</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>35</u>	x 1 = <u>35</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W141_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 4/3	98	10YR 6/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam
2-18	2.5Y 5/3	95	10YR 6/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Clayey

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/18/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W141-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9322515 Long: -84.3510214 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: ROW between road and wetland, disturbed	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W141-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Packera anomyma</u>	30	Yes	UPL
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	25	Yes	FACW
3. <u>Pycnanthemum virginianum</u>	20	Yes	FAC
4. <u>Carex granularis</u>	5	No	FACW
5. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	10	No	FACU
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
90 = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>45</u>		20% of total cover: <u>18</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	5	Yes	FACU
2. <u>Humulus japonicus</u>	5	Yes	FACU
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
10 = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>350</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.50</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W141-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 5/3	99	10YR 5/8	1	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Loamy sand
8-18	10YR 8/8	100					Loamy/Clayey	Clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W142-W
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9332388 Long: -84.3492962 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation X, Soil X, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Disturbed ROW from vehicles. Tire ruts from large vehicles	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W142_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex lurida</u>	5	No	OBL
2. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	5	No	FAC
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	20	Yes	FACW
4. <u>Scirpus atrovirens</u>	10	Yes	OBL
5. <u>Carex granularis</u>	10	Yes	FACW
6. <u>Brickellia eupatorioides</u>	10	Yes	UPL
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
		60 = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover	
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>140</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.33</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W142_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	2.5Y 6/3	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations. Silty clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)
- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Oak Ridge, Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W142-UPL
 Investigator(s): B Schweiger and M Emmerson Section, Township, Range: N/A
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): _____ Local relief (concave, convex, none): _____ Slope (%): _____
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.933297 Long: -84.3490105 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: N/A NWI classification: none
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%;">Is the Sampled Area</td> <td style="width:50%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>within a Wetland?</td> <td>Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area		within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area					
within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>				
Remarks:					

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W142-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Panicum virgatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Andropogon virginicus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)	<u>115</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.88</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W142-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam
4-20	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(MLRA 136)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 122, 136)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147, 148)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- Red Parent Material (F21) **(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)**
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W143_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9337081° Long: -84.3483350° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W143_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Sorghum halepense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>55</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>220</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W143_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	
3-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:

Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W143-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9336790 Long: 84.3482185 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was takem in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W143-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Populus Fremontii</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Alnus serrulata</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>32</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>16</u>	20% of total cover: <u>7</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Adiantum aleuticum</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>65</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>33</u>	20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>22</u>	x 1 = <u>22</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>70</u>	x 3 = <u>210</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>97</u> (A)	<u>242</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.49</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W143-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
8-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Silicon Ranch Corporation State: TN Sampling Point: W142_U
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9339472° Long: -84.3481074° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width:40%; text-align: right;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table> Remarks: 	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are absent

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W142_U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_____
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Vernonia fasciculata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>65</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>10</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>42</u>	x 4 = <u>168</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>47</u> (A)	<u>183</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.89</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	___	No	<u>X</u>
-----	-----	----	----------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation was not present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W142_U

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-6	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey
6-20	10YR 4/3	50	10YR 5/6	50			Loamy/Clayey

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks:
 Hydric soils absent. Organice layer within the first two inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 5/19/2023
 Applicant/Owner: TVA State: TN Sampling Point: W144-W
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and E. Bailey Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9338768 Long: 84.3480085 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Point was taken in an emergent wetland.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>4</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>4</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W144-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>60</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>22</u>	x 1 = <u>22</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>57</u> (A)	<u>132</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.32</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W144-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam
6-20	10YR 4/2	70	10YR 5/6	30	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Silt Loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W145-UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9364976° Long: -84.3432072° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: This datapoint represents upland adjacent to wetland W045			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W145-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Juglans nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Erigeron annuus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Pycnanthemum muticum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Achillea millefolium</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Carex frankii</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>18</u>		20% of total cover: <u>7</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>50</u> (A)	<u>165</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.30</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W145-UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 5/4	98	10YR 5/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)			

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/07/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W145-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9364193 Long: -84.3434933 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: This datapoint represents wetland W045	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) <u>X</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrology is present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W145_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Platanus occidentalis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex frankii</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>58</u> 20% of total cover: <u>23</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W145_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	80	7.5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
6-20	10YR 5/2	60	7.5YR 4/6	40	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W146-UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9379006° Long: -84.3406392° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W146-UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>15</u> x 4 = <u>60</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>15</u> (A) <u>60</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
1. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
2. <u>Digitaria sanguinalis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Festuca arundinacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/07/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W146-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9379724 Long: -84.3407501 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: This datapoint represents wetland W046	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W146_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cephalanthus occidentalis</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Carex squarrosa</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>58</u> 20% of total cover: <u>23</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Scirpus sp.</u>	_____	_____	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W146_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/1	50	5YR 5/6	50	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
6-8	10YR 6/2	50	5YR 5/6	50	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)			

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: gravel

Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
roadside wetland, restricted layer >8"

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W147_UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9381385° Long: -84.3403848° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W147_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Digitaria sanguinalis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Festuca arundinacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u> 20% of total cover: <u>3</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>15</u> (A)	<u>60</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W147_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: gravel
 Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** **No** **X**

Remarks:
 roadside: restrictive layer >0"

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W147-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9380171 Long: -84.3402429 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: This datapoint represents wetland W047	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W147_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cephalanthus occidentalis</u>	40	Yes	OBL
2. <u>Carex squarrosa</u>	25	Yes	FACW
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	25	Yes	OBL
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	25	Yes	FACW
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
115 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>58</u> 20% of total cover: <u>23</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Scirpus sp.</u>	25	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Typha latifolia</u>	25	Yes	OBL
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
50 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W147_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 3/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	
6-8	10YR 5/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: coarse sand/gravel
 Depth (inches): 8
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:
 Restricted layer: at 8"

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/8/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W148_UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9427163° Long: -84.3325566° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W148_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>150</u> x 4 = <u>600</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>150</u> (A) <u>600</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u>Lespedeza cuneata</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Digitaria sanguinalis</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Cynodon dactylon</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
50% of total cover: <u>75</u>		20% of total cover: <u>30</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W148_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: gravel/asphalt
 Depth (inches): 2

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:
 Restrictive gravel layer: >2"

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/08/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W148-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9426922 Long: -84.3327760 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: _____ _____ _____	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) <u>X</u> True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W148_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cephalanthus occidentalis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha latifolia</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carex frankii</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Setaria pumila</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>60</u> 20% of total cover: <u>24</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W148_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-18	10YR 3/2	90	7.5YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
18-20	10YR 5/3	98	7.5YR 5/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)			

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Road crossing adjacent: some parent material in soils from road fill

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/8/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W149_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9449717° Long: -84.3287130° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W149_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. <u>Rosa arkansana</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ 80 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>40</u> 20% of total cover: <u>16</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>
FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>285</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.56</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W149 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Very disturbed

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/8/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W149-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9449536 Long: -84.3287502 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u>x</u>
--	--

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W149_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>35</u> x 1 = <u>35</u> FACW species <u>20</u> x 2 = <u>40</u> FAC species <u>10</u> x 3 = <u>30</u> FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A) <u>105</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.62</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W149_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	2.5YR 4/3	98	10YR 3/6	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	clay loam
8-20	2.5YR 4/4	95	10YR 3/4	5	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Very disturbed

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/8/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W150_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9470560° Long: -84.3254035° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes x No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	<table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Yes _____ No <u>x</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>		
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W150_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u> 20% of total cover: <u>17</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Vitis rotundifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>80</u>	x 4 = <u>320</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>365</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.84</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	No
<u> </u>	<u>x</u>

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W150 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
8-12	2.5YR 5/2	95	5YR 5/8	5	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	clay loam
12-20	2.5YR 4/3	95	5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	clay loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W150-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9471088 Long: -84.3252856 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: PEM, fringe wetland. APT indicated drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) <u>x</u> High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>x</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W150-W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Dicanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Scirpus cyperinus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>67</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>34</u>		20% of total cover: <u>14</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>12</u>	x 2 = <u>24</u>
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>67</u> (A)	<u>149</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W150-W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/2	90	5YR 7/8	10	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W151_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9489162° Long: -84.3226528° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W151_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>60</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>195</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.25</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W151_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 12 _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W151-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9490070 Long: 84.3227228 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>x</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W151_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Carax vulpinoidea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>40</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)	<u>80</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W151_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	2.5YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
8-12	2.5YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W152_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9498660° Long: -84.3209737° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W152_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>45</u> x 3 = <u>135</u> FACU species <u>15</u> x 4 = <u>60</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A) <u>195</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.25</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W152 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W152-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9500470 Long: -84.3210771 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: PEM. APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) <u>x</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W152_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Impatiens capensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
6. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u> 20% of total cover: <u>11</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>35</u>	x 2 = <u>70</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>135</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W152_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
4-20	10YR 5/1	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No _____

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W153_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9498660° Long: -84.3209737° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W153_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>195</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.25</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W153 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W153-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9496022 Long: -84.3208648 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: PFO. APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <u>x</u> Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W153_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	10	Yes	OBL
2. <u>Platanus occidentalis</u>	20	Yes	FACW
3. <u>Ostrya virginiana</u>	10	Yes	FACU
4. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	5	No	FAC
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	45 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>23</u>		20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	10	Yes	FAC
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	10 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	20	Yes	FAC
2. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	5	No	FAC
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	5	No	FACU
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	5	No	FAC
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	35 =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>18</u>		20% of total cover: <u>7</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>245</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.72</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W153_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W154_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9499641° Long: -84.3198318° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W154 UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ = Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>195</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.25</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W154 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W154-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9499555 Long: 84.3198696 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>x</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W154_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>10</u> x 1 = <u>10</u> FACW species <u>20</u> x 2 = <u>40</u> FAC species <u>10</u> x 3 = <u>30</u> FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A) <u>80</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u><i>Typha angustifolia</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u><i>Juncus effusus</i></u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u><i>Carax vulpinoidea</i></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>	
4. <u><i>Toxicodendron radicans</i></u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W154_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	2.5YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
8-12	2.5YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 12 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W155_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9479212° Long: -84.3198790° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>		
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W155_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Lirodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Eleagnus angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Aesculus glabra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>10</u>		20% of total cover: <u>4</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)			
1. <u>Eleagnus angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)			
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>		20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)			
1. <u>Vitis rotundifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 9 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>275</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.67</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	x	No
_____		_____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W155 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Soils significantly disturbed, could not take a soil sample

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W155-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9477420 Long: -84.3199014 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: PFO. APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <u>x</u> Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W155_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Palatanus occidentalis</u>	20	Yes	FACW	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>83.3%</u> (A/B)
2. <u>Eleagnus angustifolia</u>	15	Yes	FACU	
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
35 =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>30</u> x 2 = <u>60</u> FAC species <u>45</u> x 3 = <u>135</u> FACU species <u>15</u> x 4 = <u>60</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A) <u>255</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.83</u>
50% of total cover: <u>18</u> 20% of total cover: <u>7</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Palatanus occidentalis</u>	10	Yes	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
10 =Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Verbesinia alternifolia</u>	10	Yes	FAC	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Dicanthelium clandestinum</u>	5	No	FAC	
3. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	20	Yes	FAC	
4. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	10	Yes	FAC	
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
9. _____				
10. _____				
11. _____				
45 =Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>23</u> 20% of total cover: <u>9</u>				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No <u>_____</u>
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
_____ =Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W155_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-4	10YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Restrictive layer at 4 inches, soils significantly disturbed.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W156_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9474167° Long: -84.3193422° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W156_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>20</u> x 3 = <u>60</u> FACU species <u>32</u> x 4 = <u>128</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>52</u> (A) <u>188</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.62</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Vica americana</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Eupatorium capillifolium</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u>Grass sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
6. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> x </u>
50% of total cover: <u>26</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W156 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 6

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 6 inches.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W156-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9475059 Long: -84.3193784 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: PEM, fringe wetland. APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W156_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Dicanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Scirpus cyperinus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
67 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>34</u> 20% of total cover: <u>14</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>12</u>	x 2 = <u>24</u>
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>67</u> (A)	<u>149</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W156_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/2	90	5YR 7/8	10	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W157_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9421180° Long: -84.3182662° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W157_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex vulinoidea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dicanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>65</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>145</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.23</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W157 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/2	90	5YR 7/8	10	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W157-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9421824 Long: -84.3183153 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) <u>x</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) <u>x</u> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>14</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>14</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W157_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>3</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Dulichium arundinaceum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
5. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 = <u>40</u>
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>70</u> (A)	<u>180</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.57</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W157_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
2-10	2.5YR 5/2	98	5YR 4/6	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
10-20	10YR 6/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W158_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9436481° Long: -84.2931454° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Tasso loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width:40%;">Yes _____ No <u>x</u></td> </tr> </table> Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;">Wetland Hydrology Present?</td> <td style="width:40%;">Yes _____ No <u>x</u></td> </tr> </table>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>x</u>		

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W158_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Rosa multiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u>Pycnanthemum sp.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>36</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>7</u>	x 3 = <u>21</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>251</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.49</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W158 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W158-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9438764 Long: -84.2930659 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Tasso loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W158_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leersia hexandra</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
62 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>31</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>30</u>	x 1 = <u>30</u>
FACW species <u>17</u>	x 2 = <u>34</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>62</u> (A)	<u>109</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.76</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W158_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 6/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W159_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9439498° Long: -84.2887047° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Collegedale silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W159_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Rosa multiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u>Pycnanthemum sp.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>72</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>36</u> 20% of total cover: <u>15</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>7</u>	x 3 = <u>21</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>251</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.49</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W159 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W159-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9440196 Long: -84.2885851 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Collegedale silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W159_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leersia hexandra</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
62 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>31</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>30</u>	x 1 = <u>30</u>
FACW species <u>17</u>	x 2 = <u>34</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>62</u> (A)	<u>109</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.76</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W159_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 6/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W160_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9435195° Long: -84.2874082° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Tasso loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No _____
--	--

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W160_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Andropogon virginicus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Boltonia sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Eutrochium purpureum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>230</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.54</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W160 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-6	7.5YR 7/2	100				Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____ 6

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No _____

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 6 inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W160-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9434266 Long: -84.2873254 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Tasso loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) <u>x</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 PEM

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W160_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Juncus tenuis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
65 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>185</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.85</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** x **No**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W160_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 5/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): 6

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Restrictive layer at 6 inches

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W161_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9436057° Long: -84.2867889° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colbert-Lyerly-Rock outcrop complex, 5 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: wetland split by access road, APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W161_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Rosa multiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u>Pycnanthemum sp.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>36</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>7</u>	x 3 = <u>21</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>251</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.49</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W161_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W161-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9435098 Long: -84.2866496 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colbert-Lyerly-Rock outcrop complex, 5 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: wetland split by access road, APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W161_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leersia hexandra</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>62</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>31</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>30</u>	x 1 = <u>30</u>
FACW species <u>17</u>	x 2 = <u>34</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>62</u> (A)	<u>109</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.76</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W161_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-20	10YR 6/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W162_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9430419° Long: -84.2856752° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Swafford loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: wetland split by access road, APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W162_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Rosa multiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. <u>Pycnanthemum sp.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>36</u>		20% of total cover: <u>15</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>7</u>	x 3 = <u>21</u>
FACU species <u>50</u>	x 4 = <u>200</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A)	<u>251</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.49</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W162 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W162-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9430079 Long: -84.2857869 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Swafford loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: wetland split by access road, APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) <u>x</u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W162_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leersia hexandra</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Dichanthelium clandestinum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
62 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>31</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>30</u>	x 1 = <u>30</u>
FACW species <u>17</u>	x 2 = <u>34</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>62</u> (A)	<u>109</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.76</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W162_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 6/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Disturbed soils due to access road

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W163_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9427096° Long: -84.2817638° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Swafford loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W163_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Ambrosia trifida</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Verbesina alternifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>35</u>	x 4 = <u>140</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.64</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** x

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W163 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
10-20	7.5YR 5/6	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/6/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W163-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9424376 Long: -84.2816725 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Swafford loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W163

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	No	OBL
2. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>2</u>	No	FAC
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>2</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>10</u>	Yes	OBL
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>30</u>	Yes	FACW
3. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>10</u>	Yes	OBL
4. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	Yes	FACW
5. <u>Scirpus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	No	OBL
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>27</u>	x 1 = <u>27</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>2</u>	x 3 = <u>6</u>
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>69</u> (A)	<u>113</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.64</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W163

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	98	10YR 6/8	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
4-8	10YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
8-20	10YR 5/4	100					Sandy	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W164_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9364325° Long: -84.2797118° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W164_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Carex granularis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Dicanthelium sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
50 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>25</u>		20% of total cover: <u>10</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>50</u> (A)	<u>165</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.30</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> x </u>
------------	-------------	-----------	--------------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W164 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	2.5YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W164-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9366170 Long: -84.2795809 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: PEM. APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u>X</u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Hydrological Indicators are present

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W164_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. <u>Eupatorium perfoliatum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
4. <u>Dicanthelium sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>60</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>		20% of total cover: <u>12</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>150</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes	<u> </u> x	No	<u> </u>
-----	-------------	----	-----------

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W164_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	98	7.5YR 5/6	2	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam
6-20	10YR 4/3	90	7.5YR 4/4	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Hydric Soils Present

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W165_UPL
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9470231° Long: -84.2786831° Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Townley silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>x</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W165_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Adropogon virginicus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Boltonia sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. <u>Grass sp.</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>28</u> 20% of total cover: <u>11</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>30</u>	x 3 = <u>90</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A)	<u>180</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.27</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **x** **No**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W165 UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-20	10YR 5/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:
 Disturbed soils

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Anderson Sampling Date: 6/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W165-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9472338 Long: -84.2786518 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: Capshaw silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>x</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <u>x</u> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>x</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W165_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>2</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>1</u> 20% of total cover: <u>1</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cyperus esculentus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Scirpus sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
3. <u>Solidago altissima</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Dicanthelium sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
5. <u>Rubus sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>45</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u> 20% of total cover: <u>9</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>22</u>	x 1 = <u>22</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>47</u> (A)	<u>92</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.96</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes x No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W165_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
6-12	7.5YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
12-20	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No x

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/5/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W166_UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9523601° Long: -84.2835627° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W166_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leucanthemum vulgare</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u> 20% of total cover: <u>17</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex sp.</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Verbascum thapsus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>48</u> 20% of total cover: <u>19</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>90</u>	x 3 = <u>270</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>70</u>	x 5 = <u>350</u>
Column Totals: <u>180</u> (A)	<u>700</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.89</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** **No** X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W166_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)							
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹		
0-4	10YR 4/4	100				Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: gravel
 Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/5/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W166-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9523474 Long: -84.2837045 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W166_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cercis canadensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Robinia pseudoacacia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>40</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>20</u>	20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Carex sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>115</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>58</u>	20% of total cover: <u>23</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>80</u>	x 2 = <u>160</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>160</u> (A)	<u>475</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.97</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W166_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
6-20	10YR 2/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)			

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: Kingston City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/5/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W167_UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5%
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9518643° Long: -84.2831693° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Yes _____ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W167_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Leucanthemum vulgare</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>43</u> 20% of total cover: <u>17</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Carex sp.</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Verbascum thapsus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>48</u> 20% of total cover: <u>19</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>90</u>	x 3 = <u>270</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>70</u>	x 5 = <u>350</u>
Column Totals: <u>180</u> (A)	<u>700</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.89</u>	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W167_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: gravel

Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/5/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W167-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9518342 Long: -84.2831595 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators</u> (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	<u>Secondary Indicators</u> (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W167_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Cercis canadensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>5</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>3</u>	20% of total cover: <u>1</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>
2. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. <u>Robinia pseudoacacia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>40</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>20</u>	20% of total cover: <u>8</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
2. <u>Carex sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>115</u> =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: <u>58</u>	20% of total cover: <u>23</u>	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
	50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>80</u>	x 2 = <u>160</u>
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>
FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>160</u> (A)	<u>475</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.97</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W167_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
6-20	10YR 2/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/6/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W168_UPL
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9494404° Long: -84.2874919° Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ___ Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ___ <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ___ <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W168_UPL

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liriodendron tulipifera</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Pinus taeda</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>15</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)			
1. <u>Pinus taeda</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Juniperus virginiana</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
3. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>30</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>15</u>		20% of total cover: <u>6</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)			
1. <u>Carex frankii</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
<u>45</u> =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>23</u>		20% of total cover: <u>9</u>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)			
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
_____ =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>30</u>	x 1 = <u>30</u>
FACW species <u>15</u>	x 2 = <u>30</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>225</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W168_UPL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: rock/gravel

Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X

Remarks:

Project/Site: KIF Retirement City/County: Anderson, Roane Sampling Date: 6/6/23
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) State: TN Sampling Point: W168-W
 Investigator(s): Michael Inman, Rebekkah Riley Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA 136 Lat: 35.9494465 Long: -84.2876380 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) ___ Surface Water (A1) ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks) ___ Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	--

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>3</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W168_W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Rubus argutus</u>	80	Yes	FACU
2. <u>Elaeagnus umbellata</u>	15	No	UPL
3. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	5	No	FAC
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
100 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u> 20% of total cover: <u>20</u>			

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	70	Yes	FACW
2. <u>Solidago sp.</u>	_____	_____	FAC
3. <u>Carex frankii</u>	30	Yes	OBL
4. <u>Carex sp.</u>	15	No	FAC
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
115 =Total Cover			
50% of total cover: <u>58</u> 20% of total cover: <u>23</u>			

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
=Total Cover			
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

- Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**
- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 - 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 - ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 - ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 - ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
- ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W168_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	wetland hydrology must be present,	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	unless disturbed or problematic.	

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: gravel

Depth (inches): 4

Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No

Remarks:

Soil had low chroma but unable to properly evaluate due to shallow restrictive layer.

Project/Site: KIF Retirement EIS City/County: Roane Sampling Date: 6/7/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Tennessee Valley Authority State: TN Sampling Point: W169-W
 Investigator(s): L Thiem and E Lawton Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5-10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.9442352 Long: -84.3185872 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: NOTCOM NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No X (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No X
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>x</u> No _____
Remarks: APT indicates drier than normal conditions	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>14</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>14</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W169 W

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species <u>5</u> x 1 = <u>5</u> FACW species <u>20</u> x 2 = <u>40</u> FAC species <u>45</u> x 3 = <u>135</u> FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>70</u> (A) <u>180</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.57</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)				
1. <u>Microstegium vimineum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2. <u>Carex intumescens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
4. <u>Dulichium arundinaceum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>	
5. _____	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No <u>_____</u>
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Hydrophytic vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: W169_W

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 5/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
2-10	2.5YR 5/2	98	5YR 4/6	2	C	PL	Loamy/Clayey	silt loam
10-20	10YR 6/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR N**)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (**MLRA 136**)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (**LRR N, MLRA 136**)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (**MLRA 122, 136**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 148**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**MLRA 127, 147, 148**)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10) (**MLRA 147**)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (**MLRA 147, 148**)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 136, 147**)
- Red Parent Material (F21) (**outside MLRA 127, 147, 148**)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No

Remarks:



This page intentionally left blank.



Appendix C

Normal Weather Conditions



This page intentionally left blank.

June 2022 Month	A	A	
Criteria-values are in inches	<u>1st Month</u> <u>Pr_r</u>	<u>2ⁿ Month</u> <u>pr_r</u>	<u>3^r Month</u> <u>Pr_r</u>
	A	A	
	May-22	April-22	March-22
Standard Deviation	1.97	1.84	2.18
	1.83	9	2.61
Normal Precipitation	3.80	7	4.79
Plus 1 Std. Deviation	5.77	5.59	6.97
Actual Estimated Rainfall	5.0	5.0	4.0
Condition (evaluated, w, average)	verage	verage	verage
Condition Score	2		2
Weight	3	2	1
Product	6	4	2
		Sum=	12
Overall Wetness*			Average

A

A



This page intentionally left blank.



Appendix D

Photolog




This page intentionally left blank.

Latitude	36.015845°
Longitude	-85.050127°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022




Latitude	36.014901 °
Longitude	-85.046211 °
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	36.013965°
Longitude	-85.044934 °
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/13/2022



Latitude	36.012835°
Longitude	-85.041765°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	36.008885°
Longitude	-85.031464°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/13/2022

5. W005 (PFO, DP11-W005)



Latitude	36.005536°
Longitude	-85.022705°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

6. W006 (PEM, DP13-W006)



Latitude	36.005558°
Longitude	-85.021606°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

7. W007 (PSS, DP15-W007)



Latitude	36.003887°
Longitude	-85.017372°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

8. W008 (PEM, DP16-W008)



Latitude	36.001451°
Longitude	-85.011965°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

9. W009 (PEM, DP18-W009)



Latitude	36.000731°
Longitude	-85.010591°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

10. W010 (PEM, DP20-W010)



Latitude	35.998941°
Longitude	-85.006072°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

11. W011 (PEM/PFO, DP22-W011)



Latitude	35.996617°
Longitude	-85.002772°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

12. W012 (PEM, DP26-W012)



Latitude	35.994324°
Longitude	-84.995521°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

13. W013 (PEM, DP28-W013)



Latitude	35.993234°
Longitude	-84.992439°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

14. W014 (PEM, DP30-W014)



Latitude	35.993021°
Longitude	-84.992031°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	35.989622°
Longitude	-84.98442°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	35.914149°
Longitude	-84.478822°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.915674°
Longitude	-84.47362°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.916667°
Longitude	-84.471224°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

19. W019 (PEM, DP40-W019)



Latitude	35.917268°
Longitude	-84.468740°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

20. W020 (PEM, DP42-W020)



Latitude	35.917747°
Longitude	-84.467428°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

21. W021 (PEM, DP44-W021)



Latitude	35.919204°
Longitude	-84.464462°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

22. W022 (PEM, DP46-W022)



Latitude	35.919253°
Longitude	-84.462020°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

23. W023 (PEM, DP48-W023)



Latitude	35.919806°
Longitude	-84.460770°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

24. W024 (PEM, DP50-W024)



Latitude	35.920480°
Longitude	-84.457555°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

25. W025 (PEM, DP52-W025)



Latitude	35.926067°
Longitude	-84.438342°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

26. W026 (PSS/PFO, DP54-W026)



Latitude	35.932806°
Longitude	-84.420730°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

27. W027 (PEM, DP58-W027)



Latitude	35.936313°
Longitude	-84.411968°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

28. W028 (PEM, DP58-W028)



Latitude	35.927300°
Longitude	-84.402255°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/22/2022




Latitude	35.927923°
Longitude	-84.403228°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.942531°
Longitude	-84.413743°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/22/2022




Latitude	35.944227°
Longitude	-84.397141°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.944649°
Longitude	-84.396618°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/23/2022



Latitude	35.947278°
Longitude	-84.387772°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.945894°
Longitude	-84.385764°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

35. W035 (PEM, DP70-W035)



Latitude	35.947537°
Longitude	-84.367221°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/10/2022

36. W036 (PFO, DP72-W036)



Latitude	35.947482°
Longitude	-84.366640°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/10/2022



Latitude	35.947204°
Longitude	-84.367025°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/10/2022



Latitude	35.947908°
Longitude	-84.364273°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

39. W039 (PEM/PFO, DP76-W039)



Latitude	35.960537°
Longitude	-84.352802°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

40. W040 (PEM, DP78-W040)



Latitude	35.966534°
Longitude	-84.347361°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

41. W041 (PFO, DP82-W041)



Latitude	35.969650°
Longitude	-84.344710°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022


42. W042 (PFO, DP84-W042)



Latitude	36.023624°
Longitude	-84.295223°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	36.004817°
Longitude	-84.265689°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	36.002553°
Longitude	-84.263139°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

45. W045 (PEM, DP91-W045)



Latitude	35.923792°
Longitude	-84.345068°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

46. W046 (PEM, DP94-W046)



Latitude	35.922853°
Longitude	-84.335932°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

47. W047 (PSS, DP96-W047)



Latitude	35.934151°
Longitude	-84.317672°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

48. W048 (PSS, DP98-W048)



Latitude	35.934044°
Longitude	-84.317455°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/21/2022


49. W049 (PSS, DP98-W049)



Latitude	36.016587°
Longitude	-85.052343°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	36.010617°
Longitude	-85.035754°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/13/022



Latitude	35.997317°
Longitude	-85.003067°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/17/2022




Latitude	35.914236°
Longitude	-84.479094°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/20/2022




Latitude	35.918438°
Longitude	-84.466508°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.918714°
Longitude	-84.464903°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.920114°
Longitude	-84.460673°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.934412°
Longitude	-84.411171°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	36.016987°
Longitude	-85.052902°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

58 S001 (Intermittent), facing upstream and northwest.



Latitude	36.017242°
Longitude	-85.053088°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

59. S001 (Intermittent), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	36.016242°
Longitude	-85.052529°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

60. S002 (Perennial), facing downstream and southwest



Latitude	36.016894°
Longitude	-85.052201°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

61. S002 (Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	36.010006°
Longitude	-85.034433°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

62.S003 (Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	36.014680°
Longitude	-85.046744°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/15/2022

63.S003 (Perennial), facing downstream and south



Latitude	36.010043°
Longitude	-85.034617°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

64. S004 (Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	36.010098°
Longitude	-85.034124°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

65. S004 (Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	36.009634°
Longitude	-85.033713°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

66. S005 (Obed River, Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	36.009846°
Longitude	-85.033946°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

67. S005 (Obed River, Perennial), facing downstream and south




Latitude	36.008851°
Longitude	-85.031672°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

68. S006 (Perennial), facing downstream and southwest



Latitude	36.009218°
Longitude	-85.031050°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

69. S006 (Perennial), facing downstream and northeast



Latitude	36.009227°
Longitude	-85.031219°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

70.S007 (Intermittent), facing upstream and north



Latitude	36.008600°
Longitude	-85.030048°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

71.S008 (Rocky Branch, Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	36.007599°
Longitude	-84.473859°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/14/2022

72. S008 (Rocky Branch, Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	36.005565°
Longitude	-85.021801°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

73. S009 (Intermittent), facing downstream and south



Latitude	36.005382°
Longitude	-85.021926 °
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/17/2022



Latitude	36.003617°
Longitude	-85.017327°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/17/2022



Latitude	36.001255°
Longitude	-85.011934°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

76. S011b (Intermittent), facing upstream and north



Latitude	36.001194°
Longitude	-85.011963°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

77. S011b (Intermittent), facing downstream and south



Latitude	35.999117°
Longitude	-85.007279°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/18/2022



Latitude	35.999488°
Longitude	-85.006714°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/18/2022



Latitude	35.999312 °
Longitude	-85.006735°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/18/2022

80. S013 (Intermittent), facing upstream and east



Latitude	35.998063°
Longitude	-85.003936°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/18/2022

81. S013 (Intermittent), facing downstream and west



Latitude	35.901878°
Longitude	-84.497927°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/23/2022



Latitude	35.906534°
Longitude	-84.492733
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/23/2022



Latitude	35.916005°
Longitude	-84.474219°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

84. S015 (Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.915753°
Longitude	-84.473716°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

85. S015 (Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.915732°
Longitude	-84.473722°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

86. S016 (Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.915642°
Longitude	-84.473581°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

87. S016 (Perennial), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.916161°
Longitude	-84.465167°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

88. S017 (Intermittent), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.925540°
Longitude	-84.438584°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

89. S018 (Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.926028°
Longitude	-84.4387191°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

90. S018 (Perennial), facing downstream and south



Latitude	35.933002°
Longitude	-84.421572°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

91. S019 (Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.932650 °
Longitude	-84.421126°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

92. S019 (Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.932600°
Longitude	-84.421157°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

93. S020 (Clinch River, Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.926058°
Longitude	-84.400241°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

94. S021 (Poplar Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.942529°
Longitude	-84.413655°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

95. S022 (Intermittent), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.942280°
Longitude	-84.413179 °
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.943675°
Longitude	-84.402671°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.949392°
Longitude	-84.388285°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

98. S024 (Perennial), facing downstream and east



Latitude	35.949244 °
Longitude	-84.388697°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

99. S024 (Intermittent), facing upstream and west



Latitude	35.946252°
Longitude	-84.386773°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/19/2022



Latitude	35.945353°
Longitude	-84.385215°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/10/2022



Latitude	35.948884°
Longitude	-84.373873
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/10/2022

102. S027 (East Fork Poplar Creek, Perennial), facing downstream and east



Latitude	35.949174°
Longitude	-84.375342°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/10/2022

103. S027 (East Fork Poplar Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and west



Latitude	35.947679°
Longitude	-84.368716°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

104. S028 (Bear Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.947986°
Longitude	-84.368467°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

105. S028 (Bear Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.947231°
Longitude	-84.366837°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

106. S029 (East Fork Poplar Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.947383°
Longitude	-84.368652°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

107. S030 (Bear Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.946789°
Longitude	-84.363279°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

108. S031 (Bear Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.954155°
Longitude	-84.359213°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

109. S032 (Perennial), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.953796°
Longitude	-84.358701°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.954933°
Longitude	-84.361535°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	35.954958°
Longitude	-84.361309°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/8/2022

112. S033 (Perennial), facing downstream and east



Latitude	35.954950°
Longitude	-84.361307°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022

113. S034 (Intermittent), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.955093°
Longitude	-84.360926°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	35.960636°
Longitude	-84.352962°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.960725°
Longitude	-84.353002°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

116. S035 (intermittent), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.963929°
Longitude	-84.350014°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

117. S036 (Perennial), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.963868°
Longitude	-84.349231°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

118. S036 (Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.969979°
Longitude	-84.344442°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

119. S037 (Perennial), facing downstream and east



Latitude	35.969841
Longitude	-84.343701
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.988194°
Longitude	-84.328281°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.987205°
Longitude	-84.328934°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.987302°
Longitude	-84.329137°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.987438°
Longitude	-84.329577°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.989420°
Longitude	-84.327300°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.989547°
Longitude	-84.327378
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	35.993695°
Longitude	-84.323042°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.994171°
Longitude	-84.323243°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.999113°
Longitude	-84.318053°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.999178°
Longitude	-84.318350°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.999178°
Longitude	-84.318350
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	36.023686°
Longitude	-84.294954°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

132. S044 (Brushy Creek, Perennial), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	36.023742°
Longitude	-84.295646°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

133. S044 (Brushy Fork, Perennial), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	36.024897°
Longitude	-84.292694°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.024555 °
Longitude	-84.292550°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.022324°
Longitude	-84.287646°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/5/2022

136. S046 (Perennial), facing downstream and northeast



Latitude	36.021971°
Longitude	-84.287806°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/5/2022

137. S046 (Perennial), facing upstream and east



Latitude	36.009449°
Longitude	-84.269993°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.009372°
Longitude	-84.270537°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.003203°
Longitude	-84.263526°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.002811°
Longitude	-84.263639°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/5/2022



Latitude	36.002894°
Longitude	-84.263457°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/5/2022

142. S049 (Intermittent), facing upstream and southeast



Latitude	35.923087 °
Longitude	-84.336106°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

143. S050b (Intermittent), facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.922774°
Longitude	-84.335705°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

144. S050b (Intermittent), facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.930662°
Longitude	-84.326232°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

145. S051 (Intermittent), facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.930140°
Longitude	-84.326127 °
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/19/2022



Latitude	35.932383°
Longitude	-84.317354°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/19/2022



Latitude	35.933592°
Longitude	-84.317474 °
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/19/2022



Latitude	35.933405°
Longitude	-84.317652°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/19/2022



Latitude	35.933331°
Longitude	-84.317479°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/19/2022

150. S053 (Intermittent), facing upstream and southwest



Latitude	36.002224°
Longitude	-85.014106°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

151. E001, facing upstream and north



Latitude	36.002048°
Longitude	-85.014121°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

152. E001, facing downstream and south



Latitude	36.001665°
Longitude	-85.012190°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	36.001611°
Longitude	-85.012165°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.993222°
Longitude	-84.992164°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

155. E003, facing downstream and northeast



Latitude	35.992707°
Longitude	-84.992155°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

156. E003, facing upstream and south



Latitude	35.992002°
Longitude	-84.990570°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/17/2022

157. E004, facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.912327°
Longitude	-84.481701°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

158. E005, facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.913840°
Longitude	-84.479815°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.917849°
Longitude	-84.468907°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.917542°
Longitude	-84.468861°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

161. E006, facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.920213°
Longitude	-84.458002°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

162. E007, facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.920158°
Longitude	-84.458075°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.944089°
Longitude	-84.400698°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/23/2022



Latitude	35.949365°
Longitude	-84.376639°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/10/2022



Latitude	35.949365°
Longitude	-84.376639°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/10/2022



Latitude	35.989159°
Longitude	-84.327734°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

167. E022, facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.989461°
Longitude	-84.327435°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

168. E022, facing downstream and southwest



Latitude	35.996467°
Longitude	-84.320449°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	35.996642°
Longitude	-84.320707°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.001940°
Longitude	-84.316245°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022




Latitude	36.001801°
Longitude	-84.316011°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.018182°
Longitude	-84.279217°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	36.018111°
Longitude	-84.279101°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	36.004762°
Longitude	-84.265852°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	36.004867°
Longitude	-84.265729°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.923750°
Longitude	-84.345038°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.923493°
Longitude	-84.345500°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.933744°
Longitude	-84.317490°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

179. E039, facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.933870°
Longitude	-84.317472°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

180. E039, facing downstream and south



Latitude	35.933275°
Longitude	-84.317558°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.933229°
Longitude	-84.317402°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.937105°
Longitude	-84.421119°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.937041°
Longitude	-84.421097°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.936802°
Longitude	-84.421426°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/21/2022




Latitude	35.936822°
Longitude	-84.421291°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.934092°
Longitude	-84.422667°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.934295°
Longitude	-84.422908°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022



Latitude	35.939393°
Longitude	-84.410313°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/22/2022




Latitude	35.939423°
Longitude	-84.411181°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.940538°
Longitude	-84.413178°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/22/2022




Latitude	35.940621°
Longitude	-84.413693°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.941584°
Longitude	-84.409905°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.943471°
Longitude	-84.409786°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/22/2022



Latitude	35.951341°
Longitude	-84.360019°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

195. E016, facing downstream and southwest



Latitude	35.951335°
Longitude	-84.360025°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

196. E016 facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	35.955798°
Longitude	-84.357793°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.955574°
Longitude	-84.357860°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.973284°
Longitude	-84.340817°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022




Latitude	35.973250°
Longitude	-84.340878°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.972470°
Longitude	-84.341382°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	35.972470°
Longitude	-84.341382°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	35.989145°
Longitude	-84.327701°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

203. E021, facing upstream and southeast



Latitude	35.989145°
Longitude	-84.327701°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

204. E021, facing downstream and northwest



Latitude	35.992170°
Longitude	-84.324660°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022




Latitude	35.996648°
Longitude	-84.320731°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.006217°
Longitude	-84.312111°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.005977°
Longitude	-84.311940°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.007512°
Longitude	-84.310343°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/8/2022




Latitude	36.007641°
Longitude	-84.310370°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022



Latitude	36.018912°
Longitude	-84.299864°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	36.018675°
Longitude	-84.299778°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/7/2022



Latitude	36.025986°
Longitude	-84.294582°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

213. E029, facing upstream and west



Latitude	36.015559°
Longitude	-84.275742°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

214. E031 facing upstream and east



Latitude	36.015516°
Longitude	-84.276062°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

215. E031, facing downstream west



Latitude	36.010572°
Longitude	-84.271491°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

216. E032, facing upstream and northeast



Latitude	36.010641°
Longitude	-84.271454°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

217. E032, facing downstream and southwest



Latitude	36.001073°
Longitude	-84.261043°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	36.001073°
Longitude	-84.261043°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/6/2022



Latitude	35.999292 °
Longitude	-84.257671 °
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

220. E035, facing upstream and north



Latitude	35.999025°
Longitude	-84.257671°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

221. E035, facing downstream and south



Latitude	35.923554°
Longitude	-84.335052°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.923475°
Longitude	-84.335078°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/20/2022



Latitude	35.926594°
Longitude	-84.330692°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

224. E038, facing downstream and southeast



Latitude	35.926712°
Longitude	-84.330888°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

225. E038, facing upstream and northwest



Latitude	35.947367°
Longitude	-84.367237°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/10/2022

**226. E039, facing upstream
and west**



Latitude	35.947291°
Longitude	-84.367041°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/10/2022


**227. E039, facing
downstream and east**



Latitude	35.979274°
Longitude	-84.336385°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.979274°
Longitude	-84.336385°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	36.015854°
Longitude	-85.050360°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

230. Upland datapoint taken near W001 (DP2-UP1)



Latitude	36.014894°
Longitude	-85.046911°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

231. Upland datapoint taken near W002 (DP5-UP2)



Latitude	36.014112°
Longitude	-85.045115°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/13/2022

232. Upland datapoint taken near W003 (DP7-UP3)



Latitude	36.012864°
Longitude	-85.041943°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

233. Upland datapoint taken near W004 (DP9-UP4)



Latitude	36.008902°
Longitude	-85.031785°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/13/2022

234. Upland datapoint taken near W005 (DP12-UP6)



Latitude	36.005536°
Longitude	-85.022705°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

**235. Upland datapoint taken near W006 and W007
(DP14-UP7)**



Latitude	36.003963°
Longitude	-85.017567°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

236. Upland datapoint taken near W008 (DP17-UP8)



Latitude	36.001324°
Longitude	-85.012165°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

237. Upland datapoint taken near W009 (DP19-UP9)



Latitude	36.000677°
Longitude	-85.010714°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

238. Upland datapoint taken near W010 (DP21-UP10)



Latitude	35.998358°
Longitude	-85.004976°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

239. Upland datapoint taken near W011 (DP23-UP11)



Latitude	35.994444°
Longitude	-84.995342°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

240. Upland datapoint taken near W012 (DP27-UP13)



Latitude	35.993318°
Longitude	-84.992998°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

241. Upland datapoint taken near W013 and W015 (DP29-UP14)



Latitude	35.992504°
Longitude	-84.991229°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	35.989610°
Longitude	-84.984581°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/16/2022



Latitude	35.914274°
Longitude	-84.478799°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

244. Upland datapoint taken near W017 (DP37-UP18)



Latitude	35.915629°
Longitude	-84.473809°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

245. Upland datapoint taken near W018 (DP39-UP19)



Latitude	35.916664°
Longitude	-84.471434°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

246. Upland datapoint taken near W019 (DP41-UP20)



Latitude	35.917251°
Longitude	-84.469162°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

247. Upland datapoint taken near W020 (DP43-UP21)



Latitude	35.917888°
Longitude	-84.467744°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

248. Upland datapoint taken near W021 (DP45-UP22)



Latitude	35.919251°
Longitude	-84.464184°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

249. Upland datapoint taken near W022 (DP47-UP23)



Latitude	35.919283°
Longitude	-84.462140°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

250. Upland datapoint taken near W023 (DP49-UP24)



Latitude	35.919809°
Longitude	-84.461156°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

251. Upland datapoint taken near W024 (DP51-UP25)



Latitude	35.920282°
Longitude	-84.457697°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

252. Upland datapoint taken near W025 (DP53-UP26)



Latitude	35.925935°
Longitude	-84.437296°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

253. Upland datapoint taken near W026 (DP55-UP27)



Latitude	35.932829°
Longitude	-84.420930°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/23/2022

254. Upland datapoint taken near W027 (DP57-UP28)



Latitude	35.938065°
Longitude	-84.412410°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

255. Upland datapoint taken near W028 (DP59-UP29)



Latitude	35.927724°
Longitude	-84.402632°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

256. Upland datapoint taken near W029 and W030 (DP62-UP31)



Latitude	35.936313°
Longitude	-84.411968°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

257. Upland datapoint taken near W031 (DP58-W28)



Latitude	35.944664°
Longitude	-84.396365°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

258. Upland datapoint taken near W032 and W033 (DP66-UP33)



Latitude	35.947429°
Longitude	-84.387758°
Photo Direction	N
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

259. Upland datapoint taken near W034 (DP69-UP34)



Latitude	35.945929°
Longitude	-84.385159°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/22/2022

260. Upland datapoint taken near W035 (DP71-UP35)



Latitude	35.947282°
Longitude	-84.367398°
Photo Direction	S
Date Stamp	6/10/2022

**261. Upland datapoint taken near W036, W037,
and W038 (DP75-UP36)**



Latitude	35.948122°
Longitude	-84.364633°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.960412°
Longitude	-84.353096°
Photo Direction	W
Date Stamp	6/9/2022



Latitude	35.966790°
Longitude	-84.347306°
Photo Direction	NW
Date Stamp	6/9/2022

264. Upland datapoint taken near W041 (DP83-UP40)



Latitude	35.969641°
Longitude	-84.344462°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/8/2022

265. Upland datapoint taken near W042 (DP85-UP41)



Latitude	36.023437°
Longitude	-84.295530°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/7/2022

266. Upland datapoint taken near W043 (DP87-UP42)



Latitude	36.004747°
Longitude	-84.265611°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

267. Upland datapoint taken near W044 (DP88-UP43)



Latitude	36.002309°
Longitude	-84.263231°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/6/2022

268. Upland datapoint taken near W045 (DP88-UP43)



Latitude	35.923570°
Longitude	-84.344933°
Photo Direction	SE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

269. Upland datapoint taken near W046 (DP95-UP47)



Latitude	35.922947°
Longitude	-84.336163°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/20/2022

270. Upland datapoint taken near W047 (DP97-UP48)



Latitude	35.934268°
Longitude	-84.317287°
Photo Direction	NE
Date Stamp	6/21/2022

271. Upland datapoint taken near W048 and Wetland 49 (DP99-UP49)



Latitude	35.999325°
Longitude	-85.006704°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/16/2022

272. S011 (Intermittent), facing upstream and east



Latitude	35.985475°
Longitude	-84.331274°
Photo Direction	E
Date Stamp	6/08/2022

273. S037b (Intermittent), facing upstream and east



Latitude	°36.018996°
Longitude	-84.299587°
Photo Direction	SW
Date Stamp	6/07/2022

274. S050a (Perennial), facing upstream and southwest





This page intentionally left blank.



Appendix E

Soils Summary



This page intentionally left blank.

Table 7. Soils on the Western Transmission Line Corridor

Soil Map Unit Symbol	Soil type	Farmland classification	Hydric Rating	Area (acres)	Percentage of area
At	Atkins loam, frequently flooded	Not prime farmland	100	5.6	1.9%
Bo	Bonair loam, occasionally flooded	Not prime farmland	100	0.1	<0.1%
GpC	Gilpin loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	8.3	2.8%
GpD	Gilpin loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	6.1	2.0%
HeB	Hendon silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	<0.1	<0.1%
HeC	Hendon silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	3.8	1.3%
JsF	Jefferson-Shelocta complex, 20 to 45 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	5.4	1.8%
JvF	Jefferson-Varilla-Shelocta complex, 20 to 60 percent slopes, very stony	Not prime farmland	0	9.5	3.2%
Llb	Lily loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	41.1	13.8%
LlC	Lily loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	118.1	39.8%
LlD	Lily loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	28.9	9.7%
LnC	Lily-Lonewood complex, 5 to 12 percent slopes, rocky	Not prime farmland	0	13.3	4.5%
LwB	Lonewood loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	2.3	0.8%
RaC	Ramsey loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	1.8	0.6%
RaD	Ramsey loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	4.4	1.5%
RrC	Ramsey-Rock outcrop complex, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	2.3	0.8%
RrD	Ramsey-Rock outcrop complex, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	34.1	11.5%
VsE	Varilla-Shelocta complex, 15 to 30 percent slopes, very rocky	Not prime farmland	0	8.0	2.7%
W	Water	Not prime farmland	0	4.0	1.4%
Total Prime Farmland				43.4	14.6%

Table 8. Soils on the Eastern Transmission Line Corridor

Soil Map Unit Symbol	Soil type	Farmland classification	Hydric Rating	Area (acres)	Percentage of area
AkD	Armuchee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	7.1	0.7%
AkE	Armuchee silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	0.9	0.1%
AmC	Armuchee silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	19.4	1.9%
AoD2	Armuchee channery silty clay loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	0	0.4	<0.1%
AoE2	Armuchee channery silty clay loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	0	2.1	0.2%
ApE	Armuchee-Montevallo complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	0.6	0.1%
CaB	Capshaw silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	6	9.9	0.9%
CbD	Colbert-Lyerly-Rock outcrop complex, 5 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	1	13.6	1.3%
Cd	Cedarbluff loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Prime farmland if drained	2	0.7	0.1%
Ce	Chenneby silt loam, frequently flooded	Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season	5	1.5	0.1%
ChC3	Collegedale clay, 5 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Not prime farmland	0	2.3	0.2%
ChD3	Collegedale clay, 12 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded	Not prime farmland	0	0.5	<0.1%
CoC	Collegedale silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	3.4	0.3%
CoD	Collegedale silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	2.4	0.2%
DeC	Dewey silt loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	23.4	2.2%
DeD	Dewey silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	49.7	4.7%

Soil Map Unit Symbol	Soil type	Farmland classification	Hydric Rating	Area (acres)	Percentage of area
DeE	Dewey silt loam, 20 to 45 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	46.1	4.4%
DwC	Dewey silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	1.6	0.2%
DwD	Dewey silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	2.6	0.2%
DwE	Dewey silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	1.2	0.1%
EoB	Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	3.4	0.3%
EoC	Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	8.8	0.8%
FoC	Fullerton-Pailo complex, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	16.3	1.6%
FoD	Fullerton-Pailo complex, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	50.9	4.8%
FoE	Fullerton-Pailo complex, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	40.6	3.9%
Hb	Hamblen silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, hydric minor component	All areas are prime farmland	3	6.0	0.6%
MnC	Minvale gravelly silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	1.3	0.1%
MnC	Minvale silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	5.2	0.5%
MnD	Minvale silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	4.2	0.4%
MoE	Montevallo channery silt loam, 20 to 35 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	17.3	1.6%
MvD	Montevallo channery silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	0.3	<0.1%
NOTCOM	No Digital Data Available	--	0	663.4	63.3%
SfC	Salacoa silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	10.0	1.0%
SfD	Salacoa silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	1.3	0.1%
Sk	Shady loam, occasionally flooded	All areas are prime farmland	0	2.5	0.2%
SwB	Swafford loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	1.4	0.1%

Soil Map Unit Symbol	Soil type	Farmland classification	Hydric Rating	Area (acres)	Percentage of area
TbB	Tasso loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0	0.6	0.1%
TeD	Townley silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	3.7	0.4%
TnC	Townley silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	0.6	0.1%
Ur	Urban land	Not prime farmland	0	0.5	<0.1%
UrD	Urban land, 5 to 20 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	2.1	0.2%
W	Water	Not prime farmland	0	6.9	0.7%
WaC	Waynesboro loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	3.3	0.3%
WaD	Waynesboro loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0	4.6	0.4%
Ww	Whitwell loam, 1 to 4 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	All areas are prime farmland	0	3.1	0.3%
Total Prime Farmland				29.1	2.8%

Source: USDA 2019a