

**Document Type:**

EIS – Administrative Record

**Index Field:**

Scoping Report

**Project Name:**


Cheatham County Generation Site

**Project Number:**

2023-17

# Cheatham County Generation Site Scoping Report

November 2, 2023



TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY



This page intentionally left blank.

---

## Scoping Report Executive Summary

---

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is preparing an environmental impact statement (EIS) on the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle combustion turbine (CT) plant and battery energy storage system (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and would replace generation capacity for a substantial portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant's second unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The CHG CTs would be composed of multiple natural gas-fired frame CTs and dual-fuel fired (i.e., capable of firing either natural gas or fuel oil) Aero-derivative CTs. The addition of the proposed 400 MW-hour BESS would help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability. CHG would provide flexible, firm, and dispatchable transmission grid support and facilitate the integration of renewable generation onto the TVA bulk transmission system. Additionally, the proposed CHG generation capacity is located in proximity to support and stabilize the Middle Tennessee load growth. The proposed CHG project would also facilitate the carbon reductions as outlined in TVA's *Strategic Intent and Guiding Principles (2021)* document: 70 percent carbon reductions by 2030, a path to approximately 80 percent carbon reductions by 2035, and aspiration to reach net-zero carbon emissions by 2050, based on a 2005 baseline.

In June 2019, TVA published the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which was developed with input from stakeholder groups and the general public. The 2019 IRP evaluated six scenarios (plausible futures) and five strategies (potential TVA responses to those futures) and identified a range of potential resource additions and retirements throughout the TVA power service area, which encompasses approximately 80,000 square miles covering most of Tennessee and parts of Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Virginia. The target power supply mix adopted by the TVA Board through the 2019 IRP included the potential retirement of 2,200 MWs of coal-fired generation by 2038.

After considering various alternatives, TVA proposes to evaluate the No Action Alternative and an Action Alternative. The No Action alternative provides a baseline for comparing impacts against the Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not construct and operate the CTs and BESS on the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County. Under the Action Alternative, TVA would construct and operate the CTs and BESS. The CHG CTs would be composed of 4 natural gas-fired frame CTs and 10 natural gas-fired and oil-fired (i.e., dual-fuel) Aero-derivative (Aero) CTs. To maintain adequate reserves on the TVA system, this approximately 900 MW replacement generation would need to be in commercial operation prior to the retirement of the second CUF unit, or by the end of 2028. Other components of the Action Alternative include the construction and operation of an approximately 8-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and construction and modifications to onsite and off-site transmission lines and substations.

NEPA requires federal agencies to consider the potential environmental consequences of proposed actions. The NEPA review process is intended to help federal agencies make decisions based on an understanding of impacts of the proposed action and alternatives, and, if necessary, to take steps that protect, restore, and enhance the environment. NEPA also requires that federal agencies provide opportunities for public involvement in decision making. One of those opportunities is through the public scoping process.

TVA initiated a 30-day public scoping period beginning on May 19, 2023, when it published a Notice of Intent (NOI) in the *Federal Register* announcing its plan to prepare an EIS to study the potential

## Scoping Report Executive Summary

---

environmental impacts associated with the proposed CHG project. In response to requests received during the public review period, TVA extended the scoping period from June 27, 2023, to July 7, 2023. TVA also held an open house in the project area on June 21, 2023.

During the scoping period, the public provided input to help TVA identify issues of concern and to help lay the foundation for development of the EIS. In particular, TVA requested comments on other reasonable alternatives that should be assessed in the EIS. During the EIS scoping period, TVA received 641 comments from two federal agencies, two state agencies, 10 non-governmental organizations, and over 3,600 private individuals including local landowners. Comments about the proposed project were related to the type and number of alternatives, and potential environmental effects to land use, water resources, biological resources including threatened and endangered species, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, cultural resources, noise effects, visual effects, socioeconomic and environmental justice effects, renewable energy options, transportation effects, and cumulative effects.

This scoping report describes the alternatives to be evaluated, relevant laws, regulations, and executive orders (EOs), and environmental resources to be analyzed in detail. It also summarizes the scoping comments.



# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Purpose and Need.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Project Purpose .....	3
2.2	Project Need .....	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Alternatives .....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	No Action Alternative .....	4
3.2	Action Alternative .....	4
3.2.1	Components of the CT Plant .....	5
3.2.2	Water Requirements .....	6
3.2.3	Emission Monitoring and Controls.....	6
3.2.4	Natural Gas Pipeline.....	6
3.2.5	Fuel Oil .....	6
3.2.6	Transmission and Electrical System Components .....	7
3.2.7	General Construction Activities .....	9
3.3	Other Alternatives Considered.....	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Environmental Review Process.....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Applicable Federal Laws and Executive Orders .....	10
4.1.1	National Environmental Policy Act.....	10
4.1.2	Other Laws and Executive Orders.....	11
4.2	Environmental Resources to Be Considered in EIS .....	13
4.3	Other Environmental Reviews and Consultation Requirements .....	14
4.3.1	Other Environmental Reviews .....	14
4.3.2	Agency Coordination and Consultations .....	14
<b>5</b>	<b>Public Outreach during Scoping Period.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary of Public Scoping Comments .....</b>	<b>15</b>
6.1	Scoping Commenters .....	15
6.1.1	General Public .....	15
6.1.2	Potentially Affected Landowners .....	16
6.1.3	National Park Service .....	16
6.1.4	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) .....	17
6.1.5	Southern Environmental Law Center.....	18
6.1.6	Conservation Groups (SELC on behalf of Sierra Club, Appalachian Voices, Appalachian Mountain Advocates, and Cumberland River Compact).....	18

# Table of Contents

6.1.7 Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation..... 19

6.1.8 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ..... 20

**7 Relevant Environmental Documents and Reviews .....21**

7.1 Programs, Plans, and Policies ..... 21

7.2 Power Generation – Coal and Gas..... 21

**8 Potential Mitigation Measures .....22**

**List of Figures**

Figure 1. Proposed Cheatham County Generation Site and Pipeline Route..... 2

Figure 2. Components of a Typical Combustion Turbine Generator ..... 5

**List of Tables**

Table 1. Laws and Executive Orders relevant to the Proposed Action. .... 12

**Appendices**

- Appendix A – *Federal Register* Notice of Intent
- Appendix B – Notice of Intent Advertisements
- Appendix C – Public and Agency Scoping Comments

# Table of Contents

---

## List of Acronyms

APC	Air Pollution Control
BESS	Battery Energy Storage System
BMP	Best Management Practice
CC	Combined Cycle Combustion Turbine
CCS	Carbon Capture and Storage
CEC	Categorical Exclusion Checklist
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHG	Cheatham County Generation Site
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CT	Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine
CUF	Cumberland Fossil Plant
DLE	Dry-Low Emissions
EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EO	Executive Order
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GALM	Grasslands and Agricultural Lands Management
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
IRA	Inflation Reduction Act
IRP	Integrated Resource Plan
MW	Megawatt
NATR	Natchez Trace Parkway
NATT	Natchez Trace National Scenic Trail
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHA	National Heritage Area
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
PSD	Prevention of Significant Air Quality Deterioration
ROW	Right-of-Way
SACE	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reduction
SELC	Southern Environmental Law Center
SF6	Sulfur Hexafluoride
TAPCR	Tennessee Air Pollution Control Regulations

## Table of Contents

---

TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
TL	Transmission Line
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
ULSD	Ultra-Low-Sulphur Diesel
U.S.	United States
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

# Scoping Report

---

## 1 Introduction

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is a wholly owned corporate agency of the United States (U.S.) that serves a region that consists of parts of seven southeastern states. As a public power entity, TVA has no shareholders and receives no federal appropriations. Under the TVA Act of 1933, Congress charged TVA with advancing the social and economic well-being of the residents of the Tennessee Valley region.

TVA produces or obtains electricity from a diverse portfolio of energy sources, including solar, hydroelectric, wind, biomass, fossil fuel, and nuclear. In June 2019, TVA published the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) and associated Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), which were developed with input from stakeholder groups and the public. The IRP evaluated six scenarios (plausible futures) and five strategies (potential TVA responses to those futures) and identified a range of potential resource additions and retirements throughout the TVA power service area, which encompasses approximately 80,000 square miles covering most of Tennessee and parts of Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Virginia.

The target power supply mix adopted by the TVA Board through the 2019 IRP included the potential retirement of 2,200 megawatt (MW) of coal-fired generation by 2038. The IRP acknowledged continued operational challenges for the aging fossil units and included a recommendation to conduct end-of-life evaluations on TVA's remaining fossil plants to determine whether retirements greater than 2,200 MW would be appropriate.

Based on the end-of-life evaluations, which indicated that the aging coal fleet is contributing to environmental, economic, and reliability risks, TVA established retirement planning assumptions for all remaining coal units. Consistent with the aging coal fleet evaluation, TVA recently completed an EIS for the proposed retirement of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) (TVA 2023). The CUF EIS included options for the replacement of generation provided by the first CUF unit to be retired. In the CUF record of decision (ROD), TVA made a decision to retire the first CUF unit by the end of 2026 and the second unit by the end of 2028.

Generation from the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) project would replace a substantial portion of the capacity of the second CUF unit to be retired by the end of 2028 and would also be consistent with the target power supply mix in the 2019 IRP that allows for the addition of up to 5,200 MW of simple cycle capacity by 2028 to facilitate the integration of solar onto the TVA bulk power system.

The proposed CHG project would be located on TVA-owned land about 3 miles north-northwest of Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee, and approximately 22 miles northwest of Nashville. The total property is approximately 286 acres with the proposed facilities occupying about 75 acres.



## Scoping Report




Figure 1. Proposed Cheatham County Generation Site and Pipeline Route

# Scoping Report

---

## 2 Purpose and Need

TVA's asset strategy incorporates the strategic direction from the 2019 IRP and continues to support affordable, reliable, and cleaner energy for the customers TVA serves. The proposed CHG project that will be studied as part of this EIS is one piece of the overall asset strategy, which also includes:

- Maintaining the existing low-cost, carbon-free nuclear and hydro fleets.
- Retiring aging coal units as they reach the end of their useful life, with the entirety of the coal fleet expected to be retired by 2035.
- Adding up to 10,000 MW of solar, complemented with storage, by 2035 to meet customer demands and system needs.
- Using natural gas to facilitate needed coal retirements and integrate solar expansion as other technologies develop.
- Leveraging demand-side options, in partnership with local power companies.
- Partnering to develop new carbon-free technologies for deeper decarbonization.

TVA utilizes least-cost planning in the development of its asset strategy in order to provide electricity at the lowest feasible rate for our customers. The target power supply mix in the 2019 IRP that was adopted by the TVA Board represents least cost planning.

### 2.1 Project Purpose

The purpose of the proposed action is to provide replacement generation in support of retiring coal units, continued load growth in the Tennessee Valley, and to increase the transmission stability of the Middle Tennessee area to support overall decarbonization goals.

### 2.2 Project Need

TVA needs flexible, firm, and dispatchable power that can effectively replace a substantial portion of the generation from the second CUF coal unit to be retired by the end of 2028. Power with such attributes is also necessary to successfully integrate increasing amounts of renewable energy sources while ensuring reliability. As TVA integrates more renewable generation, specifically inverter-based resources (IBR) such as solar, the location of generation becomes increasingly important for maintaining system stability. As IBR penetration increases, support around load centers such as Middle Tennessee is needed to maintain area grid stability. As TVA retires existing resources, special consideration is needed for the location of replacement generation. In higher IBR penetration scenarios, TVA has identified a need for generation on the 161-kV system in the Middle Tennessee area to support area load and reduce the impact of faults on system stability.

The proposed CHG project would ensure that TVA can meet required year-round generation while accommodating continued load growth, maximum capacity system demands, and planning reserve margin targets. By constructing and operating a CT with a BESS interconnected to the transmission system at the same location, TVA would continue providing dispatchable and reliable energy to the people of the Tennessee Valley at the lowest system cost with fewer environmental impacts than the

# Scoping Report

---

current generating capacity that is being replaced. The addition of the proposed 400 MW-hour BESS would also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as generating assets with greater minute-by-minute variability are integrated into TVA's transmission system (e.g., wind and solar generating assets). The proposed CHG project also facilitates the carbon reductions outlined in *TVA's Strategic Intent and Guiding Principles (2021)* document: 70 percent carbon reductions by 2030, a path to approximately 80 percent carbon reductions by 2035, and an aspiration to reach net-zero carbon emissions by 2050, based on a 2005 baseline.

## 3 Alternatives

This section describes the alternatives TVA intends to evaluate in the EIS. This section also discusses the alternatives that TVA considered but dismissed from detailed analysis because they did not meet the Purpose and Need of TVA's proposed action or were otherwise not reasonable or feasible. Whether these or other alternatives are reasonable and warranting of further consideration under NEPA would be determined in the course of preparing the EIS. Related actions, such as the construction of an approximately 8-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS.

### 3.1 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County for energy generation. TVA would continue to manage this property, allowing a local farmer to use the land and allowing the farmer to manage the tillable acreage on the property until TVA ends the Grasslands and Agricultural Lands Management (GALM) license. Existing conditions (land use, natural resources, visual resources, physical resources, and socioeconomics) on the CHG Site, and in the vicinity, would remain unchanged.

### 3.2 Action Alternative

Under Action Alternative, TVA would construct and operate an approximately 900 MW CT plant interconnected with an approximately 400 MW-hour BESS on the 286-acre Cheatham County parcel. This development includes planting vegetation along Sycamore Creek to create a pollinator habitat to attract pollinator species. The proposed action includes the construction and operation of a natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines. The approximately 8-mile, 30-inch diameter natural gas pipeline lateral would connect the proposed plant to an existing interstate natural gas pipeline. The construction and operation of the natural gas pipeline is subject to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) jurisdiction and additional review will be undertaken by FERC in accordance with its own NEPA procedures.

Natural gas-fired simple cycle (CT) plants have the ability to start and ramp quickly on short notice as well as the ability to provide grid support and follow load. Simple cycle CT plants are composed of multiple natural gas-fired CT generating units. CTs are fully dispatchable year-round with the ability to meet capacity needs during short periods. CTs typically have low installed capital cost per MW and offer flexibility to assist in the integration of renewable resources, which is increasingly important as TVA continues its targeted efforts to integrate up to 10,000 MW of solar by 2035.



## Scoping Report

### 3.2.1 Components of the CT Plant

The new CT plant would be located within the CHG parcel (Figure 1). The Action Alternative would include the following actions at the CHG Site:

- Construction of a new natural gas pipeline lateral and gas system to connect TVA's CHG plant to an existing gas pipeline.
- Pond(s) for holding and treating storm water flow as required.
- A new 16-kV switchyard would be required onsite and would include standard equipment such as breakers, switches, surge arresters, voltage transformers, and buses with connections to new transmission lines and generator-step-up transformers. A new switch house would be constructed within the footprint of the new switchyard.
- Construction of two to four double-circuit transmission lines, totaling approximately 35 to 45 miles, to connect to existing transmission lines in order to interconnect the new generation to the transmission system.
- Upgrades to existing transmission lines may also be needed.
- Aqueous ammonia systems for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) system.
- Fuel oil storage tanks.
- New natural gas-fired dew point heaters.
- Electric and diesel emergency firewater pumps.
- All water for on-site use will be provided by Pleasant View Utility District (PVUD).
- On-site gas compressor.

The major CT plant components would include 10 dual-fuel aeroderivative CT generators, four gas-fired frame CT generators with inlet evaporative cooling and three natural gas-fired dew-point gas heaters. In addition to the major equipment systems, the proposed facilities include plant equipment and systems such as natural gas compressor station, natural gas metering and handling systems; instrumentation and control systems; transformers; and administration, warehouse, and maintenance buildings. A typical CT plant configuration similar to that proposed for the CHG site is illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Components of a Typical Combustion Turbine Generator

---

## Scoping Report

---

### 3.2.2 Water Requirements

Some on-site water treatment would be required to support the facility's need for demineralized water. The CT plants would require potable water, which would be obtained from the existing public supply. CHG would not require any uptake water from Sycamore Creek or discharge into Sycamore Creek. Up to about 100 gallons per minute (gpm) of potable water as provided by the local water utility would be used for inlet air evaporative cooling in summer ambient temperatures. CT compressor washing and turbine power augmentation requires demineralized water. Wash effluent would be collected in tanks and, after analysis, disposed of at an approved wastewater treatment facility off-site.

### 3.2.3 Emission Monitoring and Controls

Operating the aeroderivative CTs would require emission monitoring and controls. Reduction of emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) from CTs would be achieved through dry low-NOx (DLN) and dry-low emissions (DLE) combustion systems and Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR). The SCR system would use 19.5 percent aqueous ammonia that would require an independent storage/receiving system to be installed. Reduction of carbon monoxide (CO) would be achieved using a separate catalyst layer, specifically for that pollutant. The new exhaust stacks would be equipped with continuous emissions monitoring systems for CO, NOx, and oxygen.

### 3.2.4 Natural Gas Pipeline

The operation of the proposed CT plant will require construction of a new approximately 8-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and gas system infrastructure to connect the plant to the new gas pipeline. The pipeline construction and operation would be undertaken by a pipeline company and will be treated as a related action in this EIS.

The proposed gas pipeline system overview map is shown in Figure 1 and identifies the preliminary route of a new natural gas pipeline that would be up to 30 inches in diameter. A portion of the proposed new pipeline would be partially co-located within an existing electric transmission right of way (ROW).

The CT plant would be fueled by a reliable supply of natural gas. Preliminary estimates indicate that approximately 221,000,000 standard cubic feet per day (SCFD) of natural gas would be required for the CT plant. Natural gas will be delivered to the site at a minimum pressure of 610 pounds per square inch (lb/in<sup>2</sup>). On-site gas compression will be required with compression requirements determined by the technical requirements of the frame and aero CTs brands and models chosen. Gas compressors with electric motors will be incorporated during the design phase.

### 3.2.5 Fuel Oil

The design of the Action Alternative will include utilization of ultra-low-sulfur diesel (ULSD), a petroleum fuel, as an emergency backup fuel for the aero CTs. This ULSD fuel-oil would be permitted to be used as emergency back-up fuel only.

Consideration of 'dual fuel' at CHG relates directly to issues of fuel security and resiliency. Resiliency, as applied to the power system when faced with a trigger event (e.g., natural, intentional, physical, or digital/cyberterrorism events), includes two concepts:

- Response: Flexibility of a system to respond quickly to a trigger event; and



## Scoping Report

---

- **Recovery:** Ability to recover to normal operating levels quickly and efficiently.

The combination of quick response and recovery addresses the concept of resiliency, as opposed to reliability, which reflects the need for ongoing and continuous operations.

Natural gas-fueled electricity generation is an important source of energy for the U.S. power sector in general, as well as for TVA. The natural gas fuel supply and delivery system proposed to serve the Action Alternative would be robust, interconnected, redundant, and geographically diversified. The approximately 8-mile pipeline lateral would be buried underground, offering protection against storms, natural events, and physical attack.

The redundancy of natural gas networks, as well as access to the diverse sources of natural gas supply for the generation facilities they will or already serve, provides a highly reliable and highly resilient fuel source for power generation.

Petroleum fuels also play an important role in TVA's generation mix in both combined cycle combustion turbine (CC) and CT facilities as a back-up/alternative option in dual-fuel units. The petroleum delivery system is robust, complex, redundant, diversified, and resilient, providing a multi-modal network that utilizes pipelines, trucks, and storage tanks. When combining the network benefits of natural gas with the network benefits of petroleum delivery, dual-fuel generation plants using ULSD fuel as a back-up fuel further strengthens TVA's resiliency and provides one of the most robust forms of generation on the system. Natural gas units with dual-fuel capability can switch to an alternative fuel if the gas supply is disrupted and then recover rapidly after the trigger event has subsided.

### 3.2.6 Transmission and Electrical System Components

TVA would construct a 161-kV switchyard for the proposed CT plant. TVA would install a 161-kV switch house (potentially including water and septic systems) and station service. TVA would also install fiber, relaying, digital fault recorders, and redundant metering for the proposed plant. All unit substation transformers would be oil-filled; therefore, concrete foundations and an oil containment system would be included.

#### 3.2.6.1 Transmission Lines and Stations Upgrades

TVA would construct two to four double-circuit transmission lines (TL), totaling approximately 35 to 45 miles of new 100-foot ROW, to connect the new generation to the transmission system. Additional upgrades may include uprating, reconductoring, or rebuilding transmission lines as well as replacing breakers, terminal equipment, bus work, or jumpers at substations. Upgrades are typically performed to increase the electrical capacity of the existing TL and would include the following:

- *Moving Features that Interfere with Clearance.* As more electricity is transmitted through the TL, the conductor (the cable that carries the current) temperature rises and the TL may sag. Features such as sheds or storage buildings located within the ROW may interfere with the ability to operate the TL safely and would be moved.
- *Replacement or Modification of Existing TL Structures or Installation of Intermediate TL Structures.* Typical TL structure replacement, extensions, or installation of intermediate TL structures would be performed with standard TL equipment such as bulldozers, bucket trucks,

## Scoping Report

---

boom trucks, and forklifts. The result of this work would be that the existing conductor would be raised to provide the proper ground clearance. Disturbance would usually be limited to an approximately 100-foot-radius around the work structure.

- **Conductor Modification.** Conductor modifications include conductor slides, cuts, or floating dead-ends to increase ground clearance. A cut involves removing a small amount of conductor and splicing the ends back together. A slide involves relocating the conductor clamp on the adjacent structure a certain distance toward the area of concern (i.e., “sliding” the clamp). No conductor would be removed. A floating dead-end shortens the suspension insulator string of a structure to gain elevation at the attachment point of the conductor, increasing a span’s clearance. These improvements would require the use of a bucket truck; disturbance would be minimal and confined to the immediate area of the clearance issue.
- **Conductor Replacement:** If the existing conductor size cannot support the TL’s electrical load, the conductor must be replaced. Bucket trucks or other light-duty equipment would be utilized for access and stringing equipment. Reels of conductor would be delivered to various staging areas along the ROW, and temporary clearance poles would be installed at road crossings to reduce interference with traffic. The new conductor would be connected to the old conductor and pulled down the TL through pulleys suspended from the insulators. A bulldozer and specialized tensioning equipment would be used to pull conductors to the proper tension. Crews would then clamp the wires to the insulators and remove the pulleys. Wire pulls vary in length but are limited to a maximum of five miles. Pull point locations depend on the type of structures supporting the conductor as well as the length of conductor being installed and are typically located along the most accessible path on the ROW (adjacent to road crossings or existing access roads). The area of disturbance at each pull point typically ranges from 200 to 300 feet along the ROW.
- **Adding Surcharge.** Adding rock or dirt (surcharge) to structure footing would sometimes be required when height and/or loading modifications are made to a structure. These changes can create uplift on the existing tower footings or grillage, therefore requiring a stone base settlement to be placed around the existing footings. The additional burden prevents the tower from rising under certain conditions (i.e., weather conditions or conductor loading). Typical installation of surcharge would be performed with tracked equipment with minimal ground disturbance. The stone would be piled around the footings as required. The depth varies depending on the uplift on the affected structures.
- **Modification of Local Power Company Distribution Lines.** Local utilities’ distribution lines can intersect TVA TLs. If the local utility crossing does not have adequate clearance, TVA requests that the local utility lower or re-route the crossing.
- **Fiber Optic Ground Wire Installation.** New fiber optic line can be installed with the help of a helicopter. Designated pull points along the TL corridor are used to set up cable reels of optic ground wire for installation. Pull point locations are typically located along the most accessible path on the ROW (adjacent to road crossings or existing access roads). Modifications to the existing TL are typically required along the length of the TL. Existing access roads would be used for the pull point locations.
- **Access Roads.** Development of new permanent access roads to support upgrades to the existing TLs may be needed. Depending on access needs, existing access roads may require modifications such as brush clearing or tree trimming to allow for passage of equipment and

---

## Scoping Report

---

bucket trucks. Tree removal is not anticipated and if required would be a negligible amount. Modifications would generally be limited to the existing access road area, and, if needed, tree trimming to allow vertical clearance. Minimal ground disturbance is expected in these areas, but, if the ground is disturbed, the access road area would be revegetated using native, low-growing plant species after required TL upgrade work is completed. Areas such as pasture, agricultural fields, or lawns would be returned to their former condition.

### 3.2.6.2 BESS

The BESS would be a 100-MW (400 MW-hour) system and would occupy approximately 10.5 acres. As noted in the 2019 IRP, such facilities typically consist of batteries, supervisory and power management system, HVAC system, and fire prevention system in modular shipping-style containers on a concrete pad with spill containment. Other components include electrical switching equipment, inverters, and transformers.

### 3.2.7 General Construction Activities

Construction activities associated with the CT plant would occur at the CHG site. Related external construction could also occur on the natural gas supply systems. At full buildout, the plant would occupy approximately 75 acres on site, and an additional 15 acres on site would be used for equipment laydown and mobilization. Subsurface piles or other deep foundation systems would be installed to support foundations for plant components, as required.

Larger project equipment could be delivered to the project site by truck. Most delivered items would be placed in project laydown areas to await installation. Any temporary access roads for construction offsite would be designed in accordance with U.S. Department of Transportation and relevant local requirements.

Site preparation work for the proposed CT/Aero plant and associated equipment would begin in 2026 and the plant would begin commercial operation as early as winter 2028. Approximately 200 workers would be employed onsite during peak construction activity.

## 3.3 Other Alternatives Considered

TVA considered various resource types for replacement of generating capacity lost as a result of retiring the CUF second unit. The replacement capacity must be capable of providing year-round capacity as well as serving energy needs as CUF serves an intermediate function. To be viable, replacement generation resources needed to be mature technologies capable of being constructed and installed within the CUF retirement window. TVA considered, but dismissed the following resource options:

- Natural Gas-Fired and Oil-Fired CC
- Natural Gas-Fired and Oil-Fired Simple Cycle Frame CT
- Natural Gas-Fired and Oil-Fired Simple Cycle Aero-derivative CT
- Stand-alone BESS
- Hydro Pumped Storage
- Small Modular Reactors (SMR)
- Utility- and/or Distributed-Scale Photovoltaic (PV) Solar

---

# Scoping Report

---

- In- and/or out-of-Valley Wind
- Energy Efficiency (EE)
- Demand Response (DR)

## 4 Environmental Review Process

NEPA requires federal agencies to consider and study the potential environmental consequences of proposed actions. Actions, in this context, can include new and continuing activities that are conducted, financed, assisted, regulated, or approved by federal agencies, as well as new or revised plans, policies, or procedures. The NEPA review process is intended to help federal agencies understand a proposed action's impacts and thereby ensure informed decision making (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1500.1). NEPA also requires that federal agencies provide opportunities for public involvement in the decision-making process.

TVA is initiating the preparation of an EIS to assess the environmental impacts of its proposed action. TVA is using the input from the public scoping period, summarized below, in developing the Draft EIS. The Draft EIS will be distributed to interested individuals; groups; and federal, state, and local agencies for their review and comment. TVA also will submit the Draft EIS to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), which will publish a notice of its availability in the *Federal Register*. Following the 45-day public comment period for the Draft EIS, TVA will respond to the comments received and incorporate any necessary changes into the Final EIS. TVA will make a final decision regarding the Proposed Action no earlier than 30 days after the Final EIS is published.

The completed Final EIS will be placed on TVA's website, and notices of its availability will be sent to those who received, or submitted comments on, the Draft EIS. TVA also will send the Final EIS to the USEPA, which will publish a notice of its availability in the *Federal Register*. TVA will then issue a Record of Decision, which will include (1) the decision; (2) the rationale for the decision; (3) alternatives that were considered; (4) the alternative that was considered environmentally preferable; and (5) associated mitigation measures, as well as monitoring and enforcement requirements. TVA expects to release the draft EIS in April late 2024. TVA anticipates issuing the Final EIS in September 2025 and a Record of Decision at least 30 days after the issuance of the Final EIS.

In accordance with 18 CFR Section 1318.402(g)(8), site-specific studies associated with the construction of a CT plant interconnected with an approximately 400 MW-hour BESS and alternatives will be conducted by TVA specialists and contractors. Detailed studies and analysis of the proposed 8-mile pipeline associated with the Action Alternative would be conducted by a pipeline company and additional review will be undertaken by FERC in accordance with its own NEPA procedures while reviewing the pipeline company's request for a certificate of public convenience and necessity. The pipeline impacts will be discussed in this EIS as a related action.

### 4.1 Applicable Federal Laws and Executive Orders

#### 4.1.1 National Environmental Policy Act

This EIS is being prepared by TVA in accordance with NEPA (42 U.S. Code §§ 4321 et seq.), regulations implementing NEPA analyses promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500

## Scoping Report

---

to 1508), and TVA NEPA regulations and procedures (18 CFR Part 1318). For major federal actions with significant environmental impacts, NEPA requires that an EIS be prepared. This process must include public involvement and analysis of the environmental impacts of the No Action alternative and a reasonable and range of alternatives.

### **4.1.2 Other Laws and Executive Orders**

Other laws and EOs that are relevant to the assessment of the Action Alternatives are shown in Table 1. These laws and orders may affect the construction and operation of the CT plant and BESS generation system dependent on the alternative selected. They may also prescribe mitigation and minimization measures to implement during construction of the pipeline and upgrades of the transmission lines. The Draft EIS will describe the regulatory setting for each resource in more detail.



# Scoping Report

**Table 1. Laws and Executive Orders relevant to the Proposed Action.**

Environmental Resource Area	Law / Executive Order
Geology, Soils, and Prime Farmland	Farmland Protection Policy Act
Water Resources	Administrative Code of Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), Chapter 0400-04 Clean Water Act Sections 401, 402, and 404 EO 11988 – Floodplain Management EO 13690 – Federal Flood Risk Management Standard EO 11990 – Protection of Wetlands EO 13778 – Restoring the Rule of Law, Federalism, and Economic Growth by Reviewing the “Waters of the U.S.” Rule Safe Drinking Water Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
Biological Resources	Administrative Code of TDEC, Chapter 0400 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act Endangered Species Act Section 7 EO 13112 – Invasive Species EO 13186 – Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Climate Crisis	Clean Air Act EO 14008 – Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad EO 13990 – Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis Inflation Reduction Act of 2022
Cultural Resources	Administrative Code of TDEC, Chapter 0400 National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 (Consultation with State Historic Preservation Office and tribes) Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act

## Scoping Report

Environmental Resource Area	Law / Executive Order
Waste Management	Administrative Code of TDEC, Chapter 0400 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Solid Waste Disposal Act Toxic Substances Control Act
Public and Occupational Health and Safety	Occupational Safety and Health Act
Environmental Justice	EO 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations EO 14008 – Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad EO 13166 - Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency

### 4.2 Environmental Resources to Be Considered in EIS

Based on internal and public scoping, identification of applicable laws, regulations, EOs, and policies, TVA identified the resource areas listed below as requiring review in the EIS:

- Land use and recreation
- Geology, soils, and prime farmland
- Water resources, including groundwater, wetlands and surface water, and floodplains
- Biological resources, including natural areas, vegetation, wildlife, rare, threatened, and endangered species
- Emergency response
- Visual resources
- Noise
- Air quality and climate change (emissions and GHGs)
- Cultural resources
- Utilities
- Waste management
- Public and occupational health and safety
- Transportation
- Socioeconomics
- Environmental justice

TVA has not identified other environmental resources that should be addressed in detail.

---

## Scoping Report

---

### 4.3 Other Environmental Reviews and Consultation Requirements

#### 4.3.1 Other Environmental Reviews

The proposed approximately 8-mile pipeline extension would be reviewed by the FERC. FERC's procedure involves initial scoping to identify environmental concerns, followed by the preparation of its EIS. If all EIS and other criteria are satisfied, FERC may subsequently grant a Certificate of Public Necessity, granting approval for the pipeline project to advance, contingent on TVA's selection of the Action Alternative.

#### 4.3.2 Agency Coordination and Consultations

TVA would engage in collaborative efforts with TDEC and the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (USACE) to address surface water resources. Additionally, coordination would be undertaken with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to address protected and endangered species potentially affected by the Action Alternative. TVA will also work closely with the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to address any potentially affected archaeological, architectural, or cultural resources.

Consultations regarding the proposed pipeline extension would be conducted by FERC and the selected gas pipeline company.

TVA will provide notice to potentially interested state and federal agencies when the Draft EIS is available for review and comment through the Federal Register and via the TVA NEPA website.

## 5 Public Outreach during Scoping Period

On May 12, 2023, TVA published a Notice of Intent (NOI) on its website and subsequently in the *Federal Register* on May 19, 2023, announcing plans to prepare an EIS to assess the potential environmental effects associated with the proposed construction and operation of the CT plant and BESS. The NOI initiated a 30-day public scoping period. In response to requests received during the public review period, TVA published a second NOI in the Federal Register on June 20<sup>th</sup>, extending the public comment period through July 7, 2023. The NOI solicited public input on both the scope of the EIS and environmental issues that should be considered in the EIS. The purpose of the scoping period was to present TVA's project objectives and initial alternatives for input from the public and interested stakeholders.

In addition to the NOI in the *Federal Register*, TVA invited members of the public as well as Federal, state, and local agencies and federally recognized Indian tribes to comment on the scope of the EIS. Information about this project was listed on TVA's web page at [www.tva.com/nepa](http://www.tva.com/nepa).

TVA sent notification of the NOI via email to local and state government entities and federal agencies and posted flyers in local businesses. TVA published notices regarding the NOI in the local paper, the Cheatham County Exchange (Appendix B), which is distributed in Ashland City, Kingston Springs, Pegram, and Pleasant View.

---

# Scoping Report

---

## 6 Summary of Public Scoping Comments

TVA held an in-person scoping open house at the Cheatham County David McCullough Community Room on Frey Street in Ashland City on June 21, 2023, from 6 – 8 pm. Approximately 163 people signed in for the open house with an estimated 200 people in attendance. TVA provided information on the proposed project in handouts and in meeting boards placed throughout the meeting room, while TVA staff were present to answer questions from the public. During the course of the scoping period, TVA received 641 comments from the general public, including potentially affected landowners, representatives of state and federal agencies, and form letter comments from multiple NGOs with a total of 3,672 individual signatures. Comments were primarily from the following groups:

- General public
- Appalachian Voices
- Tennessee Department of Human Services
- National Park Service
- Pleasant View Utility District
- Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE)
- Southern Environmental Law Center
- TDEC Division of Air Quality
- U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Comment submissions are included in Appendix C and summarized in Section 6.1.

### 6.1 Scoping Commenters

#### 6.1.1 General Public

- Support for sustainable and renewable and alternative energy options such as solar, wind power, and geothermal.
- Recommendation for a range of alternative options that consider cost and other factors.
- Recommend assessment of current infrastructure and traffic constraints.
- Concern that the construction of a natural gas pipeline would attract protests from environmental groups, resulting in a negative image and attention to Cheatham County.
- Effects on historically disadvantaged communities and concerns over possibility of utilizing eminent domain.
- Recommendation that the EIS considerations should include potential changes to visual assets; air, water, and ground pollution; demolition, construction, and operation noise; and potential for major disaster affecting the public or the environment.
- Recommendation that the EIS should contain information regarding decibel level for the CT plant and types of buffers that would be installed.
- Concerns about methane leaks associated with natural gas.
- Concerns regarding water pollution and contamination to the Pleasant View Utility Districts only water source.

## Scoping Report

- Recommendation that TVA address and mitigate the effects of climate change in the project area through investments in clean energy.
- Recommendation to include maintenance responsibilities for the CT/BESS facility and the pipeline when discussing BMPs.
- Integration of solar into projects including a recommendation for TVA to consider how the shade of solar panels has been leveraged for other uses.
- Recommendation for additional solar installations, using areas that are already cleared, such as under powerlines, as well as placing solar installations close to points of energy use to avoid losses in transport and storage.
- Recommendations on the incorporation of science-based carbon emission targets consistent with Executive Order 13990.
- Concerns regarding the cost of carbon capture to CC or gas turbine plants and the impact to TVA customers.
- Concerns about the costs associated with natural gas extraction and pipeline spills.
- Concerns about generational farming disruption.
- Recommendation that the EIS discuss the disposal of wind turbines or solar panels after their use, regarding the contribution of heavy metals from solar materials, battery components, and subsequent landfill requirements.
- Concern about the reliability of long-term fuel sources.
- Recommendation for TVA to slow natural gas deployment.

### 6.1.2 Potentially Affected Landowners

- Concern regarding the effect of gas line installation on the farmers in the area with relation to crops, livestock, and soil disruptions.

### 6.1.3 National Park Service

- The NPS provided scoping comments for consideration in the development of the EIS. The NPS does not anticipate requesting cooperating agency status at this time but would like continued engagement to review project specifications as they become available.

### Natchez Trace Parkway (NATR) and Natchez Trace National Scenic Trail (NATT)

- The NATR is approximately 21 miles from the CHG site, and the NATT is contained within the boundary of the NATR. Both of these are units of the NPS. Potential air quality impacts from the CHG construction and operation should be evaluated.
- Section 5 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act could apply to segments of Jones Creek and the Harpeth River, as well as direct impacts to Sycamore Creek. These are segments in the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) and are potential candidates under the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Section 5(d)(1) and related guidance.
- The location of all associated infrastructure, including the pipeline, associated transmission lines, CHG construction and operation, including the pollinator habitat development should be evaluated.



## Scoping Report

---

### National Heritage Areas

- The project is within the Tennessee Civil War National Heritage Area (NHA).

### National Scenic and Historic Trails

- In a letter dated June 16, 2023, the NPS noted that the CHG site is located within about 10 miles of the Northern Route of the Trail of Tears National Historic Trail (TRTW) at its closest point and that the NATT is within the NATR. The location of associated infrastructure and resulting impacts to National Scenic and Historic Trails should be disclosed in TVA's EIS.
- Nationwide Rivers Inventory
- Sycamore Creek, Jones Creek and the Harpeth River are listed on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory administered by the NPS and they could be crossed by the approximately twelve-mile natural gas pipeline that is provided in the Action Alternative.

### National Register of Historic Places

- The NPS notes that the Cheatham County Courthouse, Sanford Wilson House and St. Michaels Catholic Church are National Register listed historic properties within twelve miles of the CHG site, as well as other historic properties that may be affected by the project. TVA should complete compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Section 106 in its analysis of the project, including identification of historic properties and the area of potential effects.

### Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases

- The NPS notes that while cleaner than coal, natural gas-fired generation emits criteria air pollutants and just over half the amount of greenhouse gases (GHG) on a pound per megawatt basis as coal. Natural gas drilling, production, and transport also result in GHG emissions. The NPS recommends that these direct and indirect effects are qualified in detail in the EIS.
- The NPS encourages TVA to increase the renewable component of their generation fleet mix to the maximum extent feasible when selecting power generation replacement options.
- NPS notes that there is an urgent need to reduce GHG emissions and minimize dependence on fossil fuel-fired generation and recommends that TVA address how this action integrates with other related TVA proposals and how power generation replacement options across the entire system are consistent with guidance in E.O. 14008 and 14057.2.

#### 6.1.4 Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE)

SACE provided scoping comments to address the potential environmental and economic impacts associated with the proposed project. In those comments, SACE requested TVA insert the following into the EIS:

- Provide an additional alternative that does not include any fossil fuel infrastructure.
- Utilize accurate assumptions on the capacity factors for the kind of CTs evaluated.
- Include all incentives available through the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA).
- Include potential specific transmission upgrades to facilitate any of the alternatives and any additional benefits these transmission upgrades may provide.

## Scoping Report

---

- Include analysis of how an increase in fuel costs and the variability of fuel costs could potentially impact low-income customers.
- Include the latest Social Cost of Carbon with the proposed updated discount rates of 1.7% from the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

### **Economic Modeling**

The SACE also recommended that TVA incorporate an assessment of the economic and environmental costs and benefits of each alternative by:

- Utilizing accurate cost assumption forecasts from reputable and public resources.
- Consider increasing transmission transfer capability and resources located outside TVA's service territory.
- Model the 2019 IRP for load growth forecasts. If these forecasts are no longer consistent with the 2019 IRP, TVA should wait until the 2024 IRP is completed.
- Model the EPA's proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new natural gas-fired sources.

### **6.1.5 Southern Environmental Law Center**

- Through a joint letter representing the SELC, Appalachian Voices, Sierra Club, Tennessee Chapter Sierra Club, National Parks Conservation Association, Appalachian Mountain Advocates and the Center for Biological Diversity (collectively Conservation Groups), TVA was requested to extend the comment period an additional thirty days to allow the public the opportunity to engage.

### **6.1.6 Conservation Groups (SELC on behalf of Sierra Club, Appalachian Voices, Appalachian Mountain Advocates, and Cumberland River Compact)**

Conservation groups including Sierra Club, Appalachian Voices, Appalachian Mountain Advocates, and Cumberland River Compact submitted a joint comment letter. The main items raised in the letter are provided below:

- TVA must not make a decision to move forward with a major new fossil generation asset without first completing the 2024 IRP.
- 2019 IRP does not provide sufficient basis to support the proposed project or that it is the lowest system cost.
- TVA must address the cost competitiveness of its preferred alternative relative to more affordable renewable and climate pollution-free options.
- TVA must consider in the Draft EIS all reasonable alternatives, not only its preferred action and a no-action alternative.
- TVA must not sever its own NEPA review of the gas plant from FERC's NEPA review of the gas pipeline that the plant requires.
- TVA cannot claim that the project is needed to integrate renewable resources without, at the very least, explaining what those resources are, where they would be located, and how they interact with the generation needs connected to this project.

## Scoping Report

---

- TVA must include GHG analysis that is complete, accurate, and that acknowledges federal climate policy. TVA must follow the CEQ's March 2023 guidance on climate change in NEPA reviews.
- TVA must analyze the GHG emissions of new gas plants in the context of EOs directing federal agencies to prioritize decarbonizing the electricity sector by 2035.

TVA must clearly and completely explain the extent to which it has already taken steps toward building the facilities it has identified as its preferred alternative.

### 6.1.7 Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation

- TDEC's Division of Air Pollution Control (APC) provided scoping comments to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

#### Air Quality

- Tennessee Air Pollution Control Regulations (TAPCR) require that construction permits for the discharge of air contaminants must be applied for prior to any modifications of the land. A Prevention of Significant Air Quality Deterioration (PSD) permit will need to be applied for in order to address multiple pollutants and must comply with Sections 111 or 112 of the Clean Air Act.
- The PSD permit application for proposed project must also address visibility protection.
- Cheatham County is designated as an attainment area for criteria pollutants (VOC and nitrogen oxides for ozone, sulfur dioxide for PM<sub>2.5</sub>); however, the APC notes that the quality criteria for ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are currently under review, and the attainment status of Cheatham County or the nearby area may change in the future. TVA should consider the impact of the proposed source on the nearby ozone maintenance areas in Montgomery Co., TN and Christian Co., KY.
- All stationary gas turbines with a heat input at peak load equal or greater than 10 million BTU per hour of heat input, based on the lower heating value of the fuel fired, are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart KKKK (Standards of Performance for CT's). Affected facilities subject to Subpart KKKK are exempt from the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart GG (Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines).
- CTs located at a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart YYYYY (Nation Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Combustion Turbines).
- The APC recommends:
  - TVA should utilize alternatives to open burning.
  - The APC recommends that trucks with up-to-date emission control technologies and proper maintenance be utilized at the site during construction.
  - The APC also recommends that TVA adopt best practices to minimize vehicle idling.
  - APC recommends the use of wet suppression to mitigate the generation of fugitive dust.

## Scoping Report

---

### 6.1.8 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

#### NEPA

- In light of the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), the USEPA recommends more than one action alternative be identified and considered. Reasonable alternatives could include a combination of peak shaving, increased generation from other production units to include renewable energy sources, energy efficiency, and demand management to meet capacity requirements. Under these requirements, the USEPA recommends:
  - TVA consider and incorporate new and emerging technologies that are more economically advantageous as the result of the IRA since the price of natural gas is projected by the Energy Information Administration to be higher than the estimate in the 2019 IRP.
  - That the EIS identify the timeline in which renewable buildouts will occur and the direct connections between that buildout and planned natural gas generation that TVA identifies as enabling of future renewable energy sources as the gas generation plants have been proposed without comparable renewable energy generation investment.
  - TVA should use the best available Social Costs of Greenhouse Gases (SC-GHG) estimates in the EIS and include a discussion of whether and to what extent the estimated GHG emissions from the alternatives are consistent with TVA taking action to help achieve science-based national GHG reduction targets.

#### Air Quality & GHG Emissions

- The USEPA recommends that the EIS alternatives analysis reflect alternatives consistent with meeting net-zero emissions goals laid out by the Administration, TVA's own commitments, and the U.S. 2030 national reduction target in the Paris Agreement. The analysis should also:
  - Address Executive Order 14057, that establishes policy for the federal government to lead by example to achieve a carbon-pollution free electricity sector by 2035 and net-zero emissions by 2050.
  - Align with GHG reduction goals and policies, and
  - Disclose and discuss any inconsistency of the proposed action with State, Tribal or local plans or laws.

#### Environmental Justice

- Consistent with EO 14096, Revitalizing Our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All, the USEPA encourages TVA to ensure protected populations are not disproportionately or adversely impacted by the project.
- The USEPA also encourages compliance with EO 12898, by identifying and mitigating disproportionate impacts on minority and low-income populations.
- The USEPA encourages the use of the EJSCREEN tool.

#### Mitigation

- Efforts should be made to consider plant designs with increased Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and hydrogen fuel blending technology to mitigate emissions.

## Scoping Report

---

- TVA should consider the evolving technology and commercial availability of SF6 (Sulfur Hexafluoride)-free switchgears and use SF6-free switchgear in new construction and replacements in order to reduce SF6 emissions.

### **Climate Adaption and Resilience**

- The USEPA recommends that the EIS consider alternatives that are consistent with TVA's Adaptation Plan and evaluate how climate change impacts may affect operations of alternatives considered.

## **7 Relevant Environmental Documents and Reviews**

Several environmental documents and reviews are relevant to the proposed project and are briefly discussed in the sections below.

### **7.1 Programs, Plans, and Policies**

#### **TVA Climate Change Adaption and Resiliency Plan 2018 Update (June 2018)**

TVA established its initial Climate Adaptation Statement in 2011, following the release of EO 13514, Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy and Economic Performance. The Statement was updated to its current version in 2014, following the release of EO 13693, Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade in 2013. With the release of EO 13834 in May of 2018, TVA continues to maintain its Adaptation and Resiliency Plan consistent with the Executive Order as well as its ongoing voluntary participation in the DOE Energy Sector Climate Resiliency Partnership

#### **TVA Integrated Resources Plan and EIS (July 2019)**

This programmatic EIS evaluated the potential impacts of TVA's long-term IRP, which provides direction on how TVA can best meet future electricity demand. The 2019 IRP evaluated six scenarios (plausible futures) and five strategies (potential TVA responses to those futures) and identified a range of potential resource additions and retirements throughout the TVA power service area. The EIS evaluated the impacts of the strategies, including generating capacity additions, on a system-wide, non-site-specific basis.

#### **TVA Aging Coal Fleet Evaluation (May 2021)**

This evaluation was performed to recommend near-term retirement planning assumptions to reflect practical timelines for the retirement of coal units and completion of replacement generation.

### **7.2 Power Generation – Coal and Gas**

#### **Geotechnical Investigation for Potential Property Purchase in Cheatham County, Tennessee (April 2020)**

This categorical exclusion checklist (CEC) #42683 was completed by TVA for the geotechnical site investigations completed in 2020 prior to TVA's purchase of the referenced property, now the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site.

## Scoping Report

---

### **Ashland City Abandoned Structure Demolition (April 2021)**

The CEC #44291 was completed by TVA prior to demolition of an abandoned structure on the Ashland City property, now the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site.

### **GALM License Issuance on Ashland City Site (April 2021)**

A GALM license was issued to the prior sharecropper to allow continued farming and other vegetation management activities on the site until TVA makes final decisions on site uses and terminate the license. A CEC (#45068) was completed for the proposed license in April 2021.

### **Cumberland Fossil Plant Retirement EIS (TVA 2023)**

This project level EIS was prepared in order to assess the retirement and decommissioning of two coal-fired units at the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) to be consistent with the 2019 IRP and the Aging Coal Fleet Evaluation and implement replacement generation that can supply 1,450 MW of dispatchable power.

### **Cumberland Fossil Plant Borrow Areas and Access Road Environmental Assessment (TVA 2017)**

This Environmental Assessment (EA) evaluated the impacts of developing “borrow sites” for the Cumberland Fossil Plant from which TVA would get soil that would be used in the partial closure of the Dry Fly Ash and Gypsum stacks at CUF.

### **Cumberland Fossil Plant Coal Combustion Residuals Management Operations Environmental Impact Statement (TVA 2018)**

This project level EIS was prepared to identify the method of permanent disposal of ash excavated from the Main Ash Impoundment and Stilling Impoundment of the CUF.

### **Cumberland Fossil Plant Wastewater Treatment Facility Environmental Assessment (TVA 2019)**

This EA was prepared to inform TVA decision makers and the public about the environmental consequences of developing a new Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization wastewater treatment system at CUF.

## **8 Potential Mitigation Measures**

Most comments received during the scoping period did not identify specific mitigation measures for the Proposed Action. Minimization and mitigation measures were provided by TDEC and the USEPA as recommendations regarding air quality, open burning, and general permitting. BMP guidance will be discussed in the EIS as mitigation for environmental impacts. Wetlands mitigation and erosion and sediment control would also be covered as means to mitigate the potential impacts of the project.

TVA’s siting processes for generation and transmission facilities, as well as practices for modifying these facilities, are designed to avoid and/or minimize potential adverse environmental impacts. Potential impacts also are reduced through pollution prevention measures and environmental controls such as air pollution control systems. Other potentially adverse impacts can be mitigated by measures such as compensatory wetlands mitigation, in lieu fee stream mitigation programs and related conservation initiatives, enhanced management of other properties, documentation and recovery of cultural resources, and infrastructure improvement assistance to local communities.

---

## Scoping Report

---

TVA would implement minimization and mitigation measures in relation to resources potentially affected by the project. These would be developed with consideration to BMPs, permit requirements, and adherence to erosion and sediment control plans. TVA would utilize standard BMPs to minimize erosion during construction, operation, and maintenance activities. These BMPs are described in *A Guide for Environmental Protection and BMPs for TVA Construction and Maintenance Activities – Revision 4 - 2022* (TVA's BMP Manual), the *Tennessee Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, Fourth Edition*, and the *Tennessee Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook*.

In association with the potential construction of an action alternative, TVA would employ standard practices and specific routine measures to avoid and minimize impacts to resources. During development of the EIS, TVA would consider implementation of the following minimization and mitigation measures in relation to potentially affected resources:

### **Soils**

Install silt fence along the perimeter of vegetation-cleared areas, implement other soil stabilization and vegetation management measures to reduce the potential for soil erosion during site operations, and try to balance cut-and-fill quantities to alleviate the transportation of soils offsite during construction.

### **Water Resources**

Comply with the terms of the erosion and sediment control plans prepared as part of the NPDES permitting process; use BMPs for controlling soil erosion and runoff, such as the use of buffer zones surrounding perennial and intermittent streams and wetlands and the installation of erosion control silt fences and sediment traps; and implement other routine BMPs as necessary, such as non-mechanical tree removal within surface water buffers, placement of silt fence and sediment traps along buffer edges, selective herbicide treatment to restrict application near receiving water features, and proper vehicle maintenance to reduce the potential for adverse impacts to groundwater.

### **Biological Resources**

Revegetate with native and/or noninvasive vegetation, including species known to attract pollinator species, to reintroduce habitat, reduce erosion, and limit the spread of invasive species. Follow regulatory requirements and implement best practices regarding biological resources and pollinator species: use of timer- and/or motion-activated downward facing lighting to limit attracting wildlife, particularly migratory birds and bats; instruct personnel on wildlife resource protection measures, including applicable federal and state laws such as those that prohibit animal disturbance, collection, or removal, the importance of protecting wildlife resources, and avoiding plant disturbance; and avoid direct impacts to migratory birds and tree roosting bats by clearing trees and shrubs, as practicable, in winter months outside of nesting season and summer roosting season, respectively. Initial site surveys completed of the project parcel in Cheatham County confirmed that there were no federally listed bats located on the property that would require restrictions on the timing of tree clearing activities.

### **Waste Management**

Develop and implement a variety of plans and programs to ensure safe handling, storage, and use of hazardous materials.



## Scoping Report

---

### **Public and Occupational Health and Safety**

Implement BMPs for site safety management to minimize potential risks to workers.

### **Transportation**

Implement staggered work shifts, primarily during daylight hours and a flag person during the heavy commute periods to manage construction traffic flow near the Project Site(s).

### **Noise**

Construction activities would primarily be limited to daytime hours and heavy equipment, machinery, and vehicles utilized at the Project Site would meet all federal, state, and local noise requirements.

### **Air Quality and GHG Emissions**

Comply with local ordinances or burn permits if burning of vegetative debris is required and use BMPs such as periodic watering, covering open-body trucks, and establishing a speed limit to mitigate fugitive dust. GHG emissions would be controlled consistent with all applicable requirements.

The aeroderivative CTs would also require emission monitoring and controls. TVA would achieve emissions reduction by implementation of DLE combustion systems and Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR). Exhaust stacks would also be equipped with continuous emissions monitoring systems for carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and oxygen.

The frame CTs would also require emission monitoring and controls.

### **Transmission**

TVA employs standard practices when constructing, operating, and maintaining transmission lines, structures, and the associated right-of-way (ROW) and access roads. Some of the more specific routine measures that would be taken to reduce the potential for adverse environmental effects during the CHG plant construction, maintenance, and operation of facilities are as follows:

- To minimize the introduction and spread of invasive species in the ROW, access roads, and adjacent areas, TVA would follow standard operating procedures consistent with EO 13112 (Invasive Species) for revegetating the areas with noninvasive plant species as defined by TVA.
- Streams that could be affected by the proposed construction would be protected by implementing standard BMPs as identified in TVA's BMP manual and the *Tennessee Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook*.
- In areas requiring chemical treatment, only USEPA-registered and TVA approved herbicides would be used in accordance with label directions designed, in part, to restrict applications near receiving waters and to prevent unacceptable aquatic impacts.
- To minimize adverse impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values, the following mitigation measures would be implemented:
  - BMPs would be used during construction activities; and
  - Construction activities would adhere to the TVA subclass review criteria for transmission line location in floodplains.

## Appendices

---

---

### Appendix A – *Federal Register* Notice of Intent

This page intentionally left blank.

generating capacity additions, and coal-fired generation. The alternative strategies were analyzed in the context of six different scenarios that described plausible future economic, financial, regulatory, and legislated conditions, as well as social trends and adoption of technological innovations. TVA then developed a preferred alternative, the Target Power Supply Mix, based on guideline ranges for key energy resources. In developing the Target Power Supply Mix, TVA conducted least-cost planning taking into account customer priorities of power cost and reliability, as well as other comments it received during the public comment periods regarding demand for electricity, energy resource diversity, energy conservation and efficiency, renewable energy resources, flexibility, dispatchability, reliability, environmental impacts, and risks. The Target Power Supply Mix established ranges, in MW, for coal plant retirements and additions of nuclear, hydroelectric, demand response, energy efficiency, solar, wind, and natural gas capacity. TVA anticipates using an analytical approach similar to that of the 2019 IRP/EIS described above. The number of alternative energy resource strategies and scenarios to be evaluated may differ from the 2019 IRP/EIS and will be determined after the completion of scoping.

### Scoping Process

Scoping, which is integral to the process for implementing NEPA, provides an early and open process to ensure that (1) issues are identified early and properly studied; (2) issues of little significance do not consume substantial time and effort; (3) the draft EIS is thorough and balanced; and (4) delays caused by an inadequate EIS are avoided.

With the help of the public, TVA will identify the most effective energy resource strategy that will meet TVA's mission and serve the people of the region between now and 2050. To ensure that the full range of issues and a comprehensive portfolio of energy resources are addressed, TVA invites members of the public as well as Federal, state, and local agencies and Indian tribes to comment on the scope of the IRP EIS, including potential alternative energy resource strategies. In addition, TVA invites the public to identify information and analyses relevant to the IRP EIS. As part of the IRP process and in addition to other public engagement opportunities, TVA is assembling representatives from key stakeholders to participate in an IRP Working Group that will discuss

tradeoffs associated with different resource options and assist TVA in developing an optimal energy resource strategy.

Comments on the scope of this IRP EIS should be submitted no later than the date given under the **DATES** section of this notice. Written requests by agencies or Indian tribes to participate as a cooperating agency or consulting party must also be received by this date. Any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the administrative record and will be available for public inspection.

After consideration of the comments received during this scoping period, TVA will summarize public and agency comments, identify the issues and alternatives to be addressed in the EIS, and identify the schedule for completing the EIS process. Following analysis of the issues, TVA will prepare a draft EIS for public review and comment. Notice of availability of the draft EIS will be published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the **Federal Register**. TVA will solicit written comments on the draft IRP and EIS and also hold public meetings for this purpose. TVA expects to release the draft IRP and EIS in early 2024. TVA anticipates issuing the final IRP and EIS in 2024.

*Authority:* 40 CFR 1501.9.

**Susan Jacks,**

*General Manager, Environmental Resource Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2023-10652 Filed 5-18-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8120-08-P**

## TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) second unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The CHG CTs would be composed of multiple natural gas-fired frame CTs and natural gas-fired and oil-fired (*i.e.*,

dual-fuel) Aero-derivative CTs. CHG would provide flexible and dispatchable transmission grid support and facilitate the integration of renewable generation onto the TVA bulk transmission system, consistent with the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). TVA is inviting public comment concerning the scope of the EIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this EIS.

**DATES:** The public scoping period begins with the publication of this notice of intent in the **Federal Register**. To ensure consideration, comments must be postmarked, submitted online, or emailed no later than June 20, 2023. To facilitate the scoping process, TVA will hold an in-person public open house; see <https://www.tva.gov/NEPA> for more information on the meeting.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402. Comments may also be submitted online at: <https://www.tva.gov/NEPA> or by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information about the project, please contact J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, by mail at 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402, by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov), or by phone at 423-751-2732.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is provided in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 to 1508) and TVA's procedures for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). TVA is an agency and instrumentality of the United States, established by an act of Congress in 1933, to foster the social and economic welfare of the people of the Tennessee Valley region and to promote the proper use and conservation of the region's natural resources. One component of this mission is the generation, transmission, and sale of reliable and affordable electric energy.

### TVA Transmission System

TVA provides electricity for local power companies serving 10 million people in Tennessee and parts of six surrounding states, as well as directly to large industrial customers and Federal installations. TVA is fully self-financed without Federal appropriations and funds virtually all operations through electricity sales and power system bond financing. Dependable electrical capacity on the TVA power system is approximately 38,000 MW. TVA transmits electricity from generating

facilities over 16,000 miles of transmission lines.

### Generation Asset Planning

In June 2019, TVA published an IRP, which was developed with input from stakeholder groups and the public. The 2019 IRP evaluated six scenarios (plausible futures) and five strategies (potential TVA responses to those plausible futures) and identified a range of potential resource additions and retirements throughout the TVA power service area, which encompasses approximately 80,000 square miles.

The target supply mix adopted by the TVA Board through the 2019 IRP included the potential retirement of 2,200 MW of coal-fired generation by 2038. The IRP acknowledged continued operational challenges for the aging coal fleet and included a recommendation to conduct end-of-life evaluations during the term of the IRP to determine whether retirements greater than 2,200 MW would be appropriate. Following the publication of the IRP, TVA began conducting these evaluations to inform long-term planning. TVA's recent evaluation confirms that the aging coal fleet is among the oldest in the nation and is experiencing deterioration of material condition and performance challenges. Consistent with aging coal fleet evaluation, TVA made a decision to retire the first CUF unit by the end of 2026 and the second unit by the end of 2028. Generation from the proposed project would replace a portion of the capacity of the second CUF unit to be retired by 2028. Generation from the proposed project would also be consistent with the target supply mix in the 2019 IRP that aims the addition of up to 5,200 MW of simple cycle capacity by 2028 to facilitate the integration of solar onto the TVA bulk power system.

### Project Purpose and Need

The TVA-owned land for TVA's proposed project is in Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee, which is approximately 22 miles northwest of Nashville. The total property is approximately 285 acres with the proposed project footprint covering a footprint of approximately 75 acres. TVA's EIS would evaluate the proposed action to replace a portion of the 1,450 MW generation capacity of the second CUF unit planned for retirement in 2028 with up to 900 MW of generation capacity from CHG.

The purpose of the proposed action is to help provide generation to support continued load growth in the Tennessee Valley and TVA's decarbonization goals. TVA needs flexible, dispatchable power

that can successfully integrate increasing amounts of renewable energy sources while ensuring reliability. One of the purposes of the proposed action is to facilitate the integration of solar onto the electric grid and thereby advance TVA's decarbonation goals.

The need for the Proposed Action is to ensure that TVA can meet required year-round generation and maximum capacity system demands and planning reserve margin targets. By constructing and operating a CT with a BESS interconnected to the transmission system at the same location, TVA would continue providing dispatchable and reliable energy to the people of the Tennessee Valley at the lowest feasible cost with fewer environmental impacts than the current generating capacity that is being replaced. The addition of the proposed 400 MW-hour BESS could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as generating assets with greater minute-by-minute variability are integrated into TVA's transmission system (e.g. wind and solar generating assets).

### Preliminary Proposed Action and Alternatives

TVA anticipates that the scope of the EIS will evaluate a No Action Alternative and an Action Alternative. The No Action alternative provides a baseline for comparing against the Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County for energy generation. The Action Alternative would evaluate the development of the CHG property for construction and operation of a CT interconnected with a BESS. The CHG property would also include an approximately 13-acre pollinator habitat along Sycamore Creek. Whether these or other alternatives are reasonable warranting further consideration under NEPA would be determined in the course of preparing the EIS. Related actions, such as the construction of an approximately 12-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS. The pipeline facilities to bring gas supply to the CHG property would, to the extent practicable, be located within or adjacent to an existing pipeline right of way.

### Anticipated Environmental Impacts

The EIS will include a detailed evaluation of the environmental, social, and economic impacts associated with implementation of the proposed action. Resource areas to be addressed in the EIS include, but are not limited to: air quality; aquatics; botany; climate

change; cultural resources; emergency planning; floodplains; geology and groundwater; land use; noise and vibration; soil erosion and surface water; socioeconomic and environmental justice; threatened and endangered species; transportation; visual; waste; wetlands; and wildlife. Measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate adverse effects will be identified and evaluated in the EIS.

### Anticipated Permits and Other Authorizations

TVA anticipates seeking required permits or authorizations, as appropriate. The construction of the natural gas pipeline(s) would be subject to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) jurisdiction and additional review will be undertaken by FERC in accordance with its own NEPA procedures. TVA's proposed action to construct a CT and BESS may also require issuance of an air permit under the Clean Air Act, an Individual or Nationwide Permit under section 404 of the Clean Water Act; section 401 Water Quality Certification; conformance with Executive Orders on Environmental Justice (12898), Wetlands (11990), Floodplain Management (11988), Migratory Birds (13186), and Invasive Species (13112); and compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and other applicable local, Federal, and State regulations.

### Public Participation and Scoping Process

Scoping, which is integral to the process for implementing NEPA, provides an early and open process to ensure that issues are identified early and properly studied; issues of little significance do not consume substantial time and effort; the draft EIS is thorough and balanced; and delays caused by an inadequate EIS are avoided. TVA seeks comment and participation from all interested parties for identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action in this EIS. Information about this project is available at <https://www.tva.gov/NEPA>, which includes a link to an online public comment page. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than June 20, 2023. Federal, State, local agencies, and Native American Tribes are also invited to provide comments. Please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection. TVA plans to have an open house on

May 24, 2023. Visit <https://www.tva.gov/NEPA> to obtain more information about the open house.

### EIS Preparation and Schedule

TVA will consider comments received during the scoping period and develop a scoping report which will be published at <https://www.tva.gov/NEPA>. The scoping report will summarize public and agency comments that were received and identify the projected schedule for completing the EIS process. Subsequently, following completion of the environmental analysis, TVA will post a Draft EIS for public review and comment on the project web page. TVA anticipates holding a public open house after releasing the Draft EIS. Open house details will be posted on TVA's website in conjunction with the Draft EIS. TVA expects to release the Draft EIS in 2024, a Final EIS in 2025, and a Record of Decision at least 30-days after the release of the Final EIS.

*Authority:* 40 CFR 1501.9.

**Susan Jacks,**

*General Manager, Environmental Resource Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2023-10651 Filed 5-18-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8120-08-P**

## TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### Pumped Storage Hydro Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is conducting a study to evaluate increasing pumped storage hydropower (PSH) capacity within its power service area. To meet its obligations under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), TVA is preparing a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to evaluate potential new PSH facilities at two locations in Jackson County, Alabama and expansion of the existing Raccoon Mountain PSH Plant in Marion County, Tennessee. Based on the findings of the PEIS, TVA may potentially select one or more sites as the need for long-duration energy storage increases. The PEIS will consider potential environmental and economic impacts from the construction and operation at each site.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments on the scope and environmental issues must be postmarked, emailed, or submitted online no later than July 5, 2023. To facilitate the scoping process, TVA will hold a virtual public scoping meeting;

see <https://www.tva.gov/nepa> for more information on the meeting.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to Elizabeth Smith, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 400 West Summit Hill Dr., WT 11D, Knoxville, TN 37902-1499. Comments may also be submitted online at: <https://www.tva.gov/nepa> or by email at [pumpedstorageNEPA@tva.gov](mailto:pumpedstorageNEPA@tva.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information about the NEPA process and/or general project information, please email [pumpedstorageNEPA@tva.gov](mailto:pumpedstorageNEPA@tva.gov), or NEPA Specialist, Elizabeth Smith, at (865) 632-3053.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is provided in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 to 1508) and TVA's procedures for implementing the NEPA. TVA is an agency and instrumentality of the United States, established by an act of Congress in 1933, to foster the social and economic welfare of the people of the Tennessee Valley region and to promote the proper use and conservation of the region's natural resources. One component of this mission is the generation, transmission, and sale of reliable and affordable electric energy.

The analyses in a programmatic NEPA review are valuable in setting out the broad view of environmental impacts and benefits for a proposed decision such as a rulemaking, or establishing a policy, program, or plan. That programmatic NEPA review can then be relied upon when agencies make decisions based on the programmatic EIS, as well as decisions based on a subsequent (also known as tiered) NEPA review.

### Background

PSH is a type of hydroelectric energy storage that consists of two water reservoirs at different elevations in which water can be pumped to the higher elevation reservoir during periods in which energy storage is needed and then can be released during periods when energy is needed on the electrical grid. PSH is utilized for long term storage to provide for reserves on the grid, use excess energy to store water in the higher reservoir when demand drops below the base load generation, and to support intermittent generation for renewables such as wind and solar.

TVA is planning a substantial decarbonization effort and aspires to be carbon neutral by 2050. As part of these efforts, long-duration storage (8 to 12 hours) will be needed to balance the

daily energy cycle. This long-duration storage will enable additional generation from solar, new nuclear, and carbon capture technologies.

Long duration energy storage, like pumped storage, supports nuclear generation and carbon capture technologies by assisting with load balancing and allowing these technologies to run nearly full time, which is important as these technologies are generally not conducive to following the demand curve and work best when running fully loaded. PSH is a reliable and proven technology. The addition of pumped storage hydro facilities could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability in the future grid with less dispatchable generation and greater minute-by-minute variability due to fluctuations in output from renewables such as solar and wind.

### Project Purpose and Need

TVA is planning a substantial decarbonization effort with aspirations of being carbon neutral by 2050. To meet these goals, long-duration storage (8 to 12 hours) will be needed to balance the daily energy cycle. This long-duration storage will enable additional generation from solar, new nuclear, and carbon capture technologies.

Long duration energy storage, like pumped storage, supports nuclear generation and carbon capture technologies by assisting with load balancing and allowing these technologies to run nearly full time, which is important as these technologies are generally not conducive to following the demand curve and work best when running fully loaded. PSH is a reliable and proven technology. The addition of pumped storage hydro facilities could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability in the future grid with less dispatchable generation and greater minute-by-minute variability due to fluctuations in output from renewables such as solar and wind.

The purpose of this PEIS is to evaluate the potential for pumped storage facilities in two areas in Jackson County, Alabama, and an expansion of the existing facility at Raccoon Mountain and to consider potential environmental and economic impacts from the construction and operation of pumped storage facilities at each site. After the PEIS, one or more sites will be further evaluated, and transmission line siting performed. The impacts of that evaluation and transmission options for the preferred site(s) will be considered in a future supplement to this PEIS.



information on each refugee applicant being referred, claimed relationships of the principal refugee applicant to all derivatives on the referral, their immigration status in the country of asylum, a narrative of the principal applicant's refugee claim, and supporting documentation.

To support PRM's operational requirements to facilitate placements of refugee cases with PSGs (including those supported by specific PSOs), the consortium will share key biographic information of PSGs and PSOs with PRM. This will include points of contact of PSGs and PSOs, such as the name of the designated point of contact along with that private sponsor group member's address, phone number, email address, and other relevant contact information. Information collected by the NGO consortium on referred refugee applicants will also be shared with PRM to enable PRM to determine whether applicants meet the eligibility criteria for access to the USRAP through the P-4 category.

The consortium will electronically transmit biographic information on PSGs and PSOs, along with information on refugee applicants, to PRM's Refugee Processing Center (RPC) through secure means. Biographic information on PSGs and PSOs will enable PRM to facilitate the matching of approved refugee applicants with approved PSGs or PSOs and to track the placement of refugee applicants, similarly to how PRM facilitates and tracks placement of refugee applicants supported by PRM's funded resettlement agency partners through the R&P Program. This will enable PRM to have a record of the relevant point of contact for each resettled refugee case supported by a PSG or PSO through the Welcome Corps.

The information on refugee applicants will enable PRM to assess the eligibility of referred applicants to access the USRAP through the P-4 category. Those meeting the eligibility criteria will be granted access to the USRAP for further processing. Referred refugee applicants approved for resettlement in the United States will be served by the private sponsors who referred them.

**Sarah R. Cross,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13041 Filed 6-16-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-33-P**

## TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is announcing an extension of the public comment period on the Cheatham County Generation Site Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2023, announcing a 30-day comment period closing on June 20, 2023. This notice serves to extend the comment period by 7 days until June 27, 2023.

**DATES:** The comment period for the Notice of Intent published May 19, 2023, at 88 FR 32267, is extended to June 27, 2023. Comments must be postmarked, emailed, or submitted online no later than June 27, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments can be submitted by one of the following methods:

1. *TVA's NEPA website:* <https://www.tva.gov/nepa>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments electronically on the website.
2. *Email:* [NEPA@tva.gov](mailto:NEPA@tva.gov).
3. *Mail comments to:* J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information about the project, please contact J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, by mail at 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402, by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov), or by phone at 423-751-2732.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) second unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The CHG CTs would be composed of multiple natural gas-fired frame CTs and natural gas-fired and oil-fired (*i.e.*, dual-fuel) Aeroderivative CTs. CHG would provide flexible and dispatchable transmission grid support and facilitate the integration of renewable generation

onto the TVA bulk transmission system, consistent with the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan. TVA is inviting public comment concerning the scope of the EIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this EIS.

On May 19, 2023, TVA published a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The open house that TVA planned to have on May 24, 2023, has been rescheduled and will be held on June 21, 2023. Because of the rescheduling of the open house, the comment period will remain open until June 27, 2023, so commenters have a week after the open house to provide their comments. The TVA website listed above contains relevant information about the open house and the proposed project. TVA urges the public to review this information on the website prior to submitting comments.

**Susan Jacks,**

*General Manager, Environmental Resource Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13116 Filed 6-16-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8120-08-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Commercial Space Transportation Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a meeting of the Commercial Space Transportation Advisory Committee.

**DATES:** The meeting will take place on July 11, 2023 from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Instructions on how to virtually attend the meeting, copies of meeting minutes, and a detailed agenda will be posted on the COMSTAC website at: [https://www.faa.gov/space/additional\\_information/comstac/](https://www.faa.gov/space/additional_information/comstac/).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Hatt, Designated Federal Officer, U.S. Department of Transportation, at [james.a.hatt@faa.gov](mailto:james.a.hatt@faa.gov) or 202-549-2325. Any committee related request should be sent to the person listed in this section.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

individual accounting system. (Generally, railroads will know whether they are close to changing among the three classifications.)

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Total Annual Hour Burden:* Less than 24 hours annually.

*Total Annual "Non-Hour Burden"*

*Cost:* The Classification Index Survey Form may be submitted electronically without non-hourly costs.

*Needs and Uses:* Railroads are classified by size into groups of large, medium, and small carriers. The Board must determine the classification of each rail carrier as a Class I, Class II, or Class III railroad. Railroads with a Class I classification (large railroads) must file Annual Reports (Form R-1) under 49 U.S.C. 11145. See OMB Control Number 2140-0009 (Class I Railroad Annual Reports). These reports are used by the Board, other Federal agencies, and industry groups to monitor and assess railroad industry growth, financial stability, traffic, and operations, and to identify industry changes that may affect national transportation policy. All other railroads (those not required to file an (R-1) Annual Report) shall annually compute their adjusted revenues using the "railroad revenue deflator formula," and, if the computation derived from that formula indicates a change in a carrier's classification, that carrier must submit a "Classification Index Survey Form" to the Board pursuant to 49 CFR part 1201 General Instructions 1-1 and § 1241.15.

Under the PRA, a Federal agency that conducts or sponsors a collection of information must display a currently valid OMB control number. A collection of information, which is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c), includes agency requirements that persons submit reports, keep records, or provide information to the agency, third parties, or the public. Section 3507(b) of the PRA requires, concurrent with an agency's submitting a collection to OMB for approval, a 30-day notice and comment period through publication in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information.

Dated: June 26, 2023.

**Kenyatta Clay,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13919 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## **SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD**

[Docket No. FD 36709]

### **Naugatuck Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Boston & Maine Corporation and Springfield Terminal Railway Company**

Naugatuck Railroad Company (NAUG), a Class III rail carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.41 to lease from Boston & Maine Corporation (B&M) and acquire the right to operate from Springfield Terminal Railway Company (STR) as a common carrier over approximately 1,330 feet of rail line currently owned by B&M and operated by STR between a connection to the Torrington Branch at engineering station 0+00 and engineering station 13+30, all in New Haven County, Conn. (the Line).

According to the verified notice, NAUG has entered into a land and rail assets lease agreement with CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT), on behalf of B&M and STR, which are both subsidiaries of CSXT. The verified notice states that, upon consummation of the agreement, NAUG will lease and operate the Line. NAUG states that it currently operates over the Torrington Branch, an approximately 19.6-mile rail line that extends between NAUG milepost 0.0 in Waterbury, Conn., and NAUG milepost 19.6 in Torrington, Conn. See *Naugatuck R.R.—Operation Exemption—State of Conn.*, FD 32793 (STB served Jan. 25, 1996).

NAUG certifies that its projected annual freight revenues will not result in the creation of a Class I or Class II rail carrier and will not exceed \$5 million. NAUG also certifies that the agreement does not include an interchange commitment.

The transaction may be consummated on or after July 14, 2023, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the verified notice was filed).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than July 7, 2023 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

All pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36709, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board either via e-filing on the Board's website or in writing addressed to 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on NAUG's representative,

John R. Gamm, John R. Gamm LLC, 32 Dutton Place Way, Glastonbury, CT 06033.

According to NAUG, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c) and from historic preservation reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.8(b).

Board decisions and notices are available at [www.stb.gov](http://www.stb.gov).

Decided: June 26, 2023.

By the Board, Scott M. Zimmerman, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Tammy Lowery,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13938 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## **TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

### **Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement**

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is announcing a second extension of the public comment period on the Cheatham County Generation Site Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2023, announcing a 30-day comment period closing on June 20, 2023. TVA extended the comment period by 7 days until June 27, 2023. TVA is now extending the public comment period to July 7, 2023.

**DATES:** The comment period for the Notice of Intent published May 19, 2023, at 88 FR 32267, is extended to July 7, 2023. Comments must be postmarked, emailed, or submitted online no later than July 7, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments can be submitted by one of the following methods:

1. *Online:* TVA's NEPA website: <http://www.tva.gov/nepa>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments electronically on the website.

2. *Email:* [NEPA@tva.gov](mailto:NEPA@tva.gov).

3. *Mail:* J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information about the project, please contact J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, by mail at 1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402, by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov), or by phone at 423-751-2732.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) second unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The CHG CTs would be composed of multiple natural gas-fired frame CTs and natural gas-fired and oil-fired (*i.e.*, dual-fuel) Aeroderivative CTs. CHG would provide flexible and dispatchable transmission grid support and facilitate the integration of renewable generation onto the TVA bulk transmission system, consistent with the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan. TVA is inviting public comment concerning the scope of the EIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this EIS.

On May 19, 2023, TVA published a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. On June 20, 2023, TVA extended the public comment period to June 27, 2023 (88 FR 39903). On June 21, 2023, TVA held a public open house. Due to public interest and multiple requests, TVA is extending the public comment period until July 7, 2023. The extension will allow the public more time after the June 21st open house to provide their written comments. The TVA website listed above contains relevant information about the proposed project. TVA urges the public to review this information on the website prior to submitting comments.

**Susan Jacks,**

*General Manager, Environmental Resource Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13963 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8120-08-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Request To Release Airport Property at the Dona Ana County International Jetport, Santa Teresa, New Mexico; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of March 20, 2023, concerning request for comments on specifications for the notice of intent to rule on request to release airport property at the Dona Ana County International Jetport, Santa Teresa, New Mexico. The document contained incorrect acreage in the supplementary information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Heidi Higginbotham, Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Louisiana/New Mexico Airports District Office, Telephone (817) 222-5144.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of March 20, 2023, in FR Doc. 2023-05548, on page 16709, in the second column, correct the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** caption to read:

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The County of Dona Ana requests the release of 12.027 acres of land requested which consists of two parcels of vacant land with a combined land area. Parcel #1 contains 11.95 acres and is triangular. Parcel #2 contains 0.077-acres and is triangular. The land was acquired by Deed conveyed to the city from the United States of America Bureau of Land Management Section 23 of the Airport and Airway development Act of 1970. The land proposed for release will be swapped for 5.68 acres by Paseo Del Norte, LLC. The property to be released will ultimately be sold to Franklin Mountain for the development of a logistics park. The benefit to civil aviation has long-term impacts from the logistics park with plans to construct an air cargo handling facility.

**D. Cameron Bryan,**

*Acting Director, Office of Airports, Southwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13443 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2008-0355; FMCSA-2011-0089; FMCSA-2014-0382; FMCSA-2015-0323; FMCSA-2018-0057; FMCSA-2019-0028; FMCSA-2019-0029; FMCSA-2021-0025]

#### Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Epilepsy and Seizure Disorders

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal of exemptions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** FMCSA announces its decision to renew exemptions for 10 individuals from the requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) that interstate commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers have “no established medical history or clinical diagnosis of epilepsy or any other condition which is likely to cause loss of consciousness or any loss of ability to control a CMV.” The exemptions enable these individuals who have had one or more seizures and are taking anti-seizure medication to continue to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

**DATES:** Each group of renewed exemptions were applicable on the dates stated in the discussions below and will expire on the dates stated in the discussions below. Comments must be received on or before July 31, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by the Federal Docket Management System Docket No. FMCSA-2008-0355, Docket No. FMCSA-2011-0089, Docket No. FMCSA-2014-0382, Docket No. FMCSA-2015-0323, Docket No. FMCSA-2018-0057, Docket No. FMCSA-2019-0028, Docket No. FMCSA-2019-0029, or Docket No. FMCSA-2021-0025 using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to [www.regulations.gov/](http://www.regulations.gov/), insert the docket number (FMCSA-2008-0355, FMCSA-2011-0089, FMCSA-2014-0382, FMCSA-2015-0323, FMCSA-2018-0057, FMCSA-2019-0028, FMCSA-2019-0029, or FMCSA-2021-0025) in the keyword box and click “Search.” Next, sort the results by “Posted (Newer-Older),” choose the first notice listed, and click on the “Comment” button. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Dockets Operations; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200

## Appendices

---

---

### Appendix B – Notice of Intent Advertisements

This page intentionally left blank.

# Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement




The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) has published a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to assess the potential impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a 286-acre parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) second Unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The addition of the proposed 400 MW-hour BESS could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as generating assets with greater minute-by-minute variability are integrated into TVA's transmission system (e.g. wind and solar generating assets).

Alternatives to be evaluated in detail include: No Action Alternative – TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham county for energy generation; Action Alternative – TVA would evaluate the potential development of the Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) property for construction and operation of Combustion Turbine (CT) gas plant with both simple cycle and dual-fuel Aeroderivative (Aero) Combustion Turbines paired with a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). A potential 13-acre pollinator habitat would also be included in this alternative. Potential related actions, such as natural gas pipeline facilities and transmission upgrades, would also be considered in this assessment as necessary of any particular alternative.

## Upcoming National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Events and Milestones:

- Scoping Comment Period: Comments may be submitted on our website at [www.tva.com/nepa](http://www.tva.com/nepa), by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov), or in writing to the address listed below. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than **June 27, 2023**.
- J. Taylor Johnson  
1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C  
Chattanooga, TN 37402
- In-person Scoping Open House on **June 21, 2023** from **6:00 - 8:00 pm Central Time**  
Cheatham County David McCullough Community Room  
334 Frey Street  
Ashland City, TN 37015
- Completion of the Draft EIS is planned for mid-2024



FOR FURTHER PROJECT INFORMATION PLEASE VISIT:

[www.tva.com/nepa](http://www.tva.com/nepa)



TENNESSEE  
VALLEY  
AUTHORITY



# Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement

*TVA values input from the communities we serve. To allow more time for discussion and comment on the scope of this Environmental Impact Statement, we are extending the comment period through July 7, 2023. We want to hear from you and appreciate your time.*



The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) has published a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to assess the potential impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a 286-acre parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would generate approximately 900 Megawatts (MW) and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) second Unit retirement planned by the end of 2028. The addition of the proposed 400 MW-hour BESS could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as generating assets with greater minute-by-minute variability are integrated into TVA's transmission system (e.g. wind and solar generating assets).

Alternatives to be evaluated in detail include: No Action Alternative – TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham county for energy generation; Action Alternative – TVA would evaluate the potential development of the Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) property for construction and operation of Combustion Turbine (CT) gas plant with both simple cycle and dual-fuel Aeroderivative (Aero) Combustion Turbines paired with a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). A potential 13-acre pollinator habitat would also be included in this alternative. Potential related actions, such as natural gas pipeline facilities and transmission upgrades, would also be considered in this assessment as necessary of any particular alternative.

## Upcoming National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Events and Milestones:

- Scoping Comment Period: Comments may be submitted on our website at [www.tva.com/nepa](http://www.tva.com/nepa), by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov), or in writing to the address listed below. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than **July 7, 2023**.
- J. Taylor Johnson  
1101 Market Street, BR 2C-C  
Chattanooga, TN 37402
- In-person Scoping Open House was held on **June 21, 2023**, from **6 - 8 pm** Central Time at the Cheatham County David McCullough Community Room
- Completion of the Draft EIS is planned for mid-2024



FOR FURTHER PROJECT INFORMATION PLEASE VISIT:

**[www.tva.com/nepa](http://www.tva.com/nepa)**



**TENNESSEE  
VALLEY  
AUTHORITY**

# Notice of Intent

## Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement

TVA requests comments on the Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The comment period ends June 20, 2023.

The purpose of the proposed action is to help provide generation to support continued load growth in the Tennessee Valley and TVA's decarbonization goals. TVA needs flexible, dispatchable power that can successfully integrate increasing amounts of renewable energy sources while ensuring reliability. One of the purposes of the proposed action is to facilitate the integration of solar onto the electric grid and thereby advance TVA's decarbonation goals. The proposed action would also replace a portion of the 1,450 MW generation capacity of the second Cumberland Fossil unit, which is planned for retirement by the end of 2028. The CHG replacement generation capacity would be up to 900 MW interconnected with a 400 MW-hour BESS.

TVA anticipates that the scope of the EIS will evaluate a No Action Alternative and an Action Alternative. The No Action alternative provides a baseline for comparing against the Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County for energy generation. The Action Alternative would evaluate the development of the CHG property for construction and operation of a CT interconnected with a BESS. The CHG property would also include an approximately 13-acre Pollinator Habitat along Sycamore Creek. Whether these or other alternatives are reasonable warranting further consideration under NEPA would be determined in the course of preparing the EIS. Related actions, such as the construction of an approximately 12-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS. The pipeline facilities to bring gas supply to the CHG property would, to the extent practicable, be located within or adjacent to an existing pipeline right of way.

TVA seeks comment and participation from all interested parties for identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action in this EIS. Written comments should be sent to J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 1101 Market Street BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402.

Comments may also be submitted online at: <https://tva.com/nepa> or by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov)

Information about this project is available at <https://www.tva.com/nepa>, which includes a link to an online public comment page. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than June 20, 2023. Federal, state, local agencies, and Native American Tribes are also invited to provide comments. Please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection.

TVA will hold an in-person public open house; see <https://tva.com/nepa> for more information on the meeting.



TENNESSEE  
VALLEY  
AUTHORITY



# Pleasant View Athletic Association names its All-Star softball teams

**CASEY PATRICK**  
*Cheatham County Exchange*

The Pleasant View Athletic Association has named its three youth softball teams that will compete in the All-Star district tournaments beginning last week for the 6-under team and this week for the other two teams.

The 6-under team, which started its tournament last Saturday at St. Bethlehem in Clarksville, is comprised of Kennedy Armstrong, Maci Brown, Ramona Carlson, Leah Floyd, Emilia Johnson, Randi Pedigo, Ayvah Petre, Laiklynn Senn, Jaylee Uhles, Aubrey Blackwell and Harper Toole.

They are coached by Shianne Brown, Andy Johnson, Erica Armstrong and James Petre.

The 6-under team won its first game on Saturday by a score of 15-7 and was to play again on Monday, June 12.

The 8-under team is set to begin its tournament on Friday, June 16 at

Montgomery Central. Their first game is scheduled for 8 p.m.

Lydia Beecher, Mila Floyd, RaeLynn Hulse, Evie Johnson, Annison Lockert, Annaleigh Mayo, Emma O'Connell, Imojean Parrish, Mackenzie Toole, Sadie Tuders, Emmalyn Veazey and McKenzie Wolf make up the team.

They are coached by Craig Beecher, Andy Johnson, Bradley Lockert, Jason Hatter, Cory Parrish and Shane Toole.

The 10-under team is also scheduled to begin its tournament on Friday, June 16 but their tournament is set to be played at Clarksville National

Caitlyn Allen, Ellie Batson, Kylie Binkley, Ashlyn Jarrell, Mae Lewis, Emma Matthews, Harper Pardue, Avery Philipp, Hadley Phillips, Ameila Rodgers, Emma Shrewsbury, Emma Sims and Presley Stricklin are the players on the 10-under team.

They are coached by Derek Phillips, Brian Batson and Brandon Jarrell.



The Pleasant View Athletic Association's 6-under softball team made up of Kennedy Armstrong, Maci Brown, Ramona Carlson, Leah Floyd, Emilia Johnson, Randi Pedigo, Ayvah Petro, Laiklynn Senn, Jaylee Uhles, Aubrey Blackwell and Harper Toole.



**Pleasant View Athletic Association's 8-under all-star softball team comprised of Lydia Beecher, Mila Floyd, RaeLynn Hulse, Evie Johnson, Annison Lockert, Annaleigh Mayo, Emma O'Connell, Imojean Parrish, Mackenzie Toole, Sadie Tuders, Emmalyn Veazey and McKenzie Wolf**



**Pleasant View Athletic Association's 10-under softball all-star team made up of Caitlyn Allen, Ellie Batson, Kylie Binkley, Ashlyn Jarrell, Mae Lewis, Emma Matthews, Harper Pardue, Avery Philipp, Hadley Phillips, Ameila Rodgers, Emma Shrewsbury, Emma Sims and Presley Stricklin.**

## Notice of Intent

# Update on Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement

### Comment Period Extension and New Open House Date

TVA requests comments on the Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The comment period has been extended from June 20 to June 27, 2023.

The purpose of the proposed action is to help provide generation to support continued load growth in the Tennessee Valley and TVA's decarbonization goals. TVA needs flexible, dispatchable power that can successfully integrate increasing amounts of renewable energy sources while ensuring reliability. One of the purposes of the proposed action is to facilitate the integration of solar onto the electric grid and thereby advance TVA's decarbonation goals. The proposed action would also replace a portion of the 1,450 MW generation capacity of the second Cumberland Fossil unit, which is planned for retirement by the end of 2028. The CHG replacement generation capacity would be up to 900 MW interconnected with a 400 MW-hour BESS.

TVA anticipates that the scope of the EIS will evaluate a No Action Alternative and an Action Alternative. The No Action alternative provides a baseline for comparing against the Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not develop the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County for energy generation. The Action Alternative would evaluate the development of the CHG property for construction and operation of a CT interconnected with a BESS. The CHG property would also include an approximately 13-acre Pollinator Habitat along Sycamore Creek. Whether these or other alternatives are reasonable warranting further consideration under NEPA would be determined in the course of preparing the EIS. Related actions, such as the construction of an approximately 12-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS. The pipeline facilities to bring gas supply to the CHG property would, to the extent practicable, be located within or adjacent to an existing pipeline right of way.

TVA seeks comment and participation from all interested parties for identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action in this EIS. Written comments should be sent to J. Taylor Johnson, NEPA Compliance Specialist, 1101 Market Street BR 2C-C, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402. Comments may also be submitted online at: <https://tva.com/nepa> or by email at [nepa@tva.gov](mailto:nepa@tva.gov)

Information about this project is available at <https://www.tva.com/nepa>, which includes a link to an online public comment page. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than June 20, 2023. Federal, state, local agencies, and Native American Tribes are also invited to provide comments. Please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection. TVA plans to have an open house on June 21, 2023 at the Cheatham County David McCullough Community Room. Visit <https://www.tva.com/nepa> to obtain more information about the open house.



# NASHVILLE

**JUNE 23-25**



**NASHVILLESUPERSPEEDWAY.COM**



# COLLEGIANS

From A6

Dean's Lists for the Spring 2023 semester included: President's List - Alicia Harrington of Ashland City, Alexia Hearn of Kingston Springs, Jared McCoy. Dean's List - Ann Jackson of Pegram, Lillian Pendleton of Ashland City, Thomas Sellers of Kingston Springs, Mylinda Spicer of White Bluff, Chloe Winters of Ashland City.

The University of Mississippi announced students named to the Spring 2023 Honor Roll lists, including Chancellor's Honor Roll. Local students recognized were: Evelyn Bledsoe of Pegram, majoring in Elementary Education; Alexandria Welch of Pegram, majoring in Psychology.

Austin Peay State University is proud to recognize students on the Dean's List for the Spring 2023 term, including: Shaylan Barber of Pleasant View, Serame Beshears of Ashland City, Jazlin Bishop of Ashland City, Gracie Black of White Bluff, Holly Bracey of Joelton, Abigail Brown of Ashland City, Jessica Bumpus of Ashland City, Sara Burke of Pleasant View, Samuel Caldwell of Ashland City, Scarlett Canada of Ashland City, Preston Carter of Ashland City, Emily Chandler of Ashland City, Makenna Cleveland of Pleasant View, Samantha Cook of Chapmansboro, Casey Coppock of Pleasant View, Callie Cox of Pleasant View, Austin Crisp of Pleasant View, Matthew Cummings of Joelton, Frankie Davis of Chap-

mansboro, Ashley Dempster of Pleasant View, Camryn Denney of Joelton, Hallie Disch of Ashland City, Rachel Eaves of Chapmansboro, Amanda Ellis of Kingston Springs, Briann Ells of Chapmansboro, Madeline Etherton of Pleasant View, Jacob Ferrell of Ashland City, Joseph Ferrell of Ashland City, Hannah Fidler of Ashland City, Danielle Fielder of White Bluff, Justin Fields of Ashland City, Gabriel Flores of White Bluff, Jayden Fraley of Ashland City, Adriana Garcia of White Bluff, Rachel Garza of Pleasant View, Riley Gilbow of White Bluff, Bailey Gower of Chapmansboro, Emma Greene of Ashland City, Noah Hardin of Ashland City, Emily Harris of Ashland City, Lloyd Harris of Ashland City, Jayme Harrison of White Bluff, Olivia Heflin of Pleasant View, Dylan Heine of Ashland City, Claire Henderson of White Bluff, Summer Hizer of Chapmansboro, William Hogan of Ashland City, Victor Hogan of Pleasant View, Aidan Hosey of White Bluff, Jacqueline Justice of Ashland City, Macy Latham of Pleasant View, Aalyah Martinez of Chapmansboro, Robert Meeks of Ashland City, Alec Miner of Chapmansboro, Kennedy Nichols of Ashland City, Brennan Odell of White Bluff, Patrick Osteen of Joelton, Aviana Parker of Pleasant View, Adam Petralli of Pleasant View, Neeley Pike of Chapmansboro, Calab Reeder of Chapmansboro, Presley Rochelle of Pleasant View, Galaxie Ruiz of Pleasant View, Alex Sanford of Ashland City, Derek Schmidt of Pleasant View, Avery Shearon of Pleasant View, Gracie Shockley of Pleasant View,

Evan Stack of Pleasant View, Carl Strader of Pleasant View, Reagan Sutton of Ashland City, Timothy Thompson of Pleasant View, Lauren Tomasini of White Bluff, Savanna Turley of Ashland City, Emily Valdes of Chapmansboro, Dillon Vance of Ashland City, Brooke Weaver of Pleasant View, Kayleigh West of White Bluff, Sophia White Owl of Ashland City, Jackson Whitfield of White Bluff, Bailey Winfrey of Ashland City, Russell Wipert of Ashland City, Madison Woodard of White Bluff, Laura Worley of Pleasant View, Dawson Yoes of Ashland City, Olivia Zerkle of Pegram.

Austin Peay Spring 2023 graduates included: Megan Anderson of Ashland City, Madison Bishop of Clarksville, Michael Bradford of White Bluff, Abigail Brown of Ashland City, Sydney Brown of Pleasant View, Garrett Collins of Pegram, Preston Colorigh of Greenbrier, Callie Cox of Pleasant View, Frankie Davis of Chapmansboro, Ashley Dempster of Pleasant View, Hannah Dowlen of Ashland City, Rachel Eaves of Clarksville, Amanda Ellis of Kingston Springs, Daniela Estrada of Pleasant View, Justin Fields of Ashland City, Kieran Fitzgerald of White Bluff, Gabriel Flores of White Bluff, Alyssa Gooch of Joelton, Bailey Gower of Chapmansboro, Kaylyn Green of Pleasant View, Cheyanne Groves of Pleasant View, Triston Hampton of Pleasant View, Michael Hancock of White Bluff, Claire Henderson of White Bluff, Summer Hizer of Chapmansboro, Maria Koufalitaki of White Bluff, Macy Latham of Pleasant View, Autumn Love of

Ashland City, Cassidy Lovelace of Kingston Springs, Emmanuel Martinez of Clarksville, Makayla Miller of Ashland City, Trinity Musgrave of Joelton, Anastasia O'Neill of Chapmansboro, Harley Palmer of Chapmansboro, Neeley Pike of Joelton, Brittney Prete of Clarksville, Taylor Rambo of Pleasant View, Calab Reeder of Chapmansboro, Nathan Reynolds of Pleasant View, Jackson Rosser of Pleasant View, Hayden Roth of Pleasant View, Brittany Ruiz Boyzo of White Bluff, Adrianna Sisemore of Ashland City, Evan Stack of Pleasant View, Alex Stroecker of Pleasant View, James Thomas of Pleasant View, Timothy Thompson of Pleasant View, Xavier Vincent of Pleasant View, Bailey Wheeler of Pleasant View, Kailey Williams of Ashland City, Sara Woodard of White Bluff, Laura Worley of Pleasant View, Dawson Yoes of Ashland City, Christina True of Ashland City.

Midway University is pleased to announce the students who have been named to its Dean's List for the Spring 2023 semester, including Emma Asmondy of Pleasant View.

Jessica Bryan of Kingston Springs was named to the Dean's List at Lehigh University for the Spring 2023 semester.

Students on the spring 2023 Graduation List at Mississippi State University included: Parker Bennett of Cumberland Furnace, receiving a Bachelor of Science from MSU's College of Architecture Art and Design; Charis Waters of Bon Aqua, receiving a Master of Science from MSU's College of Agriculture and Life Sciences.

# STEEN

From A5

that I used to pour grape Kool-Aid on my ice cream, and it was delicious as the liquid froze and became crusty)

The Chinese, under the Tang Dynasty around 697 AD, took to freezing dairy with salt and ice. However, the results aren't exactly the ice cream we enjoy today. Frozen treats and beverages later, culi-

nary folks point to Naples, Italy as the birthplace of the first ice cream. They give credit to Antonio Latini. He was born in 1642 and created a milk-based sorbet.

In the United States, the Quaker colonists earn the nod for bringing their ice recipes over with them. They opened the first ice cream shops, including shops in New York and other cities during the colonial era."

Please throw caution to the wind, choose a bowl or a cone, and mark the calendar to enjoy some ice cream

on Sunday, July 16, unless sugar and dairy are problems for you, and there are alternatives.

For me, I do believe we are going to pull out the ice cream machine (freezer), some rock salt, and a few ingredients, and sit outside watching the lightning bugs flicker and slapping at the mosquitoes that will most likely only bite me.

Oh, and Nine Three? The ninth letter in the alphabet is I and the third letter is C. Nine Three was the code word my parents used to signal they wanted

a bowl of ice cream once I was safely in bed. Laugh, live, and enjoy a bowl or a cone of that amazing creation that is simply known as ice cream.

Susan Black Steen is a writer and photographer, a native Tennessean and a graduate of Austin Peay State University. With a firm belief that words matter, she writes and speaks to bring joy, comfort and understanding into each life. Always, she writes from her heart in hopes of speaking to the hearts of others. She can be reached at [stories@susanbsteen.com](mailto:stories@susanbsteen.com).

Cheatham County Generation Site

Environmental Impact Statement  
Notice of Intent –  
Comment Period Extension

TVA requests comments on the Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. On June 21, 2023, TVA hosted a public open house in Ashland City, Tennessee with approximately 200 attendees. Due to the high level of public interest, multiple extension requests, and the proximity to the recent open house date, TVA is extending the public comment period to end on **July 7, 2023**.

The purpose of the proposed action is to provide generation to support continued load growth in the Tennessee Valley and TVA's decarbonization goals. TVA needs flexible, dispatchable power that can successfully integrate increasing amounts of renewable energy sources while ensuring reliability. The proposed action would be needed to replace a portion of the 1,450 MW generation from the Cumberland Fossil Plant second unit retirement with up to 60% cleaner natural gas generation. The CHG replacement generation capacity would be up to 900 MW interconnected with a 400 MW-hour BESS.

TVA anticipates that the scope of the EIS will evaluate a No Action Alternative and an Action Alternative. The No Action alternative provides a baseline for comparing against the Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, TVA would not develop the proposed energy generation facility at the TVA-owned property in Cheatham County. The Action Alternative would evaluate the development of the CHG property for construction and operation of a CT energy generation facility interconnected with a BESS. The CHG property would also include an approximately 13-acre Pollinator Habitat along Sycamore Creek. Whether these or other alternatives are reasonable warranting further consideration under NEPA would be determined in the course of preparing the EIS. Related actions, such as the construction of an approximately 12-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS. The pipeline and associated facilities required to supply natural gas to the CHG property would, to the extent practicable, be located within or adjacent to an existing pipeline right of way.

TVA seeks comment and participation from all interested parties for identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action in this EIS.

Information about this project is available at <https://www.tva.com/nepa>, which includes a link to an online public comment page. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than July 7, 2023. Federal, state, local agencies, and Native American Tribes are also invited to provide comments. Please note that any comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the project administrative record and will be available for public inspection.

TVA

TENNESSEE  
VALLEY  
AUTHORITY

CCE

Connect  
with us  
on social  
media!

f

CHEATHAM COUNTY  
EXCHANGE

t

@CCOEXCHANGE

AARP®

Auto Insurance  
Program from  
THE HARTFORD

AUTO INSURANCE  
DESIGNED FOR AARP MEMBERS

\$370* <small>AVERAGE SAVINGS WHEN YOU SWITCH</small>	IF YOUR CURRENT INSURANCE IS:	YOU COULD SAVE:
	GEICO	\$380
	ALLSTATE	\$477
	STATE FARM	\$383

TO SPEAK WITH  
AN AGENT AND  
REQUEST A FREE  
QUOTE CALL:

1-888-475-5452

\*Savings amounts are averages based on information from The Hartford's AARP Auto Insurance Program customers who became new policyholders between 1/1/16 and 12/31/16 and provided data regarding their savings and prior carrier. Your savings may vary. AARP and its affiliates are not insurers. Paid endorsement. The Hartford pays royalty fees to AARP for the use of its intellectual property. These fees are used for the general purposes of AARP. AARP membership is required for Program eligibility in most states. The AARP Automobile Insurance Program from The Hartford is underwritten by Hartford Fire Insurance Company and its affiliates, One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, CT 06155. In Washington, the Auto Program is underwritten by Hartford Casualty Insurance Company. Auto program is currently unavailable in Massachusetts, Canada and U.S. Territories or possessions. Specific features, credits and discounts may vary and may not be available in all states in accordance with state filings and applicable law. Applicants are individually underwritten and some may not qualify.

ROOFING!

REPLACEMENTS - REPAIRS - GUTTER GUARDS

FREE ROOF INSPECTIONS

KLAUS ROOFING  
SYSTEMS  
NASHVILLE

PUT A  
Klaus  
ON YOUR  
House!

SCAN ME!

Judy, Dustin, & Layne  
OWNERS

10% OFF  
ROOF REPAIRS, UP TO \$1,000

Restrictions apply. Call for details. Coupon must be presented and redeemed at the time of sale. Cannot be combined with any other offer. Expires 05-31-23

50  
YEAR  
WARRANTY

FINANCING  
AVAILABLE

CONTACT US FOR A  
FREE ESTIMATE

615-437-4848  
KlausOnMyHouse.com

# TVA Seeks Public Comments on Scoping for Proposed Generation Projects

---

May 25, 2023

KNOXVILLE, Tenn. – The Tennessee Valley Authority is asking for public input on the scopes of three environmental reviews, which are the first steps in considering potential environmental impacts of a number of projects that would support a cleaner energy future, while maintaining affordability, reliability and resiliency.

---

## Solar and Battery Storage

- **Comments must be received by June 20, 2023.** Information on how to submit comments is available at: [tva.com/nepa](https://tva.com/nepa).

TVA is working to build an energy system powered by cleaner, more flexible energy, and solar and storage will play a big role. TVA has a strategic goal to add 10,000 megawatts of solar by 2035. To facilitate that goal, TVA is developing new guidance to help review solar energy and battery storage projects that could be built on private and TVA-owned land in our service area.

TVA is preparing a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to help develop new guidance and a bounding analysis, including recommended environmental practices and mitigation measures, that would be part of the decision-making processes.

Public comment is invited concerning the scope of the PEIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this PEIS.

To ensure consideration, comments on the scope and environmental issues must be postmarked, emailed, or submitted online no later than June 20, 2023.

---

## Pumped Storage Hydro

- **Virtual Public Meeting on June 22, 2023, 6 p.m. CST.** More information is available at [tva.com/nepa](https://tva.com/nepa).
- **Comments must be received by July 5, 2023.** Information about how to submit comments is available at [tva.com/PSH](https://tva.com/PSH).

TVA is also studying various technologies to store energy, including pumped storage hydroelectricity.

TVA will need long-duration energy storage – like pumped storage hydropower (PSH) – to meet energy demand as more intermittent renewable energy sources are added to the grid. TVA is developing a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to increase pumped storage hydropower capacity within its power service area.

The PEIS will evaluate the potential environmental and economic impacts of several options which include expanding the existing pumped storage facility at Raccoon Mountain or constructing a new pumped storage facility at one of two locations in Jackson County, Alabama.

Public comment is invited concerning the scope of the PEIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this PEIS.

Comments must be submitted no later than Wednesday, July 5, 2023, for consideration.

More information, and details on the virtual public meeting, are available at [tva.com/nepa](https://tva.com/nepa).

---

## Cheatham County Generation Site

- **Comments must be received by June 27, 2023.**
- **Public In-Person Open House at the Cheatham County David McCullough Community Center on June 21 from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. Central Time.** More details on how to submit comments and on the open house can be found at [tva.com/nepa](https://tva.com/nepa).

potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of the energy complex on 286 acres of TVA-owned land northwest of Nashville, Tennessee.

The Cheatham County Generation Site would generate approximately 900 Megawatts and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant second unit retirement planned by the end of 2028.

The addition of the proposed 400-megawatt battery storage system could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as intermittent renewable generation is added to the system.

The proposed complex would also include an approximately 13-acre Pollinator Habitat along Sycamore Creek. Related actions, such as the construction of a 12-mile natural gas pipeline lateral and off-site transmission lines, will also be assessed in this EIS.

TVA is inviting public comments on the scope of the EIS, alternatives being considered, and environmental issues that should be addressed as a part of this EIS. Comments may be submitted no later than June 27, 2023.

More details on how to submit comments and on the open house can be found at [tva.com/nepa](https://tva.com/nepa).

#### About TVA

The Tennessee Valley Authority is the nation's largest public power supplier, delivering energy to 10 million people across seven southeastern states. TVA was established 90 years ago to serve this region and the nation by developing innovative solutions to solve complex challenges. TVA's unique mission focuses on energy, environmental stewardship, and economic development. With one of the largest, most diverse, and cleanest energy systems – including nuclear, hydro, solar, gas, and advanced technologies – TVA is a leader in our nation's drive toward a [clean energy future](#).

TVA is a corporate agency of the United States, receiving no taxpayer funding, deriving virtually all of its revenues from sales of electricity. In addition to operating and investing its revenues in its electric system, TVA provides flood control, navigation, and land management for the Tennessee River system, and assists local power companies and state and local governments with economic development and job creation.

#### Contact

Scott Brooks  
Public Relations  
[sbrooks@tva.gov](mailto:sbrooks@tva.gov)  
[865-632-7453](tel:865-632-7453)

#### TVA Media Line

Our media staff is available 24 hours a day. If you cannot reach the contact above, please call our media line at 865-632-6000.

#### Follow TVA News

[Facebook](#)  
[Twitter](#)  
[Instagram](#)

#### Share this Story



#### TOOLS AND RESOURCES:

[DOING BUSINESS WITH  
TVA](#)  
[EMPLOYEES AND  
RETIREES](#)  
[INSPECTOR GENERAL](#)  
[SAFETY](#)  
[TVA KIDS](#)  
[TVA POLICE](#)  
[TVA STEM](#)

#### POLICIES:

[ACCESSIBILITY  
INFORMATION](#)  
[EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
OPPORTUNITY POLICY](#)  
[FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT](#)  
[LEGAL NOTICES](#)  
[NO FEAR ACT DATA](#)  
[PRIVACY POLICY](#)  
[REASONABLE  
ACCOMMODATION](#)  
[VULNERABILITY  
DISCLOSURE POLICY](#)

#### CONTACT:

400 WEST SUMMIT HILL  
DRIVE  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37902  
(865) 632-2101  
[TVAINFO@TVA.COM](mailto:TVAINFO@TVA.COM)



#### ISSUES / SUGGESTIONS:

[INFORMATION QUALITY](#)  
[WEBSITE FEEDBACK](#)



## Appendices

---

---

### Appendix C – Public and Agency Scoping Comments

This page intentionally left blank.

Comment Date	Subject	Comment	Tone	First Name (Person)	Last Name (Person)	Zip Code
6/26/2023	TVA/Cheatham County TN	I am a Lockertsville Rd area resident where the proposed TVA site will be. I am expressing that I am 100% against this site being used for anything that will be harmful to the atmosphere, to animals, to our waterways, to the people of this community, to anything that will have a negative impact to the area among many other concerns. The residents of this community deserve better. It's our way of life out here and it should not be disturbed. I'm certain you can find another area that doesn't revolve around farm land and a residential community. Again, I am AGAINST this TVA site off of Lockertsville Rd in Ashland City, TN. Thank you in advance, Tammy Bishop	negative	Tammy	Bishop	37015
6/27/2023	TVA Owned Land in Cheatham County	We are developers about to list a \$800k house less than a mile from this land and we have received several questions. We built the neighbor's house too, which is a 4,500 sq. Ft. House on 15 acres. He is considering selling this house and rebuilding elsewhere and has already started looking at land. Could someone explain to me exactly what is going in here? The are rumors that it will be extremely loud and visible from places such as 2488 Lockertsville Rd., where our new construction house is finishing up. I want to be able to know how to describe this project to potential new buyers when we list it next week. Where will gas lines be run from, and will there be methane involved? What kind of batteries will be powered, and what kind of burning will be involved? Thank you for your time.	neutral	Jake	Bumpus	
7/6/2023	TVA Cheatham County Generation Site	<p>This is in reference to the Cheatham Co Generation Site. My husband and I own 64 acres in Cheatham county, mostly farmland and forestland. I also work for the Montgomery County Soil &amp; Water Conservation District and have lived in this area all my life. Based on the information given in the notice (federal register), I DO NOT agree that this site is adequate for its intended use. While I understand the need for additional power source sites to support the growth of middle TN, I would like to see other, more rural pieces of land evaluated that are not currently exploding with schools and residential developments just 26 miles from Nashville. Other than the fact that Pleasant View receives 100% of its drinking water from Sycamore Creek, my other concerns include the fact that wind carries particulate matter as well as rainfall induced runoff. I'm sure TVA will do everything they can to minimize impact to the community, however, those two factors are beyond human control. I do have a few questions that I would appreciate an answer to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. What will the airborne particulates from this site and surrounding consist of?</li><li>2. What controls will TVA institute to protect air and water quality in this area?</li><li>3. Will these controls be sufficient in maintaining a healthy air and water quality for long term residents?</li><li>4. Will residue from airborne particulate matter, when hit by rainfall, be washed into Sycamore Creek?</li><li>5. Why were no local officials or utility districts contacted directly by TVA concerning this project?</li></ol>	negative	Nancy	Holt	37015
7/5/2023	TVA Cheatham County Generation	Thank you for allowing community input regarding the proposed TVA 900 W Cheatham County Generation site. I'm writing to express my displeasure with the TVA plan. I am aware this site is supposed to replace the Cumberland City Coal Burning Generator immediately. Who are the recipients of the current Cumberland City plant? What will the Cumberland Site be used for once the coal plants are closed? Are you being forced by the federal government to shut these plants down? Have TVA's coal plants been "clean coal?" Why can't you build the new plant and the storage on the current site in Cumberland County? It is already industrial so rebuilding on the same site makes more sense to me. The plan to use this undeveloped land in Cheatham County is so unwise because you are planning to destroy nature - destroy the natural, native habitats, woods, and agricultural land with something that is industrial, unsightly and puts the natural land, habitats and people at risk. It is not how we want our County to look or be! How are you planning to protect Sycamore Creek to keep it a recreational area? I understand the future plan TVA has for Cheatham County site is for storage but is the installation of solar panels and/or wind energy also in the plan for that site? I am not in favor of any Wind energy in Cheatham County. Wind energy is noisy and disturbing and drives you crazy. Have you ever traveled through Clear Lake, IA? The noise of the wind turbines is eerie and you cannot escape it anywhere. It's maddening and not good for humans or animals I assume. IT'S AIR POLLUTION! I am opposed to large "Solar farms." I own some property in Sherburne County, MN and Excel Energy has ruined the farmland surrounding my family's property. I fear you are planning the same thing for Cheatham County. We need to preserve our farmland for farming in case it is needed and not push for large acreages to be placed into solar generation to supplement the grid. I appreciate TVA and I appreciate the plan to supplement with natural gas. I just don't want the pristine land in Cheatham County to be used for industrial. As I mentioned I don't understand why it cannot be built in Cumberland City.	negative	Mary	Weber	
7/6/2023	TVA Cheatham County Generation	Let's work on more renewable energy!!!	neutral	Bonnie	Seay	

6/22/2023	TN Dept of Human Services Comment_001	<p>What will be the estimated costs of greenhouse gas mitigation measures required to bring the plant into compliance with federal orders/EPA guidelines that call for carbon pollution free energy grid by 2030? 2035? Natural gas is toxic, flammable, non-renewable, pollutes water and earth, causes greenhouse gas emissions, and is expensive to store and transport; Is there an alternative build for renewable energy that would not require mitigation? What is the average radius of gas leaks of similar equipment? How often do gas leaks occur on your already existing equipment? Can we assume it will be similar to builds in Cheatham county? Water sources are within how many miles of this site? What steps are planned to save the waterways from the inevitable leaking? Can we request community engagement persons to actually communicate with people in the area and answer questions and follow through with updating the public on matters involving this site? At the scoping, it was not a public forum, it was TVA workers explaining their goals, and that doesn't seem fair; I would hope there would be input received from the community in a public forum; can we request an actual public forum discussion? Will leaks be addressed immediately? How can we ensure this? How would leaks affect local groundwater? Will you provide emission, noise, pollution, and traffic control? How so? What safety protocols are in place for residents if a fire occurs within the gas plant and batteries? Should additional insurance be recommended for these residents homes? Is this something that people can even prepare for? There's already a lawsuit challenging TVA's "backroom deals" signing contracts with pipeline companies before completing required reviews; TVA downplayed harms and costs of the planned gas plant; how can we ensure this doesn't happen in Cheatham county? Is it too late? Is this the going to be part of the same pipeline? Does 13 equal 285? Do we live in a community that no longer wishes to be a safe haven for the biological community? Enough to revoke nearly 300 acres and replace it with a combustion turbine, battery energy storage system, and 12 mile natural gas pipeline, but keep a meager 13 acre pollinator garden as the only offer to residents? Is this pollinator garden in the vicinity of this plant? What good would this plot be in the event of a pipeline leak? How do these fossil fuels affect local wildlife and the pollinators you claim to be helping? Will there be subsidies for residents when electricity costs rise? If so, How much? If not, what are the direct improvements en lieu of electricity subsidies to residents who have the burden of higher electricity costs? I'm having a hard time seeing the rewards to this proposal; there is far greater risk.</p> <p>My concerns initially were that if a gas line leaks, it will be closer to my home and the waterways I am fond of exploring and learning from; I don't like the idea of a gas pipeline going through Cheatham county (or anywhere people live); I don't think it makes sense to go to natural gas before going to renewable energy, if that's the actual goal per EPA requests; I think the creation of jobs would be great, but where will you be hiring these prospective employees from? Will they be local entities (TN vendors) or will you outsource this work? Can we trust you will use TN workers? Where did this land come from? What was it originally? How did TVA allocate the land and what is the land already zoned for? I urge you to pursue the "no action alternative;" maybe provide this land to future farmers or people who will perform as adequate stewards; Id like to see allotments provided to young adults as a way to further education with experiential learning; I'd like to see this land be returned to nature or preserved in a way that is conducive to generations to come; not harming the already exhausted ecosystem and tributaries of the Cumberland with even more wastewater; Cheatham county already has a lot of warehouses and boat manufacturers and a great big water heater company polluting the immediate environment already; I'd like to stop it there if at all possible; Thank you for your consideration.</p>	negative	Rachel	Schroeder	37015
7/7/2023	Sycamore Creek/Cheatham County Project an heinous proposal	I am writing in absolute opposition to anything to do with any kind of large industrial plant/site being built in one of the most picturesque portions of our county that is still home to farms that have been farmed by the same family over 2-5 generations. Another pride and joy of Cheatham County is Sycamore Creek. My Dad grew up on the banks of Sycamore Creek and I expect my toes were dipped in the water before I could walk. I am now 62 years old and have spent my lifetime fishing, canoeing, camping beside and swimming in the creek. Cheatham County doesn't have much in the way of tourism EXCEPT the fact the Cumberland River, the Harpeth River and Sycamore Creek provide a lot of local activities for local folks, and also brings in visitors for day or weekend trips in or near our beautiful waterways. If this project will benefit Davidson County the most, put the thing IN Davidson County. Running some buried pipeline or easy to obscure pipeline is one thing, but putting a METHANE GAS plant with these huge turbines in this particular location is simply unconscionable. I understand as a nation we must continue to make improvements in climate control and in further ecological destruction. Sounds to me like this one will be somewhat outdated by the time it's complete. This is how we expand our interstate system and is the most absurd manner of planning I've ever heard of. Hold off a couple years, while technology progresses and when you move to make improvement on this scale of ramifications and consequences to- a beautiful community, a community that has largely stayed rural and more agricultural than others near us, go find a location that does not threaten one of the best things Cheatham County is known for...Sycamore Creek.	negative	Lori	Hillard	37015
6/26/2023	Stephanie Henry Comment_001	I appreciate your time in reviewing the comments in the attached letter and all other comments received regarding the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site project. I hope to see these and other environmental impacts addressed completely and objectively in the draft EIS and look forward to reviewing a document that is compliant with NEPA in rule and in spirit. The attached letter is also being submitted via email to nepa@tva.gov	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/21/2023	Scoping Open House Comment Form	More fossil fuel is short sighted and destructive. Answer- spend our money by making investments for our future! Green energy. The time is late. TVA can be the model for the country. Make us stronger, resilient & let us sustain a future. Say no to gas!!! PLEASE!!!	negative	Elena	Roser	37015
6/21/2023	Scoping Open House Comment Form	My biggest concern is health & noise. My farm joins this property	neutral	Linda	McCool	37015
6/21/2023	Scoping Open House Comment Form	It was hard to hear in the meeting. I'd like to know the noise impact on the surrounding homes. I'd like to know where the pipelines will run through and how that land will be acquired. What will TVA do to help our County? How will it impact the neighbors and community? I think another meeting in a larger room with one speaker at a time would be beneficial for everyone. Diana Lovell, County Commissioner	neutral	Diana	Lovell	37015
6/21/2023	Scoping Open House Comment Form	All the information provided at Focus meeting 6/21/23 at David McCollugh Facility (Ashland City) was vague, lacking in any real information. There was a clear reluctance to answer any questions with actual factual information, for example, the actual size, effect upon traffic patterns, impact upon local residences, how much environmental concerns (natural plant & animal life, water quality, both streams & ground water) also - noise, dust, blasting, heavy equipment, etc. of the actual construction. Also - no comment whatsoever concerning the actual operation of this facility. Please inform us!	negative	Lloyd	Krantz	37015
6/21/2023	Scoping Open House Comment Form	This plant would be devastating and destructive to the farming community that surrounds the site. It is located within 2 miles of Cheatham Elementary, Middle & High school and presents serious health danger to our youth. This plant would destroy Sycamore Creek, our beloved local water source. VEHEMENTLY AGAINST this proposal. Alternative - no action or upgrade Cumberland coal plant.	negative	William	Halsey	37015

6/26/2023	SACE Comment Letter_002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TVA's modeling should consider increasing transmission transfer capability and resources located outside TVA's service territory. This could specifically include revisiting whether the Clean Line transmission connection to wind in Oklahoma is still a viable option. If so, the economic benefits of its impact on reliability should be included in the analysis. For instance, analysis by RMI showed that on December 23, 2022, the SPP market (which includes Oklahoma) experienced about 3 GW of wind curtailments.<sup>1</sup> That is one of the days TVA had to implement rolling blackouts due to outages at coal and natural gas plants. Analysis by Grid Strategies showed millions of dollars of potential benefits to TVA customers for increased connections to the midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and \$1 billion in value for a 1 GW connection between the Electric Reliability COuncil of Texas (ERCOT) and TVA.<sup>2</sup> So there are both economic and reliability benefits to TVA customers to increasing transmission capacity between TVA and neighbors.</li><li>• TVA has publicly stated that it is forecasting load growth that is much higher than what was studied in the 2019 IRP. The NOI for this EIS states that it is consistent with the 2019 IRP. Therefore, the modeling in this EIS should use load growth forecasts consistent with the 2019 IRP. If load growth has changed considerably since the 2019 IRP, then this is no longer consistent with the 2019 IRP and should not be performed separate from the 2024 IRP. In that case TVA should either wait until the 2024 IRP is completed to start this analysis, or fold this decision into the IRP, as we recommended earlier in these comments.</li><li>• TVA should model the EPA's proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new natural gas-fired sources, to ensure it does not make a terribly imprudent investment with TVA ratepayer dollars.</li></ul> <p>On June 22, 2023, TVA's CEO, Jeff Lyash, testified in Congress that TVA is trying to move its net zero goal forward as fast as possible, and that as it adds carbon-free resources it would use its natural gas-fired power plants, including the Cheatham project, less until they are only capacity resources. It is important that, as TVA evaluates the economic and environmental impacts of the Cheatham gas plant, that it use consistent and reasonable assumptions about how much the plant will actually be used. If TVA expects to use the Cheatham gas plant for only a few years, and operate it at low capacity factors after that, it will significantly impact the cost effectiveness of the project. If TVA expects to continue to use the Cheatham gas plant at levels that make it cost effective, it will likely have too high an environmental impact to be a reasonable investment. TVA cannot have it both ways: either the plant will be used often for a long time or it will not.</p> <p>To conclude our comments on the scoping of this environmental review, we again emphasize that this should not be a separate process at all, but a decision made as part of the larger IRP that TVA simultaneously began at the same time as announcing this project. Only if a project similar to the one proposed at Cheatham is consistent with the 2024 IRP should it move forward.</p>	negative	Maggie	Shober	37914
6/26/2023	SACE Comment Letter_001	<p>The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy is using this part of the NEPA process to comment on TVA's proposed generating additions at its Cheatham County site, as outlined in the Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) published in the Federal Register May 19, 2023. The National Environmental Protection Act of 1970 remains an important regulation to evaluate the environmental impacts of actions taken by federal agencies. The NEPA process is not, however, a stand-in for a publicly accessible and transparent process that monopoly utilities should go through when making critical resource decisions. On the very same day that TVA published the NOI to start the NEPA process for this specific generator proposal, it also published an NOI that it is starting its 2024 integrated resource plan (IRP). How to replace the retiring second unit of the Cumberland coal plant is a decision best suited for an IRP, not a one-off set of analysis that is being done at the same time that the utility is doing an IRP. This is far from utility best practices, and is not something done in any other jurisdiction in the country. If there is such a need to decide how to replace Cumberland's second unit in a process separate from the IRP, TVA needs to explain that in detail publicly, including in the scoping report for this NEPA process. Despite our concerns that this should not be a separate EIS, but instead incorporated into the IRP, we are providing the following recommendations if this must move forward as a separate EIS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The EIS should evaluate at least one alternative that does not include any new fossil fuel infrastructure of any kind, including frame and aeroderivative gas-fired and oil-fired combustion turbines (CTs).</li><li>• The EIS should use accurate assumptions on the capacity factors for the kinds of CTs evaluated, and that information should be presented in a transparent manner. If the usage of these new units is manually reduced when TVA models its system to produce results for this EIS, it will mask the ultimate environmental impact of the units.</li><li>• The EIS should include all incentives available through the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), including direct pay of clean energy tax credits and the adders available as well as the Energy Infrastructure Reinvestment program (see program overview here: <a href="https://www.energy.gov/lpo/energy-infrastructure-reinvestment">https://www.energy.gov/lpo/energy-infrastructure-reinvestment</a>)</li><li>• The EIS should include potential specific transmission upgrades to facilitate any of the alternatives, and any additional benefits these transmission upgrades may provide, such as expanding the ability to interconnect solar and storage resources beyond the amounts considered in a clean energy alternative.</li><li>• The EIS should include analysis of how an increase in fuel costs and the variability of fuel costs could potentially impact low-income customers, since TVA passes its fuel costs on to customers. TVA should not ignore this impact because its local power companies (LPCs) are the ones billing customers.</li><li>• TVA should use the latest Social Cost of Carbon with proposed updated discount rates of 1.7% from the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA). In addition to these recommendations on the EIS specifically, we have the following recommendations for the modeling that TVA will perform to assess the economic and environmental costs and benefits of each alternative.</li><li>• TVA's modeling should utilize accurate cost assumption forecasts from reputable and public sources that include all incentives, as well as a wide range of fuel cost sensitivities that take into account potential unknowable events like the impact the war in Ukraine has had on natural gas prices.</li></ul>	negative	Maggie	Shober	37914

6/14/2023	Response to Scoping Request, Cheatham County Generation Site	<p>The Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Air Pollution Control (APC) received TVA's Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement to address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle combustion turbine plant and battery energy storage system (BESS) on a 286-acre parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The notice states that the Cheatham County Generation Site would generate approximately 900 MW and replace generation capacity for a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant retirement, and the proposed 400 MWh BESS could also help TVA maintain grid stability and reliability as generating assets with greater minute-by-minute variability (e.g. wind and solar) are integrated into TVA's transmission system. APC offers the following comments:</p> <p>Tennessee Air Pollution Control Regulations (TAPCR) 1200-03-09-.01(1)(a) states that except as specifically exempted, no person shall begin the construction of a new air contaminant source that may result in the discharge of air contaminants without first having applied for and received from the Technical Secretary a construction permit for the construction of such air contaminant source. TAPCR 1200-03-09-.01(4) (Prevention of Significant Air Quality Deterioration) states that No new major stationary source or major modification shall begin actual construction unless the requirements of Tennessee's PSD construction permit program, as applicable, have been met. These programs may cover multiple pollutants, including criteria pollutants, pollutants regulated by Sections 111 or 112 of the Clean Air Act, and greenhouse gases, and the Cheatham County Generation Site must comply with both regulations for preconstruction permitting, as applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tennessee's New Source Review prohibit the construction of new major stationary sources that would have an adverse impact on visibility in Class I areas (national parks and wilderness areas). Any application for a PSD or nonattainment NSR permit for the proposed new source must address visibility protection.</li><li>• TAPCR 1200-03-09-.01(5) (Growth Policy) regulates the construction of air contaminant sources in nonattainment areas. This program addresses preconstruction requirements for criteria pollutants and precursor emissions (VOC and nitrogen oxides for ozone, sulfur dioxide for PM2.5) in nonattainment areas. Cheatham County is currently designated as attainment for all criteria pollutants, but APC notes that the air quality criteria for ozone and PM2.5 are currently under review, and the attainment status of Cheatham County or the nearby metropolitan area may change in the future. TVA should also consider the impact of any proposed source on the nearby ozone maintenance areas in Montgomery County, Tennessee and Christian County, Kentucky.</li><li>• All stationary gas turbines with a heat input at peak load equal to or greater than 10 million Btu per hour of heat input, based on the lower heating value of the fuel fired, are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart KKKK (Standards of Performance for Stationary Combustion Turbines). Affected facilities subject to Subpart KKKK are exempt from the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart GG (Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines).</li><li>• Stationary combustion turbines located at a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart YYYY (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Combustion Turbines). A major source of HAP emissions is a contiguous site under common control that emits or has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 10 tons or more per year or any combination of HAP at a rate of 25 tons or more per year.</li><li>• If disposal of trees or vegetation is necessary during construction, APC recommends that TVA evaluate alternatives to open burning. Additional information about Tennessee's open burning requirements can be found at <a href="https://publications.tnsosfiles.com/rules/1200/1200-03/1200-03-04.pdf">https://publications.tnsosfiles.com/rules/1200/1200-03/1200-03-04.pdf</a>.</li><li>• Truck traffic associated with construction projects generate emissions of PM, CO, NO2, SO2, VOC, and CO2, and APC recommends the operation of trucks with up-to-date emission control technologies and proper maintenance to minimize vehicle and equipment emissions. The Division of Air Pollution Control also recommends that TVA adopt best practices to minimize vehicle idling to minimize the impact of mobile source emissions on ambient air quality.</li><li>• If fugitive dust will be generated from construction activities, APC recommends the use of wet suppression or other measures to minimize the generation of fugitive dust.</li></ul>	neutral	Travis	Blake	37214
6/26/2023	Reject the proposal to replace TVA's Cumberland Fossil Plant with methane gas-powered plants and instead	<p>To Tennessee Valley Authority Board Chair Bill Kilbride, board members, and staff:</p> <p>After decades of operation, the Cumberland Fossil Plant is no longer cost effective or reliable, and it is one of the most polluting coal plants in the country. This is why I support the Tennessee Valley Authority's decision to close the Cumberland Plant. I strongly encourage the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) to replace the plant's capacity with a renewable electricity alternative that is cost effective, safe and reliable while bringing jobs and economic development to the region. "It is horrifying that we have to fight our own government to save the environment." -- Ansel Adams</p> <p>I firmly believe that the TVA should not invest in more fossil fuel by constructing a methane gas plant and 12-mile gas pipeline in Cheatham County to partially replace the capacity of one of the coal-fired units at Cumberland City. Building a gas plant is expensive and gas prices are volatile. The TVA would likely have to retire the gas plant before the end of its useful life because renewable energy options are cheaper and those prices continue to fall. TVA customers should not be forced to pay for a project that TVA CEO Jeff Lyash has called a "not-forever" solution. Furthermore, the public health impacts and environmental risks of building a gas plant are too big to ignore. Methane gas plants, compressor stations and pipelines release pollutants like nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, carbon monoxide and particulate matter into the air. They are also at risk of dangerous explosions like the one that happened in Dickson County in 1992. In addition, the combined 44 miles of pipeline that the TVA would need to replace the two coal-fired units at Cumberland City would force landowners to sell easements on their property to the pipeline company, Kinder Morgan, while crossing more than 130 wetlands and streams, threatening our air and water quality. If the pipelines contaminate groundwater or surface water during construction, rural communities could lose access to clean water for drinking and farming. The TVA should invest in renewable energy sources like wind, energy efficiency, solar and storage to replace the capacity of both coal-fired units at the Cumberland City fossil plant. "The ultimate test of a moral society is the kind of world that it leaves to its children."</p> <p>-- Dietrich Bonhoeffer</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Please do NOT add my name to your mailing list. I will learn about future developments on this issue from other sources.</p>	negative	Chris	Lish	
	RE: Comments on the proposed Cheatham County Natural Gas Combustion Turbine Electricity Generating Plant and Pipeline NEPA EIS Scope_004	<p>Please prepare a DEIS which accurately describes an array of alternatives. Documenting your demand projections to be met with facts and documented trends both up -- e.g. EV charging and down -- e.g. IRA cost sharing on distributed and community solar and energy efficiency. There will many mix and match combinations of renewable energy (wind and solar), storage (recognizing that utility scale solar farms now come with battery storage), distributed energy resources (DEI) e.g small scale solar or wind at residences, businesses and pubic facilities including schools. Of course, pumped storage offers flip of the switch back-up and time shifting. Revising TVA's artificial limits on Local Power Company (LPC) DEI strengthen both TVA and LPC grid reliability. This is important in the face fo the ever increasing damage caused by climate disruption.</p> <p>It is important the TVA shut down its aging and unreliable coal fired plants. But is equally important that any need for replacement power be filled by generation which is carbon emission free. TVA has available all the technology and policy elements to deal with the coal plant closings without resorting to gas fired generation and detailed analysis of these alternatives must be included. TVA should see this as an opportunity to show that you truly want a system that is carbon free and that future is now, before it is too late.</p> <p>Sincerely, Brian Paddock</p>	negative	Brian	Paddock	38501

6/27/2023	RE: Comments on the proposed Cheatham County Natural Gas Combustion Turbine Electricity Generating Plant and Pipeline NEPA EIS Scope_003	<p>The Lazard Levelized cost of Energy Report (2023) estimates that unsubsidized utility scale solar combined with battery storage is always less costly than a gas peaking plant.<sup>4</sup> The independent and expert Synapse Report provided the Sierra Club on the switch to gas fuel for Kingston demonstrated the same savings (and emissions reductions). The DEIS should recognize these facts and choose a new, non-fossil fuel path as the agency preferred alternative. The DEIS must also consider the proposed EPA regulations on severely limiting fossil fuel emissions. The added cost of gas fueled infrastructure and the amount of energy that must be used to reduce emissions under these regulations make a shift to natural gas a costly, likely unaffordable choice of fuels. A 13 page EPA fact sheet is available at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-05/FS-OVERVIEW-GHG-for%20Power%20Plants%20FINAL%20CLEAN.pdf">https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-05/FS-OVERVIEW-GHG-for%20Power%20Plants%20FINAL%20CLEAN.pdf</a> The Federal Register Notice<sup>5</sup> is comprehensive and must be carefully considered and addressed in the DEIS before any switch to gas as a fuel can be seriously considered. So called “natural gas” is methane with a stink added to make it detectable by the human nose. It is a powerful Greenhouse Gas (GHG). The upstream releases from the extraction and transport of methane<sup>6</sup> are well documented and must be acknowledged in the DEIS as a TVA contribution to GHG emissions and climate disruption. Both the proposed new Enbridge pipeline from Nashville to Kingston and the 12 mile long branch pipeline to fuel the combustion turbine in Cheatham County will have ONLY TVA as a customer. TVA is primarily responsible for the impacts of the construction and operation of this pipeline, route and branch. The DEIS must recognize this and justify this huge infrastructure expense, the disruption of installation and the long term impacts on nearby residents. As an attorney, I speak with landowners along the route. Those I talk with are fearful and angry at the idea of a pipeline that runs near their homes sometimes as close as a few feet and destroys their kitchen gardens and landscaping.</p> <p><sup>4</sup> <a href="https://www.lazard.com/research-insights/2023-levelized-cost-of-energyplus/">https://www.lazard.com/research-insights/2023-levelized-cost-of-energyplus/</a> <sup>5</sup> <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/new-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed">https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/new-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed</a> <sup>6</sup> Upstream impacts include air pollution, water pollution from fracking waste ponds, and the documented impacts on health. <a href="https://www.fractracker.org/resources/oil-and-gas-101/health-environmental-effects-of-fracking/">https://www.fractracker.org/resources/oil-and-gas-101/health-environmental-effects-of-fracking/</a></p>	negative	Brian	Paddock	38501
6/27/2023	RE: Comments on the proposed Cheatham County Natural Gas Combustion Turbine Electricity Generating Plant and Pipeline NEPA EIS Scope_002	<p>“Assessment Report (AR6), [is] an eight-year long undertaking from the world’s most authoritative scientific body on climate change. Drawing on the findings of 234 scientists on the physical science of climate change, 270 scientists on impacts, adaptation and vulnerability to climate change, and 278 scientists on climate change mitigation, this IPCC synthesis report provides the most comprehensive, best available scientific assessment of climate change. “It also makes for grim reading. Across nearly 8,000 pages, the AR6 details the devastating consequences of rising greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions around the world — the destruction of homes, the loss of livelihoods and the fragmentation of communities, for example — as well as the increasingly dangerous and irreversible risks should we fail to change course.” <sup>3</sup> TVA will not be exempt from the consequences of its shift to gas and its failure to provide leadership which recognizes the necessity of a dramatic change in how we generate electricity will besmirch its reputation for a thousand years. TVA operations will face ever more extreme weather. Tornados and fires, will destroy TVA facilities and customers homes and businesses and the TVA and LPC grids while floods, and drought will challenge its dams. The tiny amount of battery storage suggested ignores the need for long term time shifting and sufficient DEI storage to ride out extended black outs. The very first Integrated Resource Plan stakeholder committee (which I served on) recommend an additional large pumped storage facility which was included in the final IRP. TVA made one feint at proposing some pumped storage in Sequatchie County and gave up when there was resistance. Now TVA would have environmental allies to explain the importance of pumped storage using mountaintops already flattened by “cross-ridge” (mountain top removal) strip mining. As the EPA explained in its letter to TVA addressing the Kingston DEIS, solar plus storage for replacement electricity would cost billions of dollars less. These dollars come from ratepayers. TVA is supposed to assure low cost electricity according to the TVA Act and TVA's boast.</p> <p><sup>3</sup> <a href="https://www.wri.org/insights/2023-ipcc-ar6-synthesis-report-climate-change-findings">https://www.wri.org/insights/2023-ipcc-ar6-synthesis-report-climate-change-findings</a></p>	negative	Brian	Paddock	38501
6/27/2023	RE: Comments on the proposed Cheatham County Natural Gas Combustion Turbine Electricity Generating Plant and Pipeline NEPA EIS Scope_001	<p>I reviewed the TVA Notice and am quite surprised that the only Alternatives will be “No Action” or build a 900 MW combustion turbine with some battery storage and a “Natural Gas” pipeline with decorations like a pollinator habitat. This an ill informed and clumsy way to approach considering the need, if any, for additional generation to replace coal fueled generation at this location. This narrow binary view ignores several significant factors that must be considered and analyzed to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the policies laid out by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). The Citizens Guide to NEPA - Energy<sup>1</sup> suggests: “Comments that contribute to developing alternatives that address the purpose and need for the action also are effective.” Accepting this guidance my comments follow.</p> <p>TVA must carefully analyze its demand projections. The touted “nearly” 2½% aggregate increase from “2020 to 2022” is not a predictor of future demand. Once you have scientifically quantified a projected demand and explained this in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) you can fully explain how much of this increased demand might be avoided by energy efficiency programs which TVA appears to have largely abandoned for industrial and commercial end users.<sup>2</sup> Certainly choosing to generate by using methane with its associated fuel cost adjustment added to our electric bills will convince consumers to install energy saving appliances and add behind the meter solar with help from the Inflation Reduction Act. (IRA) Hedging on gas prices is not enough. It is time to protect consumers from gas price increases and use fuel cost free renewable energy generation.</p> <p><sup>1</sup>.<a href="https://ceq.doe.gov › docs › get-involved › citizens-guide-to-nepa-2021.pdf">https://ceq.doe.gov › docs › get-involved › citizens-guide-to-nepa-2021.pdf</a> A Citizen's Guide to NEPA - Energy <sup>2</sup> The TVA program for home energy efficiency is based on modeling which suffers from garbage in - garbage out. I challenge TVA to go out and read the meters at locations which TVA that made over for energy efficiency. I will be glad to put you in contact with an expert who has documented several of these projects which now use more energy than the business or home used previously course, the worst offense of a shift to natural gas rather to renewable energy and storage is the contribution to irreversible climate disruption. I read the warnings from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). I doubt anyone at TVA has - you can find them here: <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/">https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/</a> . The time to STOP all fossil fuels use and emissions is NOW as fast as possible. Shifting to years of further carbon emissions is irresponsible and destructive.</p>	negative	Brian	Paddock	38501
6/26/2023	Public comment on the Cheatham County pipeline	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am a resident of Cheatham County and am writing to give my comment on the proposed 12-mile pipeline and combustion plant currently being planned for your site in Ashland City.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the construction of any fossil fuel related pipelines or activity. We moved to Cheatham County because we love the creeks, the Cumberland, wildlife, and quiet. We especially love to paddle Sycamore Creek. This creek is currently "healthy" by EPA standards, and is actually on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory list, a registry of exemplary scenic and recreational streams. Just yesterday, we saw turtles, fish, deer, beaver activity, hop hornbeam, elderflower, sycamores, not to mention the other paddlers and fishermen enjoying the beautiful stream on a hot summer day. A pipeline and combustion plant puts this all in jeopardy. I strongly advocate for solar and wind in lieu of fossil fuel. Fossil fuel use is short sighted, both economically and environmentally. My neighbors and I will be putting up a fight to stop this pipeline. We'd happily welcome solar and wind.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration</p>	negative	Caroline	Hutchins	



7/7/2023	Public Comment – Opposing TVA Methane Plant and Pipeline	<p>I am writing to state my right to OPPOSE the Methane Plant and Pipeline. I live in Cheatham County in Ashland City, TN. The methane plant proposed would be built on the hill just over from our home and the pipeline would run through our hills and directly through our backyard and onward across the street through the rest of our land and on. We farm our fields and having a pipeline in our fields which out in the country is our “yard” surrounding our home will forever stop any production of crop on our land. We live in a rural area and our property is zoned Greenbelt. I have great concern and anxiety of the high risk of explosion and fire from this plant and pipeline. We live on 30 acres and obtained our property in hopes to one day have our daughters have property to build and prosper. Our water source comes from Sycamore Creek that is directly tied to this proposed site. Even the officials from the water department have publicly announced in the recent weeks that contamination of this water source from this proposed site would be a critical impact to the system even with the filtration system and systems in place to clean the water. There are documented “ENDANGERED Species” within the creek and property and this would negatively impact the Environment violating Federal Protection of Endangered Species. We were never alerted of any proposed site and plans that will forever change our lives until 6 days before the original deadline for Public Comment which has given the public the belief to believe we were being “intentionally uninformed” for a reason. According to the Green New Deal we are to have 100% clean, renewable energy by 2030 which this proposed Methane Gas Burning Plant and Gas Pipeline does not meet. In addition, we need clear understanding how this proposed project will protect the rural areas who are trying to grow crops and live clean, some even running on solar, and rural communities trying to do what we can to contribute positively to clean energy yet this proposed project is making us Dirty and Polluting and risk to burn our trees and land, not to mention potential death. The President of the United States in May of 2023 just devoted \$11 Billion Investment to Advance Clean Energy across RURAL America but how does that include polluting our water source, running pipelines through our hills and land – blasting our farm land, risks of burning everything we have for miles, etc.? The Federal Government has documented gas leaks of 26.6 billion cubic feet of Methane Gas from 2010 – 2021 which is equivalent in its effects on GLOBAL WARMING to emissions from more than 2.4 million passenger vehicles driven in a year. The frequency of gas leaks has not declined despite time and money spent to address leaks in the wake of deadly explosions. A major gas leak happens every 40hours and we are terrified to have this come through our yard, community, or anywhere. The TVA should take IMMEDIATE action to address climate change and transition to clean energy.</p> <p>I recently heard the community should propose an alternative to this proposed site.....Well, just as I tell people all the time.....If I need to propose the clean energy solutions I expect compensation by TVA and a permanent job as that is your job. I am a nurse for the past 19 years and I don't go to patients and tell them to tell me what to do to care for them and then I will do it. I was trained and have spent the past 19 years in the profession and it is my duty to provide care to patients and families with the utmost integrity. Providing safe, high quality care....the same I fully expect from TVA. The TVA must immediately stop any further production of this proposed site and offer the community an official TVA presentation with full disclosure and time for public questions. And we don't want another meet and greet presentation in a small room where we look at poster boards. We deserve an actual TVA speaker who speaks to the community at one time in a large space that is quite and the community can hear and have time for questions. The TVA can not continue legally in accordance with Federal protection with this proposed project through our water source and also killing endangered species. If this project moves forward we need lifelong compensation to survive since our crops will be gone and also compensation for lifelong therapy for our children and ourselves of the anxiety and fear of explosion that is a realistic threat. We live in a rural area and have also been told by the TVA Representative that this proposed project will be built to support West Nashville so then move it to West Nashville if they need it and want it. Don't come to the Clean rural area and risks burning us up and destroying our land and produce and livestock for the Big City. Take the industrial to the Industrial area. We have been told our electric bills will increase and I have been told directly by realtors no one will buy our properties and that yes, despite what you all are saying...our property values will decrease with the pipeline running thru the land and yards and not to mention the massive methane gas plant at our back door and within very short distance TWO Major schools in our county. Who will produce the documentation and guarantee by the federal government that there will be no fire, no explosion, no contamination of water, no noise, no smell, no increased bills, no decrease in property value, no danger to the wildlife and endangered species, no risks to our crops and production of farming on our lands and risk to our wildlife which is hunted for food source, etc. ???? Again, Stating my right to Oppose Methane Gas Plant in Cheatham County and Gas Pipeline. It is clear gas has no place in a modern clean energy network. Gas leaks and pipeline incidents are common and put public health and safety at risk. A 2020 study, estimated that there are more than a half million leaks in local gas systems in the US and that leakage from these systems was FIVE TIMES Greater than the amount estimated by the EPA.</p> <p>There is growing availability of safer alternatives and the TVA has an obligation to protect our nation and future generations and climate. Eliminating one plant just to turn around and build another is not the solution.</p>	negative	Heather	Donelson	
----------	---	---	----------	---------	----------	--

7/8/2023	Proposed TVA Site (AshlandCity, TN)	<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>This email serves as noticed that “we” the community are opposed to the TVA site in Ashland City, Tennessee.</p> <p>Concerns:</p> <p>(1) The drinking water that supplies hundreds of homes and provides water to thousands of Cheatham County residents comes from the creek that is directly below you site. This water is pumped across the county from the treatment plant on Floyd Hudgens Rd. We are are worried about leaking natural gas lines, contamination coming from storage of lithium batteries etc. Historically the TVA has these issues in the past. Undoubtedly your self reporting shows minimal compared to actual percentages found from testing.</p> <p>(2.) Noise, I mean who wants to listen to the gas turbines? No one I know of. This creates a public nuisance. You claim to be “allowed” so many decibels from the property lines. I can assure you I can hear the traffic passing on the highway at night which is further than these turbines will be.</p> <p>Don't forget about the fumes from burning all that gas. Who wants to inhale that all the time. Are TVA workers required to wear special mask when around the fumes? If so how will that affect me and my family,?</p> <p>(3.) Traffic, Our small country roads cannot handle large amounts of traffic. As you have already noticed some places are barley wide enough for school buses. Our bridges to and from the location “crossing the same creek we drink from” are not designed for the weight of large machinery. It will be questionable whether or not you can get approval from TDOT to cross them. Lockersville road which is a point of travel to this proposed facility on average flash floods 2-3 times per year.</p> <p>Historically this road has been on the local news for 40yrs because of the flooding.</p> <p>(4.) Cheatham County High School,</p> <p>Your facility will be within 1000ft of a high school. Which brings into question “if” there's an explosion, you've now put hundreds of children's lives in danger. Why create a dangerous environment so close to a school?</p> <p>(5.) Fire, our local fire department has already expressed their concerns that they are not equipped to handle large fires from lithium batteries. Again, why create an hazardous environment that could pose significant risk to first responders?</p> <p>(6.) Property Value, we all know that when TVA comes to “town” our property values decrease. Who's gonna supplement the value of my home when it decreases? (7.)</p> <p>Speaking of property, now I'll be subject to higher home owners insurance due to this gas line coming through my property or right next to it.</p> <p>Property, the TVA just takes your property? Something I worked and built and it made my own? You're just gonna take it? Doesn't seem right does it? Side note, why was your meeting so hush, hush? The public wasn't really informed about the “public notice” meeting until the last minute. We don't like shady shenanigans. If you really wanted the public to know, you could've posted large signs by the roadway, up and down the roadway. We all travel that road everyday.</p> <p>What about Social Media??</p> <p>That's all for now, thanks for your time.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Residents Of:</p> <p>Floyd Hudgens Road</p>	negative	Chris	Tuberville	
----------	--	---	----------	-------	------------	--

6/28/2023	proposed gas plant and pipeline in Cheatham County Tennessee	<p>I am a 20+ year resident of Cheatham and I live within range of the effects of the proposed generation site. Cheatham County is renown for its pristine waterways, wetlands, forests, sportsman activities, generational and organic farms, availability of fresh water, and wildlife management areas. All of these are the things that drew and keep residents here. What is deeply troubling is the lack of transparency with which TVA has acted - failure to adequately engage with the residents and county government, glossing over the environmental, personal, and economic impact of such a project, attempting to obtain our buy-in with cookies and cokes in an open house specifically designed to appear to give information while justifying a path which seemed to already be certain to take place. We, the people most affected, seemed to be treated as rural hicks without the intelligence to know what is best for us. The "Cheatham County Generation Site" will negatively impact our lives in many ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>&gt; pollution by noise, light, noxious greenhouse gases and discharges, smells, runoff into water supplies and soil</li><li>&gt; pollution detriment to native flora and fauna, some threatened and endangered and some managed by wildlife management (i.e., bald eagle habitats, deer, fish, etc., including some species perhaps undiscovered)</li><li>&gt; destruction of scenic areas</li><li>&gt; complications for traffic</li><li>&gt; lowering of property values</li><li>&gt; flood plains</li><li>&gt; geology and ground water</li><li>&gt; cultural resources</li><li>&gt; emergency planning</li><li>&gt; soil erosion</li><li>&gt; air quality.</li></ul> <p>The "new jobs" that TVA has said this project will bring to the county will not offset those generational and organic farmers and residents who may lose their livelihood from the impact of the above mentioned and those residents who leave because this county is no longer the haven it used to be. Eminent domain, as experienced in other parts and times of our country, has not adequately or fairly compensated those affected by it. If TVA is forward looking for sustainable energy and has plans to eventually phase out this plant and pipeline, then invest the resources to define, refine, and implement the sustainable resources rather than putting stop-gap measures. "There is nothing so permanent as a temporary solution." While the emollient statement is that the project will be advantageous for the "grid", the hard truth of the matter is that the pipeline takes the energy produced through Cheatham County and into the burgeoning metropolis of Nashville. It's difficult to see how this benefits Cheatham County. Locate the plant in Davidson County where the need is greatest. Use the resources that this project will require to research and produce sustainable energy sources that reduce our carbon footprint, lower greenhouse gases, and help to ease the very real climate crisis. Educate the general public in healthy ways to cut consumption without affecting quality of life. Provide households with the means to establish passive energy to relieve the demand on the grid. Organize the grid with fail-safe backups. Don't do more of the same and expect it to have different results. Don't bamboozle us with the rhetoric that government agencies will monitor the project and correct any missteps. There are almost daily reports of how the EPA is being restricted and effectively gutted as a watchdog agency. Our most likely course of action would have to be litigation after the fact, and experience has taught us how difficult that is. Treat the residents and environment of Cheatham County with due respect and consideration. Would you build your million dollar mansion or your cottage or your weekend getaway within the range of the Generation Site? Thank you for your consideration.</p>	negative	Jo	McDaniel	37015
7/4/2023	Proposal for Cheatham County gas plant/pipeline	<p>I live within three miles of the approximate seventy five acre plot that is proposed for the TVA gas plant and pipeline. I am very much opposed to this being built in our neighborhood. It seems to me that the construction process alone would be very disruptive to our area. Every two lane road, Macon Wall Rd., Lockertsville Rd. and Sweethome Rd. would be used for access to this endeavor, during construction and daily operation. This would be within feet of many, many homes, mine included. *My main recommendation would be to seriously consider the VAST OPEN areas of the Fort Campbell area or the West Tennessee area.* Being a Central Tennessee area should not make our county top choice because of its vulnerability. This would “never fly” in many of our counties with even fewer homes in their area than this site. One of our blessings here has been our isolation from interstates. All the bedroom counties of Davidson County are exploding with run over population from Davidson County’s growth. Cheatham County takes on the need for added housing and education from those flocking to the Davidson County area.</p>	negative	Rose	Ulrey	
6/22/2023	Project on Lockertsville Rd in Cheatham County	<p>Please advise what TVA’s procedure will be for removing the old cemetery located in the middle of the field. I grew up on the adjacent property and hunted on this farm when it belonged to the Binkley family. I was unable to attend the meeting last night so forgive me if this has already been answered. Thank you for your time.</p>	neutral	Jerri Renee	Cannon	37015

6/26/2023	Please Reconsider!!	<p>I am writing to express my concern and deep opposition to the proposed Cheatham County gas pipeline construction project.</p> <p>As a resident for nearly 30 years in the Pond Creek area, I am deeply committed to preserving our lovely surroundings and invest in options that reduce pollution and environmental impact. I urge you to consider alternative energy sources. TVA has the capacity to impact our community and our planet in beneficial ways rather than destructive. Please use your influence, resources and position to develop and promote the health, safety and wellbeing of our citizens. Solar, wind, and as yet undeveloped energy sources could be built with this money. Gas is no renewable and therefore has a limited future return.</p> <p>God bless you as you seek wisdom in this endeavor to serve Tennesseans.</p> <p>Angela Wittenberg 1360 Pond Creek Rd Ashland City 3701</p>	negative	Angela	Wittenberg	37015
7/7/2023	Oppose the TVA Methane plant and pipeline	<p>It is obvious citizens of Cheatham County do not want a toxic fumes, loud explosive methane gas plant in our beautiful green county. Despite the immediate danger to the Lockertsville Road area this is detrimental to all of the county's citizens.</p> <p>This affects a much boarder area than the land they purchased and the farms, homes and water around it. This could devalue all of our homes and create the image that Cheatham County is a non-desirable, heavy industry, dirty and toxic place to live. This will discourage our single family home developers, retail business and improved infrastructure from taking place in Cheatham. This County is growing and allowed to do so to attract good quality of life for families is what it's all about. Not welcome to a toxic cancer causing pit of fumes, heat and loud explosions.</p> <p>Our children deserve better than being exposed to this there are 2 schools in that area.</p> <p>The watershed and wells will suffer, the wildlife will suffer families and their farms will suffer.</p> <p>We have nothing to gain from this and everything to lose. Relocate this plant it will not even benefit our county, it's Nashville's problem.</p>	negative	Deborah	Doyle	
6/16/2023	NPS Comment Letter_003	<p>The NPS also recommends that TVA address a renewable energy alternative in the EIS. As the nation's largest government-owned utility, the TVA is in a unique position among federal entities to lead by example and dramatically shift the environmental and climate burden of energy production in the United States. As noted in our comments on the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF) Retirement Project EIS, the NPS encourages TVA to increase the renewable component of their generation fleet mix to the maximum extent feasible when selecting power generation replacement options. The proposed construction and operation of CHG is connected to the CUF retirement, and if constructed, will be in place for decades to come. As outlined in Executive Order 14008<sup>2</sup>, there is an urgent need to reduce GHG emissions and minimize dependence on fossil fuel-fired generation. We recommend that TVA address how this action integrates with other related TVA proposals and how power generation replacement options across the entire system are consistent with the guidance in Executive Orders 14008 and 14057.<sup>3</sup> Executive Order 14057 sets quantifiable goals for the government in reducing GHG emissions, including a carbon pollution-free electricity sector by 2035.</p> <p>At this time, based on the information available, NPS does not anticipate requesting cooperating agency status under NEPA for this project. However, as we are aware of potential impacts to NATR, NATT, and Sycamore Creek and unsure whether there will be impacts to additional NRI rivers, TRTE, National Register properties, and the Tennessee Civil War NHA or other resources where we may have jurisdiction or special expertise, the NPS would appreciate continued engagement on this project so that we may more thoroughly review project specifications as they become available and alert TVA to any potential issues at the earliest possible time. We also may find upon further review that it may be appropriate for the NPS to be a consulting party under NHPA. Therefore, please ensure that potential impacts to NATR, NATT, Sycamore Creek, other NRI rivers, TRTE, National Register properties, and the Tennessee Civil War NHA are addressed in your analyses regarding the project and that we are included in any applicable future correspondence.</p> <p>We look forward to our continued collaboration on this project. Please let us know if we can supply you with copies of the congressionally designated alignment of the TRTE or any other information for use in your analysis. The NPS would be happy to provide further information related to our jurisdiction or special expertise to inform TVA's analysis as needed. Please direct questions to Dusty Pate, Energy Specialist, at 404-772-0637 or haigler_pate@nps.gov.</p> <p>Sincerely, Ben West Program Manager, Planning and Compliance Division cc: Mr. Patrick McIntyre, Jr., Tennessee Historical Commission Dr. Carroll Van West, Center for Historic Preservation, Middle Tennessee State University</p>	negative	Ben	West	

6/16/2023	NPS Comment Letter_002	<p><b>Nationwide Rivers Inventory</b></p> <p>A section of Sycamore Creek listed on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) administered by the NPS runs along the southern boundary of the CHG property. The NOI indicates that the CHG property would also include an approximately thirteen-acre pollinator habitat along Sycamore Creek as part of the action alternative. Potential impacts to Sycamore Creek from CHG construction and operation, including the pollinator habitat development, should be evaluated in TVA's EIS. NRI listed segments of Jones Creek and the Harpeth River are also within twelve miles of the CHG, the length of the proposed natural gas pipeline. The location of associated infrastructure, including the pipeline and transmission lines, and any resulting impacts to other NRI river segments should also be disclosed in TVA's EIS. NRI river segments are potential candidates for inclusion in the National Wild and Scenic River System. Under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Section 5(d)(1) and related guidance, all federal agencies must seek to avoid or mitigate actions that would adversely affect one or more NRI segments. Geospatial data for the NRI can be located at the following link: <a href="https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2233706">https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2233706</a>.</p> <p><b>National Scenic and Historic Trails</b></p> <p>The CHG site is within about ten miles of the Northern Route of the Trail of Tears National Historic Trail (TRTE) at its closest point, and NATT is within NATR. It is unclear what the impacts to TRTE and NATT might be from the CHG site or from proposed associated infrastructure, i.e., the natural gas pipeline and transmission lines. The location of associated infrastructure and resulting impacts to National Scenic and Historic Trails should be disclosed in TVA's EIS. Geospatial data for the designated alignment of the TRTE can be located at the following link: <a href="https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2238914">https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2238914</a>.</p> <p><b>National Register of Historic Places</b></p> <p>The NPS notes that the Cheatham County Courthouse, Sanford Wilson House, and St. Michaels Catholic Church are National Register listed historic properties within twelve miles of the CHG site. Other historic properties in the area may be affected by the project. TVA should complete compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Section 106 in its analysis for the project, including identification of historic properties and the area of potential effects.</p> <p><b>National Heritage Areas</b></p> <p>The project is within the Tennessee Civil War National Heritage Area (NHA).</p> <p><b>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases</b></p> <p>While cleaner than coal, natural gas-fired generation emits criteria air pollutants and just over half the amount of greenhouse gases (GHGs) on a pound per megawatt basis as coal.<sup>1</sup> In addition, natural gas well drilling, production, and transport also results in GHG and criteria pollutant emissions. The NPS recommends that these direct and indirect effects are quantified and addressed in detail in the EIS.</p>	negative	Ben	West	
6/16/2023	NPS Comment Letter_001	<p>The National Park Service (NPS) has reviewed the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) notice of intent (NOI) to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to address potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County, Tennessee. The Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) would replace a portion of the Cumberland Fossil Plant generation planned for retirement by the end of 2028. Connected actions, such as the construction of an approximately twelve-mile natural gas pipeline and offsite transmission lines, will also be assessed in the EIS. TVA has requested comments concerning the scope of the EIS, including environmental issues that should be addressed, as well as information and analyses relevant to the proposed action. The NPS has identified several areas of jurisdiction or special expertise that may be affected by the project. However, it is difficult to understand the scope of the proposed project from the project location description provided in the NOI and other information on TVA's project website, which did not include a detailed description or map of TVA's property where the CHG would be developed. The NPS requested and received a basic map of the Cheatham County property separately from TVA as a result. Additional information regarding the pipeline route and transmission lines was not available for NPS review. Based largely on the map we received of the TVA property where the CHG would be developed, the NPS provides the following scoping comments for consideration in the development of the EIS:</p> <p>National Park System</p> <p>The Natchez Trace Parkway (NATR) northern terminus is approximately 21 miles from TVA's CHG property, and the Natchez Trace National Scenic Trail (NATT) is contained within the boundary of NATR. Both NATR and NATT are units of the National Park System. Potential impacts to NATR and NATT air quality from CHG construction and operation should be evaluated in TVA's EIS. Geospatial data for the administrative boundaries of National Park System Units can be located at the following link: <a href="https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2298879">https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2298879</a>.</p>	negative	Ben	West	
6/30/2023	Lockertsville Rd land	<p>I own a house at the corner of Newland Hollow Road &amp; Lockertsville Rd in Ashland City. TVA is wanting to build a methane gas site, lithium battery site and 12 miles of gas line along this area. I have lived here since 1999 and bought this property because it was quiet, rural, full of nature and animals as well as a great neighborhood. I am opposed to this site, as many others, due to the hazardous conditions that would become of this area from air quality to our waterways possibly being contaminated with what TVA is proposing. This isn't even to mention how loud it would be and dealing with constant lights. I know in the past, when there have been leaks in the waterways, it hasn't been dealt with immediately. I know if there were an explosion, this would be catastrophic, possibly more so than other areas where explosions have taken place and burned hundreds of acres. You also will make the flooding situation that happens around these parts, much worse. Please use the coal sites that you are shutting down rather than having new sites that will be nothing more than a nuisance for this community. Ashland City gets nothing from you coming here. Nashville will get the electricity while Ashland City gets the headache</p>	negative	Tammy	Bishop	37015

6/25/2023	Heartfelt NO to TVA Methane Generation site on Lockertsville Rd, Cheatham County 2023	I just left my comment via the online comment site <a href="https://tvaforms.wufoo.com/forms/mam2f8s1fhz4u6/">https://tvaforms.wufoo.com/forms/mam2f8s1fhz4u6/</a> But I wanted to include a video of my drive down Lockertsville Rd that I love because of its quiet natural wooded beauty. BEAUTY!! As I would protect my son from an abuser's harm and exposure to poison, I intend to protect my home from TVA. I use the term abuser because I continue to discover many cases of TVA's problematic facility's leakages, explosions, and hazards it places on the environment and the living. I would call this abuse by TVA. This cannot happen here. TVA must develop an intelligence amongst it's leadership employees and find a way to shift our energy needs and methods to harness electricity. I will attach my recording of my video to this email. I ask that TVA abandon's this Cheatham county 286 acre site as it's next to my home hamlet - a quiet agricultural community of generation farmers and homestead seekers who wish to protect our natural land, water, air and creatures. -Nerissa Aquino Protector	negative	Nerissa	Aquino	37015
7/3/2023	FW: We do not want you in Cheatham County Tennessee.. This is farm land and rolling hills and water. This will destroy the farming not counting the leakage into our water sources and cost millions of dollars . No stay out ! This is not for cheatham c	We do not want you in Cheatham County Tennessee..This is farm land and rolling hills and water. This will destroy the farming not counting the leakage into our water sources and cost millions of dollars. No stay out! This is not for cheatham co...	negative	Sherry	Osborne	
7/7/2023	FW: No power plant in Cheatham County	Hello, my name is Alex Faulkner and I have been born and raised in the 6th District of Cheatham County. I am a member of Scout troop 6 and we are 103 years old and have 104 Eagle Scouts! Last year in eighth grade I wrote a paper on the Tennessee Valley Authority. One day when I'm old enough I would like to have a seat on their Board of Directors. Today, I rise in opposition to the planned use of fossil fuels. I appreciate TVA in their efforts to curb the use of coal as natural gas is a more reliable source that would slow down the current carbon footprint on the earth. Why can't we invest in renewals? Why can't we utilize this 285 acre parcel for the new generation of reliable recourses? On a recent trip through Georgia I looked out the window and saw miles and miles of solar panels and thought that it would make good manufacturing jobs, provide clean electricity, and help curb the increased carbon footprint. We came here tonight to beg you to turn TVA towards a better direction and allow people like me to lead the organization when you guys are long gone. THANK YOU	negative	Alex	Faulkner	
6/22/2023	FW: 2024 Integrated Resource Plan [#10]	At what point will regard for life be above that of the desire for money? As someone who lives in Cheatham County, TN, I am 100% against this. It will negatively affect everyone, human and animal alike, who lives here.	negative	Adell	Daly	37082
6/25/2023	Document - Jun 21, 2023 - 4-52 PM.pdf	I appreciate the opportunity for the public to provide feedback on this issue. I personally have major questions about the proposal, as seen below. 1. Having the EIS compare only action vs. no action sets up a biased study - an "action" result doesn't mean it is the best choice, or even a good one. It would just show that it is better than doing nothing. a. EIS should look at benefits of solar, wind, biofuels as well as CT b. The EIS needs to do a more complete examination of the extent of the impact of this plan on the water table. 2. Dangers of methane pipeline: a. Risk of explosions b. The negative impact of methane gas on the environment is also scientific fact, not supposition. c. The instability of natural gas prices (i.e.-both the California Air Resource Board, and the California Energy Comission expect Natural gas prices to trend higher at least through 2030). This is particularly relevant since one of the factors impacting natural gas prices is extreme weather conditions (AKA the impacts of climate change). In summary, the TVA has a responsibility to the people of this state to not only provide power in the short term, but also to take a longer view - to acknowledge the science behind climate change and focus resources on developing and employing renewable energy resources.	negative	Daniel	Rachlin	

6/21/2023	CONSERVATION GROUPS' REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE CHEATHAM COUNTY GENERATION SITE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS), KINGSTON FOSSIL PLANT RETIREMENT DRAFT EIS, AND PUMPED HYDRO PROGRAMMATIC EIS__001	<p>Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), TVA has published five significant proposals for public comment. For all five highly technical proposals, TVA has established five deadlines within a two-week window. To ensure that TVA satisfies NEPA's mandate to provide a "springboard for public comment,"<sup>1</sup> on behalf of Appalachian Voices, Sierra Club, Tennessee Chapter Sierra Club, National Parks Conservation Association, Appalachian Mountain Advocates, and the Center for Biological Diversity (collectively, "Conservation Groups"), the Southern Environmental Law Center requests that TVA extend the public comment deadlines by thirty (30) days for the Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement (from June 27 to July 27), Kingston Draft Environmental Impact Statement (July 3 to August 2), and Pumped Hydro Storage Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (from July 5 to August 4). 1 Robertson v. Metthow Valley Citizens Council, 490 U.S. 332, 349 (1989)</p> <p>Between May 12 and May 25, TVA requested comments on a three new gas plants, two new gas pipelines, numerous solar and storage facilities, multiple pumped hydro storage projects, and the entirety of its energy resource planning and selection process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• On May 12, TVA published a Notice of Intent ("NOI") requesting comments on the scope of environmental review for a new 900 MW gas-fired power plant and 400 MW battery storage unit in Cheatham County. Comments are due June 27.<sup>2</sup></li><li>• Also on May 12, TVA published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for its proposal to replace the retiring Kingston coal plant with two new gasfired power plants. TVA set a July 3 deadline for comments.<sup>3</sup></li><li>• On May 19, TVA published a Notice of Intent requesting comments on a programmatic environmental impact statement for solar and storage. TVA set a June 20 comment deadline.<sup>4</sup></li><li>• Also on May 19, TVA published a Notice of Intent ("NOI") requesting comments on the scope of its upcoming Integrated Resource Plan and Environmental Impact Statement. TVA set a July 3 comment deadline.<sup>5</sup></li><li>• On May 25, TVA published another Notice of Intent, requesting comments for Pumped Storage Hydro Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. TVA set a July 5 comment deadline.<sup>6</sup></li></ul>	negative	Trey	Bussey	
6/21/2023	CONSERVATION GROUPS' REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE CHEATHAM COUNTY GENERATION SITE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS), KINGSTON FOSSIL PLANT RETIREMENT DRAFT EIS, AND PUMPED HYDRO PROGRAMMATIC EIS__002	<p>First, the five overlapping comment periods undermine public comment. "NEPA's public comment procedures are at the heart of the NEPA review process."<sup>7</sup> NEPA "requires not merely public notice, but public participation in the evaluation of the environmental consequences of a major federal action."<sup>8</sup> By requiring comments on every one of these major, interrelated proposals to be filed at the same time, TVA is critically undermining the opportunity for public engagement on each of them. The five energy proposals present significant questions about TVA's energy future. The decisions are of critical importance for the roughly 10 million people who are served by TVA and whose rates pay for TVA's capital expenses and fuel costs. The proposals are all fundamentally related within TVA's energy operations: the IRP is intended to plan for the Valley's energy needs, while gas, solar, and storage are potentially competing resources that TVA may consider to fulfill those needs. A thorough and searching analysis, supported by meaningful public participation, is vital for the people and places throughout the Tennessee Valley who will bear the consequences of TVA's actions for decades to come.</p> <p>2) <a href="https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/cheatham-county-generation-site">https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/cheatham-county-generation-site</a>. 3 <a href="https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/kingston-fossil-plant-retirement">https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/kingston-fossil-plant-retirement</a>. 4 <a href="https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/tva-solar-and-battery-programmatic-environmental-impact-statement">https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/tva-solar-and-battery-programmatic-environmental-impact-statement</a>. 5 <a href="https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/integrated-resource-plan">https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/integrated-resource-plan</a>. 6 <a href="https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/pumped-storage-hydro-programmatic-environmental-i">https://www.tva.com/environment/environmental-stewardship/environmentalreviews/nepa-detail/pumped-storage-hydro-programmatic-environmental-i</a> 7 California v. Block, 690 F.2d 753, 771 (9th Cir. 1982). 8 Id</p>	negative	Trey	Bussey	
6/21/2023	CONSERVATION GROUPS' REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE CHEATHAM COUNTY GENERATION SITE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS), KINGSTON FOSSIL PLANT RETIREMENT DRAFT EIS, AND PUMPED HYDRO PROGRAMMATIC EIS__003	<p>Second, TVA should extend the comment deadlines for the Kingston gas plants and pipeline, the Cheatham County gas plant and pipeline, and the pumped hydro storage facilities because TVA must update its outdated integrated resource plan before making billions of dollars of investments in new assets. The 2019 IRP is outdated and no longer provides meaningful guidance on TVA's decisions. The 2019 IRP does not reflect TVA's own decarbonization goals, much less TVA's obligations to comply with the Biden Administration's policy of achieving a "carbon pollution-free electricity sector by 2035."<sup>9</sup> The IRP did not account for the Inflation Reduction Act, which makes billions of federal dollars available for clean energy projects to TVA and TVA's local power companies.<sup>10</sup> The IRP did not plan for carbon mitigation of TVA's fossil fuel assets. In comments on the TVA's proposed Cumberland gas plant and pipeline, EPA demanded that TVA provide a detailed discussion of how TVA would mitigate carbon emissions from a new plant.<sup>11</sup> TVA refused.<sup>12</sup> But EPA has since published a draft rule proposing new mitigation measures for coal and gas plants.<sup>13</sup> While the rule is not yet finalized, a pending EPA rule represents foreseeable environmental compliance costs that the 2019 IRP does not address. By proceeding with projects in an environmental and economic scenario that is not reflected in the 2019 IRP, TVA is demanding that the public conduct its own leastcost planning and selection analysis for TVA's new projects. TVA's own IRP process takes many months, yet TVA asks the public to do the same thing in roughly 45 days for four different projects. TVA should not make a decision at all about Kingston, Cheatham, and pumped hydro storage until it has conducted a new IRP to assess whether those proposals—after accounting for the IRA, federal decarbonization targets, and potential carbon mitigation—can credibly contribute to a portfolio that achieves the lowest system cost. If TVA does proceed based on its outdated 2019 IRP, 9 Executive Order 14008, Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad, 86 Fed. Reg. 7619, 7624 (Feb. 1, 2021).</p> <p>10 Caroline Eggers, The First U.S. Climate Bill Will Make Renewable Energy Easier, and Cheaper, for TVA, WPLN (Aug. 8, 2022), <a href="https://wpln.org/post/the-first-u-s-climate-bill-willmake-renewable-energy-easier-and-cheaper-for-tva/">https://wpln.org/post/the-first-u-s-climate-bill-willmake-renewable-energy-easier-and-cheaper-for-tva/</a>. 11 TVA, Cumberland Fossil Plant Retirement, Final EIS, Appendix P (Dec. 2022). 12 Id. 13 EPA, New Source Performance Standards for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Fossil Fuel-Fired Electric Generating U nits; Emission Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Existing Fossil Fuel-Fired Electric Generating Units; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule, 88 Fed. Reg. 33240 (May 23, 2023), <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/news-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed">https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/news-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed</a>.</p>	negative	Trey	Bussey	



6/21/2023	CONSERVATION GROUPS' REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE CHEATHAM COUNTY GENERATION SITE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS), KINGSTON FOSSIL PLANT RETIREMENT DRAFT EIS, AND PUMPED HYDRO PROGRAMMATIC EIS___004	<p>TVA must extend the comment periods by an additional thirty days to allow the public the opportunity to engage in the least-cost inquiry TVA has refused to do. Third, the public also needs additional time to consider EPA's proposed greenhouse gas regulations. During the comment period EPA proposed a lengthy, technical rule to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from new coal- and gas-fired power plants.<sup>14</sup> Those regulations appear likely to apply to the Kingston and Cheatham gas plants, substantially altering the costs of new gas plants relative to emission-free alternatives. TVA has not included detailed discussion of the carbon mitigation technologies—hydrogen blending and carbon capture and sequestration—in the Kingston Draft EIS, Cheatham County Generation Site Notice of Intent, or any other public document. Commenters need additional time to evaluate the implications of the new EPA rule on the Kingston and Cheatham proposals. Fourth, the public needs additional time to study pumped hydro storage. TVA has only one pumped hydro storage facility, constructed by 1978. While framed as a programmatic EIS, TVA's scoping notice identifies particular locations of likely pumped hydro storage projects. New pumped hydro storage was not modeled in the 2019 IRP, and it may have significant site-specific impacts on the local ecology, including to wildlife, land use, and water resources. The public needs more time to understand and comment on these and other potential impacts. For all these reasons, we respectfully request that TVA extend the deadlines by 30 days for Cheatham EIS, Kingston Draft EIS, and Pumped Hydro Storage Programmatic EIS comments. TVA Proposal Current Deadline Deadline Requested Cheatham County Generation Site June 27, 2023; July 27, 2023; Kingston Draft EIS July 3, 2023; August 2, 2023; Pumped Hydro Storage July 5, 2023; August 4, 2023</p> <p>A 30-day comment period extension will help to ensure that interested parties have a meaningful opportunity to express their concerns. We appreciate your consideration and ask for a response not later than June 16, 2023. 14 EPA, New Source Performance Standards for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Fossil Fuel-Fired Electric Generating Units; Emission Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Existing Fossil Fuel-Fired Electric Generating Units; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule, 88 Fed. Reg. 33240 (May 23, 2023), <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/news-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed">https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/05/23/2023-10141/news-source-performance-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-new-modified-and-reconstructed</a>.</p> <p>Respectfully submitted, /s Trey Bussey Trey Bussey, Amanda Garcia, Chelsea Bowling, Daniel J. Metzger Southern Environmental Law Center</p> <p>Appalachian Mountain Advocates <a href="mailto:tbussey@selctn.org">tbussey@selctn.org</a>, <a href="mailto:agarcia@selctn.org">agarcia@selctn.org</a>, <a href="mailto:cbowling@selctn.org">cbowling@selctn.org</a>, <a href="mailto:sbiggs@selctn.org">sbiggs@selctn.org</a>, <a href="mailto:dmetzger@selctn.org">dmetzger@selctn.org</a> Gaby Sarri-Tobar (Center for Biological Diversity), Amy Kelly (Sierra Club), Brianna Knisley (Appalachian Voices), Axel Ringe (Tn Chapter Sierra Club), Jeff Huter (National Parks Conservation Association)</p>	negative	Trey	Bussey	
6/24/2023	Comments Cheatham County Natural Gas Plant and Battery Storage - Shine on Cheatham_001	<p>My comments are also attached. Comments to TVA Regarding the Natural Gas Plant and Battery Storage System, Cheatham County, TN Submitted by Martha Wettemann, Ph.D, Public Policy and Administration Organization: Shine on Cheatham Mailing Address: 714 Darrow Drive, Pleasant View, TN 37146 Email: <a href="mailto:marthatsea@comcast.net">marthatsea@comcast.net</a> Phone: 615-746-8916</p> <p>TVA should extend the comment period. I was first notified of the June 21 public in-person open house in Cheatham County in the Ashland City Times on June 14, 2023. I attended this meeting, at which time I learned that any comments we wished to make had to be submitted by June 27, 2023, just 6 days after the Open House. The meeting was crowded and chaotic. There was not a specific presentation by TVA on this particular project; there were information posters all throughout the small room but they pertained to many aspects of TVA's plans. It was hard to find the information on this specific project. I asked some questions of people who said they were TVA staff but who had nametags with just their name but no TVA insignia so how was I sure they were even answering for TVA? They also could not answer many of my questions. TVA should be working toward eliminating costly, polluting fossil fuel plants, not constructing new ones. We have a climate emergency due to the high levels of greenhouse gases in our atmosphere now! I recently returned from a trip to Hartford, Connecticut on June 8-10, 2023, during the time that fires were raging in Quebec, Canada, creating the worst air pollution in the world in New York City. We could see the smoke in Hartford, Connecticut. TVA must take more steps now to develop alternatives like energy efficiency, solar, and wind power, not produce more carbon emissions. I asked someone I assumed was a TVA representative at the Open House why they were including a battery backup system with the methane plant, since a methane plant could operate 24/7 without a battery backup. They explained that the battery backup was to support solar energy installations somewhere else on the grid. I then asked why they couldn't install solar energy here at the Cheatham County site, since they were including a battery backup system. They said there was not enough land at this site to install enough solar. He did not answer how much land they would need. TVA needs to study and provide information to the public on the capacity of this site for solar and how much energy could be produced here with solar, and consider the alternative of solar on this site with battery backup. TVA should expand the scope of the study to a broader geographic area and look at other less polluting energy sources that this community needs and wants. NEPA regulations require the considerations of reasonable alternatives. A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting and dangerous. Why should the residents of Cheatham County be exposed to the pollution and danger of a methane gas plant and pipeline while residents in another area reap the benefits of a clean solar installation backed up by our battery backup system? Where would the other solar be located? Is it environmentally just to locate a polluting methane plant in a lower-income area such as that around this plant may be while the solar installation may be in a more well-off area? TVA must reveal what and where the backup system is designed for. TVA must state whether there would be safety issues with a methane plant on the same site as a lithium battery backup installation. Any steps TVA has made to move ahead, such as agreements with pipeline companies, need to be disclosed at this point to the public. My brother worked for a pipeline company and I am well aware of issues that arise with maintenance. I asked a person at the Open House who said he was with TVA if pipelines were installed, who would be responsible for maintenance of the pipelines, as we know that lack of maintenance has caused pipeline breaches and environmental degradation at various locations in the country. He assured me that TVA would be responsible for their maintenance. TVA needs to specify if they would be responsible for maintenance or if they have already talked to others about handling pipeline maintenance and what qualifications they would require of anyone doing that. What would be the route of a proposed pipeline and would it impact any clean water sources? I request a draft copy of the EIS. Martha Wetteman</p>	negative	Martha	Wettemann	37146

7/7/2023	Comment - Cheatham County Generation Site	<p>Sir/Madam.</p> <p>I am a resident of Cheatham County with deep roots entwined on my family's State registered Century Farm located less than a half mile from TVA's proposed Natural Gas Plant and Battery Storage System. Your proposed site was once a part of our historical farm. Prior to my ancestor's ownership, this site was deeded to Mr. Ganier as a land grant from the US military as pay for his services during the American Revolutionary War. Needless to say, I am extremely concerned about the potential negative impacts of this development to our water quality; our soil quality; our air quality; our property values; and our silence and solitude – my family's, our neighbor's, this land's, and our beloved farm's overall health and wellbeing. While I do understand the importance of this project, I strongly oppose it being constructed amongst our historic farmland and growing community. Knowing our County through generational eyes, I'm confident you can find better suited sites throughout this area. Several of which have small-scale versions of the infrastructure that will be required for such a project. As examples: Cheatham County's Industrial Park is located approximately 10 miles from this proposed site and sits alongside Highway 12 South – a mere 20 miles from your targeted service users in the Nashville area. An existing natural gas pipeline runs through this industrial area; a sizeable substation and more suitable power lines are adjacent. This same pipeline crosses the Cumberland River and emerges along Hwy 251 (River Road). There is currently a piece of commercial property on the market within less than 1/10 of a mile from the existing pipeline; a substation and larger power lines nearby. The Cheatham Wildlife Management Area - 20,000+ acres located on the south side of the county – is crisscrossed with both natural gas pipelines and major electrical transmission lines. One corner of the property is located less than 20 miles from Nashville. All three of these properties sit along state highways designed for heavy loadbearing hauls – such as needed during construction, unlike the proposed site sitting amongst.</p>	negative	Rhonda	Ellis	
6/27/2023	Cheetah county impact analysis	<p>I saw on Facebook that the TVA may be adding a natural gas fueled generator in Cheetam county. While I'm thrilled that you are planning to retire the coal generators in our state, I would prefer that you consider more renewable energy sources. I live just north of Cheetam county in Montgomery county, so I have concerns about what this new generator would mean. Natural gas is better than coal, but it's still a fossil fuel and adds to global warming. I was pleased to see that your plans include 13 acres (I think that's correct) for pollinator habitat. I believe the TVA is doing about as much as it can to preserve our environment, but I hope your organization does even more in the future. Thanks for taking my comments</p>	neutral	Paula	Boley	
6/30/2023	cheatham ng plant	<p>To whom it may concern,My name is Paul Binford. We have a farm on Mosley Ferry Rd , Cheatham County ,where we have raised our children and now our Grandkids are enjoying living on our farm. We enjoy our rural country living. I am concern about the impact of a proposed NG plant that TVA is wanting to place on Lockersville Rd. I know TVA is wanting to build natural gas processing plants to replace coal fire plants. These plants may have a life on the order of 40 plus years. Emissions are better than coal but still emit small particles (Pm 2.5) that are tied to asthma, other health effects, and premature death in extreme situations. TVA burning natural gas for another 40 plus years also doesn't help our grandkids with impacts from climate change. I would support the battery farm but not natural gas. Research from Harvard has shown very bad effects of Pm 2.5. See research Paper: <a href="https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/c-change/news/fossil-fuel-air-pollution-responsible-for-1-in-5-deaths-worldwide">https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/c-change/news/fossil-fuel-air-pollution-responsible-for-1-in-5-deaths-worldwide</a>. I would think our county and TVA would be concern about the Pm 2.5 particles that will be in our air. I would like to see some alternative solutions. We do not want to hurt our environment. I would think our county and TVA would do an impact study of what this will do to our environment and our economics of Cheatham County.</p>	neutral	Paul	Binford	37035
7/7/2023	Cheatham Generation Site	<p>I would like to voice my complaint against this site. My grandparents, parents and I have lived in this country community for most of our lives. It is a nice, quiet place to live and raise families, and I would like for it to remain that way. I'm sure there are other less populated places where this plant could be built. We don't want the noise, air, or water pollution. Please rethink this site</p>	negative	Gloria	Williams	

6/22/2023	Cheatham County Site info for Utility District also at site	<p>Mr. Johnson, My name is Stephen Ayres, General Manager for the Pleasant View Utility District. We have a water treatment plant in the vicinity of the proposed Cheatham County generation site, our raw water intake neighbors the site across Sycamore creek. This is our only source of water for our utility district serving 6,300 customers in Cheatham county. Our customers and my Board have a lot of questions regarding this project and our source water at Sycamore creek, do you know who we could speak to about setting up a meeting to discuss the potential impact to our district? Thanks, Stephen Stephen Ayres General Manager Office 615-746-5315 Mobile 615-906-4579 Email stephenayres@pvudwater.com www.pvudwater.co</p> <p>To Whom It May Concern; My name is Stephen Ayres, General Manager for the Pleasant View Utility District. We are a public water and wastewater utility district serving 6,300 customers in the town of Pleasant View and rural Cheatham County. I am writing today regarding our concerns about the proposed Cheatham County generation site. I have attached maps showing our infrastructure on this proposed site. PVUD's chief concern is the protection of our source water; Sycamore Creek. On the attached Parcel View TVA Site jpeg you see the proposed TVA site is directly across Sycamore Creek from our raw water intake, which is the only source of water for our water treatment plant. On the PVUD Source Water PDF you can see PVUD's transmission line for the raw water runs through a part of the TVA owned land. We are very concerned about the possibility of gas leaks on or around this property, the introduction of any kind of oil-based product to Sycamore Creek in this area would immediately render our water treatment plant inoperable. While PVUD does have emergency connections with neighboring systems, PVUD does not have enough potential supply from those connections to fully operate our water system, if our source water became contaminated our entire community would be at risk of running out of safe water within hours. PVUD would like to extend an invitation to TVA to meet whenever possible to discuss these concerns. Please feel free to email me at stephenayres@pvudwater.com or contact me at one of the phone numbers below. -Stephen Ayres</p>	neutral	Stephen	Ayres	37146
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Site Document Request	<p>Ms. Johnson, I am writing to request additional documents from TVA / NEPA regarding the Cheatham County Generation Site so that I can complete my EIS Scoping Comments. These documents include: 1. The preliminary Gas Pipeline map that was included as part of Wednesday's handouts and in the recently added document titled Scoping-Open-house-Posters on your web site. Based on the small size in the available documents, I am requesting a full-size (at least equivalent to 8 1/2" x 11") copy of the map. 2. A copy of the map showing the full locations of all 7 streams on the site as determined by your internal hydrologic determination. TVA submitted a packet to TDEC for concurrence on the determinations dated 4/11/23, but it did not include the map referenced in the cover letter or as requested from TDEC in follow-up emails to Craig Phillips. 3. A list of the TVA representatives that were present at the 6/21/23 Meeting in Ashland City. I neglected to note last names for most of the folks I spoke with. I hope I have not waited too long to request the above, as I'm certain you are busy with the upcoming comment deadline of 6/27/23. Thank you in advance for your assistance, and it was nice to meet you the other night</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/27/2023	Cheatham County site	<p>I think TVA needs to focus on solar power for future needs. Please do not build a gas powered site here.</p>	negative	Jennifer	Herstek	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County project	<p>Plain and simple, we don't want this facility in Cheatham county or at all, for that matter. It's time to implement more non-fossil fuel power generation. Our highest temperatures recorded EVER occurred this week and it's due to burning fossil fuels. STOP IT!</p>	negative	Brian	Hallgren	
6/27/2023	Cheatham County project	<p>My name is Jerri Renee Cannon. I live near the proposed site. What impact will blasting have on our homes, wells, etc? Can you provide a comparison of what the noise levels will be? What line of travel will be used to access the site since Lockertsville Rd is too narrow to accommodate large trucks or heavy machinery? What is the impact on Spring Creek and Sycamore Creek (both creeks border the property)? Sorry for all the questions but I attended a county commissioners' meeting last night and was not provided with information based on factual data. Thank you for your time and consideration. Have a blessed day.</p>	negative	Jerri Renee	Cannon	37015
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_NEPA	<p>Hell no, we do not want this pipeline or gas plant in Cheatham County. Find somewhere else</p>	negative	Dan	Lee	
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#99	<p>If the TVA is considering this plant along our drinking supply, they might want to consider bribery of the village and its residents by way of a baseball sports complex. Many of the residents desire a 4-6 youth baseball/softball fields and complex in order for their sports to grow and host tournaments to bring further growth to the area. They lack the land and money for such a feat at this moment but something for you to consider. TVA has much deeper pockets than the young residents of this up and coming town which is the last one before you reach Davidson County and its high taxes. Good opportunity for TVA to establish itself before no one recognizes this place in 10 years due to current growth.</p>	negative	Shaun	Mojzis	37146
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#98	<p>Highly opposed to this proposition</p>	negative	Haleigh		37043
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#95	<p>I strongly disapprove of this!!!!</p>	negative	Jenny	Kimmel	37027

7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#94	Please consider not wiping out this beautiful land for yet another soon-to-be obsolete energy source when we have so many other less invasive options.	neutral	Jason	Gigax	37027
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#92	To whom it may concern, We the people of the Nashville surrounding area do not support this power plant proposal. It is disgusting, and our people want no part in it. We will not support this build, and we will make that very clear in whatever efforts you make to pass it. Nikki Pazdra	negative	Nikki	Pazdra	37172
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#91	I vehemently appose any power generating facility planning on being build and/or installed in Cheatham County or surrounding area	negative	George		37146
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#90	<p>Building a pipeline where TVA is proposing will, quite literally, kill us. Drilling has already been proven to be horrible for this planet. The planet we, as HUMANS, live on for a brief period of time. And for what? This town does not benefit by what will happen. We suffer because of it. The town benefiting is about 25 minutes away from Ashland City. There are places closer to that town than anywhere within this city's limits. This shouldn't be happening in general, but there are other places This could be built. Around where they are trying to do this are the most beautiful views, wildlife, and sounds. The ecosystem here shouldn't be put in a situation it could potentially not snap back from. Not only will the ecosystem decline, the people will suffer too. As a local I know that some residents use well water to do things around the house, including cooking with and drinking. What happens if, by some chance the water that they use gets contaminated with oil, eventually rust, and well the things we don't know about and these people start to get ill or pass away? Is there an insurance policy you have to cover that potential? Are you able to, with surety, keep the population of this county and the land on which we live safe? As for a solution, well I don't know much about this, but I have an idea as a citizen of this county and fellow human being.</p> <p>There is always the best idea you could choose for all parties involved. Just stop hurting this planet. Just cut your losses, if there are any, and think of a better way. You could go solar, you could go water even. Use what we are given. We are blessed to have these resources available to us, why do we have to get to the center and take it? It's given. I truly hope this community can keep thriving the way it has been for many decades; Without anything damaging the soul, the spirit of this land. Doing this would force people to move. We love it here in this small town. It would be a shame to have to leave it. A sad day that would be. With much love and pride for this town and as a respectful human, thank you for your time. I really hope these get read and taken in. We can make the change that us as a collective need. Money doesn't matter when you are dead, and no one lives forever.</p>		Ceirra	Smith	37015
7/2/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#89	We do not want you here in Cheatham. Take it somewhere else. This isn't safe. We have enough harmful things to deal with just in water and food already. Please pick another location.	negative	Brooke	Brooks	
7/2/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#88	We do not want this in Cheatham county! Especially so close to our water source!	negative	Lindsey	Sloan	37146
7/2/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#87	Cheatham County will be negatively impacted by this project. Our County is known for its natural resources..wildlife..farming..the Harpeth River. Please relocate this project.	negative	Kimberly	Scheuer	37143
7/2/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#86	NO. NO. NO. This would risk the health and safety of so many people and animals. These farms are our Life. This would contaminate the water we drink, that or animals drink. The air we all breathe. There are too many creeks, streams, and rivers nearby to even consider this location. There are endangered crayfish in these creeks that are protected. Please stop destroying our world.	negative	Cammi		37015
7/1/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#85	We do not want this Methane Gas Plant in Ashland City on Lockertsville Road built. It will impact the environment negatively, noise pollution, water source. This will also have an impact on our property values due to the location of the proposed facility and our property.	negative	David		37035
7/1/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#84	There surely are other options available in a more industrialized area than the rolling hills and waterways of our community? I am disappointed this wasn't addressed and revealed to the community prior to the purchasing of the land. Where is our leadership? Isn't there some accountability to the citizens who make this area home? It seems as if TVA is just providing a forum without providing any solutions that would alter the course of this bad, bad decision. I implore you to sell your land and find another alternative than disrupting this land, rivers and streams and it's citizens, their homes, or we will just become another part of this area dug up, cut down and replaced with some hideous commercial facility that no one wants in their back yard.	negative	Cindy	Tillis Shorey	37015
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#83	I live in the area that would be affected by this pipeline. I grew up in an area in Illinois surrounded by power plants like this and almost everyone in my family and many of my friends suffered a type of cancer. I had leukemia for 5 years and was lucky enough to survive it, as was my grandma who had breast cancer. But both of my grandpas who lived in the area died of their respective cancers (leukemia and brain tumors). Allowing this to be built in our area will endanger current residents, their children, and generations to come.	negative	Alicia	Vallarta	37035
7/1/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#82	NO PLANT IN ASHLAND CITY??? WE HAVE TOO MANY WATERSHEDS AND PREVAILING WINDS TAKE IT TO NASHVILLE. BAD BAD BAD BAD BAD LOCATION.	negative	Mel		37015
6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#80	I don't get it. You don't want us cooking with gas anymore yet you want to use it to make electricity? Build a solar farm. Please.	negative	Cherie		37040
6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#79	This will impact our community's whole ecosystem, native plant species, watersheds, and wildlife. An area of land can't only be viewed from an aerial perspective, you must be on the ground driving the area daily to fully understand the environmental impacts building this facility would create on Lockertsville Road, because the road wasn't designed to handle heavy equipment traveling this narrow country road. This would be a tragedy for our peaceful community! Some of the residents and landowners in this community are 5 generations strong and planned on leaving a legacy for their great-great grandchildren. If this facility eventually is built I don't imagine it being a positive experience for our families	negative	Cary	Hunt	37015
6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#78	It would be a tragedy to put this plant in here. Find another place. Too much at stake to risk an accident and spill chemicals in the water supply. If that were to happen it would affect much more than just our local area plus it would endanger the wild life. This is a NO on many accounts. I am willing to join forces and get as many signatures as possible to stop this from ruining our community.	negative	Patty Sue	Ashcraft	37146

6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#77	The proposal by TVA for a gas site in Ashland City is unnecessary, undesired and detrimental to the residential area surrounding it. It will destroy the natural beauty of the area	negative	Patsy	Rippetoe	37015
6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#76	I am opposed to the natural gas plant in Ashland City	negative	Kendell	Marvel	37015
6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#75	I am opposed to the natural gas plant in Ashland City	negative	Randa	Marvel	37015
6/29/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#74	Regarding the proposed gas facility on Lockertsville Road: what was the criteria for choosing a site? How large a factor was the cost of the property, and the cost of doing business in Cheatham County, vs Davidson County? How were residents and contractors building homes in that area notified? What environmental impact analyses where performed? Where are those results? What open-to-citizen meetings were held (or are going to be held)? What positive economic impact will occur to the citizens of Cheatham County? What negative impacts? Land, once developed, can never be returned to its predevelopment state. What is the traffic impact on the surrounding community? People live here for a reason; folks have a right to continue the lifestyle they have (rural, quiet, non-polluted, pristine).	negative	Marsha	Hunter	37146
6/29/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#73	My children play here we do NOT need this	negative	Anna		37015
6/29/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#72	I am writing to express my strong opposition to TVA's proposal to build a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) natural gas plant in Cheatham County. As I write this, the evidence of the destructive impacts of climate change are literally in the faces of middle Tennesseans. There is an air quality alert due to wildfires in Canada as well as a dangerous heat alert. Scientists have made it very clear that these and other impacts of climate change are going to get much worse unless there is a concerted global effort to rapidly and steeply reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The U.S. has made a commitment under the terms of the Paris Climate Accord to reduce such emissions by approximately fifty percent by 2030. This is no time for TVA to be building more fossil fuel plants that will result in CO2 emissions and that risk the leak of methane into the atmosphere. Instead, I urge TVA to replace the power production from the Cumberland Plant with a combination of installing utility-scale solar plus battery, incentivizing DER (especially rooftop solar), and greater efficiencies. As a person of faith, for me this is a moral issue. We must protect God's good creation from the already present destructive impacts of climate change on humans and all other living beings with whom we share this bountiful but threatened planet. Thank you for your consideration. Rev. Paul Slent	negative	Rev. Paul	Slentz	37027
6/28/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#71	TVA should extend the comment period since the deadline is just 6 days after the Open House and overlaps with multiple other TVA open comment periods. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting, dangerous and costly compared to alternatives like energy efficiency, solar and wind power.</li><li>• TVA should be putting itself on track to eliminate costly, polluting fossil fuel plants, not building brand new ones.</li><li>• TVA should expand the scope of this study to a wider geographic area and study other less disruptive and polluting energy sources that this community and energy consumers deserve. Per NEPA regulations and precedent, TVA has to consider reasonable alternatives - not just action and no action.</li><li>• Any steps TVA has already taken to advance its preferred alternative—like precedent agreements with pipeline companies—must be clearly disclosed to the public.</li><li>• If integrating renewables is a justification for the project, TVA must explain in detail what it needs, where, and why.</li><li>• TVA is beginning a new Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), and justification for this project from the last IRP is already out-of-date. TVA should not rush to build more fossil fuel projects when it is already behind in energy efficiency and renewable energy.</li></ul>	negative	Lydia	Watts	
	Cheatham County Generation Site_#70	Please do not pursue this site. This area is not suitable for this type of operation. We citizens of this area do not appreciate the effort to put this in the middle of our farms and residential area near our small town. Especially, when the much larger and wealthier beneficiaries are in West Nashville. We will not allow this bullying go on with out a response. Their are most certainly more suitable locations than this. This feels like an attack on our neighborhood and way of life. You shouldn't pick on people unnecessarily like this. This community is fired up and ready to fight this misstreatment by your much TOO powerful organization. Oh, Thank you for your consideration.	negative	Matt	Clarke	37035
6/28/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#69	Ashland city has been a growing community for years. Why can't they locate a truly "rural" are with less population? Using tactics to slowing buy up property then have a meeting to let the people know what is really happening is not good business. This will ruin property values in the surroundings area and it will be hard to recover. Big business needs to do more Due diligence	negative	Esther	Hunter	37015
6/28/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#68	Please stop the building of a methane gas pipeline. As we find ourselves in the throws of climate change, it is not progressive nor in the best interest to continue to rely on methane gas There are opportunities for the TVA to find alternative energy solutions and we need these alternatives now. You are crippling people with whom have has their land for over a century. I KNOW how the running of pipeline deflates property value and I KNOW how you DO NOT compensate family for the agregious takeover of their land. It happened to my family Stop, please!	negative	Susanne	Smith	37015

6/28/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#67	Having grown up on Lockertsville Road, where the proposed plant site is, I am deeply concerned about the TVA's choice in location. This is a perfect example of big business preying on impoverished communities. It is not lost on me that Cheatham county is the poorest county surrounding Davidson. We have always known we would be subject to growth based on Nashville's exponential growth over the past decade but this plant has no true benefit to our community. It may be 50% better than coal, but it is an undertaking that will cost billions and it isn't even the most efficient option. Our community is not one with a coal plant so this methane plant will be 100% worse than what we have. Not only will it ruin our ecosystem, it will only create a handful of jobs for our community and will be paid by our tax dollars. Our community has been built by farmers who would do anything to protect their land. The farm I grew up on was given to my family in the land grant two centuries ago. The Lockertsville area is comprised of many families with the same story. Our families have been tightly knit for generations. Tennessee is most known for its lush farmlands and right wing politicians who are against government overreach. Now is the time to protect the farmers who are often used as pawns in political games. To summarize my concerns more objectively: During the winter when rolling blackouts were utilized because of the fragility of coal and natural gas plant in the cold, it was stated we needed to find an alternative just as strong but more resilient. Natural gas is not more resilient. TVA.com sites that this plant is to maintain grid stability while wind and solar generating assets are integrated into the transmission system. What is the risk:reward to spending taxpayer money and tearing apart farms to just bridge us over to solar and wind power.	negative	Rachel	Moss	37027
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#66	This methane plant is not welcome in our beautiful county. The TVA was not forthcoming in its plans to build this plant. The residents of our county deserve to live and breathe clean air. Why is the TVA no longer considering a clean option for energy, such as solar? At least that would not disrupt the beautiful environment in which we live	negative	Julie	Whittaker	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#65	I am a homeowner in Ashland City, not 3 miles from this proposed site. The area is clean and full of biodiversity. This site will destroy almost 100 acres of clean land. The water nearby, the air, will all suffer Why does this need to be in ashland city, there is a landfill and other sites like a junkyard that could be rezoned and cleared to house this project. If west Nashville is the beneficiary of this, place it there. Not in my backyard. Why wasnt I notified this was even happening? This is my backyard. This is being shoved down our throats. If you think we won't fight till the end, you've made a major miscalculation. We will fight.	negative	Michael	Ceglia	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#64	I have several concerns about the plant. Of course the environmental impact that this plant and pipeline will cause on the area is concerning. The literature all cites that natural gas plants are 50% better than coal plants based on the carbon emissions. However, this does not change the fact that it is still worse than no power plant at all. As the world and the country continues to become more aware of climate change there is no doubt that the regulations will continue to become stricter against the use of fossil fuels for energy production. There have been several reports that the TVA is not going to be at net zero by the deadline. The creation of new fossil fuel plants seems to be more of a statement against the legislation than a productive measure to get Tennessee closer to the goal. Thus I ask, if fossil fuels are banned in the recent future and this plant will be decommissioned and a complete loss for not only the tax paying citizens of Tennessee but for all of the rivers, wildlife, and historic family lands. My next concern is a question of the motives to put this plant in this location. It seems almost illogical to try to construct a site in such a remote area away from the main roads. The investment of clearing the site and building unwanted infrastructure would be costly. What are your plans for transport? Will you disrupt even the roads we drive to our homes? I also would like to address the elephant in the room. This plant is, as we've been told, being constructed to feed the ever growing consumption of energy by Nashville. However, rather than put the plant in Nashville or even Davidson County, you have elected to build it in the much poorer area outside of Nashville. A place where the citizens are more vulnerable to being taken advantage of, less likely to put up a fight. A place where the closest hospital is miles away. A place that has to rely on volunteer fire fighters. How do you plan on handling situations like what happened to Dickson in 1992 with much less resources? Lastly, you are providing no value to us. You are not bringing jobs. You are not giving us cheaper power. You are not providing revenue. You are giving us nothing and taking away our clean air, our peace, and our land.	negative	Tiffany	Smith	
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#63	My husband and I live approximately 2 miles from the site of the proposed methane gas plant in Ashland City. Our concerns are numerous with regard to the noise, the smell the destruction of our property. We were told that this gas is needed for the West Nashville area. It does not seem logical to build the plant on this site. It would seem that building it closer to the main gas line would be more cost-effective less impact to the environment and impact fewer people. The pipeline itself will impact our property which is very hilly and rocky not to mention damaging to our property value and limit our use of our land. This farm has been in our family close to 60 years and we cringe at thinking it will be destroyed and affected adversely. The proposed site is a gorgeous piece of land that hugs the hollar with sycamore creek winding beside the road. What a shame it brings tears. There is no access to this land for this property and typically only tractors would be traversing the property. Why wasn't a site chosen closest to the main gas line and near a four lane highway instead of a rural quiet sleepy community. We were told that there would no neg noise issues or smells but that also does seem possible. Thank you for allowing our comments. Please try to answer my questions if you can	negative	Scarlett	Smith	
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#62	This plant is not wanted in Ashland city. If it is to benefits Nashville, find a place to put it there. I do not want do tomatoes drinking water!	negative	Jennifer	Davis	37015

6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#61	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the location of the proposed natural gas plant in our rural area and the associated pipeline extension that would traverse our farm. As a concerned resident and landowner, I believe that such a project would have detrimental effects on our community, environment, and quality of life. Furthermore, I would like to suggest an alternative location for the plant that would be more appropriate and less impactful. First and foremost, I firmly believe that the better location for the natural gas plant would be within or near the existing Cheatham County Industrial Park that is already established and is within a quarter of a mile of the existing gas line being used to feed the plant. This industrial park is already equipped with the necessary infrastructure and facilities to support industrial operations, making it a logical choice for such a project. By utilizing this existing industrial park, the need for a new pipeline extending through our rural area can be avoided, thereby minimizing disruption to our community and farmland. Moving the natural gas plant to the industrial park would also mitigate the negative impacts on our rural area. The industrial park is designed to accommodate industrial activities, ensuring that the noise, emissions, and visual aspects associated with the plant would be contained within an appropriate industrial setting. This would preserve the peace and tranquility of our rural community, preventing the industrialization of our scenic landscape and safeguarding the quality of life for local residents. Additionally, locating the natural gas plant in the industrial park aligns with the principles of sustainable development. By utilizing existing infrastructure, resources, and industrial areas, we can minimize the environmental footprint of the project. This approach promotes efficient land use, reduces the need for additional infrastructure development, and optimizes the utilization of existing energy supply networks.</p> <p>I kindly request that the Tennessee Valley Authority seriously considers the suggested alternative location for the natural gas plant within the existing industrial park. By doing so, you would demonstrate a commitment to responsible planning, community concerns, and the efficient use of resources. This alternative would strike a balance between industrial development and the preservation of our rural area, fostering a harmonious coexistence between economic growth and environmental conservation.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter and for considering my suggestion. I trust that you will give due consideration to the concerns and recommendations raised by the community. Your thoughtful evaluation and decision-making process are crucial in ensuring the long-term well-being and sustainability of our region.</p>	negative	Arnie Lee	Davidson	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#59	<p>TVA, Please do not come in and destroy family farms that have been farming for generations. Our families worked hard for these farms and are grateful that our younger generations are still willing to care for and work the land. Why would you want to pollute the farms that produce food for our families, and farm animals. Please keep Nashville's dirty, stinky, noisy, polluting plant away from our farms that our families have worked so hard for. It is so wrong to just come in and take our farms that we work to keep, pay taxes on. We're the ones that make our land so precious. TVA needs to find a place closer to the parts of Nashville that would be supported by this plant. It's not benefiting anyone in our area!!! This is just wrong!</p>	negative	Gale	Newton	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#58	<p>Please don't build this pipeline through our beautiful country</p>	negative	Jason	Fransos	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#57	<p>Consider another site besides a narrow two lane road, near school and creek. Power of immanent domain plans on purchasing land to serve counties besides the county where the plant is located. Plant will adversely affect Cheatham County on so many levels from historical Indian Land, wildlife, natural waterways, infrastructure, air pollution. Methane gas is one of the stinkiest, foulest smells ever. Having lived in New Mexico where methane is a predominate industry, it was brutal to breathe. You are asking land owners and wildlife to breathe that air day and night for the remainder of their lives. Voting NO!</p>	negative	Diane	White	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#56	<p>This facility is not needed. There is nothing wrong with the coal plant at Cumberland City. The TVA should be using all resources including coal for power and abandon the Bobo Administration's effort to turn the US into a third world country.</p>	negative	Shaun	vanBergen	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#55	<p>I have concerns about the plan which will effect the 13 acres of pollinator space at Sycamore Creek. Our environment is declining rapidly due to construction such as this and we need to conserve as many spaces as we can for our pollinators which are decreasing in numbers. Please consider leaving this space alone or finding another location that will not have a detrimental effect on our local environment.</p>	negative	Monica	O'Neill	37035
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#54	<p>I've lived less than 2 miles from the proposed site my whole life. Right at the end of Sycamore Creek where it meets the Cumberland River, also, on a farm near Lockertsville Rd. My goal is not to bash TVA for their plans to build a power plant close to where I live, but to say in the most nice way that what I think they're trying to build is WRONG and shouldn't be permitted. I, and the people who live here and surrounding areas, don't deserve having to breathe the pollution this plant will emit from the constant burning of methane gas. This should be illegal! This is harmful to people, wildlife, and the planet. We have way smarter people in this world that could come up with better alternatives than this. Whoever's idea this was is obviously not living in this area, therefore, doesn't really care of the consequences that will arise. The pollution entering the water we drink and to the whole entire fish ecosystem is enough alone to shut this down. Why would anyone want to do this is the question? Is it for the money? The worst out of all, is the destroying of personal property that landowners living in the pipeline path will have to endure. Giving up your hard earned land to the government because they have no choice is the absolute saddest thing anyone could ever go through. How could TVA feel good about this when there are other options and better ways that benefit all. You're smarter than this TVA, you can do better! As an individual who calls this area home, I do not give you permission to build a power plant here in Cheatham County. Speaking for all the individuals who haven't voiced their comments because they're just now finding out, we DO NOT give you permission to come take our land and destroy it while polluting our air and water. You have a coal plant in Cumberland City you can use for the burning of methane gas. Not Cheatham County! I understand how the land here would catch your attention, this beautiful area definitely has that affect. We are not for your future plans and ask that you find a better alternative that benefits all.</p>	negative	Robert	Mimms	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#53	<p>Hello, I am a resident of Cheatham County and a frequenter of Sycamore Creek and I am honestly disturbed by the news of the potential power plant on the creek. I understand the needs of the electrical grid and the challenges we face, but to risk the health of a nationally registered waterway is appalling. I ask that TVA at least come up with an alternate plan as would be likely in any proposal of a new project. Thank you</p>	negative	Andy	Sahn	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#52	<p>I do not want a methane plant near my home. I am actually amazed that TVA is not promoting renewable energy sources. It's obvious they are only interested in profits and not the customers that enrich them. 600 acres can support a lot of solar panels. Ex. Rest area outside of Jackson TN. Please have some vision that is beyond your current balance sheets and/or your current executive bonuses. Regards Steve Tillisch</p>	negative	Steve	Tillisch	37015



6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#51	I am opposed to the proposed TVA methane gas plant and pipeline in Cheatham county. At this point, TVA should no longer be building brand new fossil fuel plants. A new methane gas plant and pipeline are environmentally disruptive, polluting, and will continue a reliance on fossil fuels. TVA needs to expand the scope of the study to include assessment of the potential for other energy source alternatives such as solar and wind power. Solar and wind power are reasonable alternatives that are not addressed by an "action versus no action" assessment. Plus, TVA should widen the scope of the study to a larger geographic area. It's clear to me that TVA's preferred alternative is the site off Lockertsville Road that they purchased a few years ago. Any steps that TVA has already taken to advance this preferred alternative such as precedent agreements with pipeline companies should be clearly disclosed to the public. I don't want TVA pushing to build more fossil fuel projects. To meet our energy needs, TVA needs to be building operations that use renewable energy sources. I don't want TVA saddling our beautiful Cheatham County with a polluting, fossil-fuel using methane gas plant and pipeline that will do more harm than good for this county. TVA needs to be a leader in producing energy with renewable sources, not fossil fuel. TVA should start by putting this methane plant and pipeline on hold and SERIOUSLY study other alternatives, not just put out a performative assessment that we can't trust will be conducted in good faith	negative	Zada	Law	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#50	I am opposed to the building of a TVA plant (of any kind) or pipeline in Cheatham County. Please do not build here--this is not only a giant leap backwards when it comes to halting and reversing climate change, but it would be a terrible detriment to public health as well as the environment.	negative	Yasmine	Ali	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#49	Fossil fuels are a dead end. We need to stop depending on this source for energy and be progressive in this state. Climate change is real!	negative	Amy	Cooke	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#48	I live on almost 7 acres just YARDS from the proposed methane gas plant on Lockertville Rd. At the age of 62, I have spent a lifetime of hard work creating the life that I grew up in -- away from the noise and construction of city life. My farm neighbors have been hear for decades, centuries! In the blink of an eye, TVA proposes to destroy everything we hold dear in Cheatham County: history, farmland, wildlife, the Sycamore Creek and the value and ownership of our property. I have tried for three years to get information from TVA about any project that would be proposed for the property on Lockertsville, but nobody would even respond. We had to find out at the last minute -- through a third party -- about the TVA "NEPA" event on June 21, 2023, which was nothing more than a misleading dog-and-pony show. *Your PR team was woefully unprepared to answer 99% of the questions posed by hundreds of residents concerning the construction and use of a methane gas pipeline and plant in our county, and in too many cases we were told outright lies. *The one map provided was pitiful. A 4th-grader could have done better. One area was totally mislabeled. The map had NO street names, so we were not able to determine exactly where the proposed pipeline would run, and that was probably intentional. The inset that showed the pipeline map measured only inches. The large map was just a blob of color where the TVA property is located with some lines for streets. Lockerstville Rd. was labelled, but not much of anything else. *When asked about the construction of the plant, as we are all concerned about large machinery being moved on our narrow, rural roads, a TVA representative told us that a "transportation company had already been contracted." Wow. Really? "Contracted" prior to an initial public meeting? *One representative told me, and a gentleman standing beside me, that this facility would provide electricity to the surrounding areas. What he didn't know was that a CEMC employee was standing right next to me, and he told me that CEMC is in the dark on everything about this project. *One representative had the nerve to tell us, "TVA informed all of the surrounding neighbors about the meeting." I live yards from this property, I know all of my neighbors, and we received ZERO information prior to the meeting! The only reason anyone knew was that Appalachian Voices posted information that someone saw, and TVA placed some flyers at the local Cash Saver. The head of TVA makes \$10 million per year, but the agency could not spend less than \$100 to mail those of us around this property information about the meeting. *Hard-core safety information was not provided concerning fires, battery storage, noise, traffic, etc., and in fact, we were told that "fires would never happen." (Do you really think Americans are that stupid?) *Did you confer with local water, fire and police departments about this proposed pipeline and plant? We're hearing that TVA did not. These are the people who will be on the front lines when things do go wrong, yet they knew nothing. *I did not speak to one TVA representative who had actually seen the proposed site or visited our beautiful countryside. *The fact that this plant may only be in existence for 22 years makes the disruption and destruction even MORE ludicrous! If we can't trust TVA with the small stuff, how can we trust you with a methane gas plant and pipeline in our pristine, agricultural county? We're not buying it, and we will fight it with every fiber of our beings. We are showing up in numbers. We are contacting the media, local and national. We are working with groups to instigate lawsuits. We are meeting with our congressional representatives. We will be demanding an investigation into TVA practices. By your own lack of common courtesy; lies by omission; underhanded and fraudulent misrepresentation of your agency to this county, you have given your project a bad name before you have begun. You are using our tax dollars to destroy our lives, our property values and our safety. For these reasons alone, the TVA should be banned from our county. Just because the TVA is a federal agency, you have no right to bully Americans out of everything they've worked for in their lifetimes just because you say you can. WE, the People, should have the final say on how our tax dollars are used, and not a federal agency that is frantically spending billions of our tax dollars on power solutions in the wrong places because they are mandated by the current administration to shut down coal plants with any of you NOT have compassion or sense of logic in this case? The irony is that the first photo, which I took of early morning fog on Lockertsville Rd., was recently used as the cover of a recent CEMC monthly magazine. WE WANT THE TVA OUT!! Go to industrial areas with your projects. You DO NOT NEED TO BE IN CHEATHAM COUNTY	negative	Nanette	Malher	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#47	My home is on Lockertsville Road and I'm concerned about the possible air, ground, water and auditory pollutants of the gas plant. I know what the turbines do to the ground of the surrounding areas. The runoff of the pollutants will put toxins in Sycamore Creek where our water comes from. Otherwise I'd like to know how you'd keep them out of the creek. If there is a methane gas leak how do you keep it from poisoning the community. If the pipeline runs through some of our yards, will you guarantee no leak to our gardens or wells? And how do you make that guarantee	negative	Diane	Singleton	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#46	It is outrageous that TVA has planned such a huge and invasive project in Cheatham County for the benefit of Nashville. In addition, TVA has hijacked pristine land in the county for what will become a huge eyesore offering zero benefit to this county. TVA has not informed any of our utility, law enforcement, fire, or emergency departments of such a project that will directly affect water consumption by county residents and potential hazardous conditions. What is most shocking is that TVA bamboozled this county by not advertising anywhere other than their website, which by the way, is not something any resident in Cheatham County would go to for any reason, that is unless, something like this occurs. No information, no talk, no honesty, etc... I could go on. We do not need our water source, Sycamore Creek, to be polluted with any residue from such a gas plant cycling water from it to do whatever. TVA has been so underhanded in your dealings with this project and lying by omission, the TVA should be investigated immediately by the State and the Congress	negative	Debbie	Keenan	37015

6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#45	<p>New Power Plant Concerns: Reasons for extending time for Impact Study and Review</p> <p>1) Blasting Damage to underground streams/aquifer</p> <p>A) Cheatham County has many homes and business on well not "City" water.</p> <p>Impact: Loss of improved tax base both Homes and Business as well as the traditional farm base of this County, if wells, spring feed cattle and irrigation ponds go dry.</p> <p>B) Contamination with septic systems History: In Hamilton County, Ohio Winding Creek which flows into the North Branch of Sycamore Creek, part of the Little Miami watershed. Some 60 years ago, before there was the awareness of today, a thoughtless but expedient plan was used to instal a drainage line. The stream bed was opened and used to route drainage line. This ill considered breaking of the hard pan has resulted in a reduction of habitat by a dramatic diminution of water flow. The steady summertime levels of the Cheatham County streams is the result of the spring/ground water feed. This must have appropriate study to avoid a Winding Creek disaster.C) Loss of habitat and wildlife. Winding Creek is but one of thousands of disasters that have led to ENVIRONMENT IMPACT REVIEW. The Cheatham Wildlife Refuge is nearby. Bald Eagles have multiple nests in that preserve. Any disruption of the water and wildlife will, of necessity, have a negative impact on this listed Endangered Species.</p> <p>D) a comprehensive study of the limestone caves/crevices must be undertaken to determine wether or not there is unidentified life which, like the Snail Darter, would be damaged or endangered by an ill considered project. 2) Sound and surface water Contamination/Pollution A) Construction, While it may be of only a relatively short duration, 5-10 years. The impact due to the change in short term habitat will have one of indefinite duration on the surrounding wildlife ecosystem. Fouling of creeks, streams, leading into the Cumberland River and estuaries along it will impact the microbes, fish, etc in them. That in turn will impact the birds (Eagles) which along with other land based animals and reptiles feed and water there.</p> <p>B) Operation of the completed plant will have:</p> <p>a) decibel levels at ranges above human hearing.</p> <p>b) at these decibel levels OSHA will require human operators to wear hearing protection. Protection not available to the plant/animal ecosystem.</p> <p>3) Air Pollution, Regardless of the fuel source any hydro carbon powered electricity generating plant will emit pollutants. This needs a more fulsome study than has been provided to date. No data has been offered as to number, size or capacity of generating unites. Desired result ; A prudent deferment commensurate with nature of the Scope of the Environmental Impact Study is needed to answer the above concerns and those raised by others.</p> <p>Avoid the appearance of a cram down. Here is a Car. Buy it. We'll tell you the color. horsepower and options later. That's what the voters and stakeholders here are being asked to do. Grant time for an appropriate study. Respectfully submitted- Alfred K Nippert, Jr.</p>	negative	Alfred	Nippert, JR	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#44	<p>TN. To put this plant in the rural neighborhood not only affects all nearby residents with a drastic decrease in their property values, but it also is devastating to the quiet enjoyment of our respective properties. The increased noise (not only in construction of the plant, but also in production once completed), traffic on rural thoroughfares, the unsightly towers and especially the SMELL of the burning fuel is concerning to all people living near this operation. Of course, putting more greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere is only going to contribute to the global warming that is quickly killing our planet. I find it very insulting that this was sprung on the community with no warning. No matter how much TVA claims otherwise, no one came "door-to-door" to seek our opinion of this project. To put this plant in the proposed location will mean an uphill battle for TVA and an epic pushback from local residents. I attended the scoping meeting last week and was not impressed with the "answers" the representatives were giving. (I was raised on a farm and spent my whole life trying not to step in what was being shoveled that night). I'm hoping TVA will not think that their agency has enough lawyers to push this through with minimal effort. We, in solidarity, have quite a few of our own. Please, please please, consider an alternative to this site.</p>	negative	Phillip	Maxey	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#43	<p>I strongly oppose the building of this plant on Lockertsville Rd where I live. I'm highly concerned about the environmental effects . I've read about the air pollution these plants cause. The Sycamore creek is on this property . What about the water... the fish... the wildlife!!!!???? The small winding roads leading to this property, what about those? How do you propose getting to this site??? Will you be taking peoples property? The building this plant will destroy property value . What about that????? Not too mention our peace we once had out here on the beautiful place. This ruins it!! Please I'm begging you NOT to build this plant. In the Name of Jesus do the right thing and DO NOT build this plant</p>	negative	Kat	Graham	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#42	<p>I don't want a gas plant or pipeline in this community. Let's focus on other sources of energy. Please don't pollute Cheatham County!</p>	negative	Betsy	Morley	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#41	<p>I would like to voice my strong disagreement with the possibility of a methane gas plant and pipeline in Cheatham County. TVA has a responsibility to not only provide power, but also to do it safely and with the community's best interest in mind. That being said, building a new methane gas plant is backwards movement. We are trying to make the world a better, cleaner place, not building new, costly and polluting power sources. Again, not only for the residents of Cheatham County, but for the benefit of the wellbeing of the planet, I am strongly against building a methane gas plant and pipeline</p>	negative	Nathan	Ellis	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#40	<p>I stand fully opposed to the TVAs plan to hire Kinder Morgan to develop the Methane burning plant in Ashland City on the Lockertsville Road area. We all know that methane emissions produce hot toxic fumes that belch into the air adversely affecting the health of humans, animals, plant life, agriculture and clean water. This area is home to century old farms with cattle and crops. Affecting the natural watershed would contaminate our clean water, many of us have springs and well water.</p> <p>Even BP is partnering with the EPA and other federal agencies to eliminate methane emissions and are actively turning away from fossil fuels and focusing on clean energy. If a corporation that large can develop alternatives TVA can as well. The deadline to react to this announcement is so short it's not fair to citizens who need to do research and learn more about this planet killing process and explore other sensible power sources. This hurry up tactic has not been transparent there is a general outline of what the TVA wants but little to no details. Extend the response process to allow for more citizen research and reaction, stop hiding information.</p>	negative	Deborah	Doyle	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#27	<p>You are ruining the beautiful life my cousin was building for his family by building this hideous facility! Reminds me of you ruining the lives of my entire family in Lexington, Tennessee, when you forcefully took my grandmothers property to build Beech Lake!</p> <p>Shame on you TV</p>	negative	Yohonna	Rogers	28105

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#217	<p>I am writing to plead with you to consider alternate locations for the proposed methane plant in Cheatham County. I understand that the extreme growth in population of Tennessee compounded with the phasing out of coal has created a distinct need for energy in our area. I am not writing to ask you to reconsider your decision for a methane plant. However, due to various reasons, I believe there are better alternatives for all parties than the proposed site. The first party I believe will benefit is yourself, TVA. If you were to relocate this proposed site to the Ashland City Industrial Park area, you would reclaim a significant portion of your infrastructure budget. First, there is already a pipeline in that area, so there would not be a need for 12 miles of pipeline to be built. Aside from building costs, this also lowers the long term cost of maintenance and the shorter term costs of buying property or utilizing lawyers and legal battles involving eminent domain cases. Second, the transportation infrastructure that is in place in the Industrial Park area would mean a lower cost to you by eliminating the need to reinforce bridges and widen roads during your construction period. Thirdly, the Ashland City industrial park is located closer to Nashville and other construction centers. This would lead to lower freight costs during your construction period. Lastly, you purchased this land in 2020 for roughly \$5,250 per acre. Land in the same area is now selling for double that or more. As you do not need near all 286 acres, you could sell the land, double your investment, and purchase a lot closer to the size you need- putting the profit towards this project in other areas or a project that is currently running over-budget (as construction tends to do). The second party I believe would benefit is our wildlife in Ashland City. As the industrial park is already an industrialized zone, the wildlife would only be marginally affected. The impact in the proposed site would be astronomical in comparison. The proposed pipeline route runs through multiple waterways, which the current residents dutifully tend. As one of those residents, I can testify that I have spent at least two decades out of the three I've lived carefully rebuilding natural dams, fortifying banks, and keeping shore vegetative growth healthy so that the beavers and other animals are protected in their habitat. Although they are no longer listed as endangered or even protected, our Bald Eagle population greatly relies on the area you propose to build upon. In fact, your proposed site is roughly 3 miles from one of their favorite roosts. As Bald Eagles have a hunting range of up to 15 square miles, it is not uncommon to see them flying overhead as we drive to work in the morning. There are also multiple species that are on the vulnerable or endangered list that inhabit that land, including the little brown bat, the common box turtle, and the four toed salamander. The third party is the current residents of the area. My family has been on the same land for 200 years. Our farm is less than a half mile from your proposed site. My grandmother still lives in our "old house" which is over 100 years old. The area you are planning to build upon is surrounded by generational farmland. There's over 600 years of familial history in that direct area. That's the history of our country three times over. Personally, I'm the eleventh generation on our farmland, my son is the twelfth. He should be the twelfth generation of children playing in Spring Creek, camping next to Sycamore Creek, and running around Binkley Hill. Unfortunately, your plans to build there not only threaten my son's ability to do so, but also threatens the ability for the other 8 children in my son's generation - and those are just my nieces and nephews. Sentimentality and familial culture aside, your proposed build site lies in an area not covered by a fire department. Your proposed route for construction vehicles will require a bridge be reinforced, that bridge is on a road that our emergency services vehicles rely on to access Lockertsville and adjacent roads. That road is also the route taken by residents during heavy rains and tornadoes to access shelter. This may seem like a strange notion, but when a tornado watch is called in our area, it is typically done so mid storm. The area around us has already begun to flood at that point. For six different households in my family, 5 of which are on Lockertsville, their point of shelter is my mom's house. Saddle Tree (where the bridge lays) is the route they can take without having to pass a flooded street. It is the only route they can take to get to safety. If heavy rains or tornadoes occur during your construction or a fire occurs at the plant post-construction, your plans actively endanger the surrounding residents. These are not hypothetical situations outside of the realm of possibility I am voicing. Houston and Haven (Kansas) both had methane explosions last year, so a fire would not be impossible. Our county is in a temperate rainforest, averaging over 65 inches of precipitation a year. We are also located in the new tornado alley as it has migrated east. All of these safety factors are of significant concern, and they still don't touch on our fear of the proposed pipeline in relation to flooding. After the 2010 flood, these concerns should be evident. The residents in the immediate vicinity of your proposed site vividly remember being flooded in. We remember going to the very hill you're planning to build on, and only being able to see the top most branches of the 100+ year old trees. I implore you, please consider these various reasons to relocate your build site to the Ashland City Industrial Park area. The relocation would benefit your budget, our wildlife, and our livelihoods. The move would protect our legacy and allow us to pass down a vibrant and beautiful childhood to our children. If everyone would benefit, why would you not opt to relocate?</p>	negative	Jessica	Eason	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#216	<p>PLEASE, as a community member with young children, I am terrified of having unhealthy drinking water for my family. Please, listen to the citizens of Pleasant View. We do not want this to be built.</p>	negative	Margaret	Betts	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#214	<p>Please do not destroy our local ecosystems, home to so many species, and more than likely, endangered ones. Please preserve our ability to grow food: there are so many organic farms around here that not only feed our families, but families in Nashville too. Please consider solar power. Better yet, please build the plant closer to West Nashville, which is where you want the power to go. There are swaths of land much closer to West Nashville that you could build this plant on.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	negative	Onyx	Hartwell	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#213	<p>I am sending my comments to state my opposition to the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site on Sycamore Creek. I think this project would be detrimental to the community because of the potential negative impact that it could have on Sycamore Creek, the source of the drinking water for the town of Pleasant View. Also, it would spoil a beautiful piece of property on Sycamore Creek. This would have a negative effect on the surrounding land owners and anyone who uses and enjoys Sycamore Creek. Please locate this project on another property which is owned by TVA. Respectfully submitted, Melissa Dowdy</p>	negative	Melissa	Dowdy	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#212	<p>I am writing this to document with you my firm opposition to your "proposed" Natural Gas electrical generating project on Lockertsville Road in Cheatham County Tennessee. I reside within a mile or less of the site, and have grave concerns about the blasting which may well affect our water source (springs), as well as the inherent dangers of a 3 0" high pressure pipeline so close to us. Furthermore, until the last week or so the majority of residents here were (and many still are) unaware of the project and it's impact on them, our wetlands streams air quality, wildlife etc. I Understand that the publication in the Federal Register satisfies the legal notice requirement, but it certainly does not satisfy the "spirit" of lhonest intent to notify and inform. I further understand that you have granted a minor extention to the comment period...which is insufficient to honestly inform residents, as well as being insufficient for them to assess in a reasoned and logical way the abundance of information necessary to either allay their fears, or justify them. We do not know what other generation methodologies were intensely studied, what other sites were investigated, supporting infrastructure (roads bridges, etc.) for the necessary construction equipment was evaluated for feasibility and cost and permanent disruption of the community. THis is to request at least an additional month to permit an honestly transparent response and information dissemination to the affected residents. Thank you, George Wade</p>	negative	George	Wade	

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#211	<p>I live Ashland City, TN and your proposed Generation Site is basically in my backyard. Without a doubt gases and sounds from this plant will be heard from my house. I have grown up in this county and my family has been either in Cheatham or Nashville since at least the early 1800's. I ask that you please consider moving your site or changing your plans. All of us in the area you are building do not want this site to be built. We don't appreciate that you're planting it in the middle of our neighborhood.</p> <p>Personally I'm concerned with what this plant will expose us to. Gases from the plant, water pollution, acres of batteries, and even the potential nuclear cells/plant on down the road. What happens if the batteries catch fire? That will always be a possibility.What are the gases produced from this going to do to our crops? That is still a way of life for many in the area of where you are wanting to put this plant. We also don't appreciate that you haven't been very forthcoming on this matter. Please consider for yourself would you want to live next to something like this. Would you want your family and future generations to live so close to this. I know you would say that the dangers of this plant are low but what if something happens to the plant itself. We are talking about gases. This thing is so close to so many people, homes, and land. I get it, it's Cheatham County, TN. It's a small town and we don't have a lot going for us. There's a lot of things I'd like to see this town do to improve it's culture but planting a generation site isn't going to help. I don't want to live next to a place such as this. I can't see myself living a town with this. Especially if it becomes nuclear in 20-25 years. I definitely don't want to live next to that. That would then lead to a lot more concern with many more questions about safety of that.</p> <p>With all respect I ask that you please kindly consider all of the angles of this and hear the people of Cheatham and especially those that live in this area that this is not a good place for your site. I ask that you please consider a different place that is not in the backyard of so many people. If you must place it in Cheatham County then please do it at a place that doesn't have the impact this site will.</p>	negative	Will	Thornton	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#210	<p>To whom it may concern, The new TVA site planned to be built in Cheatham county, Tennessee will cause significant issues for our area and it needs to be stopped and the plant relocated closer to Nashville, it's intended target. The site identified by TVA is located next to Sycamore creek and is the same water source used to supply Ashland City and Pleasant View with safe drinking water via Pleasant View Utility District. The potential for pollutants and contamination from gas lines leaching into our drinking water supply is too great to ignore. Our beautiful, rural area draws many seeking outdoor solace and this creek is frequented by locals and out-of-towners alike for kayaking, fishing, canoeing, and swimming for its tranquility. This plant will ruin our actively used creek. Surrounding this potential build site and planned pipeline is presine crop lands utilized by longtime farmers, some family farms that have been here for centuries! This plant will only damage the area with its noise, heat, waste, and the potential for hazardous material runoffs. Because of the close proximity to current farms and residences, this plant will depreciate our property values down so low that we, especially farmers who are already struggling to make ends meet, will never recover financially.</p> <p>Wildlife will also be affected greatly by the industrialization of this area. This specific plot of land hosts and houses a multitude of species, including: deer, turkeys, bobcats, coyotes, bald eagles, monarch butterflies, and many, many more. The overall devastation to our surrounding crop and pasture lands, our animals, and us people will be catastrophic to this rural community. This plant is bad for the environment and for our community and needs to be relocated to an industrial area, not plopped down in the middle of rural America. I urge you to find another already developed location where it will be better suited and in closer proximity to its intended users.</p>	negative	Chrissy	Suell	37015
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#209	<p>I am concerned that this kind of turbine is powered by fossil fuels and emits significant greenhouse gases, raising the temperature of the Earth, causes flooding, forest fires, and pollution hazardous to Life.Thank you for this community forum. I respect many of the projects TVA builds. My prayer is that we become a people that can live more simply, so others may simply live, including being good stewards of plants and animals.</p>	negative	Linda	Bush	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#208	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I am a native born and raised long term resident of middle Tennessee. Even though I don't live in the area to be impacted I am outraged by the treatment folks there are receiving regarding the Cheatham Methane Generation project. I do strongly oppose this project and do heartily agree with the assessment of it in the recent article by Appalachian Voices . This is again another sellout of the people and environment of this region primarily to enrich power company owners and stockholders. Both the obtaining gas by fracking and the construction project proposed are monumentally destructive to our environment and the population affected. You the public administration officials and corrupt politicians greasing the way should have to live in the project neighborhood and witness firsthand the quality of your life rapidly diminish .</p> <p>There has got to be a better way to find a way to clean power to counteract a global catastrophe in the making by ignoring Mother Nature's warning signs and proceeding only on the basis of what's the most massive profit for an elite few increasingly out of touch with their fellow citizens and how they live day to day .</p>	negative	John Michael	Holt	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#207	<p>Good afternoon.</p> <p>The proposed Methane Plant in Cheatham County is being fast tracked without transparency or enough research to determine both fiscal and environmental impact in our area.</p> <p>I live on Harristown Road in Ashland City near the intersection of Harristown and Lockertsville Roads; part of the proposed site area. I have not been contacted in anyway regarding this proposal, although TVA reps continue to say they have done so. Both of these toads flood regularly, all year long. We cannot minimize the impact of this plant. Methane fired energy plants, and pipelines are scientifically well documented short term toxic and dangerous bandaids for future energy needs. These plants leave a hard core, scorched earth foot print; similar in damage but in differential ways as outdated coal fired plants do.</p> <p>This TVA project is being fast tracked, and pushed without transparency to citizen stakeholders. Without transparency to County and City governments. In addition, we have no information regarding the impact of this plant's noise and light levels on wildlife, water, plants or humans. This will impact migratory life as well.</p> <p>In April 2023, the TVA Green Invest Program brought online, the Vanderbilt solar energy farm, in partnership with Nashville's Silicon Ranch Company and the Bedford County Solar Farm. This took 3 years. Yes, it's small. But many types of renewable energy projects can be decentralized OR scalable. TVA has the technology to move forward with transparency, and with the least amount of environmental impact possible. TVA also has the ability to become the largest partner with smaller rural energy co-ops and entities, thus creating many needed jobs and careers. Let's think outside the "big box cookie cutter" model. Let's grow well and build well</p>	negative	Matt	Josephson	

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#206	I am a 21 year resident/property owner in Ashland City/Cheatham County, Tennessee. The proposed Methane Plant in Cheatham County is being fast tracked without transparency or enough research to determine both fiscal and environmental impact in our area. I am not against change. Nor am I against recognizing the growing need for power sources. I attended the TVA Open House on June 21, 2023 in our county meeting room. It was labeled as "Cheatham County Generation Site Environmental Impact Statement," however, we were not given any impact statement, nor was there a presentation or question bd answer forum. In speaking with two TVA employees there, I was told "TVA has gone door to door." I have not been contacted in anyway. As such, I asked 4 other citizens at the meeting to join these discussions. NONE had been contacted. Methane fired energy plants, and pipelines are scientifically well documented short term toxic and dangerous bandaids for future energy needs. These plants leave a hard core, scorched earth foot print; similar in damage but in differential ways as outdated coal fired plants do. As a long term resident, and property owner in Cheatham County, I have observed and delighted in our nesting Bald Eagles all along the proposed site, for years. We are on Harristown Road. We have 3 springs on our property and a natural stream. We are nearly AT the intersection of Lockertsville and Harristown Roads. This intersection and Both roads flod regularly all year long with the banks of the Sycamore making the roads impassable. THIS is in the area of the proposed pipeline. The impact simply cannot be minimized. Our citizens are well aware of the 1992 gas pipeline explosion in Dickson County. We have observed the destruction first hand. We have worked together, long and hard, to stop future riskt projects of this type in the area. This TVA project is being fast tracked, and pushed without transparency to citizen stakeholders. Without transparency to County and City governments. In addition, we have no information regarding the impact of this plant's noise and light levels on wildlife, water, plants or humans. This will impact migratory life as well. TVA is the largest energy provider in the country. We look to you for innovative and responsible energy solutions. Infact, much of our country looks for leadership from TVA. In April 2023, the TVA Green Invest Program brought online, the Vanderbilt solar energy farm, in partnership with Nashville's Silicon Ranch Company and the Bedford County Solar Farm. This took 3 years. Yes, it's small. But many types of renewable energy projects can be decentralized OR scalable. TVA has the technology to move forward with transparency, and with the least amount of environmental impact possible. TVA also has the ability to become the largest partner with smaller rural energy co-ops and entities, thus creating many needed jobs and careers. Let's think outside the "big box cookie cutter" mentality. Let's grow well and build well.	negative	Karen	Josephson	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#205	I'm a third generation property owner on Lockertsville Rd. I've seen a lot of changes in my community in my 53 years. This proposed site of TVA will be devastating to our community, ecosystem, watersheds, native plant species, and wildlife. My concerns are many due to the fact I live within a mile of the proposed location. Due to the safety concerns and environmental impact, my Children and Grandchildren will not have the same benefits of living in this community. We don't want the danger's associated with this plant in our Community!	negative	James	Hunt	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#204	I must start this comment with the following. Recent weather reports show that Earth just experienced its hottest day temperatures ever recorded for three days in a row. Science shows our fossil fuel use is altering our atmosphere and extreme weather of climate change is underway in real time. We currently are witness to this. I am aware of the Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change reports. I know the last two reports have adjusted the time estimates of beyond the ability to manage chance we humans have. We are wasting time and must become carbon neutral ASAP. I know... * TVA and the Federal Government have established goals to become net zero-carbon by 2050 and 2035. * The resent Inflation Reduction Act from the Biden Administration will help us move towards netzero. * The USA has joined over 190 other nations and signed the Paris Climate Agreement. This world agreement addresses temperature control and aims to strengthen countries' ability to deal with the impacts of climate change and support them in their efforts. * Over 40Trillion dollars have been divested out of fossil fuel. * Nashville TN has established net-zero carbon goals. * Tennessee has several successful solar businesses established. * There are several health concerns tied to carbon use and air and water quality. * The grid needs to be improved. We waste a huge amount of energy from power site to customers. Its my understanding the solar and wind generated sites in TVA grid faired well in last December artic blast, and some of the fossil fuel sites faltered. I understand TVA has begun to take steps to lower its fossil fuel use by closing some of its coal generated sites. And I thank you for that. My family has been a TVA customer since 1963. I know we and thousands of other family's in the TVA grid want TVA to provide us with clean sustainable energy. I do not agree that methane/natural gas is the way to go when replacing these retired power plants. It is a waste of time, and does not help TVA reach the needed goals it has set. My family is aware you are considering placing a Methane Combustion Turbine Site and a Battery Energy Storage System on land you have purchased in Cheatham Co. We are concerned with the recent TVA gas buildouts that remain underway. It is a waste of time we do not have. I also understand TVA has the 3 E's as a guide. Energy, Environment, and Economy. I urge you to completely turn to safe sustainable Energy ASAP. Other wise you have failed to advance in time. TVA has already failed Environment by holding onto fossil fuels for so long. And the Economy of all of us will struggle with each extreme weather event and loss of land due to sea rise. We urge TVA to use this land in a carbon neutral way. We ask you to explore all viable options in this rural farmland setting. Solar wind and battery storage would be acceptable on that land. Fossil fuel fired generation is not acceptable,	negative	Marita	Hardesty	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#203	I disagree with the proposed power plant running through sycamore creek. Affecting too many families and damaging the ecosystems our families have been trying to protect for hundreds of years	negative	Quincy	Houston	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#202	Dear Sir or Madam, I am deeply concerned about the negative impact that theproposed TVA gas plant and lithium battery storage site will have on my comunity. This development will harm Cheatham County lands, citizens, and wildlife. Please do not move forward with this project	negative	Lori	Howard	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#201	A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting, dangerous,and costly compared to alternatives like energy efficiency, solar, and wind power. Please protect our earth for my kids and grandkids	negative	Rai Lynne	Neely	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#200	Life. (Sent a photo of jars with various nails/screws fastened to the roof of a shed)	???	John	Alexander	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#199	This plant/pipeline is going to ruin the area	negative	Natasha	Blanchard	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#198	Concerned about water saftey. Please stay out of Cheatham Co	negative			



7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#197	I do NOT want a gas pipeline running through middle Tennessee!	negative	Andrew	Muller	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#196	My main concerns are around the environmental impact a facility like this will have on the surrounding areas. That is beautiful mostly untouched land out there and it would be a tragedy to see it become slowly poisoned by the inevitable factory runoff and air pollution	negative	Jordan	Fletcher	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#195	<p>I would like to see TVA compare the planned gas buildout to other alternatives, like wind and solar with battery storage for the Cheatham County generation site. TVA cannot keep saying they need methane gas as a transition fuel. Solar is becoming increasingly cheaper and more reliable, as already seen in many cases. Solar is also safe for the community and the environment. It doesn't leak hazardous methane gas into the air and water, putting people at risk of deadly explosions, and increasing greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). Methane is 80 times more potent as a GHG than carbon dioxide. We just don't hear as much about it because it's not as abundant in our atmosphere. The planned gas buildouts do not align with our nation's executive order to reduce GHG emissions, yet TVA keeps planning gas plants and pipelines. Since TVA anticipates such an increase in energy demands, why not go back to investing in energy efficiency programs and offer net metering? If TVA offered net metering many local residents would be able to install solar on their properties and produce their own electricity. That would slash TVA's anticipated increase in energy demand. People's lives are being ruined by TVA's plans, the second-largest methane gas plant buildout of any other utility in the country, and it's all happening in my home state of Tennessee! We do not need any more gas plants or pipelines! All over the news you hear stories about explosions and water contamination when there is existing technology that would prevent all of that from happening. Especially with the government bipartisan infrastructure law and the inflation reduction act, we should not be planning more fossil fuel buildouts. The world cannot continue to run on fossil fuels. The time to transition is now, before we use up all of the fossil fuels that took millions of years to form. There are other alternatives to consider. Why is TVA only considering an action (gas plant and pipeline) and a non-action plan?! Other alternatives would not destroy the land that many have worked so hard to attain and care for, many using their entire life savings to purchase land that is rural to get away from all of the big city pollution and noise. The plans for this Cheatham County site would take all of that away. It would completely ruin the rest of these people's lives so that TVA can profit for a decade or two. What happens when the pipeline and gas plant become obsolete, which is very quickly happening? Who will clean up all the mess that's left behind? Will the pipeline just become a stranded asset? Will it just continue to contaminate the environment, or will the gas company remove it and "restore" things back to precondition? Even if someone put their best effort into restoring these areas, they will never be "normal" again. You cannot replace trees that are in excess of 70 years old that will be clear cut for the pipeline construction. TVA needs to consider the pipeline construction in their DEIS because it is part of the gas plant. It may have a separate permitting process, but it would not even be considered if it weren't for TVA's planned gas buildout. TVA can look at the environmental impacts of the construction, but the biggest impacts will likely happen during operation, when leaks, contamination, and possible explosions take place. When the noise sends away all the precious wildlife and drives the locals crazy because they're forced to transition from a quiet country life to the loud sounds of the combustion turbines that sound like jet engines. Studies show that less than half the methane leaks are caught, regardless of the technology the gas companies are using. In Texas they recently had to release methane into the air to relieve pressure off the pipelines because of the intense heat. The kind of intense heat we have here in TN. Methane that is released or leaked will go straight into the atmosphere and intensify the current climate crisis we are facing, leading to even further increased temperatures. TVA was formed long ago to help rural communities. They helped us regular folks with erosion and flooding and brought electricity to areas that weren't able to have it before. They need to live up to their name and expectations and help the rural people instead of creating so much destruction and pollution. TVA has an opportunity to step up and be a real hero, leading the nation into a clean energy future. Leave a legacy to be proud of, not one that tarnishes its reputation by continuing to subject us all to the use of fossil fuels. Thank you for reading and considering my comment.</p>	negative	Angela	Mummaw	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#194	<p>In the federal register it is stated that: "strategies were analyzed in the context of six different scenarios that described plausible future economic, financial, regulatory, and legislated conditions, as well as social trends and adoption of technological innovations." Your proposal to create a natural gas pipeline through farmland and pristine waters neglects other possibilities that would make more sense, those that are more technologically advanced than trenching and drilling pipelines, such as implementing solar farms throughout different parts of Nashville, incentivizing land owners to willingly be a part of the implementation of CLEAN energy. People want to choose less disruptive options, you can't assume there wouldn't be people willing to cooperate with a program like that because I know several people that have stated they would be! States like California, New Hampshire &amp; New York have plenty of incentives that are helping create more available, non invasive energy sources. This would take time and effort, but so would building a 12 mile pipeline through crazy terrain and there would be a lot less angry people who feel their privacy in the country has been invaded. Another note is to remember, younger generations will not be aspiring to learn and work on pipelines. They are learning about technological innovations and social trends such as solar, hydroelectric, and other new forms of energy production. In a recent posting from the EPA, they announced a \$7 billion grant for solar in low income communities. "As we work to build a clean energy future, we must do so in a way that benefits all Americans—no matter their zip code or income," said U.S. Senator Tom Carper (DE), Chairman of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee. "When developing the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund, we intended for \$7 billion of the program's investments to target residential and community solar projects in communities with the greatest need. I'm pleased that the Biden-Harris administration's Solar for All grant program is going to help deploy clean energy to millions of households, lowering energy costs and creating good-paying jobs across our nation." This is something the TVA could apply for and implement solar closer to the areas where it is needed and could also benefit low income communities with lower energy costs. I am in support of the No Action Alternative. If you choose to proceed with your invasive pipeline, please consider another site that would be closer to West Nashville where this pipeline is planned to power. Our Cheatham County community does not want to turn into a light pollution, air pollution, soil pollution &amp; water pollution zone at the expense of developing a smart city. We are country folk that choose to live in Ashland City because of the quiet hills and clean air. We live simply and mindfully, we turn the lights off when we leave the house, we enjoy the outdoors and we would hate to see our little paradise turn into an industrial power plant. The proposed power plant would be a mile from us. We would see the lights, we would hear and smell different strange off gases and be worried that if something were to go wrong it would be at the detriment of our community's safety, health &amp; wellbeing. This does not feel at all aligned with why we choose to live here. Many of our neighbors are living on land that has been passed down through several generations. The skills of farming were passed down along with that which is utterly important to carry on. Don't displace people for your own greed. Make decisions that make more sense. The disruption, pain, anger and rage that this proposal has caused many will NEVER be forgotten. If you build trust and HEAR our comments and TRULY consider what you can do to ALIGN with our communities' requests that would be a step in the right direction. It would have been beneficial for your initial proposal to have had multiple ideas of what you could propose to the community as alternatives to the pipeline idea, before getting "permission" to do it. Again, this should be a decision that involves the community not diminishes our feelings about it. WE live here. A couple of questions for you: 1. How would you be sure that the natural gas production would not produce contaminated water? 2. With such a long pipeline, how would you be sure that leaks are tended to? And, how can you assure communities in the area that there will be precautions taken when the pipeline is underground and we may not know that it is leaking and affecting crops, drinking water etc. 3. What other alternatives could you imagine working here if the pipeline was out of the question? <a href="https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/biden-harris-administration-launches-7-billion-solar-all-grant-competition_fund#:~:text=The%20Solar%20for%20All%20program%20advances%20President%20Biden's%20Justice40%20Initiative,underserved%2C%20and%20overburdened%20by%20pollution.https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/natural-gas/natural-gas-and-the_environment.php#:~:text=Well%20drilling%20activities%20produce%20air,large%20volumes%20of%20contaminated%20water.">https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/biden-harris-administration-launches-7-billion-solar-all-grant-competition_fund#:~:text=The%20Solar%20for%20All%20program%20advances%20President%20Biden's%20Justice40%20Initiative,underserved%2C%20and%20overburdened%20by%20pollution.https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/natural-gas/natural-gas-and-the_environment.php#:~:text=Well%20drilling%20activities%20produce%20air,large%20volumes%20of%20contaminated%20water.</a> chrome-extension://bdfcnmeidppjeaggnmidamkiddifkdib/viewer.html?file=https://tva-azr-eastus-cdn-ep-tvawcm-prd.azureedge.net/cdn-tvawcma/docs/default-source/environment/environmental-stew</p>	negative	Nicolette	Maio	

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#193	Please don't put this methane plant and pipeline in our beautiful county. I was under the impression that the latest federal legislation mandated a move away from fossil fuels and greenhouse gases toward a more climate change recognition/ green approach. Cheatham County is the last county next to Davidson which has avoided these harmful expansions, preserving its natural beauty and habitat for an entire region. I suggest that you utilize all of the commercial, industrial, and educational parking lots in partnership with the owners to put up covered parking with solar panels on top. The customers, employees, and teachers and students would be grateful for shelter from the heat and weather. (You have already partnered with a high school in our county to do this very thing.) The pavement in these parking lots generates unbearable heat adding to the warming of our planet. This plan (solar panels covering parking lots) will require no more destruction of the very plants/ trees which reduce carbon in the air. The public is watching what you do. Will you live up to your promise or contribute to the problem of global warming?	negative	Jane	Crisp	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#192	I am 100 percent in opposition of this pipeline and factory. Please do not poison the community with this building.	negative	Courtney	Goolsby	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#191	I reside in Ashland City, Tennessee and I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed construction and operation of a simple cycle Combustion Turbine (CT) plant and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on a 286-acre parcel of TVA-owned land in Cheatham County. First, the TVA's announcement of the project suggests it is operating in bad faith. TVA gave very short notice of the project prior to its informational meeting, which was scheduled close to the 4th of July holiday when it was reasonable to assume many residents would be occupied or away spending time with family. Further, the format seemed to be designed to discourage direct and open questions and diffuse accountability. The proposed environmental risk studies are not, nor can they ever be, adequate to capture the true costs of the project. 'Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence'; this informational asymmetry is inherent in any attempt to predict present, much less future, harm that could result from the plant and pipeline. Witness the environmental and health damage unleashed by the spill of radioactive coal ash in Kingston Springs, also in Cheatham County. Did the initial so-called 'studies' predict or account for the water and soil contamination, and for the devastating illness and loss of life for those charged with cleaning up the spill? I have training in conducting impact evaluations and cost-benefit analyses. I'm well aware just how easily data can be cherry-picked, projected benefits bloated and statistical analyses twisted to justify practically any decision. In my experience, government agencies and corporate entities typically decide whether to proceed first, based purely on financial self-interest, and then manufacture the data and spin the narratives necessary to justify their decision after the fact. While the benefits for the project, in the form of some vague potential future 'grid stability' are distributed and accrue to residents far away from Ashland City, the actual, real-life risks to soil, water, wildlife, livestock and humans - which are seldom be captured in any study - fall squarely on the shoulders of Cheatham County residents. And even these purported benefits seem to be minimal. The project is being put forth as a temporary 'bridge' to better grid solutions, and is only projected to produce its relative benefits for a mere 30 years - or less. And these benefits could easily be erased by, for example, higher gas price volatility, or the emergence of better alternatives. Many experts have already pointed to a number of existing solutions that would be cheaper, cleaner and generate far greater local economic benefits. Then there's the matter of the proposed pipeline. How many Cheatham County resident landowners will have their Constitutionally protected property seized by the TVA via forced sale of easements, all for a project from which they directly receive little measurable benefit? With the recent Louisiana Federal District Court ordering the US Federal Government to stop collaborating with social media companies to violate the First Amendment by spying on and censoring Americans' free speech, trust in Federal government institutions among the American people has never been lower. We have seen time and time again that government entities and their corporate partners green-light projects that accrue to their benefit and to the benefit of their backers, while local American residents get stuck bearing almost all of the costs. This needs to stop - now. This project should be halted and the land returned to the citizens of Cheatham County, who are best positioned, and much better incentivized, to serve as its stewards.	negative	Brett	Kuhnert	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#190	Please do not put this in our back yard	negative	Rob	Snyder	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#189	I do not want this natural gas power plant and battery station at the end of my road. I am an organic gardener and shutter to think what this will do. I am confident there is a much better solution and location than in the heart of our beloved country property. We don't need this and don't want it. I do not want the additional traffic, polutants and safety concerns right down the road . We worked hard for our American dream of living in the country surrounded by nature and growing our own food. I say NO!	negative	Tricia	White	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#188	I do not support the building of the methane plant	negative	Amanda	Bell	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#187	I am against building any new power generation plant which is dependent on fossil fuels. I am against building an adjacent natural gas pipeline to fuel the proposed Generation site in Cheatham County. Americans must take seriously the dire threat to our planet, and heed the negative impacts we are already experiencing from global warming. We must stop and mitigate the damage that science has proven man has caused and set into motion for the future. I am for an action alternative which would find, evaluate, and develop a suitable site for a large solar power farm, whether it is on TVA property in Cheatham County or not. I also want TVA to investigate the feasibility of reusing TVA sites that are being phased out or are set for closing, or are already closed, and repurpose them for use with sustainable energy products, for energy production and storage. TVA needs to stand up and heed the science and start leading with intelligence and understanding. Please TVA become part of the solution, don't keep doing the same things that have gotten us to this critical state. Planting trees and pollinator gardens are great things to do, but we need much more. We need to stop burning fossil fuels.	negative	Karen	Mevis	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#186	As a nurse, I feel that this poses a health risk to the people who live in this community. No one will listen to them if they start having exposure symptoms and it could be avoided by finding a less populated area	negative	Torrie	King	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#185	We stand by our friends and neighbors opposing the TVAexpansion in Cheatham county	negative	Kenton	Bryant	

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#184	LEASE DO NOT RUIN THIS BEAUTIFUL LAND, AND RISK MY FAMILY'S HEALTH WITH THIS PIPELINE. It is reckless and unnecessary. You're ruining a community and putting \$\$ before people's health. DON'T DO IT.	negative	Lindsey	Sipes	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#183	Good day, i am resident and farm owner in Cheatham County near the propose gas plant. The farm provides all income we make as we run Short Term Rentals and events under the brand Tennessee Destination Farms. We have livestock and wildlife, turkeys, Eagles, hawks, cardinals, horses, chicken and much more plus we grow vegetables for our use and to sell to customers. My understanding from the previous owner we have several underground water springs that feed our pond. We have historic trees and flowers which all come from the Hermitage and Rachel Jackson's garden . We are creating a farm experience destination for families and individuals to experience farm life first hand. And to continue to preserve history for future generations. We consider the proposed plant as a threat to our beloved farm's existence and way of life eliminating our ability to provide income as well as a potential harm to the very water under our feet. Add insult to injury, no TVA representative could provide at the meeting on 6-21-23 the environmental impacts to the land, the wildlife and humans nor the water source Sycamore Creek. This water resource is a federally protected water stream. Under the agency who protects the water and the regulations for its protections , all efforts for preservation of a protected water source are required to not alter protected water rivers and streams. This plant you propose will be obsolete in 15-20 years while the land and Sycamore Creek will be ruined and never as pristine. We will go under as a business unable to provide customers with a wonderful time in our quiet rural setting. The noise alone will kill our farm and income. Would you book a holiday or hold an event near such a plant as the one proposed? I doubt it. We vehemently disagree with the logic that allows for ruination of Cheatham County to benefit not Cheatham county but West Nashville. Why? Answer this question and i am sure we will see the real motivation. I feel this simply is being done for more for money and without any concern for citizens' health and the integrity of our beautiful county its land and resources of this wonderful state of Tennessee. It's seems TVA wants to be the destroyer of this beautiful state for a potential energy source with a short-life span unlike hydroelectricity, an energy system currently in operation yet grossly misused in this County as well as the whole state. This new plant and pipeline looks to me as a source to create wealth for individuals and entities outside Tennessee. This is wrong especially given we have had no referendum vote, no voice and its seems to be being undertaken in a underhanded method to avoid public input. Please STOP this travesty and leave Davidson county to provide a way for their town to get energy itself. Stop the Plant and pipelines and leave our land to its citizens who are the true custodians. .	negative	Rhonda	Stephens	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#182	I am writing to voice my concerns regarding the environmental impact of the proposed Natural Gas plant. We have an obligation to consider the long term impact of our decisions and the health of future generations. Please consider investing exclusively in renewable energy development for the physical and economic health of our community.	negative	Suzanne	Wright	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#181	I have commented previously on TVA's unwarranted gas buildout during this time of unprecedented heat waves and other extreme weather events. It is unreasonable to continue to rely on fossil fuel resources for generation when alternative sources are available; it is unconscionable to do so without taking responsibility for the impact of those greenhouse gas emissions on climate change and the well-being of the residents that TVA serves. Building a new gas plant on the Cheatham site is an ill-thought-out plan for the above reasons. In addition: 1. The proposal lacks inclusion of alternatives other than No Action. When I attended the June 21 TVA open house in Ashland City, I was told by the NEPA specialist that the reason for this lack was due to the small size of the project – how is a 900MW generator exempt from the NEPA guidelines? 2. The Cheatham community was not well-informed prior to or during the June 21 open house: the original date published in the Federal Register was incorrect and the re-posting was not widely publicized. Despite this, well over 100 community members attended and were kept waiting outside because the venue was too small to accommodate them, and there were not sufficient quantities of materials on hand for everyone to have a hard copy of the information. I am still waiting to receive an electronic copy after requesting one from the NEPA specialist during the open house, and following up with an email afterward. 3. The Cheatham community has followed up on their opposition through local governmental resources including bringing the proposal to the attention of their County Commission. They are also scheduling a town hall to increase public awareness.	negative	JoAnn	McIntosh	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#180	Global warming poses a major and potentially existential threat to humanity. We at Tennessee Interfaith Power and Light represent religious traditions that emphasize the common good, care for the Earth, and a particular concern for the poor and vulnerable. Therefore, we strongly prefer carbon neutral approaches to energy generation. Given the world's limited carbon budget it is critical that TVA move as rapidly as possible to carbon neutrality. We commend TVA's plans to close its coal fleet, including the Cumberland fossil units. TVA is considering replacing part of this generation with both a Combustion Turbine (CT) gas site and a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) in Cheatham County. We see considerable value in the BESS proposal, as it will facilitate incorporating renewable energy into the TVA system. However, we are concerned with the recent TVA gas build-out, including the proposed CT at the Cheatham County site. Moreover, there is considerable environmental concern amongst local residents about this CT site. We urge TVA to consider alternatives fully and creatively in the upcoming Environmental Impact Statement.	neutral/positive	Daniel	Joranko	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#179	I've lived in this relative area of Cheatham County since I was old enough to be in school. I don't think it's necessary to risk the safety of the people living here on this beautiful land in order to benefit the increase on the power grid for a growing Nashville. The community here knows there is an increased risk associated with putting in a pipeline and converting rural land to a processing facility. The surrounding area will be at risk for contamination, and that risk is spread to even further areas where water is concerned. I do not want this facility here. I do not want any added worries for the health and safety of myself or my family	negative	C.	Wade	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#178	Growth in our area does not have to come at the expense of our environment and the current population. The long-term solutions need to center conservation and innovation, renewable and sustainable energy. We cannot continue to pursue energy pathways that pollute and degrade our beautiful state.	neutral	Erica	Colmenares	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#177	The community does not want the right this methane plant. Several years ago the entire community fought against the compressor station up in Joelton, and despite the fact that kinder Morgan was planning to compress the gas through 60 year old pipes that were rapidly degrading and springing leaks all throughout the watershed, they still approved it. The companies that maintain these pipelines and plants are sloppy, irresponsible, and I've no accountability. Methane plants are dangerous and toxic. Community says no.	negative	Jonathan	Ramirez	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#176	My biggest concerns are: Environmental protection, Public access for use of area	neutral	Michael	Murphy	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#175	This is the last thing our small county needs. you are building in an area that my entire family has fished for years , swam for years. What about our wildlife in the area . how do you build so close to water plants or better yet in an area where so many people have wells? I would assume if it exploded underground our wells would be contaminated as well as the city water. our farmers will suffer , the irrigated with said water and haven't they suffered enough the last few years. What about the traffic this is going to create on these small back roads with no shoulders, way to many blind spots, we already have some of the roughest roads in the county , now add every heavy vehicle you'll need just for construction, the amount of accidents that will possibly happen is not what we need around here. Just go somewhere else where you aren't putting our streams in danger and by that I mean no waterway in TN should have to suffer for your benefit of finding cheaper property	negative	Heaven	White	

7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#174	My property is located approximately one mile from the proposed/opposed site. While I am not a life long resident of the area, I married my late husband and moved here in 1989. My husband's family and our farm property is some of the first deeds registered in Cheatham County in 1856. My concern is not only what happens to our environment, our creeks and our ponds, our wildlife, but also what happens to the value of our property? My husband passed away in 2017, leaving the farm to his family. In return we chose to sell a good portion of it and by doing so created some beautiful high dollar homesites, some close to \$1,000,000 in value. While I'm concerned with my property value, I can't imagine what will happen to the value of these new homesites. Why does it have to come here? What are your plans for the site that you apparently are replacing in Cumberland City? I grew up in that area, I was there when that plant became active back in the 60's. And I know for multiple families in that area, you are threatening or destroying their financial future. How many people will lose their jobs and their livelihood when you shut that place down? Why can't that property be converted to your new methane site? And how many jobs will be available at the proposed/opposed plant in Lockertsville? It is inconceivable why you feel the need to bring it into a very small rural area where creating road access alone for large vehicle transport will destroy century old farmland and countryside. I've been told the pipeline will cross multiple streams and destroy property values. Surely, in all your property search/research, a more viable location could be considered. During the first "meeting" in Ashland City, even your project manager, during his discussion with us,said if it was in his backyard, he'd fight it too. Most of us are smart enough to understand that individually we can't fight you and win, however together I pray we make our voices heard.	negative	Lorie	Walker	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#173	I am strongly in favor of TVA building a more carbon neutral energy generation system than a natural gas plant. This is demanded by the onslaught of climate change at an alarming pace. I am in favor of a solar generation facility being built, along with a facility for battery storage of the energy produced. These are green solutions that will both produce needed electricity and help stem climate change. Thank you	neutral	Patricia	Miller	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#172	I am strongly in favor of TVA building a more carbon neutral energy generation system than a natural gas plant. This is demanded by the onslaught of climate change at an alarming pace. I am in favor of a solar generation facility being built, along with a facility for battery storage of the energy produced. These are green solutions that will both produce needed electricity and help stem climate change. Thank you!	neutral	Brant	Miller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#171	Thank you for allowing the community to submit comments that will affect their everyday life, now and in the future. TVA please reconsider to build a methane gas plant on Sycamore Creek. Reasons: 1. It is the water source for Pleasant View Utility that supply the water for Pleasant View, Ashland City, and part of Joelton Tennessee. 2. It is on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory that list it as an excellent recreational stream with many scenic bluffs and forested banks with abundance of wildlife. It has historic locations, recreational opportunities and just the cultural makes it worth not to have a methane gas plant build on its banks or near it. TVA with Kinder Morgan needs to have a pipeline from the northern states to Texas or the Gulf. You already have the compressor station (CS563) in Joelton, Tennessee. There are better direct routes with less homeowners being affected by the pipeline and a methane gas plant that needs to product electricity for Nashville, Tennessee. Locations that TVA/Kinder Morgan should consider is along Highway 12 from Ashland City to Nashville. Highway 12 runs along the Cumberland River it already has an established industrial business area. In the area of highway 12 and state route 155 there is a large area of undeveloped land that cannot be developed residential. TVA please look for a better, safer, and healthier ways to supply electricity to the people now and for future generations. This effects our environment which includes from the smallest organisms to largest organisms.	negative	Robin	Johnson	
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#171	A methane gas plant and pipeline is a poor solution based on cost and polluting factors as well as other safety concerns. Please consider other geographic areas and other less disruptive and polluting energy sources. TVA should be a leader in energy efficiency and renewable energy. The people of Cheatham County and all areas served by TVA deserve more forward thinking solutions	negative	Bonnie	Jerdon	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#170	I want to express opposition to the proposed power plant on Sycamore Creek. It will disrupt the land and ecosystem.	negative	Kristie	Schleicher	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#168	Dear Secretary Bose, I am writing to express my opposition to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's application for constructing a 32-mile pipeline across Dickson, Houston and Stewart counties in Tennessee, under docket number CP22-493-000. The proposed pipeline poses a major threat to public safety and local habitat in communities that have already been overburdened with fossil fuel infrastructure. For instance, the Cumberland Pipeline would cross over 130 streams and 6 wetlands, threatening to destroy habitat for plants and wildlife. The land through which the pipeline would cross is also full of karsts, which increases the risks of water pollution and reduced air quality associated with methane gas leaks. People along the proposed pipeline route were confronted with the dangers of methane gas in 1992 when a pipeline in Dickson, owned by Kinder Morgan, Inc., exploded and burned hundreds of acres and injured multiple people. Closer to Cumberland City, community members have endured decades of coal ash pollution in their air and water from one of the dirtiest coal plants in the country. Historic cultural centers in the path of the proposed pipeline also make this project a major threat to environmental justice. For instance, the pipeline would cut through land that was was established and settled by former slaves during the Reconstruction Period (1870-1875) in what is known as the Promise Land community in Charlotte, Tennessee. This site still contains a historic church and schoolhouse that could be threatened by the pipeline, which would also cross the road that provides access to the community. Importantly, landowners along the 32-mile route are also at risk of major property loss and damage because of this proposal. For some landowners, this pipeline will cut through the middle of farms more than a century old, or be placed as close as thirty feet next to their houses. Ultimately, this pipeline is unnecessary. If TVA does not invest ratepayer funds in a new gas-burning power plant the pipeline won't be built. The final decision for how to replace the Cumberland City Fossil Plant has not been made. In fact, the Environmental Protection Agency reviewed TVA's draft Environmental Impact Statement for replacing the Cumberland plant and found the analysis inadequate. In that 19-page comment, EPA also recommended that TVA NOT choose its current preferred gas option at all or without making modifications to the option. EPA pointed out that there are cleaner alternatives like energy efficiency, wind, solar, and battery storage that are not subject to the same price volatility as gas and that TVA should consider thoroughly for replacing the plant. The gas buildout would also leave rate payers subject to volatile gas prices. Replacing the Cumberland with a gas-burning plant would only create 25-30 permanent jobs. A recent study showed that investing in renewable energy and energy efficiency would create 739 long-term jobs and more than 4,000 temporary jobs. Given the risks to the community and local environment posed by this project, FERC should issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review and also conduct a full Environmental Impact Statement. I also request that FERC extend the intervention and comment period by at least 30 days for this project so that more impacted residents have a chance to preserve their rights and provide input. Thank you for considering my comments.	negative	Susannah	Felts	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#167	I do not want the methane plant in Cheatham county. It is right next to our towns water source and this is very dangerous!! Please, I BEG YOU, do not put this in our town. We are humble community who don't have much. At least let us have safe drinking water.	negative	Sara	Rodwell	

7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#166	We don't want a methane or a lithium battery plant here. In the event of a fire, our fire department would not be equipped to deal with such an issue. If there was ever a fire, the effects on human and wildlife would be horrendous. I haven't talked to a single person in this county that is in favor of this plant being located in Cheatham County. We all think it would be best if it was located somewhere else where they would have the equipment, manpower and wherewithal to handle any adverse problems that would arise with such a facility.	negative	Evelyn	Stevenson	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#165	This proposed site will pollute the water source for the town of Pleasant View, Tennessee & several surrounding communities dependent on the water from sycamore creek; if it is built. I speak not only for myself but for the rural and elder members of our community who are not technologically inclined and/or do not have not the means to chime in via the internet. These are people who have depended on this clean unmolested waterway their whole lives and are staunchly opposed to this proposed generation site. Sycamore Creek is one of the best fisheries in Tennessee because it is one of the few producing clean water and pouring clean water into the Cumberland River. Sycamore Creek is one of the few "life blood" sources for the River for this reason. If it is tainted, the Cumberland River will slowly become even more of a cess pool, and more communities and cities will suffer if it loses the clean water supply constantly pouring into it. The proposed site is only feet from Pleasant Views Water Treatment Facility as well. This proximity will directly affect the whole towns water. Both Sycamore Creek (the towns water supply) & The water treatment facility will be poisoned. By building this generation site, it will also increase cancer rates, sickness, and mortality. It will also destroy farmers livestock operations who dearly depend on sycamore creeks water for their animals. Nothing good comes from this proposal. The energy that would be created by this generation site is not worth the results of directly affecting tens of thousands of lives in these negative ways. Stop this madness or choose to be responsible for destroying our beautiful towns cities and countryside. If you poison the land and the people of these communities there will be no one to supply energy for.	negative	Jonathan	Lawhorn	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-006	Citations 1. <a href="https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/reports">https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/reports</a> 2. <a href="https://www.lazard.com/research-insights/2023-levelized-cost-of-energyplus/">https://www.lazard.com/research-insights/2023-levelized-cost-of-energyplus/</a> 3. <a href="https://yaleclimateconnections.org/2023/02/us-state-with-most-renewable-energy-production/">https://yaleclimateconnections.org/2023/02/us-state-with-most-renewable-energy-production/</a> 4. <a href="https://cleanenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/Solar-in-the-Southeast-Sixth-Annual-Report.pdf">https://cleanenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/Solar-in-the-Southeast-Sixth-Annual-Report.pdf</a> 5. <a href="https://www.siemens-energy.com/global/en/offerings/power-generation/power-plants/brownfield-transformation/rotating-grid-stabilizer.html?gclid=EAlaIqObChMI07H78K_K_wlV0X5MCh1dywd2EAAYASAAEgl20vD_BwE">https://www.siemens-energy.com/global/en/offerings/power-generation/power-plants/brownfield-transformation/rotating-grid-stabilizer.html?gclid=EAlaIqObChMI07H78K_K_wlV0X5MCh1dywd2EAAYASAAEgl20vD_BwE</a> 6. <a href="https://www.nrel.gov/news/features/2020/renewables-rescue-stability-as-the-grid-loses-spin.html">https://www.nrel.gov/news/features/2020/renewables-rescue-stability-as-the-grid-loses-spin.html</a> 7. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114766">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114766</a> 8. Lee, J. et.al., 2022. <a href="https://docs.wind-watch.org/US-footprints-Strata-2017.pdf">https://docs.wind-watch.org/US-footprints-Strata-2017.pdf</a>	negative	Joseph	schiller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-005	<p>TVA misrepresents the land impacts of solar relative to gas turbine generation in the Cumberland CC turbine EIS by failing to include the land impacts of these upstream sources as though natural gas just materializes from the ether. The Koch Foundation funded Strata Policy Institute published a study comparing the land use of various energy sources and found that natural gas affected more than 11 acres of land per MW of natural gas generation. (8) These are inexcusable omissions from a public utility whose every policy action should be firmly grounded in science! TVA failed to provide a risk assessment of the price volatility of natural gas in its analysis of the natural gas turbine alternative and should certainly include such an analysis in this Cheatham project. TVA must include all the land impacts of the proposed actions and all the costs of the proposed actions. It has a history of slanting the analysis to favor its chosen outcome.</p> <p>TVA exaggerated the cost and installation time of the solar and storage alternative by insisting that all the required solar and storage capacity must be sited on newly purchased farmland rather than on its own extensive land and water holdings with existing grid infrastructure. It exaggerated the time by insisting that every megawatt of solar generation had to be installed prior to 2026 and ignored that some of it could be installed on its own properties by 2024. TVA also made the ridiculous claim that it could take 11 years to install all the required solar capacity. Even solar capacity installed on private land could be achieved at much lower cost than TVA's claim in the Cumberland FDEIS if it had put out a request for proposals to obtain actual bids. Instead, TVA based its estimate on a retrospective analysis of its past solar costs even though solar costs have realized drastic reductions over this period. This approach is doubly flawed in that TVA only described these costs to install solar on private lands as a cost, while in fact, they are also a powerful economic development tool that will boost the rural economy and help keep valuable farmland in production!</p> <p>In summary, TVA must draft a DEIS that meets the letter and intent of the NEPA by formulating a more complete set of action alternatives spanning the range of reasonable possibilities that will cost effectively and reliably replace the electrical generation lost through retirement of the 2nd coal generation turbine at Cumberland Steam Plant. TVA must then objectively compare these alternatives and be willing to accept any that satisfies the stated goals and conditions.</p>	negative	Joseph	schiller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-004	Given TVA's often expressed concern about the need for inertial resources to stabilize its grid, TVA should undertake a study to determine how much inertial capacity it lacks, if any, and publish the results in a publicly available venue for peer review. It can then formulate an action alternative that includes the needed inertial technology such as synchronous condensers without the need for gas turbine generation. (5) Contrary to TVA's past claims, new gas turbine generation is not the only viable solution for providing inertial stabilization of an electricity grid. This technology can be retrofitted into retiring coal and gas turbine generating units thus capitalizing on existing infrastructure to reduce costs. One caveat, some energy experts have conducted studies that indicate the concern that high renewables penetration can destabilize a grid is unfounded. (6) In any case, for the immediate and foreseeable future, TVA has far too little inverted power (i.e., noninertial) on its grid to justify this level of concern. Assuming TVA publishes a valid DEIS incorporating a range of reasonable action alternatives as required by NEPA, it then must conduct a valid analysis of these alternatives. In past, TVA has not conducted valid analyses of the alternatives it has considered in the EIS process for the retirement of the first Cumberland coal turbine by failing to include all the costs and environmental impacts of the proposed gas turbine and gas pipeline and exaggerating the costs of the solar and storage action alternative. It must not repeat these flaws in the Cheatham gas plant analysis. TVA failed to include all the adverse environmental impacts of the gas turbine alternative upstream of the 32-mile natural gas pipeline that will deliver methane gas to the turbine. These include many traditional air pollutants, water pollution from fracking activities, and methane emissions from the upstream activities and gas drilling, collection, and transportation activities and infrastructure required to serve the proposed gas turbine at Cumberland. Most methane and health related emissions from natural gas use originate from upstream activities. (7) It also did not include the social cost of carbon resulting from any part of the alternative. TVA must include all the social, environmental, and economic costs in this Cheatham gas plant EIS! TVA must include the social cost of carbon in comparing a range of reasonable alternatives. Based on TVA's gas consumption estimates for the combustion turbine alternative in the first Cumberland EIS, this gas turbine may consume up to 145,000 dekatherms of natural gas per day and impose a social cost of carbon of \$1,609,500 per day, or \$587,467,500 per year. And this calculation does not include any of the upstream emissions such as leaks from natural gas exploration, extraction, processing, and transport which are now estimated to be almost as large as the CO2 produced by burning the natural gas. So just this one gas turbine project will create over \$1 billion social cost of carbon a year.	negative	Joseph	schiller	



7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-003	<p>The proposed action alternative includes the creation of a 13-acre pollinator habitat site along Sycamore Creek. I would respectfully point out that the 13 acres referenced is probably already good pollinator habitat. However, if TVA believes it can substantially improve the existing pollinator habitats on this site, it should consider doing so as part of a solar park. The science of combining solar with pollinator habitat is now well developed and an entire service industry has grown up that provides this management service to solar facilities at costs like traditional solar site vegetation management. Assuming TVA will incorporate the criticisms and suggestions provided by commentators in this scoping process, it will publish a greatly improved DEIS for this project that offers a range of action alternatives. Among this range of action alternatives should be a 400MW battery storage facility with 900 MW of capacity provided by any combination of new renewable energy generation, energy efficiency, and Virtual Power Plant (VPP). Failure to do so would be both invalid and fiscally irresponsible. The May 2023 Lazard’s Levelized Cost of Energy Report estimates that unsubsidized utility scale solar combined with battery storage is always less costly than a gas peaking plant, and subsidized with the provisions of the Inflation Reduction Act may be 5X less expensive than the lowest cost gas peaking plant. Given that TVA has repeatedly expressed concerns that it must have flexible gas generation to “backstop” renewable generation it should undertake a study to specify how many MW of this backstop is required per MW of solar and publish its methodology and the results in a publicly available venue for peer review. Then TVA can formulate an action alternative that moves the TVA generation portfolio toward this scientifically determined ratio of flexible gas backstop and renewable generation. However, it is worth noting that many large utility grids across the USA have much higher percentages of solar and/or wind generation on their grid and have not experienced grid instability. (3) It is likely that TVA’s flexible gas generation assets already exceed whatever this scientifically determined ratio may be given the tiny fraction of solar and wind generation currently in the TVA generation portfolio. TVA has the entire concept backwards--the goal is not to build fossil generation to enable renewables installation, but rather, to install renewable generation to enable fossil retirement! At the open house Scoping session in Ashland City on June 21, 2023 I overheard a TVA employees told numerous citizens who asked why TVA is not pursuing more solar that they must install more gas to do so to maintain system reliability. This is contradicted by the 2023 Solar in the Southeast Report (4) that shows TVA is behind most of its peer utilities that have not experienced reliability challenges. Those employees also told citizens that supply chain disruptions prevented it from doing more solar. Yet this same report shows that solar installations were barely affected by the widely discussed supply chain challenges. As a result, TVA is forecast to fall even further behind most of its peers as they accelerate their solar installations at a much faster pace than TVA. When a farmer asked about Agrisolar options he was told that TVA would like to do more of that, but there is a shortage of sheep. While this is true, this is not the only possible Agrisolar option and a solar option which is not included in this scoping process would take years to implement during which one can be certain the supply of sheep will increase. Especially since a solar facility will have an expected operating life of 25-30 years duration.</p>	negative	Joseph	schiller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-002	<p>In this Cheatham County CT plant DEIS TVA again makes the claim it is building the “energy system of the future,” but instead it seems to be mired in the past. The DEIS cites the TVA “strategic plan document” which states emphatically that TVA is committed to applying the best science and technology to formulating its power planning, yet it ignores the international scientific consensus that inspired secretary general Antonio Guterres statements quoted above. I feel obliged to point out that the cited strategic plan document is redundant given that TVA is mandated in its charter to be an innovator in the application of the best science and technology in implementing its policies.</p> <p>The scoping document satisfies neither the letter nor the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) which requires all projects involving federal funds to explore all reasonable alternatives and to afford the public reasonable opportunity for comment on them. The current scoping document includes no action alternatives beyond the proposed natural gas combustion turbine (i.e., peaking) generating plant and 12-mile natural gas pipeline with a modest 100MW (400MWhr) battery storage. A no action and a single preferred action alternative do not constitute a set of reasonable alternatives! It appears that TVA is withholding other obvious action alternatives from consideration because it knows an objective socioeconomic and environmental analysis will find they are superior to the single preferred action alternative proposed. While TVA tries to distract the public by drawing attention to all the various environmental, social, and economic topics that are part of the EIS process, while failing to reveal they are obliged to look at all these factors across a range of reasonable alternatives. What does it matter if TVA analyses all these factors for only one action alternative? There is no possibility of arriving at a better solution than the single proposed action alternative.</p> <p>Other reasonable alternatives that could be considered include a combination of energy efficiency, solar and battery storage, purchased wind energy, and distributed solar and storage from virtual power plants (VPP). While TVA does include a 100MW (400 MWhr) battery storage system in the scoping proposal, and this is a step in the right direction, this battery would be more appropriately sited on the retiring Cumberland coal generating plant reservation, or in the nearby industrial park on the south side of Ashland City. There is no need to destroy a large block of forested and agricultural green space in a cynical effort to justify the construction of a new fossil generating plant and associated natural gas pipeline that will contribute to worsening climate disruption and disrupt the lives and environment of all the private landowners along its path. Further, as stated above, this storage component could be part of a second mixed resource alternative instead of part of this single preferred action alternative. Battery storage not coupled to renewable energy generation is counterproductive. The mixed resource alternative should include sufficient renewable generation to assure this battery storage is charged by pollution free generation. Given TVA’s limited renewable generation capacity, it is highly likely TVA will charge this battery with fossil and nuclear generation during off-peak hours instead of from renewables, thus actually causing even higher carbon emissions!</p>	negative	Joseph	schiller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#164-001	<p>My name is Dr. Joe Schiller. I live in Montgomery County, on a commercial fruit and vegetable organic farm. I believe the proposed natural gas electricity generating plant to be built in Cheatham County, TN to replace lost generating capacity at the retired Cumberland Coal Electricity GenerationPlant in Montgomery is a violation of the public trust and common decency. I also believe theproposed scoping document is a clear violation of both the letter and the intent of NEPA. Beyond that, the scoping document is financially foolish because it does not include far more economical options. The planet, including the TVA region of the USA is already experiencing dramatically altered and destabilized climate impacts from the carbon emissions to date. Adding more sources of these emissions as proposed in this scoping document will accelerate and exacerbate this problem. This year has been one of the most financially challenging for our farm in the past couple decades. We had damage from winter storm Elliot, the late April frost, and excessive dry April winds this year. Our berry and fruit harvests are reduced, some of our spring vegetable and herb crops were stressed and we lost valuable timber, damage to buildings and significant landscaping damage. Many other people in the region experienced similar losses. Extreme weather events are a threat to everyone, but the financial risks are especially great for us farmers. Adding another major emission carbon emissions source given the current understanding of the precarious state of our planet’s climate system is reckless and unconscionable. The most recent report of the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has stated unequivocally that no additional fossil fuel infrastructure should be built if we are to avoid unmanageable climate disaster.Recent public communications by UN chief Antonio Guterres emphasized the urgent actions needed to avoid climate disaster and that further fossil fuel development is “incompatible with human survival.” He went on to state “Current policies are taking the world to a 2.8 degree temperature rise by the end of the century. That spells catastrophe, yet the collective response remains pitiful. We are hurtling towards disaster, eyes wide open — with far too many willing to bet it all on wishful thinking, unproven technologies and silver bullet solutions.” TVA continues to ignore this message. TVA seems to think it can set the timeline for when it will transition to a carbon free energy system, but it is tragically mistaken in that belief—the earth’s climate system is what determines that timeline.</p>	negative	Joseph	schiller	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#163	<p>I frequently enjoy recreation in the area in question. The beauty and peacefulness found in the Sycamore Creek area is increasingly hard to come by. The established wildlife and woodlands are important to the area and to the state in general. I would ask that the agency please reevaluate the location of the proposed facility</p>	negative	William	Batchelor	

7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#161	I would like to voice my concern about the proposed gas turbine plant on Lockertsville Road in Cheatham County. I live on Lockertsville Road a mile and half to the west of the proposed site. My family has lived and farmed in this area for 200 years when it was acquired as an 1812 land grant from the government. Since then, the land has changed hands several times and many others have moved in, all with the same purpose: to live in and enjoy nature and the peace and quiet of the countryside. This new plant would impinge directly on that common purpose of the community by negatively effecting air quality, traffic patterns, background noise, local wildlife, and recreation. I am concerned with how the plant will effect the quality of the air in the community, both due to health issues of those who live near the proposed site that could be impacted by the emissions from the plant as well as any potential odors created by those emissions and the equipment itself. Burning gas is not clean. It may be better than burning coal but that does not make it clean or healthy for those living nearby. I am concerned with the traffic patterns in the area. The site is located on very narrow and windy country roads with limited access to the site beyond the existing road without accessing through privately held property. It is not uncommon to see wildlife and pets along the road as well as people running, biking, driving tractors and other farm equipment. Adding more traffic, especially large equipment during construction and operation, would make such activities which are an every day way of life here, dangerous activities. I am concerned with the sound that might be produced by such a plant. I can't imagine that 14 turbine engines would run quietly considering just one jet or helicopter flying low over head is enough to rattle the windows and disturb the peace and quiet of the area. Spend any time in this area and you will note the quietness that's violated only by bullfrogs and birdsong and the occasional thunderstorm. I am concerned with the plant's potential to effect the water quality in the area. Spring Creek runs below the site and is fed by ground water and natural springs that run through the area that empty into Sycamore Creek below the site as well. Several of the farms and old home sites near the proposed plant pride themselves as being the site of natural springs that still produce clear, cool, clean water that is safe to drink straight from the ground, both by people and by wildlife. Such a plant has the potential to contaminate ground water and the springs as well as the creeks below the site that are used as water sources by wildlife as well as a recreational site for fishing, camping, swimming and kayaking for locals and visitors alike. The water treatment plant has intake outlets in the creek below the site as well. Potential contamination could widely effect those beyond the site as the water department has recently expanded the facility and intake to supply growing neighborhoods in nearby Pleasant View. I am concerned with the potential negative impact on wildlife in the area. Despite all the homes and farms in the community, the area has abundant wildlife that we enjoy viewing throughout the year including deer, raccoons, foxes, coyotes, possum, turkey, skunk, armadillos, bats, squirrels, bald eagles, hawks, falcons, owls, as well as many other birds and the animals that live in and around the creeks, streams, ponds, and springs of the area such as frogs, turtles, wading birds, fish, and crawfish. The noise pollution, increased traffic, and water contamination could affect that wildlife that we have all come to enjoy sharing our community with. In addition to the above concerns, I am also concerned with the safety of the proposed plant. The emissions output by such a plant can cause negative health outcomes for those around the plant, and I would like to point out that though this is a rural area, the proposed site is surrounded by numerous homes with people that spend a lot of time outdoors, either because they love it and that's why they moved here or because it's a way of life and a necessity to run their farms. I am also concerned with the impact a potential accident or spill could have on the area both for the environment and wildlife as mentioned above and those people that live near and around the site and proposed pipeline. There are many people that have homes and farms next to and in close proximity to the site and a potential spill or accident would greatly impact their way of life - their homes or their lively hoods, or both, would be negatively effected if not lost, not to mention what any of this will mean for local property values in an area where most people rely on their property as either a legacy for their children or a means to a retirement.I understand that this site is part of the effort to close down the Cumberland City coal plant as part of a larger effort to reduce the reliance on fossil fuels. I do not see how creating a new plant relying again on fossil fuels, even in a temporary capacity, can be considered a good thing for anyone other than TVA. While burning gas may be 89% cleaner than burning coal, it's 111% dirtier than what we currently have on in the Lockertsville community. I encourage to think more proactively and be more creative and innovative in your efforts to solve your coal problem and not do so by creating another problem somewhere else.	negative	Kristy	Jackson	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#159	This has many family farms and we do not want them interfered with. Please leave our community alone.	negative	Pat	Carr	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#158	Let's focus on renewable energy	negative	Sarah	Baxter	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#156	I am writing to express my concern over TVA's proposal to build our natural gas plants specifically via a gas generation site in Cheatham County. This is the optimal time to transition to clean renewable energy sources. We should not add dependence upon natural gas. We also need to think of residents' health. Destroying land to build these sites is a further step backwards in combatting climate change. This area is currently full of scenic land that is more beneficial as farmland or untouched to combat carbon emissions. On the positive side, I applaud the addition of the Battery Energy Storage System which would help integrate renewable energy into the system. Please rethink the dedication the expansion of dependence on natural gas in the interest of TN residents present and future.	negative	Miranda	Tidwell	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#155	Natural gas seems like a common sense short term solution for immediate fluctuations in the power supply. The long term environmental problems with battery storage need to be addressed. Solar and wind also have significant environmental concerns that should be weighed against benefit. Nuclear energy seems to be the best alternative with the advances in 3rd and 4th generation reactors. This would also address nuclear waste concerns. These are my concerns as a TVA (MTEC) customer.	negative	Gregory	Baker	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#154	Our rural, yet growing community stands to gain nothing from this facility. It will be near homes, farms that provide food for people across our nation, our primary water source, and three schools.This city already has the highest water rates of any city around us and will face a 50+% increase over the next three years to fund another sewage treatment facility near the river this 'generation site' will be impacting. This project serves no purpose for us and will only negatively impact our already fragile infrastructure.	negative	Rebecca	Davis	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#153	I am expressing that the proposed plans for the power plant on Sycamore Creek is a devastating development to hear about for local farms and communities in the area. This will negatively crop, water, and resources to these farms and communities. Don't go through with the power plant plans!	negative	Lyndsey	Fields	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#152	Stop this toxic power plant development! We need to preserve the nature values of this city, it's why people love it here!	negative	Taven	Royster	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#151	Please do not build a power plant near Sycamore Creek! This area is full of natural beauty and valuable local farms. Spaces like these are becoming increasingly rare in the Greater Nashville Area. They need to be preserved!	negative	Jenny	West	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#150	Please use only renewable energy for your electrical production. It is far better for the environment and is cost-efficient in the long run. Future generations will be thankful for your foresight.	negative	Talbot	Rogers	

7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#148	Please please reconsider how this effort and money are spent. It's all too clear that pipelines can cause serious damage to the local surroundings and harm the nature that lives within. Middle tn is so naturally beautiful and growing that our means of powering this part of the country should be equally progressive and effective. Why not solar? Why not wind? Why not literally anything else? I know the land well where you'd be putting in the pipeline and there's now way you'd be able to leave a small enough foot print to justify this. And what about spills? So many folks use that land and Rivers and streams. If this is for the future generations to come, then why not do something they'd be proud of? I understand you're here to make money, but at what cost? You want your rivers even dirtier? You want more PFAS in our drinking water? You want more chemicals in the animals we hunt and eat around here? This isn't just fun for folks. It's a way of life and with this pipeline, that wouldn't benefit them in the least, you'd be collection money and hurting the locals deeply. You're smart enough to see that yes? Is the money that good? Does it need to be this way?! The answer is no, as greedy as you'd choose to be. You can't drink money. Can't eat it and you sure can't breath it. Every neighbor I've spoke to has all agreed this is a terrible addition to our community. Please hear our call and do not do this! Thank you, and may god bless you and your conscience	negative	Matthew	Paige	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#146	To build a power plant in - of all places - a community that is so rich in farmland and natural ecosystems, is irresponsible, hazardous, and blatantly nonsensical. Please reconsider that you may see this obvious error in judgment. Do not build this power plant.	negative	Casey	Wind	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#145	I am writing to oppose a gas generated system in Cheatham County. While better than coal, this continues our use of fossil fuel for a generation to come. The climate is collapsing faster than any scientists predicted it. We must stop using fossil fuels immediately. Thank you	negative	Nancy	Stetten	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#144	I think it is great that we are backing off coal but to build for gas is not much better. We need to do everything we can to get off fossil fuels and you could be a leader in the transition that you know we need to make - things like the battery storage. Let's all work in the same direction.	negative	David	Riall	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#143	I brought my family here from Arizona because I trust and believe in TN. I want a healthy future for my kids and doing this is not providing that!	negative	Melissa	LaBenske	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#142	I am concerned about property values, and the lack of information being provided, plans, timeline, maps and potential damage to ground water and Sycamore Creek. Thank you.	negative	William	Holt	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#141	As a resident of Pleasant View and learning that a company or change through social media that will be affecting me, community, and my families ability to obtain something as vital and necessary as water is ludicrous. I couldn't believe that something that could affect the one source of water my community has would not be published publicly for all who may be affected to easily see, access, and respond to. Finding out through social media of all places makes it feel that the actions that are being proposed are trying to be hidden. Water is life, don't mess with something we all depend on every day to survive	negative	Nichlous	Labenske	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#140	I am strongly against the proposed plant and lines that will disrupt the countryside of Cheatham County. Pleasant View water supply would be at risk as well as bald eagle habitats and farm land. I do not support this at all. Let's not disrupt this beautiful area	negative	Andrew	Phillips	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#139	Senator Edward Markey, quoted in the New York Times, addresses the urgency of the climate crisis:"The urgent need to address the climate crisis means we can't make reckless investments now that will have to be paid off for decades..." "We have to consider clean options, which, fortunately for consumers, are also cost-effective." I urge you to move forward with solar and battery storage instead of gas!	negative	Dan	Fernandez	
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#137	love this county. Don't take away what makes this a great place to live, explore , hike, farm and raise our families. The nature and wildlife makes our community serene. Stay away !	negative	Paula	Quigley	
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#136	The city of Pleasant View is especially reliant on the unimpaired waters of Sycamore Creek. The city receives one hundred percent of their drinking water from the protected stream and that has officials and residents concerned. "The Sycamore Creek is vital to Pleasant View and the Pleasant View Utility District because again that is where we get our drinking water from," the mayor said. "And without that, there are no other creeks around here that could supply the water that needs to be supplied to the citizens of this town." The Pleasant View Utility District's (PVUD) water intake station and water treatment plant are both just feet away from the TVA's proposed site, leaving many worried about pollution. And these pollution concerns are not unfounded. According the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "natural gas consists of a high percentage of methane (generally above 85 percent) and varying amounts of ethane, propane, butane, and inerts (typically nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and helium)." The emissions from natural gas plants include, nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), nitrous oxide (N2O), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), trace amounts of sulfur dioxide (SO2), and particulate matter (PM). These emissions have been linked to serious health concerns such as respiratory illnesses and increased cancer rates.	negative	Kevin	Batch	
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#135	The city of Pleasant View is especially reliant on the unimpaired waters of Sycamore Creek. The city receives one hundred percent of their drinking water from the protected stream and that has officials and residents concerned. "The Sycamore Creek is vital to Pleasant View and the Pleasant View Utility District because again that is where we get our drinking water from," the mayor said. "And without that, there are no other creeks around here that could supply the water that needs to be supplied to the citizens of this town." The Pleasant View Utility District's (PVUD) water intake station and water treatment plant are both just feet away from the TVA's proposed site, leaving many worried about pollution. And these pollution concerns are not unfounded. According the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "natural gas consists of a high percentage of methane (generally above 85 percent) and varying amounts of ethane, propane, butane, and inerts (typically nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and helium)." The emissions from natural gas plants include, nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), nitrous oxide (N2O), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), trace amounts of sulfur dioxide (SO2), and particulate matter (PM). These emissions have been linked to serious health concerns such as respiratory illnesses and increased cancer rates.	negative	Diane	Batch	
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#134	As a resident in this community, it does not need a TVA plant. There's to many houses with small children around for TVA plant to be that close. Families who you will be polluting at all hours. Especially since it increases risks for families especially those with health issues. Please consider elsewhere. Some where away from water that supplies houses with their drinking water, and away from communities.	negative	Charity	Justice	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#133	I am resident of the community near sycamore creek and spring creek. I have lived here all my life and swim as well as fished in both creeks as young man. To cause any damage to either would be very sad. The roads that lead to this property are very narrow and crooked with small bridges defending on the direction to the property. Will this plant supply power to the county? Will this provide jobs to local residents? How much water is necessary to operate and how much is returning back into the stream plus what will the condition of that water be to wildlife. All the byproducts that come from burning fuel to operate what does this do to the quality of our air. I really think that this should be in an industrial park. I am not in favor of having this in our community. Thank you!	negative	Gaylon	Harris	37015

7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#132	Please do not build in Pleasant View. It is a great community and I would hate to see it destroyed and polluted	negative	Anne	Wrye	
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#131	Please do not put this in Cheatham County. I live close to the location of the proposed power plant. We do not want it nor the negative affects of it.	negative	Chasity	Shortt	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#130	Hello, A lot of my comments will probably echo other respondents comments and that will show a common theme amongst the concerned citizens of our beautiful community. I would like to raise a few concerns though . I was born and raised near this site and would like to preserve it for my future generations. The easy concerns are environmental impact, property values , concerns about the gas line etc. My concerns go to things like the 10 acre battery farm that has already proven to be an issue in the event of fires not being able to be suppressed . Simple battery powered cars and Plants like Phoenix Arizona Power should illustrate my concerns. Another issue is that it is said that TVA is only developing 75 acres in the middle of the 285 purchased but what will stop future expansion here. This plant will only be a 25 year gap plan to get us to the next power source. This brings me to the next concern, SMR (Small Module Reactors) could eventually be added to this site or others similar in the future. Government mandates are speeding up the process to get away from fossil fuels on their timeline and maybe not what is actually feasible and that should be done for the true benefit of our future. The New Johnsonville Power plant was is pretty bad condition and probably should have either been updated or torn down but it would still be a good place to convert to a natural gas turbine. Setting dates to tear down Cumberland City and Gallatin Steam plants that do not have their replacements on line or nearly online seems irresponsible. Both types of plants should be pushed out to more rural area than out beautiful untouched community. Thank You for your consideration of my comments	negative	Todd	Corn	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#129	My family and I are very concerned about the health and environmental impact the proposed Ashland City TVA power plant will have not only humans, wildlife, but also aquatic life in Sycamore Creek. I am also concerned about the property values diminishing in the area due a power plant being in our neighborhood. I am opposed to the power plant being built in this area.	negative	SW	Saunders	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#128	I am strongly against the proposed plant and lines that will disrupt the countryside of Cheatham County. Pleasant View water supply would be at risk as well as bald eagle habitats and farm land. I do not support this at all. Let's not disrupt this beautiful area	negative	Andrew	Phillips	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#127	I don't understand why you would pick a place near all these Farms,Wildlife and Families, it will ruin these places not to mention, ruined our home values. I think there could be a better place chosen than Cheatham County in the middle of our homes and farms and farm animals and natural wildlife. Please rethink it.	negative	Melanie	Vuocolo	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#126	To Whom it May Concern: From my local perspective TVA was secretive and far from transparent in the planning and announcement of this power plant and pipeline proposal. From what I understand of the EIS is that ALL viable alternatives must be considered when planning a project of this magnitude and there is no information provided on what other options were considered. Some alternative options that deserve consideration are: 1.) Advance research, development and application of technologies that are already planned for the future or that are yet to be considered- hydrogen, nuclear, solar, magnetic power generation 2.) Revisit the abandoned nuclear site in Hartsville, TN. If advanced nuclear is already in plans for the future, why invest billions in new fossil fuel plants? 3.) Build a plant closer to start of pipeline and avoid the cost and property destruction of laying 12 miles of pipe. Have sites in that area been assessed for feasibility? 4.) Build a plant in West Nashville closer to where the energy will be used. If they need the power let them host the power plant. Seems reasonable. 5.) Properly assess how much power could be generated from solar panels on private and public spaces across the county and state and at what cost. 6.) Upgrade existing power generation sites including the Cumberland Coal Plant, Cheatham Dam, Old Hickory Dam and other power generation sites in middle Tennessee. These are just a few ideas from an ordinary person, but with the resources TVA has met with the power of human thought and innovation with the honest consideration of citizen's needs and concerns, surely a better solution could be created. The ecosystems of Sycamore Creek and the surrounding farmland that has been cultivated since before the Civil War has more historical and environmental value than power being generated to be used to power excessive energy use and population growth in West Nashville from people moving in droves from States with restrictive and absurd laws regarding power generation and electricity consumption. Vast and diverse ecosystems will be gravely threatened by this power plant, with America's very symbol, the Bald Eagle, hunting and very possibly nesting in that area, even on the proposed site. There should be open discussion of this with the public in town hall meetings or other forums. The Scoping Meeting on June 21st fell very short with uninformed, contradicting information and flat out lies coming from TVA representatives. I hope these comments are carefully reviewed, considered and addressed! Thank you	negative	Will	Halsey	37015
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#125	We do not want this in our backyard. There are better locations for this. Someone needs to look at this location in the middle of a residential area. The impact will be horrible for our water, wildlife, air quality, farm land. I live a half mile from location on 200 century old farm. TVA is government and does not care about money but will cost a fortune to put this here. We do not have roads or bridges to handle this. There is property on river road for sale better suited for this or the TWRA property already owned by gov is more than enough land to do what you need to do. This will not effect people in as much. You will not have to take peoples property You only disturb wildlife. Also it saves TVA money. Your gas line and power lines are right their. Just because you bought cheap property does not mean it is the best location. Who ever decided this is good location needs to be fired they must not looked at property because this will cost a fortune. Hire someone who can do their job correctly and take into consideration of cost. Tax payers will be impacted the most.	negative	Donna	Jackson	37015
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#123	Very concerned about this proposed plant. Details have been vague. Please do not destroy beautiful land, waterways, natural habitats, gorgeous woods and farmland. This is not wanted in our beautiful county. Very concerned citizens of Ashland City, TN	negative	Sharon	Tansil	37015
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#121	In regards to the proposed pipeline and methane plant, I vote NO! This is a huge danger to our waterways, native wildlife and well as the people of our communities. There is no room for dirty TVA plants in our small county. This farm land is better suited for farming, or left as a natural area for our native wildlife that is being pushed out at every turn to make room for the population boom in our county. This is definitely not what we as a community are trying to move towards. Let these pipelines and power plants move on somewhere else. Cheatham County is not where it belongs.	negative	Elizabeth	Brown	37035
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#119	Please consider else where don't ruin our beautiful farm lands with this , to support Nashville we cherish our community please go somewhere else	negative	Michael	Demonbreun	37035

7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#118	I am voting against propsed gas plant. This is not a good fit for our community	negative	Kathy	Coggin	37080
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#117	here are concerns about the proposed combustion turbine plant and storage facility near Ashland City. The particulants that are dispersed into the air are harmful to people as well as the water that will become contaminated and used for drinking. I live near this facility where it is planned to be built and MY FAMILY AND I will be breathing the fallout. Byproducts are harmful to our environment and increase risks of cancer. The smells are also bad. This also causes the value of residential property to go down near these facilities. This is not wanted here. Sell the property and look else where to more rural locations. Please be considerate of human beings first before blind eyes to long term health risks and self-service.	negative	Brandt	Holt	37035
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#116	Please do not build a methane gas plant; pipeline and battery storage at this proposed site! All of Pleasant View and most of north Cheatham gets its water supply from Sycamore Creek. I would not want this type of plant near my source of drinking water. If this is to mostly benefit the residents and businesses of Davidson County, build the facility there and leave our country side for us to enjoy it's beauty and not ruin it for us and future generations! We do not want the ugly, polluting structure in Cheatham County!	negative	Paulette	Cothorn	37146
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#115	No to methane gas in Ashland City!	negative	Kim	Penz	37015
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#114	I believe this is needed in Cheatham County. Found what I understand we receive our water the stream around the county. I believe that the plant will put our water in harms way. I would like to see plant move to our community.	negative	Kevin	Durr	
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#113	People are basically repeating what somebody says. Don't let facts get in the way of opinions. There are as many proven facts as there are somebody says. Do your research and prove facts from fiction.	Neutral	Danny	Stack	37035
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#111	These gas plants and pipelines would emit harmful pollutants that threaten air and water, risk lethal explosions and could cause property loss for landowners. This gas build would also fail to deliver for the region's workers and economy. While the Cumberland Fossil Plant has previously employed over 250 people, TVA's proposed gas alternative would only create about 25 to 35 permanent jobs. A recent study commissioned by Appalachian Voices shows that clean energy is a reliable and cost effective replacement that would create far more jobs, providing a permanent boost to local economies. Choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County!	negative	Nicolas	Dobbratz	37082
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#110	We live in a small town . A quiet country setting. Here is no place for an industrial noisy, dangerous, polluting company. Go to Nashville or an industrial park. Not in the middle of our farms and our peace and quiet. This will be more harmful than good. We don't want you here to pollute our waters, harm our farmland, animals and our people	negative	Lisa	Forsythe	
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#109	Please don't put the proposed TVA site next to sycamore creek.	negative	Ann	Saylor	37146
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#107	I don't believe this site should be constructed so closed to residential area. Find alternatives ways of getting power then this. Find a more natural way. Move out to a more rural area. Not in Nashvilles. Back yard.	negative	Connie	Pauley	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#106	Frustrated with the entire 1st statement above to leave a comment. Attempting to push this through with very little notice to the community and residents isn't the best way to begin a proposal. That in itself proves only that so much more research and community involvement is needed. Concerned with the lines running to the site as well as the disruption and possible destruction to the land, waterway and residents. Have other locations been proposed? Where were they? And reasons not locating your site there? If we oppose and TVA is not concerned (basically stated in the above statement regarding leaving a comment) how exactly does TVA propuse our, residents, voices are heard?	negative	Lora	Jarrett	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#105	This Site is near my home and near where my family get their drinking water. we are totally against this site. TVA purchased this and was hoping to build" in secret". TVA does not care about the citizens of this county, its all about money and whats best for TVA. Our county would not benefit at all from this but we would suffer from containments. The citizens of this county will fight against this. TVA should have looked for an area with no population and not near water that is the drinkingwater of thousands. TVA leave our area. we were here before you!	negative	Wendy	Case	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#104	Because we don't need it No	negative	Millard	Tilley	37146
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#103	If the EPA is in charge of review and site testing how are we to believe their results? They are being sued by 10 states for poor testing tools and results on wood stoves. That area of the river is well maintained by fisher people and hunters. It is close to a girlscout camp of 400 acres. Bald eagles fish there and nest are moving in closer. I really have issues of a construction site in that location. The batteries are a terrible idea as once on fire they burn. Please don't kill the sucker fish in that area.	negative	Robert	Fuller	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#102	Because we don't need it No	negative	Millard	Tilley	37146
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#101	My family is greatly against this gas plant coming to Cheatham County. It will be damaging to our community and the environment.	negative	Sharon		37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site_#100	this is very bad if exposed inappropriately	negative	Kaylea	Morris	37146
6/21/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#9]	TVA is only replacing one of the two units at Cumberland, then running a new pipeline to a different site to build a second unit? The obvious question is why not build a second unit at Cumberland, it has to be lower cost (only need one pipeline, staffing costs for two units on one site has to be lower). It would appear that transmission capacity must exist at Cumberland, since it handles the existing plant. As to TVA building battery storage, that could be avoided by requiring wind & solar providers to have on-site storage for a minimum of 24 hours of production and have the output dispatchable by TVA. As a side benefit, it makes the true cost of renewable energy more transparent (providers will need to price in storage costs), rather than the cost of intermittency being buried in the grid operators costs.	neutral	Stephen	Black	37178

6/30/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#81]	I own a house at the corner of Newland Hollow Road & Lockertsville Rd in Ashland City. TVA is wanting to build a methane gas site, lithium battery site and 12 miles of gas line along this area. I have lived here since 1999 and bought this property because it was quiet, rural, full of nature and animals as well as a great neighborhood. I am opposed to this site, as many others, due to the hazardous conditions that would become of this area from air quality to our waterways possibly being contaminated with what TVA is proposing. This isn't even to mention how loud it would be and dealing with constant lights. I know in the past, when there have been leaks in the waterways, it hasn't been dealt with immediately. I know if there were an explosion, this would be catastrophic, possibly more so than other areas where explosions have taken place and burned hundreds of acres. You also will make the flooding situation that happens around these parts, much worse. Please use the coal sites that you are shutting down rather than having new sites that will be nothing more than a nuisance for this community. Ashland City gets nothing from you coming here. Nashville will get the electricity while Ashland City gets the headache		Tammy	Bishop	37015
6/21/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#8]	I am a local resident (less 1/4 mile) of the proposed CHG site. There are obvious questions that arise when hearing of a turbine combustion plant and the words methane gas is mentioned. The first issue that comes to mind is noise for local residents. In talking with the project manager he was explaining the new technology with the turbines to be used and gave examples where they were already in place. Hopefully, there can be examples provided and possibly data related to the decibel levels surrounding those plants in existence. Another issue would be the effect to property values for those residences within close proximity to plants of this nature. I can assure you the county will believe my property value will go up, but someone would have to convince me with relatable data proving there would be no effect. I was also told that there is no reason for any type of buffer zone for residences close to this site. Supposedly the site would be safe and not cause any danger to the areas surrounding the site. Any correspondence or data related to this would be appreciated for residents to feel more warm and fuzzy without having to constantly worry about what could happen.One issue related to this project will be the traffic generated on Lockertsville Road by large trucks. I can assure you the road was not created to handle such traffic. There will be a danger to those that travel the road every day, such as myself. Hopefully you can understand my hesitance in seeing this project go through so close to my home. I will be retiring in a few years and the last thing I want to worry about in my supposed golden years is to worry about my and my family's safety as well as worrying about running into 18-wheelers on a narrow road. And i certainly don't want to see my investment in living in a fairly quiet country area be devalued because of something such as this plant. Thank you for understanding my concerns	neutral	Steve	Johns	37015
6/21/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#7]	Would the TVA be opposed to the community resourcing their own environmental study, at their own expense, and who would we contact to stay in communication with in your office to do so?	neutral	Jonathan	Singleton	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_006	<p>Comment 18: How does TVA plan to address the off-gassing of the lithium-ion batteries? How do you plan to control thermal runaway in the lithium-ion batteries (overheating that ignites the off-gassing). How well will the lithium-ion batteries perform in the hot summer when they are known for their overheating, ignition issues and ambient temperature limits?</p> <p>Comment 19: Include any and all seismic activity protections identified during the geotechnical studies of the sites into the Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contract. Include emergency preparedness in the plant's operational plan for seismic activities as well as the possibility of karst features opening during a seismic event.</p> <p>Comment 20: At no point in the planning, construction or operation of the proposed Cheatham County CT &amp; BESS site should water be withdrawn from Sycamore Creek, Spring Creek, or any of their tributaries located on the proposed site. At no point should any wastewater, process water or stormwater that originates on the proposed site be discharged directly to Sycamore Creek, Spring Creek, or any of their tributaries even if allowed by permit. All water originating on site should be retained for as long as possible and released upgradient to any drainage feature to promote infiltration. This is especially important as groundwater recharge at the site will be heavily impeded by the amount of impervious surface that will be installed to support the CTs and the BESS.</p> <p>Comment 21: As part of the EIS scoping process, engage local water and sewer utility providers to ensure those systems can support the proposed Cheatham County site before and during construction and during operation going forward. If utility providers cannot support the proposed alternative, the plan for a CT &amp; BESS should be abandoned. If it is not, TVA should be responsible financially for all system upgrades that may be required.</p> <p>Comment 22: As part of the EIS scoping process, engage local emergency management agencies and first responders to ensure those entities can support the proposed Cheatham County site before and during construction and during operation going forward in case of a fire, explosion or other emergency. If emergency response entities cannot support the proposed alternative, the plan for a CT &amp; BESS should be abandoned. If it is not, TVA should be responsible financially for all equipment upgrades and additional training that may be required.</p> <p>Comment 23: In addition to obtaining any Aquatic Resource Alteration Permits (ARAP) that are required and utilizing all TDEC recommended BMPs possible for stream crossings or other impacts, treat all Wet Weather Conveyances (WWC) located on the subject property as streams to prevent the discharge of sediment to Spring Creek, which is impaired and on the 303(d) list, and Sycamore Creek, which is currently fully supporting and is utilized extensively for recreation.</p> <p>I appreciate your time in reviewing these and all other comments received regarding the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site project. I hope to see these and other environmental impacts addressed completely and objectively in the draft EIS and look forward to reviewing a document that is compliant with NEPA in rule and in spirit. Sincerely, Stephanie Henry, Cheatham County, TN Resident</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_005	<p>Comment 15: Due to the dramatic increase of impervious surfaces anticipated to construct the proposed Cheatham County site, TVA should include features that will promote water infiltration to support groundwater recharge. The site and surrounding areas have dozens of spring-fed creeks that could be affected by decreased recharge rates. Examples include pervious concrete and rain gardens, among many others.</p> <p>Comment 16: Although the next NEPA-required public comment period won't occur until the draft EIS is complete, initiate public outreach efforts in the form of a town hall-style meeting to present information to the local community. Based on my personal experience and conversations with other attendees, the June 21, 2023, open house meeting left the public frustrated. Information wasn't formally presented, the venue was too small for the turnout, and asking questions for different impact areas/topics required trying to speak with multiple TVA representative who weren't clearly identified by area of expertise. Additional informational meetings, including question-and-answer sessions, will help to inform the local community who currently feel like TVA didn't properly advertise the June 21st meeting and are being secretive about the project.</p> <p>Comment 17: Consider in detail realistic ways to prevent or extinguish lithium-ion battery fires. According to TVA representatives on June 21st, each shipping container-style case will include intrinsic fire extinguishing methods that will deploy automatically if needed. However, lithium-ion battery fires are notorious for fast generation and difficult extinguishing. If there were a battery fire or explosion due to malfunction or thermal runaway, what would TVA's plan be to control the fire and prevent it from spreading to surrounding brush, forest, agricultural areas and homes?</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402



6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_004	<p>Comment 12: Include continued coordination with TDEC regarding the hydrologic determination (HD) concurrence request submitted on April 11, 2023. The inability of TVA to submit a full and complete concurrence request to TDEC, even after TDEC requested additional requireddocuments, has made me question the ability of TVA representatives to conduct a proper analysis of surface waters or to meet any other regulatory requirements that will be in place for the proposed Cheatham County site.</p> <p>Comment 13: Include a plan for perpetual upkeep of the proposed pollinator habitat as well as time increments for review of the plan and assessment of the pollinator habitat’s performance (such as the need to replace plants or plant different species). Consider partnering with the local Cheatham County Beekeepers group to place hives on the proposed site.</p> <p>Comment 14: In addition to the proposed pollinator habitat, create bat habitat enhancement based on the results of the site’s bat surveys. The site’s long history of having hardwood woodlands bordered by open fields make it a fantastic hunting and roosting habitat. Examples of habitat enhancement may include utilizing BrandenBark™ to create artificial natural bark roosts for the endangered Indiana Bat and the endangered Northern Long-eared Bat or creating man-made tunnels to support the endangered Gray Bat.</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_003	<p>Comment 5: Weigh the impacts and life cycle costs of building a fossil fuel plant which may only be operable for 20-25 years before being retired to meet carbon and GHG reduction requirements. Is the impact on the proposed Cheatham County site and its surrounding natural and human environment worth it with such a short life cycle? Provide data in the EIS to show that TVA has included this in its calculations and considerations. Also include all impacts of natural gas/methane use from cradle to grave, including fracking and pressurized pipeline transfer.</p> <p>Comment 6: Answer the question: Will the proposed Cheatham County CT site help or hinder TVA to meet current national goals of net-zero emissions before 2050?</p> <p>Comment 7: Consider the life cycle costs, including material sources (heavy metals, etc.) and waste disposal restrictions, of the lithium-ion batteries proposed for the BESS in the EIS. Include all impacts of the lithium-ion batteries from cradle to grave.</p> <p>Comment 8: Include management practices that exceed industry standards in regard to the storage of fuel oil, such as utilizing tertiary containment (a double-wall tank plus an earthen berm) and constant liquid sensing equipment in the interstitial space (instead of the monthly inspection of the interstitial space required by 40 CFR 112). Additionally, include seismic activity protections in the construction of the storage tank due to the region’s proximity to seismic activity zones.</p> <p>Comment 9: Include stormwater, wastewater and process water management practices that exceed industry standards with the goal of releasing no stormwater from the proposed Cheatham County site with any objectionable color, clarity or content parameters. Any stormwater sediment ponds required for construction should remain for post-construction water retention and treatment. Based on the fuel oil storage that is proposed, all water should pass through an oil-water separator or similar device before being released. All water should also be treated to reduce suspended solids and turbidity before being released, preferably by a water treatment unit but alternatively through flocculant use.</p> <p>Comment 10: Include air quality management practices that exceed industry standards and permit requirements. Enact an agreement with the local constituency that if air quality standards or permit requirements are not met (such as with Case No. APC22-0175, Case No. APC19-0121 and Case No. APC17-0060, among others), a detailed plan for remediation and installation of additional controls will be required in addition to what is required by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) Division of Air Pollution Control. TVA should be required to answer to the locality it is impacting in addition to TDEC.</p> <p>Comment 11: Include any and all possible best management practices and control equipment to reduce or suppress the amount of noise generated by the proposed Cheatham County site. Acoustic enclosures, exhaust silencers, attenuators for combustion and enclosure intake, and intake filtration can be compounded with other available equipment to reduce the noise impact on surrounding landowners. Natural and non-CT measures should also be utilized, such as tall vegetation and sound barrier walls. Noise impacts on human neighbors, bat species and their roosting and hunting activities, and other local wildlife should be considered.</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_002	<p>Comment 4: The EIS should address and discuss additional alternatives beyond the ‘No Action’ and the ‘Action Alternative’ presented during the TVA’s open house meeting on June 21, 2023. The additional alternatives should be fully evaluated for their current and long-term viability through an unbiased lens. The details of the evaluations should be presented as part of the EIS. Possible additional alternatives that could be explored include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Locating the CT site in closer proximity to the area where additional energy generation is actually needed: West Nashville. During the June 21 meeting, multiple TVA representative noted that the proposed Cheatham County site was the only land that was available with proximity to West Nashville. However, even today, multiple plots of land in West Nashville are available for purchase including a 313-acre plot near Highway 100 and Highway 96.</li><li>• Hydroelectric generation utilizing Cheatham Dam.</li><li>• Locating the CT or a more productive generation plant on the site of the soon-to-be retired Cumberland Site. A TVA representative stated that there would not be enough room once the currently proposed combined turbine plant is built, but no details or proof of this were provided. The Cumberland unit (Unit 2) that the Cheatham County site is meant to partially replace won’t be retired until 2028, at which point the site of Unit 1 could be demolished and replaced with another combined turbine plant. According to TVA representatives on June 21, the time to build the CT plant would be two years, allowing for 3 years to demolish Unit 1 and replace with a CT or a combustion plant. This alternative already has transmission lines and other infrastructure available, thus reducing the impact and cost to build.</li><li>• Construction of a small modular reactor. This would help move TVA towards its supposed carbon reduction goals and is already being researched for other location(s).</li><li>• Equipping homes and businesses with their own dedicated solar systems with battery storage. A former TVA employee presented to the Cheatham County Commissioners that a minimum of 200 acres are needed for the smallest of grid solar systems. Installing individual systems for homeowners would fill energy generation gaps and prevent rolling blackouts.</li></ul> <p>Regardless of the Alternative Action(s) considered, do the work and prove that TVA has actually explored other options and show the population that the decision to proceed with the Preferred Alternative wasn’t made before the EIS process even started. This is especially important given the recent accusations and lawsuits against TVA for potentially making its decision for the Cumberland conversion site before the EIS was conducted and not properly considering other energy options in the EIS.</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402

6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#60]_001	<p>Ms. Johnson, Please consider this letter and all comments within my formal submittal of items I believe should be included in the Scoping Report and objectively considered during the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process for the proposed Cheatham County Generation Site.</p> <p>Comment 1: The EIS should address and discuss the entire project, to include the Combustion Turbines (CT), the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), and the 12-mile natural gas pipeline. By excluding the pipeline in the EIS, you are segmenting the project and excluding connected actions. All connected actions are required to be considered in the same EIS. The actions are connected based on the CTs reliance on natural gas as its primary fuel source, which is not currently available at the proposed location.</p> <p>Comment 2: Explain why TVA chose to do an EIS instead of an Environmental Assessment (EA). TVA conducted EAs for similar projects (CTs, combined turbines, BESS sites) to meet NEPA requirements – why did the proposed Cheatham County site warrant an EIS? The only reasons EISs are prepared instead of EAs is if significant environmental impacts appear likely or the project is anticipated to generate controversy. Based on conversations with TVA’s NEPA representatives at the June 21st meeting, TVA chose to do an EIS because initial screening of the site indicated environmental features, specifically streams and bat habitat. Neither of these environmental feature’s presence warrant an EIS. Especially since further conversation revealed that TVA doesn’t anticipate stream impacts and plans only minimal tree removal. What is the real reason TVA chose to do an EIS?</p> <p>Comment 3: What is TVA and/or its NEPA contractor planning to do differently in this EIS to properly assess all areas required since the last two TVA site EAs/EISs have resulted in lawsuits for 1) not properly considering other energy options, 2) not correctly factoring climate impacts, 3) not correctly factoring economic impacts, and 4) failure to properly assess environmental impacts.</p>	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/21/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#6]	<p>I am DEEPLY concerned about and opposed to the TVAs proposed methane plant and pipeline for several reasons.</p> <p>1. The use of eminent domain to take private property for the pipeline.</p> <p>2. The impact of pollution on the humans (including children) living in the vicinity of the plant and pipeline.</p> <p>3. The environmental impact on the natural area including the disruption of water sources that will impact wildlife and human life.</p> <p>4. The TVAs track record of destructive projects including the Coal Ash spill in East TN was downplayed by TVA and demonstrated a significant lack of accountability. It's just a matter of time before the volatile gas plant and pipeline result in a disaster that could have long-term impacts and lives lost.</p> <p>5. Natural gas fossil fuel is a step in the wrong direction toward more renewable energy sources. This is a step backward, not forward.</p> <p>I am pleading with the TVA to reconsider its plan. Consider other ways you can use this land for renewable energy sources that create long-term positive environmental and economic impacts. You can do better than this plan.</p>	negative	Kelli	Garrett	37015
6/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#5]	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>My name is Nick Waynick. I am writing you today in opposition of the proposed gas plant and battery storage facility on Lockertsville Rd. in Ashland City. My family farm is across Sycamore creek from the proposed site. My family has been farming in the Lockertsville road area for many generations and the potential impact is very concerning. Primarily, the environmental impact to Sycamore creek that borders the property is of utmost importance. Adjacent to the TVA property is the pumping station that supplies drinking water for north Cheatham County. Additionally, the aquatic species in sycamore creek, including the endangered hellbender salamander, and wild life in the near by wooded areas could be negatively affected in several different ways including water quality, air quality, and noise pollution. Furthermore the farming operations in the area, including my own, could be suffer negative consequences for may of the same reasons, further diminishing the rural culture of the local community. Increased industrial traffic and operations would make the already difficult job of farming, that much more difficult. Thank you for your time and consideration and please feel free to contact me if you would like any further explanation for my concern.</p>	negative	Nick	Waynick	37015
6/19/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#4]	<p>My residence and farm are located on the opposite side of Sycamore creek from the proposed site for the Cheatham County generation facility. Following are some of my concerns should this facility be built:</p> <p>1. Noise pollution.</p> <p>2. Air pollution including odors.</p> <p>3. Light pollution.</p> <p>4. Environmental impact of constructing a 12-mile gas pipeline.</p> <p>5. Potential destruction of Indian burial sites. There are numerous burial mounds along Sycamore creek. Pleasant View Utility encountered such mounds when constructing a pumping station at the end of Chandler Road.</p> <p>It would make more sense to either:</p> <p>6. Construct a facility of this type in an existing industrial park that already has the required infrastructure such as natural gas.</p> <p>7. Upgrade the existing Cumberland Fossil Plant rather than shutting it down.</p> <p>Residents in this rural area mainly live here to enjoy a quiet country life including farming. I believe items 6 and 7 above offer better solutions to address peak power needs</p>	negative	Joseph	Malinoski	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#39]	<p>I do not support the proposal of constructing a methane plant and pipeline in Ashland City (or anywhere, frankly). The methods used to obtain methane are destructive to our environment and community both in the immediate effects and long term consequences. Methane is already an outdated, environmentally damaging, and costly resource to obtain. With the TVA already behind on renewable resources, why are we spending budget on projects that area already obsolete instead of investing in the future? How is that us being fiscally responsible? I believe that this methane plant would not only Not Help, but would actively be damaging to Tennessee - in the neighboring communities, it's undeniable harm to Tennessee's ecology, and it's weakening of our infrastructure in the long term sustainability both as an inherently finite resource and fiscal responsibility. I also think the comment period should be extended given that the open house was not even 6 days ago. That's not nearly enough time for an informed community to provide feedback about something that will impact us for generations.</p>	negative	Jacob	Best-Wittenberg	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#37]	<p>Stop ruining our world for the sake of wealth and personal gain. The countryside my family has lived in for my whole life has already been destroyed by so much, this is going to wreck Cheatham county. Not to mention the amount of probable water contamination that will come with this project. DO NOT DO THIS</p>	negative	Sam Jonathan	Wittenberg	37015

6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#36]	<p>In my career as an IT engineer and department Director for a large Fortune 500 company, I've learned to approach any problem with an unemotional and rational response. I still have my concerns and feel strongly about this project and its location. I also want those concerned that I understand the need for such a plant and the difficulty to locate one anywhere within our county. No one wants it in their backyard. In my case, the plant will be literally a ¼ mile away. The proposed site is located on the southernmost location within the original 640-acre Revolutionary War land grant purchased by my ancestors and settled in 1815. Over the years the land owned by my family has changed but myself and my Maternal aunt still own the last 100 acres. So, someone in my family has continuously owned a portion of that original land grant for 208 years. It matters to me what happens here regardless of the outcome.</p> <p>My concerns for this project are numerous but my expertise is not in environmental science. But I will enumerate my concerns in this section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•I would like to understand the level of noise created by such a plan. I understand it could average about 85 db with a high of about 139 db. But at what distance. It is very quiet and peaceful here, So I don't want that to change.</li><li>•Property values are a real concern to several of us since our homes are our largest investment and a hedge against inflation in our retirement.</li><li>•Of course, the effects on the water and air in the area is a major concern as well. This would be a concern wherever the plant is constructed.</li><li>•The area where this project is targeted may seem to be a open agriculture area but in reality this site is surrounded by a large residential community with large lots. There are a few small farms (like ours) but the majority of our neighbors live on 5-10 acre home lots. The proposed area is basically like building the plant in the middle of a suburban subdivision.</li></ul>	negative	Ronald	Jackson	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#35]	I am NOT in favor of the gas turbine plant proposed in cheatham county!! Do not consider destroying our lovely pristine environment!	negative	Valerie	Vanostenbridge	37015
6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#34]	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am a Century farm owner and resident, and I work on my own farm located on Lockertsville Rd in Cheatham county, within 1/2 mile of the TVA's proposed project site on that road.</p> <p>My concerns are for contamination of any kind- soil, air or noise, and for any probable effect on property values in the area. There is an abundance of vacant land located between Cheatham County and Davidson County. Any of that property would be much closer to the North Nashville area, and to the facilities which will be needed in the construction phase of the project. Also, our roads and bridges are not capable of supporting the weight of the equipment needed to complete the project.</p> <p>Please consider other options thoroughly before making a final decision on this location.</p>	negative	Glenda	Batts	37015
6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#33]	<p>I am strongly opposed to replacing one fossil-fueled generator (coal, Cumberland City Steam Plant) with another (methane-powered, proposed Cheatham County plant). Methane generation is NOT "green".</p> <p>When the property for this site was purchased 2 years ago, it was implied in the local newspaper that this plant would be solar or wind powered; please do THIS instead of running more pipe lines and increasing emissions contributing to climate change. Construction of this facility will be very detrimental to this community as it is, considering the farms around it and the narrow country roads that school buses and teenage drivers will be sharing with large earth-moving equipment. I understand that gas-powered plants are noisy, as well. I am also very concerned about possible pollution of Sycamore Creek and Spring Creek</p>	negative	Rebecca	Poteete	37035
6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#32]	<p>We reside at 2422 Lockertsville Road, which is approximately 3/4 mile from the TVA property in Cheatham County. We are writing to request a No Action Alternative for this property. We have lived in this community as a married couple for 36 years. Derek lived in his family home at 2307 Lockertsville Road from 1965 until 1987. His family home is 130 years old and sets on land that was issued to his ancestors in a land grant after the Revolutionary War. The land has continuously been farmed for over 125 years. The TVA property is actually part of that original land grant. The Lockertsville community has always been a very peaceful and quiet farming community. We are most concerned about the disruption of life as we have always known it. We are worried about the effect it will have on our property value and our quality of life. In addition, we are fearful of the impact that a simple cycle Combustion Turbine Plant paired with a Battery Energy Storage System would have on our environment. This area has a high population of deer, turkeys, and birds. Eagles have frequently been spotted on and very near the TVA property and it is highly likely that there could be nests either on or near the property. The noise and vibration from the plant would disrupt not only our peace but interfere with the wildlife. While we realize that there is a need to find better resources to provide needed energy for the increased load that TVA and CEMC are experiencing, we just don't believe this would be the best property for TVA to build the plant. It was first proposed that this would be the site for a substation. We would rather have a substation as it has less impact on rural communities. Gena's father worked for CEMC for over 30 years as a lineman, so we are very familiar with the low risk of having one near us. We appreciate you giving us the opportunity to attend the information session on June 21 and allowing us to be heard. We hope there will be future opportunities to ask more questions and give our input. I think you will find that our above statements are valid and you will see that when the environmental study is conducted. Should you wish to speak directly to us about this, we welcome your email or call.</p>	negative	Derek & Gena	Batts	37015

6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#31]	<p>~~~~~ HEALTHY &amp; BEAUTIFUL CHEATHAM COUNTY FOREVER! ~~~~~ **** NO TO TVA'S METHANE GENERATION FACILITY BUILD HERE *****</p> <p>I ask that TVA decides the NO BUILD alternative option. I do not want this Cheatham County Methane processing facility to be built anywhere in this county and especially on the proposed 286 acre Generation site. From where I sit on this quiet wooded acreage that is my home, the proposed TVA build site is less than 2 football fields away as the crow flies. I moved out here in August 2021 for the quiet, the fresh air, the rural lifestyle of farming, slow living, and communing with nature. We love hiking on the trails, exploring the streams and creeks and paddling on the big Sycamore creek just a few minutes away. It is an outdoor nature lover's dream "neighborhood" here. We embrace the slower agricultural life here especially growing and farming under permaculture philosophies thus growing food and flowers organically, always conscious of regenerative techniques to keep our soil healthy and rich with nutrients. Did I say how much I love the quiet and clean fresh air? I love feeling the clean cool water flow between my toes as I meander down the many blueways that finger their way down to level surface. Seeing the freshwater mini mussels contributing to the natural process of cleaning our waters fills my heart with joy. The holistic cycle of Nature. I do not want TVA to build anywhere near here. As TVA must know from its numerous records of polluting activity, TVA's project here PUTS OUR PRECIOUS natural beauty of our properties AT RISK, HIGH RISK for dirty business waste. The occasional diesel engine belonging to our farming neighbors or our homesteader friends is acceptable. I do not have any tolerance for TVA's proposed build. TVA will ruin my DREAMS and JOY for the natural flow we have on this ridge connected to Lockertsville Rd. I substituted as a classroom teacher in all the schools in my area in 2022 and we have 3 schools - elementary, middle AND highschoolers who will be faced with the air pollution, construction and noise pollution, and inherent dangers that come with a combustion generating natural gas plant that TVA is proposing. TVA does little already for the youth of our region and shockingly, nearly evil, puts children and youth in harms way. They spend 30+ hours in this very area TVA plans to construct and run this unhealthy polluting facility. This is an inappropriate proposal to build here. Please go. Although NPR is just one reference I will make here, I want to share the links to articles where NPR has been investigating and disclosing discoveries of TVA's unfortunate hurtful activities towards people and the environment. <a href="https://wpln.org/post/tennessees-10-biggest-climate-polluters/">https://wpln.org/post/tennessees-10-biggest-climate-polluters/</a> <a href="https://wpln.org/post/epa-scrutiny-1600-pages-and-secret-math-tvas-quest-for-more-natural-gas/">https://wpln.org/post/epa-scrutiny-1600-pages-and-secret-math-tvas-quest-for-more-natural-gas/</a>. Might as well educate yourself or new staff who aren't aware they are working for an agency that has a dirty and hazardous history. I am open to TVA using whatever resources it has despite being in debt over \$1 billion, to create a marketing and education campaign teaching ways to live minimally, reduce household power usage, and exploring other technologies that perhaps have been buried due to lack of funding. The people of this area and allies of the natural beauty in Tennessee are rising up to educate TVA and will be willing to help TVA gain the intelligence to end the plan to build the Cheatham county Generation site. Let us know how we can help. ask that TVA decides to select the NO BUILD alternative option as presented on a poster at the Public "open house" held in Ashland City on 6/21/23.</p> <p>I've attached a photo of the beautiful streams down from the proposed site on Lockertsville. This blueway is a home to birds, amphibians, flora, fauna, and humans who like to collect the river rocks and cool off by taking a dip in the fresh clean water. I will also attach a photo I took at the Open house where many neighbors and Cheatham county friends showed up at TVA's invitation. Many did not like the open house format as it split us up and we couldn't hear each other ask the experts questions or hear their response as a group. I loved the solidarity that was in the air of our community's awareness of TVA posing as a significant hazard to our neighborhoods. Also, I would be open to giving a tour to your team of our homestead where we grow our food and our land that sits between our beloved farmer neighbor who grows organically and has cattle and sheep. Your team should wear sturdy shoes and bug repellent clothing as a meander through the woods to the pretty creeks will give you insight on how a methane generation facility would not only clash with such natural healthy beauty, but shall not be built anywhere near here.~~~~~ HEALTHY &amp; BEAUTIFUL CHEATHAM COUNTY FOREVER! ~~~~~**** NO TO TVA'S METHANE GENERATION FACILITY BUILD HERE *****</p> <p>Thank you. Nerissa Aquino, Cheatham County resident</p>	negative	Nerissa	Aquino	37015
6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#30]	<p>I am a resident of Cheatham County and attended the June 21, 2023 Open House hosted by the TVA concerning the Cheatham County Generation Site. Thank you for this opportunity to comment about this proposal. I commend TVA's goal of creating a goal of 80 percent renewable energy for generating electricity for its region and for being totally renewable energy by 2050. But, its strategy to reach those goals lacks the speed necessary to which the times dictate and the means that could help those goals. To avoid the most negative impact of climate change, the United States should reduce its carbon issues by 50 percent by 2030. Regional utility companies have a large role in obtaining that goal. TVA's efforts to reach that goal rely heavily on natural gas and does not maximize the roles solar and promoting energy efficiency efforts might play. This TVA proposed site in Cheatham County could better be used to produce solar with battery storage than using another fossil fuel to create energy and building an infrastructure that has a lifetime of 20 years – an infrastructure that may be obsolete in five or ten years.</p> <p>Another strategy TVA could use that does not appear to be a large part of its carbon emissions plan is promoting energy efficiency. The federal Inflation Reduction Act provides \$370 billion for renewable energy and energy efficiency rebates, tax credits, and direct payments until 2032. If TVA used the funds to build this fossil fuel site and instead offered similar incentives to its customers to further enhance energy efficiency (in addition to the IRA's funds), this could reduce the anticipated need for this plant. The most sustainable power plant is one that is NOT built. At this Open House, I did not see any mention of battery storage (although I did in some other publications) nor energy efficiency. Historically, it appears TVA is in a bind of its own making. TVA wants to reduce the carbon emissions it produces to provide electricity for its region, but due to its own prior management not implementing carbon emissions methods earlier, TVA now finds itself in crisis mode still suggesting that it must rely on fossil fuels to create reliable power. Cheatham County would welcome solar on this 200+ acres. There is an active Shine on Cheatham group that is promoting renewable energy, and the Cheatham County schools is offering the second CTE course for its students that deals with solar generation and battery storage. Solar best fits what Cheatham County wants and heading toward. I am opposed to this proposed fossil fuel plant in Cheatham County.</p> <p>John Patrick 2016 Valley View Road Joelton TN 37080 <a href="mailto:johnpatrick@gmail.co">johnpatrick@gmail.co</a></p>	neutral	John	Patrick	37080
6/13/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#3]	<p>Just heard about this today (13th). Never saw a public notice or anything. Kind of poor communication and late notice. Who will use this plant's output? Cheatham county? Clarksville, Nashville? Other? If not Cheatham county, what other sites are being proposed? Where is more information located - I found link on TN Sierra club site. I would like to read the other comments being submitted online etc and read any responses. Thanks!</p> <p>This site adjoins Sycamore Creek. What protections are in place for the creek? I kayak that area frequently.</p>	negative	Lynne	Richards	37015
6/25/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#29]	<p>I oppose the TVA PLANT proposal for Cheatham county. I do not give anyone the rights to share my information. Other than my opposition do deny TVA from building a new plant in Cheatham county.</p>	negative	Cindy	Sherrill	37146

6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#28]	I am opposed to the gas power plant and pipeline proposed in Cheatham County, TN. There is sufficient room at the Cumberland City plant to put BOTH gas plants. Your own information says it will take 75 acres for each plant. You have more than enough room for both at the present steam plant AND the distribution infrastructure already in place. NO one will believe the Cheatham county proposal is an economically sound proposal!!! This does not even address the environmental DISASTER of running 12 miles of gas pipeline across country in Cheatham county and building an entirely new plant on the farm land TVA should never have purchased!!! I am requesting you to reconsider this proposal for the common sense idea of both plants side by side at the present steam plant location!! Save the environment, keep jobs local, and save tax payers money! Thank you Larry Nash	negative	Larry	Nash	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#26]	Concerning the proposed methane gas plant and pipeline in Cheatham County; I am against it. I believe TVA should explore safer, more environmental friendly alternatives. The area under consideration is mostly agricultural and woodland; a plant and pipeline would destroy the habitat of many species of wildlife. Additionally there is already a water pipeline in the same area that would be at risk as well as all of us that use that water daily.	negative	Dave	Johnson	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#25]	I am opposed to the power plant being placed on Ashland city. I think there are plenty of places in more rural areas that would be more appropriate for a power plant away from civilization. Please consider this before committing to building this power plan.	negative	Peyton	Harbin	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#24]	This is not what I expected out my back door in Ashland city. I've got deer, turkeys, the beautiful sycamore creek for fishing, the Cumberland river down the road. Some great neighbors that were also escaping the ever growing hussle of nashville, but still close enough to not be out of the loop. I expected a lot of things, but never a 75 acre power plant close enough to see, smell, and hear. Thislooks like something out of a batman movie. It looks ominous and dangerous. Look, I'm no activist, definitely not a scientist, or wildlife biologist. I write songs, and pick guitars. I am a dad, and a land owner. I do miss the piece of mind I had when I thought I had left my kids some land they could raise kids on	negative	Misty		37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#23]	We do not want this in Cheatham County. We don't want this huge eye sore bordering or backing up to our farms.	negative	Dustin	Shadowens	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#22]	I'm a resident of Cheatham County and a registered voter with 3 kids. My husband and I moved to Cheatham County to escape things like a 75 acre plant and the fear of gas lines running through our property. I ask that you please reconsider the proposition of this plant/gas line in Cheatham Countyfor the sake of our kids who love to play outside and the effects it could have on them physically and mentally. We don't hate progress, but we do hate the idea of our children hearing, seeing, and possibly tasting the plant and it's toxins.	negative	Jordan	Phillips	37015
6/26/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#215]	1) Replacing one fossil fuel with another may technically lower the amount of pollution generated by TVA in their power generation facilities, but is not helping you gain ground in your supposed path to net-zero carbon emissions. 2) If you truly must (and can PROVE it through calculations that you provide the public) construct a CT plant and BESS, locate it in an already industrialized area. Corrupting the rural landscape on Lockertsville Road, risking the air and water quality of those around the site, and disrupting the EVERYDAY LIVES AND LIVLIHOODS of hundreds of people. Your claims that no other land is available in already industrialized areas have been proven to be false. Instead of spending millions (or maybe even billions) of OUR dollars building ridiculously long natural gas pipelines with extensive property and environmental impacts, invest those dollars in purchasing more appropriate land for your generations sites - preferably closer to where you intend to transmit the power to. This project does NOT benefit Cheatham County and should not be built here. 3) If your EIS PROVES that this site and the associated pipeline must be built (and you can provide documented, irrefutable evidence to this), reroute the end of the pipeline route to be downstream of the Pleasant View Utility District drinking water intake. The current location shows an incredible lack of foresight and a complete disregard for the wellbeing of the people of Cheatham County. 4) Be aware that you should include the cost of legal fees and declining reputation in your EIS calculations. As you saw at your "open house" to check the 'legal box' of public notice, our community exceeded your attendance expectations (which were low because of your failure to properly advertise the meeting and meet the spirit of NEPA). Now that the word is being spread about this plan to generate power for West Nashville in this quiet, rural community and build pipelines against landowners' wills, you will come to realize that you're in for quite the fight. Cut your losses and find another location.	negative	stephanie	henry	37402
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#21]	I am a Cheatham County resident and voter who is very much AGAINST the new 75 acre power plant in Ashland City. I have land that I intended to pass down to my kids within a mile of where this is going and the last thing I want is for them to smell, hear, see, and possibly taste the effects of this. People move to the country to get away from things like this and it's absolutely going to cause me, and others, to relocate out of Cheatham County because of it. I ask that you please reconsider building this, for the sake of our children and the citizens of Cheatham County who this will effect.	negative	Josh	Phillips	37015
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#20]	Extremely concerned about our fresh water Springs. Air, Blasting bedrock, Killing aquatics Blasting for gas line Traffic Narrow roads Sound of turbines	negative	Jean	Wade	37015
5/27/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#2]	Hello, TVA. Thank you for considering these comments. I understand the need for stored energy solutions to augment renewable energy sources, which are as unpredictable as nature itself. I understand the need to quickly bring new energy online (and to take it back offline) to balance the needs of production and demand. I appreciate that the TVA is considering other battery storage and water storage projects. I appreciate the expertise your engineers bring to the field, and I respectfully ask that they consider all possible options to limit the expansion of fossil fuel burning in our state, so that this gas fired plant is not built. It's becoming clear to even the most skeptical that climate change is occurring, and that the actions human have taken in the past, are taking now, and will take in the future do influence the outcome. Stated simply, we're out of time if we wish to prevent catastrophe for ourselves and for life on earth. Even Tennessee is not immune from the effects of increasingly extreme weather. The time for easy solutions has passed. Please find a way to lower fossil fuel usage as soon as possible. I don't have the solutions because I'm not a power engineer. However, I know we are not alone, and that many engineers are already implementing solutions. Please participate in that global sharing of knowledge, and bring it home to Tennessee. I can seethat is an exciting time to be in this field, as there is great need and therefore great creative opportunity. Thank you again for listening	neutral	Geoff	Lovett	37027
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#19]	I would like to go on record stating that, as a resident of Cheatham County for over 25 years, I am adamantly against this proposed plant! The lack of environmental research by TVA, as well as the backdoor effort to pass this is appalling. To sign an agreement for the pipeline prior to any approval is proof positive TVA can not be trusted to be honest and forthright with the information they have presented to this community. This is a blatant attempt to bastardize our county for the benefit of the more prestigious counties! Utilizing our land, which was obtained due to the more affordable land prices, and destroying our beautiful countryside is unacceptable!	negative	Jodi	Bloodgood	37015

6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#18]	I am writing to ask you to reconsider placing a methane facility in Cheatham county. Here are a few reasons. First, Cheatham county is the last county touching Davidson to have any significant growth. Placing a methane facility will only slow the growth down further due to the "not in my backyard effect". I read that the methane facility will be about 60% cleaner than the coal plant at Cumberland City but that is little comfort considering that the Cumberland Fossil Plant was the number 3 polluter in the nation ( <a href="https://clarksvillenow.com/local/tva-will-replace-coal-fired-cumberland-fossil-plant-with-gas-fueled-turbine-environmental-groups-object/#:~:text=About%20the%20steam%20plant&amp;text=In%202016%2C%20the%20Cumberland%20Fossil,to%20The%20Leaf%2DChronicle%20archives.">https://clarksvillenow.com/local/tva-will-replace-coal-fired-cumberland-fossil-plant-with-gas-fueled-turbine-environmental-groups-object/#:~:text=About%20the%20steam%20plant&amp;text=In%202016%2C%20the%20Cumberland%20Fossil,to%20The%20Leaf%2DChronicle%20archives.</a> ) Second on the topic of pollution, there is the Sycamore Creek running through the area which is popular for fishing and canoeing, the Cheatham County High School about 1.25 miles away, and the Cheatham Middle School about 2 miles away, as well as numerous houses and farmland. All will be within the winds from a methane plant meaning all pollution will affect those people leading to increased health problems which will not only reflect poorly on the TVA but also will lead to law suits in the future. Third thing to consider is the traffic on Highway 12 that commutes to and from Clarksville. All will be passing through the winds from the plant. This is concerning given the plumes that can be seen in Clarksville from the Cumberland City plant. Those plumes hang in the sky for miles in the right conditions. Cheatham County get very foggy on most nights from the moist air and the river. Adding to that can only lead to increased traffic problems along that route and other roads in the area. I offer a better solution all around which is to place a solar and/or wind facility at the location. I realize that the power generated will not be as much as the methane facility but it will have the rewards of a more positive image for the TVA, be more environmentally friendly, and that will have better outcomes in the long run for the TVA and the people of middle Tennessee. The TVA could use this as an opportunity to build a model facility to sell installing others in middle Tennessee which would make the overall power grid stronger by spreading the power sources out and make it more sustainable with fewer environmental and health problems in the future. Thank you for considering my ideas	negative	Anthony	Roberson	37035
6/24/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#17]	I as much as anyone in our state understand the need for the growing middle Tennessee power needs. I don't blame people for wanting to come to this beautiful state. Keeping that in mind, I can't understand a large gas plant in the middle of this rural setting. One of the last left around nashville as it grows. Also taking peoples farms and landscapes for a pipeline. Now that these conversations are started, I realize faster and faster that no one is opposed to more power coming from the Cheatham dam, already producing power. On a section of river that people are already aware for over 50 years that that is what that is there to do. There's infrastructure there, and it's a source of power that isn't being threatened to be obsolete. I also know the draw backs of solar and the amount of space needed to provide solar power at the level of a gas plant. In the same breath, it feels like in our current climate, the TVA would be super heroes if they figured out a way to make that work. This plant will be across the hill from me. Me and my family are scared, and upset, that we have moved away from the city to escape these same problems, and they come knocking on our back door. I beg and urge the TVA to reconsider the location. Our little small town, that we love dearly, doesn't think it will survive without it's #1 asset, it's Beaty and safety.	neutral	Jonathan	Singleton	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#169]	This is an absolute outrage and devastating to our community. We are praying that this plant will not go in anywhere near our county or anyone else's for that matter. Keep this thousands of miles away from where people live and the protected water we drink from. No amount of money, jobs or power generated from this site will help or add any value to our already thriving community and the families who live hear. Thank you for your time	negative	ANONYMOUS		
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#160]	Please keep TN water safe and clean. No more extra pipelines through middle TN	negative	John		
6/23/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#16]	I am concerned about the following endangered/protected/critical species and habitats within and around the proposed project boundaries: Northern Long Eared Bat; Indiana Bat; Gray Bat; Shorts Bladderpod; Bald Eagle; Riverines; Wetlands. There are numerous wells surrounding the property I am worried about along with the main drinking water intake for the City of Pleasant View located on the southern border of the property. I live 2 miles from the property. My family along with relatives and friends are beekeepers with numerous honey bee hives, I am worried about the affects on the honey bee populations. My daughter has a rare medical disorder and cannot be exposed to air/water pollution which has not been a major concern as of yet since we live in a rural area. I don't understand why you are replacing fossil fuels (coal) with more fossil fuels (methane) which are actually more immediately potent towards global warming regarding heat capture, especially for a "temporary" facility. My family's main sources of food are from farming, hunting and fishing and you want to destroy land and natural resources. I am a Senior Environmental Scientist by profession and have spent much of my career doing work for Coal Burning Power Plants including several TVA facilities. I am an outdoorsman and a farmer and I genuinely care about the environment. I am tired of government/big business using the "climate change" fear mongering to destroy more natural resources and harm the environment all under the mask of "global warming"	negative	Keith	Kirk	37035
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#157]	This pipeline is a disaster waiting to happen. We do not need this infrastructure to exist at the expense of nature. The conditions of our natural environment deserve respect and the government doesn't seem to understand that. Everything is about making money and capitalizing on wealth. This pipeline should not happen and I'm sure there are thousands and thousands of Tennesseans who would argue the same thing. NO MORE DESTRUCTION of nature. Please, we beg of you to preserve this beautiful land.	negative	Chloe		
6/23/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#15]	The new TVA site planned to be built in Cheatham county, Tennessee will cause significant issues for our area and it needs to be stopped. The planned build site is located next to Sycamore creek, which is the same creek used by Pleasant View Utility District (PVUD) for the local water supply in Ashland City AND Pleasant View. The potential for pollutants and contamination from gas lines leaching into our drinking water supply is too great to ignore. This creek is frequented by locals and out-of-townners alike for kayaking, fishing, canoeing, and swimming for its tranquility. This plant will ruin our actively used creek. This will take up so much presine crop land from a farmer that needs it for income as well as take away land from other local farmers and neighbors for the gas line to run through. Because of the close proximity to current farms and residences, this plant will depreciate our property values down to the point where it will be hard to ever recover. The overall devastation to our surrounding crop and pasture lands, our animals, and us people will be catastrophic to this rural community. This plant is bad for the environment and for our community. Find another location where it will not affect anyone.	negative	Brandon	Suell	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#149]	No power plant on the creek	negative	Emmett		



7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#147]	stop the power plant at sycamore creek	negative	Griffin	Harrison	37015
6/23/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#14]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TVA should extend the comment period since the deadline is just 6 days after the Open House and overlaps with multiple other TVA open comment periods.</li><li>• A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting, dangerous and costly compared to alternatives like energy efficiency, solar and wind power.</li><li>• TVA should be putting itself on track to eliminate costly, polluting fossil fuel plants, not building brand new ones.</li><li>• TVA should expand the scope of this study to a wider geographic area and study other less disruptive and polluting energy sources that this community and energy consumers deserve. Per NEPA regulations and precedent, TVA has to consider reasonable alternatives - not just action and no action.</li><li>• Any steps TVA has already taken to advance its preferred alternative—like precedent agreements with pipeline companies—must be clearly disclosed to the public.</li><li>• If integrating renewables is a justification for the project, TVA must explain in detail what it needs, where, and why.</li><li>• TVA is beginning a new Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), and justification for this project from the last IRP is already out-of-date. TVA should not rush to build more fossil fuel projects when it is already behind in energy efficiency and renewable energy.</li><li>• The greenhouse gas analysis must comply with the Center for Environmental Quality's (CEQ) guidance</li></ul>	negative	Mary	Moore	37040
6/23/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#13]	this site in Cheatham County near Sycamore Creek is a terrible site for this type of plant. Is there not a reason that this could not easily be placed in an area like Ashland City Industrial area and have Solar at the proposed site only as this would have negative environmental impact and the solar could be behind a treed border so that it would not be visible to the residents. Also for the need for grid stabilization is a gas plant the best environmental option. If we are looking at environmental impact the burning fossil fuel (methane) is not helping climate change at all and may be worse than coal. You at the visitation were not able to convince me that this was the best option for the current global environmental needs for power and that is our environment.	negative	William	Moore	37040
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#128]	Friends of mine who I regularly stay with in the area made me aware of TVAs plans and in no way do I support these plans. This area is gorgeous and I would hate to see the natural area get disrupted.	negative	Andrew		37027
7/5/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#124]	No we do not want this in our area	negative	Pat		37032
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#120]	We The People of Pleasant View TN, who open our land and pay our taxes and live within the city limits DO NOT WANT TVA'S Gas/ Battery Plant near our drinking water or Sycamore Creek! You are not welcome here!	negative			
6/22/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#12]	We do not need another fossil fuel-based power plant! TVA could become a leader in clean electricity generation by switching to renewable forms of energy such as solar and wind. Adding more renewables and phasing out fossil fuel plants is better for the health of Tennesseans and our environment in general. Emissions from the burning of fossil fuels pollutes the air and our water. The proposed pipeline for the proposed natural gas plant threatens local waterways. Please stop putting profits over the health of Tennesseans. Rather than staying mired in the polluting past, TVA should become a leader in renewable energy so all of us who live here can have a healthier future.	negative	Sonja	Hunter	37087
6/22/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#11]	Please do not put the generation site in Cheatham County! There must be a better way to generate power	negative	Doreen	Moss	37035
6/22/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#10]	Hi, With regards to the proposed Cheatham County development, I strongly urge TVA to consider investing in renewable energy sources now, and to minimize the impact of this site on both the surrounding built and natural environments. Cheatham county officials may be permissive, but its residents are not. We moved to a rural area to escape the land, water, light and noise pollution of development - please respect that. The writing on the wall is clear through federal initiatives and funding. TVA needs to promote real sustainability in its practices, not greenwash enough to appease the masses while still continuing harmful and outdated ways of providing power.	negative	Jessica	Arthur	37015
	Cheatham County Generation Site [#1]	I would like to see a primary reliance on clean energy sources, such as wind and solar power with battery backup. Natural gas should also be connected, but only utilized during times of absolute need, such as during extreme temperature events.	neutral	Alex	Murillo	38401

7/7/2023	Public Scoping Comment Letter on behalf of Appalachian Voices (3,672 people)	<p>Dear J. Taylor Johnson,</p> <p>Please see the following public comment regarding TVA’s Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Cheatham County Generation Site. The comment was signed by 3,672 people with 368 unique/individual comments, including many unique comments from people living near the affected site in Cheatham County.</p> <p>These comments and signatures are in the attached spreadsheet. Please contact me with any questions.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Angie Mummaw Middle Tennessee Organizer, Appalachian Voices</p> <p>Dear TVA Board and Staff,</p> <p>After decades of operation, the Cumberland Fossil Plant is no longer cost effective or reliable, and it is one of the most polluting coal plants in the country. This is why I support TVA’s decision to close the Cumberland Plant. I strongly encourage TVA to replace the plant’s capacity with a renewable electricity alternative that is cost effective, safe and reliable while bringing jobs and economic development to the region.</p> <p>I firmly believe that TVA should not invest in more fossil fuel by constructing a methane gas plant and 12-mile gas pipeline in Cheatham County to partially replace the capacity of one of the coal-fired units at Cumberland City. Building a gas plant is expensive and gas prices are volatile. TVA would likely have to retire the gas plant before the end of its useful life because renewable energy options are cheaper, and those prices continue to fall. TVA customers should not be forced to pay for a project that TVA CEO Jeff Lyash has called a “not-forever” solution.</p> <p>Furthermore, the public health impacts and environmental risks of building a gas plant are too big to ignore. Methane gas plants, compressor stations and pipelines release pollutants like nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, carbon monoxide and particulate matter into the air. They are also at risk of dangerous explosions like the one that happened in Dickson County in 1992. In addition, the combined 44 miles of pipeline that TVA would need to replace the two coal-fired units at Cumberland City would force landowners to sell easements on their property to the pipeline company, Kinder Morgan, while crossing more than 130 wetlands and streams, threatening our air and water quality. If the pipelines contaminate groundwater or surface water during construction, rural communities could lose access to clean water for drinking and farming.</p> <p>TVA should invest in renewable energy sources like wind, energy efficiency, solar and storage to replace the capacity of both coal-fired units at the Cumberland City fossil plant.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comment. (See signatures at the end of summary table)</p>	negative	Angie	Mummaw	
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>Stop perpetuating dangerous forms of "energy".</p> <p>Move to clean energy.</p>	negative	Trina	Semorile	10036
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>The time for transitioning to clean, renewable energy is NOW.</p>	negative	John	Seakwood	12125
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>PUT THIS THING OUTSIDE THE GOVERNORS MANSION...OR NEXT DOOR TO THE BOARD MEMBERS OF THE TVA.....NO? THEN NOWHERE.... THAT'S HOW THIS WORKS..</p>	negative	Richard	Schoemer	53523
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>I trust that the TVA will soon recognize the downsides of relying on methane and fracked methane pollutants whose emissions will be harmful to regions air, water, tourism and the health of its residents. Renewable energy and sustainable jobs through investment in alternatives is a safer, cleaner, cheaper means to assuring the region's energy future.</p>	negative	David and Marge	Schneider	6405
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>Fossil-Fuel Consumption is A Direct Threat To All Living Organisms on this Planet. Any where and Everywhere, We Must Fight To Reduce and Eliminate The Use of Fossil-Fuel. No Expansion, Reduce Them or Eliminate Them Now, before We As a human species are eliminated.</p>	negative	Shawn	Sargent	2673
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>Please choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County! Our country doesn't need any more fossil fuel infrastructure. It makes more economic sense to invest in the clean energy of the future.</p>	negative	Nadine	Sapirman	8844
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>This is a horrible idea.</p>	negative	Patsy	Roquebrune	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>what you do effects everyone.....</p>	negative	Janet	Romoff	33068-5107
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>FOSSIL FUELS ENDANGER LIFE, AIR, LAND, AND ALL WATER SOURCES, DUMB*SSSES with "ACTIVE BRAIN CELLS" which has been replaced with DIRTY TOILET WATER!!!!</p>	negative	Earl	Roberts	78218

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>I am writing as a property owner in Cheatham County Tennessee. I have lived here for over 20 years. The idea of a methane plant in this area is shocking. Cheatham is one of the last counties that touch Davidson County that has seen any significant growth. The county is mostly rural with a game preserve on the south side of the Cumberland river.</p> <p>I am concerned over the negative impact on property values making the little growth that has happened even slower. Additionally, the air quality is another concern. Every fall there are numerous tobacco barns that smoke and their odor can be smelled for miles. A methane plant will be no different. This will be year round and will have a negative impact on property values.</p> <p>While phasing out the coal plant is positive it is only being offset with another fossil fuel which is not a gain at all. Rather than building a methane plant, why not go for solar or even wind? They may not produce as much power as the methane plant, they are more environmental friendly as well as not have the negative consequences of air quality or smell.</p> <p>Years ago I learned that Cheatham County is a designated host area in the event of a nuclear attack on Nashville and Clarksville. Placing a methane plant will only make it more attractive target.</p> <p>Finally, the traffic on Hwy 12 is nearly non-stop in the mornings and evenings. Adding a facility like a methane plant will only add to the congestion and require redesigning the highway system in that stretch of road.</p>	negative	Anthony	Roberson	37035-5439
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	As a lifelong resident of Chatham County, I refuse to accept the immense danger of a methane plant in my county. My family has lived in this county for over 100 years. There's a street in Ashland city named after my Great Grandfather George Van Hook. There will be no methane plant in Cheatham County.	negative	Victoria	Roberson	37035
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	protect our Sacred Mother Earth!	negative	Peter	Ring-revotskie	94901-4417
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	They All pollute, They Always leak!	negative	Gerard	Ridella	94546
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NO MORE FOSSIL FUEL ENERGY PLANTS!  GO RENEWABLE ENERGY INSTEAD!!	negative	Mary	Ricketts	45504
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>Having grown up and gone to college in Appalachia, I have experienced the desecration of the land by plunderers. I could go on about lost mountaintops. I take a trip home about once a year.</p> <p>So TVA, for the love of God, and above all else, protect the people and the land, no more fossil fuel inevitable filth and poison. No more fossil fuel dollars. No more fossil fuel filth.</p>	negative	Rebecca	Richardson	85749
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Our future and our children's futures depend on decisions you make today.	negative	Bill	Richards	22043
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	My heart hopes SO much that the right thing is done so that ALL living can live their life.??	negative	Lynn	Ricci	54016
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	With the present state of pollution in our country, we must switch to recyclables!	negative	John	Renfrew	49855
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	If you cannot be a viable part of the 21st century by using renewable energies and stop the fossil fuel devastation to the planet you are the problem. Now is the time to be a leaders, with solutions to the climate chaos.	negative	Candice	Renzio	98683
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane is worse for climate change than carbon dioxide. Say NO to gas plant in Cheatham Cty, TN!	negative	Dorie	Reisenweber	55812
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please keep our water and air clean. Why can't they build the methane plant where the current fossil plant is at.	negative	Stefanie	Reeves	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	According to climate, scientists, the Earth is entered its Sixth Mass Extinction.	negative	G Douglas	Ray	11102
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This should not be built close to where people live!!	negative	Emily	Rankin	38866
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	A horrible outcome for Tennessee with the air and water pollution that will result from this gas plant and pipeline. A horrible outcome for our earth with the increase in greenhouse gases. Landowners lose property to pipelines, and workers will lose jobs to another dirty fossil fuel alternative when more could be employed creating clean energy. The TVA is proposing a disastrous solution for the future of this area.	negative	Natalie	Ranker	97459
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I know I would be equally upset if a methane gas pipeline were planned here in Maine!	negative	John	Raby	04074-7307

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please save/preserve our earth, it is the only home we have.....	negative	AnnLouide	Pugh	89107
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop using Fossil Fuels!	negative	Lou	Priem	13326-4148
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do not build that nasty plant!!	negative	Cassandra	Power	85234
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Could we please consider a safer cleaner renewable energy rather than the gas pipeline?	negative	Daniel	Posthumus	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	WE are in a climate emergency and we know fossil fuels are a major cause! We also know that burning gas also damages the health of those in the vicinity. We have alternatives. USE THEM! Stop victimizing the people. Stop ruining our land with pipelines! Investing in pipelines is like saddle makers complaining about cars over a century ago. The future is here! Get on board.	negative	Sandy	Polishuk	97212
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Save earth	negative	Rob	Please	11217 -2159
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	To try to continue with fossil fuels is unnecessary, treasonous against the country and ultimately futile	negative	Cory	Pinckard	97224
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Let's move toward a cleaner, less-risky approach to energy. No more methane poisoning our air, please!	negative	Harry	Phillips	27340
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Come on people, this affects ALL of you...NO!!!?	negative	Weslie	Phillips	85390
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Your gas is killing people	negative	Sheila	Pereira	80907
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Climate Crisis deniers CANNOT claim to love their children!  Daily Kos is a liberal site that allows religious zealots to modify their content!	negative	Sven	Pedersen	81101
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Replacing coal with "natural" gas is a mistake. Not only does gas not solve the air-pollution problem, it is also made of a compound with a global-warming potential (GWP) 86 times that of carbon dioxide.  The TVA should embrace CLEAN energy, not more dirty energy disguised as "better".	negative	David	Pedersen	V8M 1W6
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop pollution in Tennessee air. Find other ways to make money not destroying the planet. Greed? Most go to church.	negative	Aldo	Pastora	208974
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Clean, non-polluted air must be achieved for everyone. People who live with com-promised respiratory systems are harmed by polluted air. We cannot shrug this issue off as someone else's problem.	negative	Jane	Parker	21212
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Greedy Politians have no thought nor care about the beauty of our country. They are greedy and don't care about the people who will be directly affected.	negative	Joan	Parker	27235
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I want an option that protects public health, residential property, workers and the environment!  This is unacceptable.	negative	Katie	Parker	37216
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Enough destruction and insanity. Stop this craziness. Look at this world...look at what humans have done to nature, our waterways, our air.  Please chill...research..and let's try to change this country/world for the better.	negative	Sandra	Parciak	7734
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Natural gas plants are harmful to humans and the greater environment. Emissions directly released include sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, and carbon monoxide, as well as hazardous pollutants that can cause cancer and other health problems. These gases also cause global warming and we are already very close to hitting catastrophic levels of ghg in our atmosphere. If TVA decides to build Natural gas plants while knowing the impact it has on the community they will be inviting legal litigation which could cost taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars and would cause a huge PR problem. Solar and battery storage is already more affordable than natural gas. TVA could also look into making existing hydroelectric plants more efficient by updating their turbines and generators and adding capacity at Racoon Mountain. Please do the right thing our future depends on it.	negative	Derrick	Painter	37411
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This is a great concern to us as we spend so much time in this area. Please, let's focus our resources on renewable energy. This seems unnecessary. Please don't build the pipeline. Thank you	negative	Matthew	Paige	37210

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA NOT CONCERNED ABOUT RESIDENTS,WILDLIFE,WATERWAYS ,POLLUTION ACCIDENTS ..!! TYPICAL OF MANY GOV. AGENCIES...ONLY CONCERN IS THE AMOUT OF \$\$\$\$ THAT CAN BE MADE = IRRESPONSIBLE , DISGUSTING, DOWN RIGHT CRIMINAL....!! WHEN DO YOU PEOPLE WAKE THE HELL UP TO NEVER ENDING TOXIC POLLUTION THAT IS DRIVING OUR PLANET INTO FINAL DESTRUCTION...???!!!!! ALL OUR CHILDREN / GRANDCHILDREN WILL BE THE ONES THAT SUFFER IN THE NEAR FUTURE...!! THINK ABOUT THEM...!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	negative	JOHN	P.	14227
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I do not want a dangerous gas plant in my community. Nor do I want gas lines being forced across my property or that of my family and friends properties. In the current economy focus should be in creating more jobs not eliminating them. Of there are safer alternatives the TVA needs to go with that or just stick coal.	negative	Jillian	Osborne	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This gas build would also fail to deliver for the region's workers and economy. While the Cumberland Fossil Plant has previously employed over 250 people, TVA's proposed gas alternative would only create about 25 to 35 permanent jobs. A recent study commissioned by Appalachian Voices shows that clean energy is a reliable and cost effective replacement that would create far more jobs, providing a permanent boost to local economies.	negative	Kevin	O'Rourke	13316
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Enough destruction from fossil fuels.. let's move on to renewables.	negative	Jean	O	4614
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The time is now. Stop wasting it. Do the right thing .	negative	Donna	Noyes	11743
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	All power companies must change over to clean energy at every opportunity. That is the only way to preserve the viability of the planet. No more natural gas, coal or methane plants should ever be built.	negative	Calvan	North	84118
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No methane in middle TN!! Stop pushing it	negative	Rebecca	Nicoles	TN
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Choose renewable energy! Be wise, be responsible, do not send profits to Corporations.  Create jobs in Tennessee with renewable energy: the smart move for what lies ahead!	negative	Nancy A.	Newton	47401
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Gas is not a sustainable solution, and its use must be phased out if we are actually thinking of how people's lives will be in the long term. Please don't be on the wrong side of history for short term convenience, and please be willing to take the first step forward with something new and promising for people and our fragile planet itself.	negative	Lena	Nahan	2140
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA has plans to build solar and battery storage in other areas. Why not in Cheatham County? Why not in Stewart County? I, and other locals, would much prefer a clean, safe, affordable, and reliable alternative, but solar wasn't even given a fair comparison in the recent Cumberland EIS. Instead, TVA produced faulty studies to favor the gas buildout since it had pre-emptively entered into a deal with a gas giant. Now that TVA has a full board and is not relying on the sole decision of CEO Jeff Lyash, the decision to replace the Cumberland City fossil plant with two gas plants and a combined 44 miles of methane gas pipelines should be reconsidered. Gas plants are expensive, dangerous and pollute our environment. Stop planning more fossil fuel infrastructure and lead our nation into a clean energy era.	negative	Angela	Mummaw	37191
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	In case you hadn't noticed we are in the fight of our lives with climate change. Knowing that does something as stupid as this make sense? There is clean energy available. We do not need pups;ines, more oil and gas facilitates or drilling. What we do need is clean energy, less pollution, clean air, clean water, and the list goes on! But no more fossil fuels.	negative	Paula	Morgan	32708
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No gas plant or pipeline anywhere! We need clean, renewable energy & we don't need more polluting fossil fuels. They are on their way out but the pollution left behind is debilitating to the air and water and soil. NO MORE!	negative	Sandra	Morey	94602
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No plant in Delaware or Tennessee or anywhere else	negative	Ji	Montgomery	17011
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Rising temperatures and more severe storms worldwide should make it obvious to any fair-minded person that we are destroying our beautiful planet by burning fossil fuels. Surely renewables are a sensible alternative!	negative	Joseph	Mondello	80538
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	For over 40 years we have known of both the danger of global warming as well as the green technology to avert it. Yet, science now warns that unless fierce actions are taken immediately, in under 25 years this planet--our only home--will become a desert.  Evidently, the greatest threat to humanity is actually NOT greenhouse-gas, but rather bipartisan corruption.  Please, in the name of all things wholesome and worthwhile, for the benefit of not just future generations, but also THIS generation, in the words of Nobel Laureate Tenzin Gyatso, I implore, "THINK OF HUMANITY, THINK OF HUMANTIY, THINK OF HUMANITY."	negative	Shari	Mleczewski	55106
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Pea-brained, shortsighted devils!	negative	Kathleen	Mireault	2130
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	You are supposed to work for the Good of the People NOT abuse them!	negative	Constance	Minerovic	44067

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Cheatham County is the most beautiful area close to Nashville. We should be working to preserve the beauty of this place and grow the infrastructure based on highlighting the nature and beauty here, not treating it as unwanted are to put methane power plants on.	negative	Dusty	Miller	37015-1717
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It is always wisest to support the wellbeing of the life of this Earth Mother planet which gives all life including us people, the fulfillment of our existence, for a healthy life, by only using the technologies that support the cleanest and healthiest environment. What we give this planet, she gives back!!! So any intelligent and wise person, would only give her that which supports her wellbeing, too.	negative	Rahkwees Keh	Miller	37825
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The time to end use of fossil fuels is now!	negative	Pat	Millen	99217
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do you have children? The scientists/experts tell us that we have this decade to avert catastrophe, and that can only happen if we transition away from fossil fuels as quickly as possible. Please choose renewable energy and stop investing in fossil fuels! For the sake of your children. And mine.	negative	Charlotte	Mendel	HA5 5AA
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No gas plant in Cheatham County! Sooner put a chitlin-cookin' plant there!	negative	Brian	Meadows	38553
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Ever listen to the song "Paradise"? Ever driven to the place Paradise KY used to be? I have...Makes you want to cry! Please don't repeat that violation of Earth!	negative	Judy	Mcvey	64747
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We are in an existential climate crisis, Code Red for humanity. We must stop building fossil fuel infrastructure and rapidly transition to clean, sustainable energy sources. The technologies exist, but we still seem to lack the political will. The Climate Clock is ticking.	negative	Susan	McRae	98506
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No TVA pipeline! Stop the harmful destruction and chemicals that will ultimately pollute our water	negative	Bess	Mclean	37035
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I AM TELLING THE TVA: STOP POLLUTING THE TENNASSEE AIR WITH FOSSIL FUEL FUMES NOW! THANK YOU...	negative	Lary	McKee	97026
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	All people, animals, and the environment over profits for the few!	negative	Josh	McKain	2066
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Choose clean, renewable energy and sustainable jobs — and stop investing in fossil fuels!	negative	Maria	McGrath	11226
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I would like to add to the above that choosing fossil fuels over clean energy is an unconscionable decision in light of the serious threats to our families, communities, and the planet from climate change. The 2022 IPCC Report makes it clear that we do not have any time to waste if we are going to save ourselves and future generations from the life-altering consequences of a rapidly warming climate. We owe it to the citizens of Tennessee and the Southeast, and especially to their children and grandchildren, to do everything in our power to save as much of our hospitable climate for them as we can. Building a new methane gas plant and pipeline would only push us further toward catastrophe, especially when clean energy is a widely available and cost-effective option. A new methane gas plant is the wrong decision. I urge you to prioritize the health and livelihoods of Tennesseans above the interests of the fossil fuel industry.	negative	Jamie	McClanahan	37216
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I don't live near this plant, yet you will be hurting me, my children, and my grandchildren if you build it	negative	S.J.	McCarthy	94112
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Keep fossil fuels in the ground from now on.	negative	Dianne	Maughan	4668
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA is making the same mistakes as Duke Energy here in North Carolina. We must stop these polluting gas plants from being built NOW!	negative	Stuart	Matthews	27501
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please spare our children and grandchildren from the pollution and damage for the many decades that these plants would inflict.	negative	Ross	Marshall	14489
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Ban ALL new fossil fuel projects	negative	Ann	Malyon	7436
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NO MORE FOSSIL FUEL EXTRACTION	negative	Ann	Malyon	7436
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I live RIGHT NEXT TO THIS PROPERTY IN CHEATHAM COUNTY!! My farm neighbors are up in arms!! The government cannot do this to us!!! We will FIGHT!!!!	negative	Nanette	Malher	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please replace the coal-burning power plant with a renewable energy source that doesn't harm the environment. We have the technology. Be responsible!	negative	Llisa	MacDonnell	1742



Cheatham County Generation Site EIS Scoping Report			Tennessee Valley Authority			Appendix C Summary of Scoping Comments		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do the right thing please	negative	Smith	Lynn	28604-8156		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I live in Cheatham county I do not want a gas line coming to Cheatham county.	negative	Barbara	Luther	37080		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We deserve better.	negative	Deanna	Lovejoy	37015		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Replacing fossil fuel coal plants with fossil fuel gas plants plus miles of pipeline and battery storage is NOT a remedy for catastrophic climate change. It is the continuation of the chaos that brought us to this devastating position in the first place. More fossil fuel facilities and infrastructure to support them is not the solution. You and we must invest in clean, renewable energy sources which will provide long term job security while reducing the risks of global climate chaos and collapse. The future of our health, our lives and the future life of the planet depend on it.	negative	Maria Louise Morandi	Long Zwicker	4664		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please.	negative	Jeffrey	Long	94117		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Pollution does not stay in the area in which it is created. It moves along waterways, travels through the air and spreads its toxins to everyone.	negative	Cynthia	Liss	11228		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Adding to the environmental burden makes no sense.	negative	Virgene	Link-New	98221		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Our future depends upon clean air and water. This is not the answer.	negative	Lauren	Linda	92637		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more fossil fuels!	negative	Carolyn	Lilly	92120-3155		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please save our people and our future!	negative	Glenda	Lilling	10573-3631		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop gas plants in Cheatham County Tennessee Choose clean energy and sustainable jobs	negative	Jacque	Leighty	20901		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Opinions may vary, but I'd find it difficult to accept a proof that now is a good time to step back into the past in a quest for a path forward in energy production.	negative					
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	After decades of operation, the Cumberland Fossil Plant is no longer cost effective or reliable, and it is one of the most polluting coal plants in the country. This is why I support TVA's decision to close the Cumberland Plant. I strongly encourage TVA to replace the plant's capacity with a renewable electricity alternative that is cost effective, safe and reliable while bringing jobs and economic development to the region.						
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I firmly believe that TVA should not invest in more fossil fuel by constructing a methane gas plant and 12-mile gas pipeline in Cheatham County to partially replace the capacity of one of the coal-fired units at Cumberland City. Building a gas plant is expensive and gas prices are volatile. TVA would likely have to retire the gas plant before the end of its useful life because renewable energy options are cheaper and those prices continue to fall. TVA customers should not be forced to pay for a project that TVA CEO Jeff Lyash has called a “not-forever” solution.	negative	Lola	Leeman	94702		
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Furthermore, the public health impacts and environmental risks of building a gas plant are too big to ignore. Methane gas plants, compressor stations and pipelines release pollutants like nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, carbon monoxide and particulate matter into the air. They are also at risk of dangerous explosions like the one that happened in Dickson County in 1992. In addition, the combined 44 miles of pipeline that TVA would need to replace the two coal-fired units at Cumberland City would force landowners to sell easements on their property to the pipeline company, Kinder Morgan, while crossing more than 130 wetlands and streams, threatening our air and water quality. If the pipelines contaminate groundwater or surface water during construction, rural communities could lose access to clean water for drinking and farming.						
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA should invest in renewable energy sources like wind, energy efficiency, solar and storage to replace the capacity of both coal-fired units at the Cumberland City fossil plant.						
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Thank you for considering my comment.						
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Climate change and pollution of all kinds knows NO borders. There is NO economy on a DEAD						

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	With all climate catastrophes continuimg, governments should ban coal mining. stopping use of coal which is the worst fossil fuel to cause the most pollution & contributes to global warming ruining the world's systems. Become ethical.	negative	Francis	Lavigne	V1J 4M2
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Gas is a temporary replacement for oil and coal. The future is renewables. Investing there will provide bigger, longer-term returns.	negative	Bert	Latamore	22642-5738
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	So distraught about how little the people in power care about our planet. Wake up	negative	Lorraine	Laprade	2896
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	WHY?	negative	Clarence	Krygsheld	60440
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more gas plants anywhere!	negative	Lauren	Krueger	11566
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please don't allow this to happen! There will be tons of wildlife affected. There are clean waterways in the area and several farms that will risk being destroyed because of this plan.	negative	Amy	Krantz	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter		negative	Garry	Kramchak	77072-3907
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No no no	negative	Stacie	Koslen	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Solar is smart. Methane is polluting. TVA should be leading the move towards clean and renewable energy - not moving in the opposite direction.	negative	Bill	Kornrich	37869
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more coal power plants please. It is time to use renewable energy for power Ned's.	negative	Lilly	Knuth	11530
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Protect the environment! All the systems are interconnected via wind and water	negative	Elena	Knox	95689
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	clean up the air, DON'T Pollute it!!	negative	Jacqueline	Knable	28791
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	*STOP* Poisonings this World, these people, these wild Life, these air, these waters! This *WORLD* & all that are here! It is *NOT* your place to do whatever you want! It seems like you should be shrinking in *SHAME*! You Big Companies, you people in the Government that do not care! You Justices & Judges that are lost in your *ARROGANCE* & your journey to *POWER*! *STOP* be quiet & really do many things to *HELP*! You extreme Groups lost in religion & theories of all that is in your minds wondering around in Madness!	negative	Susanne	Kiriaty	96779
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I worry about my neighbors and our water.	negative	Sharon	King	37055
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	STOP POLLUTING THE PLANET!!!	negative	Gaia	Kimberly	80906
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I care about the area in which I live and my fellow homesteaders in Cheatham County. We don't want a pipeline going through and destroying our farm land.	negative	Jen	Kilgore	37062
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	What is the sense in this? The TVA is not doing Tennessee any favors by bringing in yet more polluting energy. If Cumberland is being shut down, would it not be more logical to bring in cleaner energy that will benefit the people, the environment/planet, and wildlife?	negative	Kate	Kenner	5301
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	As our climate destabilizes and our environment becomes more unlivable, we must eliminate energy sources that contribute to these problems. Redirect efforts from gas expansion to non-toxic, non-destructive energy reservoirs like wind and solar.	negative	Sophia	Keller	98146
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	These gas powered plants should only be allowed if they are built with the capability to switch from hydrocarbon gas fuels to pure hydrogen gas without additional upgrades and that all pipelines be constructed to be able to handle hydrogen gas - either gaseous or liquid - in this way it will either have the hydrocarbon industry putting itself out of business or giving them strong incentive to begin moving to infinitely renewable hydrogen production/use as their preferred fuel of choice as there are methods of production of hydrogen gas that could as added benefit solve other environmental problems simply by their use in such production.  I have a proof of concept proposal that I would be most happy to introduce you to.	negative	Michael	Kavanaugh Jr	22963

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop Burning Coal!	negative	Andrew	Katsetos	78704-5198
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Just say no to fossil fuels, I just did.	negative	Ray	Karaffa	32908
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No gas pollution	negative	Sue	Kafka Ellis	81927
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We should not be building any new fossil fuel plants or pipelines. We need to switch to clean energy sources now.	negative	Dorothy	Jordan	98264-9401
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This plant would be devastating to our wildlife protected waterways and a pollutant to our environment! Please consider other alternatives. As a resident of the sycamore creek area for over 20 years I protest this plan.	negative	Richard	Jones	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	When in the hell are red states going to show some common sense and responsibility toward their own, currently doomed children!!!???	negative	Beth	Jones	61036
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	no gas in? tennessee	negative	Mr Roy	Johnson	32935-2976
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Fossil fuel expansion have no place in our future world. Please vote NO. Thanks	negative	Ruth	Johnson	33573
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No coal-burning ANYWHERE1	negative	Alice	Johnson	2472
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We believe there is an Environment Friendly alternative instead of methane gas pipelines.	negative	Jason	Jeanis	37043
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We are in a climate crisis. We need to successfully address it. Allocating any more money to new fossil fuel projects, including building another gas plant in Cheatham County (or any place else) will lead to failure. Additionally the 12 mile methane gas pipeline required to support the plant will destroy the natural environment and people's property and, like all such pipelines, will leak.  Stop this ill conceived, harmful project immediately	negative	Karen	Jacques	95811
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This is not wanted in this area. There are better locations that effect less people. We do not have roads or fire protection to support something this large. I cannot imagine what this will do to those of us who have asthma. Keep Looking for better options	negative	Donna	Jackson	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop this business as usual with fossil fuels which is obviously running the human race down the road to ruin	negative	G	J	53503
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Your actions and inactions regarding this and all related concerns have been, are being and will be remembered by all of us who will live in the world that you are shaping with your decisions right now, in addition to being a matter of public record. We are all on it together. Environmental emergencies are affecting everybody's health and livelihood, and will do so for generations to come. All issues need to be considered as facets of the critical state of the biosphere. Given the consistency with which each current and foreseeable crisis has been weaponized against the vulnerable majority of the population of the United States of America and the world, and the exceptional leniency shown toward — not to mention the overwhelming subsidization of — those industries that create and perpetuate these crises, any failure on your part to directly address and act upon these issues can only be seen as complicity in extinction and a clearly genocidal agenda.	negative	Sam	Inabinet	23464
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This is the perfect opportunity to invest in clean energy and not only serve Cheatham County with more affordable energy, but ensure that future generations enjoy a healthy environment and community.	negative	Jeri	Ichikawa	98604
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Such slow learners. Check our weather! If that doesn't scare you, you don't know what is going on.	negative	Sharon	Hurley	25267
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	My family does not want a power plant in our neighborhood Thanks, James Hunt	negative	James	Hunt	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NO NO NOT WANTED IN LOCKERTSVILLE AREA	negative	Jimmy	Hunt	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Don't want this at lockertsville rd	negative	Patricia	Hunt	37015

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please stop using carbon emitting fuels to generate our electricity. Accelerate your transition to clean renewable energy. Become the leader in that transitioning process.  Thank you.  Chet Hunt	negative	Chet	Hunt	37922
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	That's a big no for me. Why shut one down restore where it's already at. Haven't we already used enough of our land for ridiculous additions. Let's leave this county at least half a great as it has been. Not to mention placing gas lines on people's property is going to depreciate their properties that they have no control on changing once it is done.	negative	Kayla	Hughes	TN
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	PROTECT PEOPLE and the EARTH!!	negative	Dianne	Hudson	55604
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's time to do what's right for America and our children. Putting corporate interests above the people is a crime in my book. I hope that you can muster the will and sense of duty to do what is morally right.	negative	Phil	Hourani	48178
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Air pollution is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Increasing it via increased fossil fuel emissions will harm Tennessee residents and the planet.	negative	Andrea	Horbinski	94708
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	My family, neighbors and I do not support the build of this power plant. We are pleading TVA to spend more time researching clean, renewable energy sources.	negative	Treja	Hopkins	37080
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Every time another gas or oil drilling operation starts, it depletes the remainder of oil & gas under the earth. It gets us to the end faster. Since it takes thousands of years to replace it (if ever), what is the big rush to get to the end? I know the oil & gas guys are extremely greedy and want huge bank balances, and don't care about anyone buy themselves, but if they would invest their money into renewable energy, they would see that we could have a future on this planet. Their children wouldn't have to worry about when they will have to move to another planet, or die on this one.	negative	Ruth	Hood	30906
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Gas pipelines are guaranteed to leak and governments will be expected to clean up after these polluters! STOP SUBSIDIZING POLLUTION WITH PIPELINES.	negative	John	Holland	20740
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Act according to reasons you were created. Act in the best interests of the people you represent.	negative	Laurence	Hiner	44024-8203
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA should stop investing in fossil fuels.  A recent study commissioned by Appalachian Voices shows that clean energy is a reliable and cost effective alternative to fossil fuels. Clean energy would create far more jobs, providing a permanent boost to local economies.  You can tell TVA to choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County!	negative	bill	himelhoch	2130
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Good move to stop using coal which has sometimes hampered our air quality in Asheville area, yet the new plan is possibly just as dangerous and very short sighted. There are better choices and you can make it safer by going for the best current solution. Go for it.	negative	Jim & Carol	Hillegas	28711
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NP GAS,thank you	negative	Michael And Barbara	Hill	98355
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We don't need to replace one fossil fuel with another we need to replace fossil fuels with renewable sources	negative	Gunner	Herron	37146
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NO MORE FOSSIL FUELS. KEEP IT IN THE GROUND. PEOPLE AND PLANET BEFORE PROFIT. I'm not trying to use all caps in a nasty way. I just feel it's important for all to see it. Time is growing shorter and very profitable corporations are irreversibly harming the planet that our species and and all complex life forms require to survive. There will be nothing to spend that money on on an uninhabitable planet No one can defy the laws of physics. DO THE RIGHT THING NOW. NO TIME FOR FOOLISHNESS AND IGNORANCE AND GREED.	negative	Sharon and Michael	Helman-Harman	25425
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Don't need temporary solutions. Waste of money. Add generators to dams.	negative	Douglas	Hellweg	37075
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NO!	negative	Rebecca	Hay	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This is the last thing we need in our state.	negative	Susan	Hathcock	37772
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	please do the right thing for all	negative	B	Harris	06825-6504
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	SADLY IT WILL BE TOO LATE VERY SOON AND WE WILL ALL PERISH.	negative	Kymberlee	Harris	32777

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please no more fossil fuels!! There's no more time to pretend we have time!	negative	Larry	Hannon	28270
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please transition into green energy for the people in the south!	negative	Amy	Hannah	37303
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please use electricity instead of methane gas.	negative	Judith	Hankins	32207
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Vehemently opposed to this environmentally destructive power plant in this farming community.	negative	William	Halsey	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Having spent time there, this project would be devastating to this community, Don't allow it!	negative	Peter	Halsey	6525
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Get with it and look to the future. Protect people. Protect our planet. Don't be all about profits. Make good choices. Please.	negative	Rowena	Halpin	23225
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	People need clean air to live healthy lives. Please stop polluting our air! And water!	negative	Peter	Hall	98282
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	James K. Hadcroft here. Please become part of the solution.	negative	James	Hadcroft	2540
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No Gas Plant In Cheatham County, TN Period.	negative	Perry	Gx	92780
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Prioritize clean energy and local jobs, not gas and natural area destruction.	negative	Syd	Grossman	22305
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Yes	negative	Julie	Griffith	60174
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Tennessee has already suffered enough from the severe repercussions of climate change due in large part to burning fossil fuels. TVA is an energy provider and the new generation of energy is non-fossil fuels. The cost has been coming down the more the new non-fossil fuel technology is used. TVA is in the unique position to lead our nation by setting the example and implementing this new technology, while helping Tennessee, the other state and the world drastically reduce catastrophic consequences of deadly weather events. Please join us and do the right thing.	negative	Oscar	Gregory	20740-3908
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Solar and wind are less expensive than methane. Time to get into the future, not back to the 19th century (1821 specifically).	negative	Rick	Gregory	84108
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	WE ONLY HAVE ONE EARTH!!! PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING!!!	negative	Angela	Greear	30165
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's time to get off of fossil fuels. Better energy sources are available.	negative	Joseph	Gray	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Coal is a dying industry.	negative	Dori	Grasso	21030
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please leave our creeks out of your plans	negative	David	Granger	37035
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	From coal to gas is not progress on preventing Climate Change. Perhaps corporations should watch more news. Now it is easy to see how our climate is changing fast and not for the better. It is not speculation any longer. The negative changes are affecting the entire earth on every hemisphere. Investment in fossil fuel projects is short sighted and a foolish undertaking. Only a major effort to move to green energy will prevent an unlivable world and the possibility of extinction of most life on earth. Then where will you go to spend those profits?	negative	Alice	Goss	98236
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more fossil fuel!	negative	Laura	Gormley	91712
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	There's a limited amount of methane and natural gas methane leaks constantly into our atmosphere, destroying our future. Instead of continuing fossil fuel destruction and wasting taxpayer resources, please invest in geothermal, wind and utility scale, solar energy that doesn't harm our future and waste our resources.	negative	Jesse	Gore	37206

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more plants or pipelines in Cheatham Co. !	negative	Michael S	Goodman	53704-3974
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Clean, renewable energy only!!	negative	Denise	Gomolka	8533
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	PLEASE choose clean, renewable energy and sustainable jobs — and stop investing in fossil fuels! It doesn't make sense to trade one fossil fuel for another, especially when there are other safer options that are cheaper and just as reliable.	negative	Prisca	Gloor	90066
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	politicians only care about the big coroprations that fund their campaigns, not the people they are supposed to represent.	negative	Laura	Glenn	66214
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The people of Tennessee do not want this. It will be harmful to the ecosystem and all its inhabitants. Think outside the box and remedy this without the use of more harmful pipelines. Think of the future. Listen to the people.	negative	Jessica	Girdley	37206
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA I beg you to choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County! My kid goes to forest school out at Bells Bend and I can not imagine what the irreparable damages that could happen if there were to be a leak or spill. Ashland City is rich in beauty, fertile soil and a thriving community who appreciates this. This is not the risk we as community want furthermore long term commitment to renewable energy benefits everyone .	negative	Tyler	Gilstrap	37216
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's about the money, right?  With your common sense to help end the use of fossil fuels, aka progress, and create more jobs: A recent study commissioned by Appalachian Voices shows that clean energy is a reliable and cost effective replacement that would create far more jobs, providing a permanent boost to local economies.	negative	teresa	gillie	22940
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Keep burning coal!	negative	Rob	Gilliam	37040
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please find greener options for power plants. Thank you.	negative	Julie	Geveshausen	97702
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We need clean, renewable energy and good green jobs.	negative	Lisa	Geiszler	95242
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Continued wasteful spending on projects that endanger us and do nothing to address the catastrophic destruction fossil fuels have done to our climate. Money better spent on clean renewable energy to address our climate then wasted on greed and lies from fossil fuel industry.	negative	William	Gawne Jr	60546
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Gas plants definitely affect air safety. Most have leaks. Some so bad there are explosions beyond what people expect.	negative	Joanne	Gates	3458
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Absolutely not here!..property values will decrease. We did not move out here to have it ruined by this pipeline!!!!@	negative	Leigh	Garrison	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	NOT HERE...property values will decrease..this is beautiful countryside and this will ruin it!!!	negative	Leigh	Garrison	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I moved to Cheatham Co. for it's natural beauty. This pipeline will destroy the natural areas I love and go through private property. My fear is that lives (human, plant, animal) are at risk given the volatility of natural gas and the very real likelihood of some type of disaster. We DO NOT want another incident caused by TVA negligence like was experienced in the Coal Ash Spill. TVA has done many good things, but it has also done many destructive and deadly things, while not being transparent about the truth.  Invest in renewables. Don't make Cheatham County another beautiful county destroyed by a short-sighted focus on fossil fuels.	negative	Kelli	Garrett	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Whatever benefits gained from the gas plant are so fleeting in comparison to the lasting effects of the damage. Humans are smart, find another way that good for all living beings.	negative	Maura	García	27514
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop poisoning the 3arth and us. Humans are lazy and greedy. You could care less on the impact of moving forward of a project like this! And that is morally and ethically corrupt!	negative	Manny	Garcia	80207
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I'm a born Nashvillean and we used to love the TVA. Before it got taken over by corrupt cash.	negative	Sherrill	Futrell	95618



7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Again, investing in fossil fuels and through 32 miles of pipeline + 12 miles of pipeling through private property and natural areas. All of this has backthrust and flies in the face of what we're trying to accomplish - weening off of fossil fuel.	negative	Robert	Fritsch	6111
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	PLEASE STOP THIS!!!	negative	Ava	Frick	V4t 3a8
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane gas is not safe to breathe. Use clean, renewable energy and while you are at it, look at all of the jobs that will add to our country!	negative	Anna	Freeman	43204
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Don't trade one fossil fuel for another, especially when there are renewable energy alternatives already in production, like solar panels and wind turbines.	negative	Beth Jane	Freeman	11793
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane is 25 times more powerful as a greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide. Methane leaks when it is collected and transferred from source to utility. Burning methane drives climate change, which will make Earth unlivable for us unless we reduce our greenhouse gas emissions right now. Tennessee deserves clean unpolluted air. Tennessee deserves a future climate that supports human life. Don't allow more dirty fossil fuels.	negative	E	Franklin	33020
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Dear TVA team and board:  Please withdraw these plans for a new fossil fuel gas plant in middle Tennessee. Leave fossil fuels in the ground and build out more clean renewable energy instead. Thank you for your time and attention.	negative	Joe	Franklin	37601
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop polluting the air.	negative	Karen	Fort	60657
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Pollution is corruption, and financing it means death.	negative	Maurice	Forget	H2L 3V4
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please stop investing time and resources in projects that will fit her harm the environment. Methane gas plants and the pipelines needed to supply them arenot a good long term solution for the environment or for the people who live near them.	negative	Kristin	Fontaine	98155
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Everyone is downstream. Preserve your children's future health and environment now before it's too late. It can be your legacy.	negative	Helen	Fisher	28645
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Just stop it already.	negative	Mary	Finnie	97236
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Renewable energy is clearly the future if we want our children and their children to have one. At this point in our challenging times with climate change and all its consequences, I am amazed that you have continued to chose profit over people. In the future, your irresponsibility will be remembered and your actions will cost you in court cases and public scorn. And, your children will know what you have done for the almighty dollar.	negative	Allyson	Finkel	92688
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I would like to see protection for local farmers and their crop, not devastation for local farmers and their crop. No pipeline.	negative	Lyndsey	Fields	37204
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	You have an opportunity to do the right thing for the planet. Renewable energy, especially micro hydro and hydrogen, as well as wind and sun can certainly replace the coal plant and give jobs and opportunities for innovation while not introducing dangerous methane and other pollutants into the environment	negative	Christine	Fidler	80223
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Just say NO to fossil fuel!! Why would you want to add to the problem of climate change??	negative	Laurel	Fee	32118
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Why would you swtch from one earth destroying fuel to a different earth destroying fuel? Especially when the cost of clean fuel is competitive! All pipelines leak. Do you know how destructive a polluting leak will be in those beautiful mountains? Egad!	negative	Wendy	Fast	14437-9140
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I'm worried what this will do to my home value.	negative	Kenneth	Ezzell	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	To all TVA Staff,  It is imperative that you choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County!  Please stop investing in fossil fuels. Our planet's survival depends on this.	negative	Johanna	Ellison	61401-8431
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Time to switch, before it's too late!!!!!!	negative	J.	Eggers	99101

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I am a Tennessean and a scientist. I ask that you to immediately align Tennessee Valley Authority policy with climate science and energy justice. I reiterate that the climate emergency demands immediate action to prevent further damage. In January President Biden mandated that the electricity sector achieve zero emissions by 2035. TVA should spearhead this historic initiative through a just, equitable transition to 100% renewable energy to meet this goal. I and my colleagues feel that TVA has been avoiding the solution to our climate and pollution crises. TVA has continually failed to address these crises when given the opportunity to effectively transition to clean, affordable, and resilient energy — like rooftop solar and energy efficiency. Furthermore, TVA's most recent long-term planning document — the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan — projects a 4-gigawatt new fossil gas buildout and more than 34 million tons of carbon pollution in 2038. This plan will cost Tennessee Valley residents, and we will be paying for polluting infrastructure and fossil fuel energy plants that damage our health and climate. This situation is unacceptable.	negative	Debra	Dunson	37174
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Knowing what we do about fossil fuel gases, it is suicide to keep pouring money into outdated technology that is killing our planet. Don't build an old plant (methane) but invest in solar, wind, biofuel and other non-fossil energy sources.	negative	John	Duggan	97530
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	News flash, June 24, 2023: "Temperatures in south Texas expected to remain in the 110 to 120 degrees f range for the next week, at least." Add this to the worldwide bloom of environmental disasters and ask yourself, when is the time to finally haul out the lifeboats and abandon this derelict fossil fuels ship? For MILLIONS of people and many entire species of animals and plants, it is already too late. We have all seen the canary in this particular coal mine. It is dead as a doornail. Energy planning can no longer prioritize the profits of a dangerous, murderous, obsolete industry over the life and health of this world. The newer, more appropriate technologies are available and more affordable. There is n o excuse to prolong the destruction, such as will be prolonged by this proposed plant.	negative	John	Doyle	25314-1656
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	no need fossilfools ANY more...	negative	Daniel	Dowdall	96767
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Clean, fresh air to breathe is a basic human right, and should NEVER be regarded as a luxury	negative	David	Dougherty	06053-2750
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We must stop extracting fossil fuels for a livable future.	negative	Ann	Dorsey	91325
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	no new coal or gas plants.	negative	Pamela	Doran	97394
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	no.	negative	Brandon	Dodson	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	These gas plants and pipelines would emit harmful pollutants that threaten air and water, risk lethal explosions and could cause property loss for landowners.  This gas build would also fail to deliver for the region's workers and economy. While the Cumberland Fossil Plant has previously employed over 250 people, TVA's proposed gas alternative would only create about 25 to 35 permanent jobs. A recent study commissioned by Appalachian Voices shows that clean energy is a reliable and cost effective replacement that would create far more jobs, providing a permanent boost to local economies.  Choose renewable energy, long-term jobs and economic development for Cheatham County!	negative	Nicolas	Dobbratz	37082
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We don't need gas, we don't need oil. Neutrino energy will replace them all. Why wait?	negative	Gavin	Dillard	28711
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop supporting fossil fuels and invest in clean energy.	negative	John	Dervin	32712
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop the destructive of our planet. Stop the pollution of our rivers, oceans, and air. Stop allowing the rich to cause so much harm. Greed is a DEADLY sin.	negative	Lea	Derence	34105
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	do not want in our neighborhood. will affect family property and am opposed to this	negative	Jessica	Demidio	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	My sympathies to the people of Tennessee. We have to stop BURNING anything! No burning of gas, coal, wood or anything else.	negative	Donna	Delin	60148-4428
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do you understand the climate crisis?! What in the name of all that is intelligent makes you want to develop more fossil fuels. Are you taking bribes from the fossil fuel industry? If not, what is your excuse?	negative	Katherine	Delanoy	81631
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please do not invest your resources in oil or gas plants and pipelines. It is time to invest in wind turbines and solar panels, as well as storage.	negative	Jeanne	Deane	97361
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No mre fossil fuel polluters in Appalachia. Put the in Florida with Gov. DeSantis or Trump	negative	Burnet	Davis	22902

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This pipeline is proposed to run through my parent's home and my brother's home. Homes that they have built from the ground up and invested time in with the plans to live out their lives here. I want my family to be able to continue to live in their homes safely! My niece and nephew deserve to grow up in a home where they can explore and grow without the fear that a pipeline will burst and cause an explosion. If they would like to place a methane plant, then they should place it away from our rural and forested areas.	negative	Paige	Davidson	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I want an option that protects public health, residential property, workers and the environment! No new fossil fuels! It is past time to protect our planet!	negative	Adell	Daly	37082
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The world is getting hotter. Water, especially clean water, is becoming more scarce. And you want to destroy what little is left just to make a few bucks? How selfish and shortsighted. Be a part of saving us, saving the ecosystem. Be a part of giving your children a future that is livable. Stop dirtying water. Stop destroying our world. Get off of fossil fuels and save us with renewables.	negative	Chris	Dacus	37020
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I'm a meteorologist with two degrees in atmospheric science. The great majority of the most qualified scientists in my field believe human-caused greenhouse gas emissions, much of which come from burning dirty fossil fuels like coal and oil, are responsible for a dangerous climate change and that urgent action to reduce these emissions is necessary to avoid the worst impacts. We need to generate more clean energy to help slow this dangerous climate change.	negative	Kevin	Crupi	49855-3729
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Keep all of our air clean! Use CLEAN renewable energy! We all end up breathing the same air, regardless of where we're located!	negative	Portia	Coyne	30062
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	After decades of operation, the Cumberland Fossil Plant is no longer cost effective or reliable, and it is one of the most polluting coal plants in the country. This is why I support TVA's decision to close the Cumberland Plant. I strongly encourage TVA to replace the plant's capacity with a renewable electricity alternative that is cost effective, safe and reliable while bringing jobs and economic development to the region.  I firmly believe that TVA should not invest in more fossil fuel by constructing a methane gas plant and 12-mile gas pipeline in Cheatham County to partially replace the capacity of one of the coal-fired units at Cumberland City. Building a gas plant is expensive and gas prices are volatile. TVA would likely have to retire the gas plant before the end of its useful life because renewable energy options are cheaper and those prices continue to fall. TVA customers should not be forced to pay for a project that TVA CEO Jeff Lyash has called a "not-forever" solution.  Furthermore, the public health impacts and environmental risks of building a gas plant are too big to ignore. Methane gas plants, compressor stations and pipelines release pollutants like nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, carbon monoxide and particulate matter into the air. They are also at risk of dangerous explosions like the one that happened in Dickson County in 1992. In addition, the combined 44 miles of pipeline that TVA would need to replace the two coal-fired units at Cumberland City would force landowners to sell easements on their property to the pipeline company, Kinder Morgan, while crossing more than 130 wetlands and streams, threatening our air and water quality. If the pipelines contaminate groundwater or surface water during construction, rural communities could lose access to clean water for drinking and farming.  TVA should invest in renewable energy sources like wind, energy efficiency, solar and storage to replace the capacity of both coal-fired units at the Cumberland City fossil plant.  Thank you for considering my comment.	negative	Holly	Cox	7082
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Support universal basic income and ranked choice voting	negative	Anna	Cowen	97045
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Enough with destroying the land - and seizing private property - for fossil fuel pipelines.	negative	Christina	Cowan	22015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Different delivery: same crapshoot	negative	David	Copper	24401
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	PLEASE - YOU HAVE TO KNOW BY NOW THAT WE ALL KNOW THAT FOSSIL FUELS ARE NOT NECESSARY AND WILL GO THE SAME WAY AS THE DINOSAURS AND SOON!!!! PLEASE PUT YOUR ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF FUNDS INTO CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY -- AND MAKE JOBS FOR PEOPLE AS WELL!!	negative	Annette	Coomber	7456
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I am a local farmer and am extremely against the new pipeline on Lockertsville road. It will have a direct impact on my families living.	negative	Lucien	Cook	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do what is best for the planet and you will be doing what is best for the people.	negative	Patricia	Constantino	11231
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I used to live not very far form that area. If TVA truly wishes to solve clean, green electricity for the folks in Stewart, Houston, Dickson and Cheatham County, I strongly suggest a major but far less expensive program to put rooftop solar and batteries in as many lower income homes as possible.	negative	Norm	Conrad	98274
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We should be seeking new ways to generate energy.	negative	RevJim	Conn	90405
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Climate collapse is imminent. No to methane! Yes to solar and wind!	negative	Anna	Collar	20002
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's time to stop the burning.	negative	Julian	Cole	1742

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Clean air and water are human rights.	negative	Whitney	Cloud	79525
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We travel this area freequently and abhor the thought of the willful destruction this plant will impose. Please protect the land now! Our energy demands cannot be met at the expense of the viability of our planet.	negative	Nora	Cloud	34448
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Fossil fuels are for mental dinosaurs. The writing is on the wall - the signs/greed/ethical corruption the bible speaks/warns about. Are you blind/clueless? Maybe when you are starving you'll get it.	negative	Mark	Clipsham	50014
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	These methane plants cause a great deal of pollution. Do not continue plans for a plant in Tennessee. Thank You.	negative	Amy	Cleveland	23875
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	stop coddling POLLUTERS for your secret \$ and power. We already have totally viable CLEAN ways to make energy, and , despite the greenwashing, methane is NOT one of them !!!!!	negative	G	Clemson	89166
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop polluting period!	negative	Mark	Clear	80104
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Your decisions impact all life on Earth. Please think of your own children and grandchildren and generations to come, and be a part of the great turning, away from extraction and burning of all fossil fuels.	negative	Louise	Chegwidden	94609
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop burning fossil fuels for the sake of our children.	negative	Joan	Chapman	22901
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Absolutely no respect for the planet. Only their wallets. Don't approve this fiasco.	negative	Patricia	Chambers	6098
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	this is not what we need to combat climate change but rather just prolonging the misery	negative	Michael	Carter	22003
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This will help save the world.	negative	Barbara	Carson	93551
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane is a fossil fuel that has an extremely powerful multiplier effect on the climate crisis. There is no room for it in the President's plan to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions to avoid the worst of the climate crisis.	negative	Linda	Carroll	99205-3178
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I come from a line of Cheathams and our family would not want more methane in this beautiful area! Keep it natural and safe for our children and wildlife and farmers!	negative	Valerie	Carrick	91762
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	What part of climate change do they not understand??!	negative	Kate	Carlisle	81082
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please no more gas plants!	negative	Allan	Campbell	95132
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Invest in renewables!	negative	Nancy	Calderbank	98275
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please stop polluting our earth & think about the repercussions of your actions. Are they a positive for our planet or do they create a recipe for disaster for future generations? DO THE RIGHT AND PROPER ACTIONS FOR OUR PRESENT AND FOR OUR FUTURE. The time is right to act with wisdom and thinking of the future for all!!!	negative	Pam	Burns	27519
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Wake up! It's 2023.	negative	John	Bromer	28711
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please please ?? don't do it. I spend a lot of time up there with moma and it's so important to her, her friends and the whole church. Thank you so much for your time in this matter.  Sincerely Darha Brofford	negative	Darha	Brofford	32767
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Continue in your endeavors to find the truth and obtain justice. Remember that the decisions made now affect the future generations.	negative	Dawn	Broadbent	85635

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane gas pollution is no less dangerous than that of coal. In fact, it is quite likely significantly worse. The very thought of destroying more land for yet more fossil fuel pipelines is utterly crazy. Enough land and water has been ruined by the building of fossil fuel plants and pipelines.	negative	Rosalind	Britten	V7P 2J3
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	no new fossil fuel infrastructure! it is time to move into clean energy production amd makes great economic sense.	negative	Christine	Bratton	11201
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It is long past time that our nation develop modern infrastructure for renewable and sustainable energy. As your neighbor to the east, I feel that energy decisions rendered in TN can impact our air quality and environment as well. Please choose clean energy to carry our country forward!	negative	Larissa	Bowman	28730-9696
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Shame on you!!!	negative	Sharon	Boughner	61844
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We ALL have a responsibility to work together in order to Protect and SAVE our Wilderness, Waterways and Environment from senseless Destruction and Poisoning in the name of Ignorance and Greed.	negative	Tracey	Bonner	76014
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	WTF REALLY?!?! I world is on FIRE. Leave her alone, let her heal. NO MORE FOSSIL FUELS	negative	Patricia	Bocanegra	78059
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	PLEASE DO NOT CONTINUE THIS PROJECT! MAKE CHEATHAM COUNTY GREAT AGAIN!	negative	Kevin	Blue	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please respect our people!	negative	M.D.	Block	92037-6514
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I just inherited my great, great grandfathers farm in Newland Holland. I have looked forward to this for years and now my dream is being ruined. 1). Why are fossil fuel plants still the priority? Where are running out of time. 2) How could this be the appropriate location for such an industrial and toxic plant? It doesn't make sense to ruin this area when there are so many industrial sites. Also there are so many generational spring and well fed homes and farms in this area. We need our farmers, sycamore creek, the wildlife, ecosystem and and waterways to remain untouched and protected. There has to be a better solution. God save us	negative	Gina	Binkley	37205
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No gas plant in Cheatham County, Tennessee!	negative	Derek	Binelli	7036
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Building another gas plant and battery storage system in Cheatham County to partially replace the second coal-burning unit at the Cumberland site would require another 12 miles of methane gas pipeline that would cut through private property and natural areas, exposing them to pollution and dangerous accidents. Choose clean, renewable energy and sustainable jobs — and stop investing in fossil fuels! It doesn't make sense to trade one fossil fuel for another, especially when there are other safer options that are cheaper and just as reliable.	negative	Joan	Bindner	55068
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Which side of change are you on? Your values are showing.	negative	Sara	Bhakti	98029
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The warning signs of a climate in crisis have been largely ignored by people and businesses with certain beliefs. While I respect differences in opinion, the climate is collapsing, and I plead with people to consider that this is a real and is grave threat to our lives. Massive heatwaves, storms, record ocean temps, melting glaciers, and much more. Abnormal weather and temperatures have become commonplace and is rapidly increasing in frequency. Extracting, processing, and burning fossil fuels has to stop. Yes, Earth has cycles, but the emissions caused by burning fossil fuels has screwed up these cycles and has caused the planet to warm at an unnatural rate. A very very very fast rate. It is a scary time to be alive and the "I told you so" moment will bring no satisfaction. Please take this treat seriously in every decision you make. I wish I wasn't writing this.	negative	Kevin	Bessett	5477
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please do not endanger the citizens and environment in multiple counties for this power plant and pipeline.	negative	Abbie	Bernstein	90069
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Think it's about time we start caring about the people & land over profit. Abundance comes when we are stewards of the Earth & each other. Gotta switch around priorities a bit if we want to thrive, together. Our food & water/soil quality is literally life & y'all can go suck a friggin' big toe if you think profit over a healthy society. Time to do the RIGHT thing for once...	negative	Lydia	Berkey	37101
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Renewable and clean energy, not trading one fossil fuel for another, is the only path forward. Solar and Wind energy are cheaper to implement now than ever before. It is a no brainer to invest in this sort of sustainable infrastructure instead of defaulting to fossil fuels.  No matter what the argument, investment in fossil fuels is a losing bet for our planet in the long run, and continuing to invest in them is detrimental to the environment and the people and other living creatures who live their lives in these areas RIGHT NOW. There is no way to undo some of the damage we have wrought by using fossil fuels for so long. All we can now is to try to curb future damage, and divesting from fossil fuels is a giant step in the right direction.	negative	Julia	Baynor	37214
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Don't use fossil fuels if you won't properly maintain pipelines and protect the environment including people's health.	negative	John	Ballo	60126

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please do not do this to the environment. This part of our country is vital to leave alone for the sake of our environment and the future of our children.	negative	Patricia	Baley	89121-4920
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We must transition away from fossil fuels as soon as possible. The future generations depend on it. I don't understand why we would want to invest in burning more fossil fuels at this point, especially given what we know about the consequences.	negative	Wade	Austin	35601-2810
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I do not want our natural habitats further negatively impacted. People move to the rural areas to escape industry. Also, there is a critical mandate for sustainability that cannot be ignored.	negative	Jessica	Arthur	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I ask that TVA and it's partners ABANDON this Cheatham County Generation Site (CHG) immediately. I love this land I live on up here along Lockerstville Rd and Hwy 12. It's country living at its finest - organic growers about up here, nature lovers, paddlers, anglers, young families with children and aging adults who have lived up in Ashland City's Sycamore creek watershed area for several generations now. I feel suscpicious about such a massive energy harvesting project especially since its life span could be undercut and poses a major threat to the air we breathe up here. The consequences of polluting our blueways, farmland, forests, and beauty of our Tennessee hills and valleys has got to stop. No more. There is greed written all over this TVA plan and its partners like Kinder Morgan. I heard that TVA had a debt of over \$1 billion dollars too. Signs of mismangaged finances is more reason not to trust TVA's leadership under Jeff Lynash. There have been many reports of methane LEAKING and venting into the air from these gas plants and gas pipelines in the USA and Europe. Yet, the industry isn't held accountable due to no government regulations to check on it's poisoning of the people and life in general. Natural gas industry lobbyists perhaps making deals to keep TVA and it's partners immune to punishment. It's an invasive treatment to our neighborhood up here on Lockerstville Rd and Ashland City. We ask that TVA abandons this project immediately. Methane gas leaks are a bigger problem than we think. TVA's GHC project is not welcome here.	negative	Nerissa	Aquino	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Cheatham county is the only area near Nashville that isn't an environmental wasteland.	negative	Anonymous	Anonymous	37075
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Stop adding more fossil fuel burning plants.	negative	David	Andes	27244
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please stop the insanity. Trading everyone's future and the neighbors' health for some short-term profits is just crazy!	negative	Susan	Anderson	2210
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	If you go through with the gas plant YOUR GRANDCHILDREN WILL DESPISE YOU NO MATTER HOW MUCH MONEY YOU LEAVE THEM.	negative	Judith	Anderson	89509
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The Climate Catastrophe is already here NOW! Stop investing in YOUR OWN DESTRUCTION in fossil fuels!! The time is now!	negative	Lori	Alicie	14559
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA should invest in renewable energy sources like wind, energy efficiency, solar and storage to replace the capacity of both coal-fired units at the Cumberland City fossil plant.	negative	Phyllis T.	Albritton	24060
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We do not need anymore toxins in our air, land or water ! Have you no concern for the humans , animals and plants on this Planet ? I pray you will.	negative	Louise	Albrecht	97062
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Now that the catastrophe of Climate Change is upon us, building still further destruction (methane) of life and the critical need to keep the vast products of the Carboniferous Age locked into the ground is plain and demanding on us all. Catering to the power of established money and selfishness is spectacularly evil - a rotten blow both to the needy in impoverished areas and both those (when progressive climate positive alternatives stare in the face) who need solid jobs that are climate contributive are available and the disasters of locked in favoritism to money and related rotten “inside track” power is so blatantly destructive.	negative	David	Albert	46615
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	Skibo Energy proposes a solution to decarbonize natural gas power plants by adding thermal energy storage to capture gas turbine exhaust heat and boost it with peak (excess) electricity. The additional storage allows for GW of PV/wind to be added to the grid	Vendor Submittal	Harry	Levinson	15106
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	To whom it may concern, I am writing to you today regarding your plans to build the country's largest combustion turbine plant & battery storage facility in Ashland City. (I'm sure this will be a great slogan for the marketing material to promote our beautiful town.) I am stunned, to say the least, that with all the 'truly rural' countryside in Tennessee, the TVA has chosen a county that 'touches' Davidson county - one of the fastest growing counties in the country. With housing in Nashville being out of control, the neighboring counties are such a critical option for people to 'safely' move their families to. I have lived in Cheatham county for over 27 years. I chose this county because of the geography, and your proposal seems to fly in the face of the focus that Cheatham County has had on wildlife management. My previous home is located 5 minutes from this site, and my current home is 10 minutes away. The land the TVA purchased, given its proximity to Nashville and Clarksville, should certainly be used for either housing - or some other healthy/welcoming community use - NOT a facility such as you're proposing. This careless plan of the TVA's will negatively/tragically affect the residents of our county - both with health issues and property values. GO FURTHER OUT!!! There are plenty of other options that are not bedroom communities to two large cities.	negative	Tracy	Francis	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	I think it would be a great waste to build on our land for something that benefits a different city and has zero benefits to Cheatham County...it will devalue the homeowners and the wetland and wildlife...something already scarce...and Bald Eagles nest there, which are federally protected. I think this is a great injustice	negative	Dawn	Fowlkes	37015
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	We do not want this here. Build it in the industrial park. This is going to ruin the home values with in several miles of this plant.	negative	Kenneth	Ezzell	37015



7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	To whom it may concern, The needed pipeline puts our water in harms way and the need for eminent domain is troublesome for many farms and land owners in the region. I am a lifelong Cheatham County citizen who comes from a family of farmers, some of which whose land could be directly effected by this site. A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting, dangerous and costly, compared to alternatives like energy efficient solar and wind power. TVA should be putting itself back on track to eliminate costly, polluting fossil fuel plants, not building brand new ones.	negative	Raigan	Cummings	37035
7/6/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	The impact on the community will put so much in danger, our water, our wildlife, our farmlands and even the value of our homes. A methane gas plant and pipeline are polluting, dangerous and costly, compared to alternatives like energy efficient solar and wind power. TVA should be putting itself back on track to eliminate costly, polluting fossil fuel plants, not building brand new ones. TVA should not rush to build more fossil fuel projects when it is already behind in energy efficiency and renewable energy	negative	joshua	cummings	
7/4/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site	Please find a different location for your plant. We did not ask for it as citizens of Pleasant View and we do not want it and all it's pollution. Thank you	negative	Jamie	Binkley	37146
6/22/2023	Cheatham County DHS Comment on Cheatham County Generation Site_00002	There's already a lawsuit challenging TVA's "backroom deals" signing contracts with pipeline companies before completing required reviews; TVA downplayed harms and costs of the planned gas plant; how can we ensure this doesn't happen in Cheatham county? Is it too late? Is this the going to be part of the same pipeline? Does 13 equal 285? Do we live in a community that no longer wishes to be a safe haven for the biological community? Enough to revoke nearly 300 acres and replace it with a combustion turbine, battery energy storage system, and 12 mile natural gas pipeline, but keep a meager 13 acre pollinator garden as the only offer to residents? Is this pollinator garden in the vicinity of this plant? What good would this plot be in the event of a pipeline leak? How do these fossil fuels affect local wildlife and the pollinators you claim to be helping? Will there be subsidies for residents when electricity costs rise? If so, How much? If not, what are the direct improvements en lieu of electricity subsidies to residents who have the burden of higher electricity costs? I'm having a hard time seeing the rewards to this proposal; there is far greater risk; My concerns initially were that if a gas line leaks, it will be closer to my home and the waterways I am fond of exploring and learning from; I don't like the idea of a gas pipeline going through Cheatham county (or anywhere people live); I don't think it makes sense to go to natural gas before going to renewable energy, if that's the actual goal per EPA requests; I think the creation of jobs would be great, but where will you be hiring these prospective employees from? Will they be local entities (TN vendors) or will you outsource this work? Can we trust you will use TN workers? Where did this land come from? What was it originally? How did TVA allocate the land and what is the land already zoned for? I urge you to pursue the "no action alternative;" maybe provide this land to future farmers or people who will perform as adequate stewards; Id like to see allotments provided to young adults as a way to further education with experiential learning; I'd like to see this land be returned to nature or preserved in a way that is conducive to generations to come; not harming the already exhausted ecosystem and tributaries of the Cumberland with even more wastewater; Cheatham county already has a lot of warehouses and boat manufacturers and a great big water heater company polluting the immediate environment already; I'd like to stop it there if at all possible; Thank you for your consideration	negative	Rachel	Schroeder	37015
7/6/2023	Cheatam county gas plant	Hello my name is Cindy and I live near Cheatham County. My question for you is how is this impacting Soil and Water? Also how is it impacting the environment? Please give me a breakdown of how this is going to affect the above questions.	neutral	Cindy		
7/8/2023	Ashland City Power Plant	I have so many reasons that I oppose the TVA plan for Ashland City/Cheatham County, both the proposed pipeline and power plant, that I have decided to state my opposition with bullet points. •Ashland City/Cheatham County should not be the site for a plant that is being built to benefit the energy needs of Nashville. Nashville should be scouted for a site. Cheatham County does not deserve or need to be Nashville's industrial park. This is more reason for solar and clean energy. •Replacing a coal burning plant with more fossil fuels is not the solution. TVA should be on track to eliminate the costly, pollution generating fossil fuel plants...not building more. The International Panels of Climate Change are telling us to address cutting fossil fuels NOW... not 20 years from now. •A methane power plant is not a healthy addition and is an economic liability to the community. Property and home values will drop significantly. Now more than ever with demands of utility upgrades and government facilities we cannot stand any losses of tax revenue or healthy growth in our area. A methane power plant is not a healthy addition and is an economic liability to this community. •Sycamore Creek has a pumping station in very close proximity to the TVA site that provides Pleasant View, TN with all of their drinking water. Water is sacred. Many properties in the general area's water supply are springs or wells. This close proximity to the site puts those waters at risk from the air pollution/particulates and ground water contamination. •A pipeline will put our water supplies, streams, wetlands, farms and land owners in harms way and at risk of eminent domain for families who own generational properties and farms. These are citizens who have worked hard and paid their taxes. A methane power plant is not a healthy addition and is an economic liability to the community. •Pipeline leaks are a huge concern as it does happen often. It is very risky in such a closed in area with many hills, uneven terrain and narrow roads. A pipeline explosion in White Bluff, TN in March of 1992 was felt and seen in Ashland City. An explosion at the proposed site would be unfathomable with the mix of methane, high temps, acres of lithium batteries and stored diesel fuel. The emergency crews in the area are not equipped to handle such an emergency. Major destruction and contamination is too much of a risk 4 miles from Ashland City and at the site of Sycamore Creek. •The Sycamore Creek & Spring Creek bottom is habitat to much wildlife and rare species. Again, Sycamore Creek provides all of the water to Pleasant View, a fast growing community in our county along the i-24 corridor. Water is sacred. Sycamore Creek also winds through the Cumberland Valley Girl Scout Council's Camp Sycamore Hills where girls from all over the region attend camps and activities year round. I have spent a lot of time wading and canoeing Sycamore Creek. Link below <a href="https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgsmidtn.org%2Fthe-history-of-camp-sycamore-hills%2F&amp;data=05%7C01%7Cnepa%40tva.gov%7C924e23b132f6415abe9e08db7f6f84bc%7C270992cd9003497184ded1640c0bffc5%7C0%7C0%7C638243889178761148%7CUnknown%7CTWFPbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBTiI6I1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&amp;sdata=UyceQSfoyvQEtJbmKJ6lm80%2BF5gBwN1LTUS6e45RDV%3D&amp;reserved=0">https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgsmidtn.org%2Fthe-history-of-camp-sycamore-hills%2F&amp;data=05%7C01%7Cnepa%40tva.gov%7C924e23b132f6415abe9e08db7f6f84bc%7C270992cd9003497184ded1640c0bffc5%7C0%7C0%7C638243889178761148%7CUnknown%7CTWFPbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBTiI6I1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&amp;sdata=UyceQSfoyvQEtJbmKJ6lm80%2BF5gBwN1LTUS6e45RDV%3D&amp;reserved=0</a> . •These creeks are also a major tributaries to the Cumberland River and many species of fish spawn in those waters and birds including Eagles hunt along those streams. There have also been rare species of crawfish and salamanders studied in the area. •The waters provide recreation for locals as well as eco tourists who kayak, canoe and fish those streams. In recent years Ashland City has been focused more on building and planning parks and trails to encourage ecotourism to the area. A methane power plant is not a healthy addition and is an economic liability to this community. •This site's lower property floods. I believe it's partially a flood zone. I have seen Harristown Road impassable from water out of Sycamore Creek. The water has often extended up Newland Hollow and requires an alternative route to get to the houses there. The water has often stayed over the road for days. •There is need for environmental justice in this area for working farms, crops, livestock and the citizens who have worked these farms and paid their taxes. This property was/is zoned for agriculture. This is not an area for a methane power plant. A methane power plant is not a healthy addition and is an economic liability to this community. •I encourage that another site scouted for this project...out of county since the benefit is for Nashville. There has to be better planning on so many levels. The currently it is very poorly planned and not well thought through. Everyone I have spoken to as well as the community leaders are not in favor of this methane plant & pipeline. It is not wanted. This country has had decades to work on our clean energy needs and here we are stuck with more fossil fuels. It's a failure of the highest degree and a disservice to all living things. I would like to think we are smarter than this.	negative	Gina	Binkley	

6/22/2023	Ashland City Methane Plant	What will be the estimated costs of greenhouse gas mitigation measures required to bring the plant into compliance with federal orders/EPA guidelines that call for carbon pollution free energy grid by 2030? 2035? Natural gas is toxic, flammable, non-renewable, pollutes water and earth, causes greenhouse gas emissions, and is expensive to store and transport; Is there an alternative build for renewable energy that would not require mitigation? What is the average radius of gas leaks of similar equipment? How often do gas leaks occur on your already existing equipment? Can we assume it will be similar to builds in Cheatham county? Water sources are within how many miles of this site? What steps are planned to save the waterways from the inevitable leaking? Can we request community engagement persons to actually communicate with people in the area and answer questions and follow through with updating the public on matters involving this site? At the scoping, it was not a public forum, it was TVA workers explaining their goals, and that doesn't seem fair; I would hope there would be input received from the community in a public forum; can we request an actual public forum discussion? Will leaks beaddressed immediately? How can we ensure this? How would leaks affect local groundwater? Will you provide emission, noise, pollution, and traffic control? How so? What safety protocols are in place for residents if a fire occurs within the gas plant and batteries? Should additional insurance be recommended for these residents homes? Is this something that people can even prepare for.	negative	Rachel	Schroeder	37015
7/8/2023	Ashland City Methane Plant	I am against the proposed development in Ashland City as it is currently being proposed - the details frighten me regarding safety for the area and its residents - the amount of diesel fuel that will be stored on the property, the number of lithium batteries - not too mention the pipeline itself - and the potential hazards of a break in the line/fire and the damage such an accident would cause (which will inevitably happen at some point). Sycamore Creek is an important water source - for recreation, but more importantly as awater source/feeder for neighoring communities - ANY alteration/contamination of the water could be a catastrophic event (both in terms of health + financial repercussions). We have a farm a short distance from the proposed site (less than a mile as the crow flies) - a farm that has been in the family for five generations - with a natural spring that I'm concerned will be contaminated from the plant - not too mention the livestock. This may now be one of the few areas in middle Tennessee where property values will now decrease over the coming years. As I understand it, the power generated from this plant will be used OUTSIDE of Cheatham County, and not for the residents of the local community. This is bad. Property within Davidson County should be used for this purpose - not farmed out to a 'feeder' community that must deal with the fallout. This is why we move from the city. And lastly, TVA needs to take a much more aggressive stance in going to Green energy and completely away from any fossil fuel usage asap - the funds are there to do so. Methane sounds like a good alternative to coal as a PR move, but is doing just as much damage to the atmosphere/global warming - with the pollutants (VOCs) from such a methane plant causing cancer, affecting the nervous system and causing birth defects.Please do not build this proposed plant on this site.	negative	David	McClister	
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The TVA's unwillingness to address the extraordinary circumstances of the ever increasing Climate Crisis and the roll methane gas plays in exacerbating the crisis - putting the environment and the health of Tennessee residents at risk - is unacceptable.  Your Authority is ill-informed and your actions are arrogant and careless and it is time for you to step into the present and take the actions necessary to reduce the climate crisis impacts on Tennesseans and beyond	negative	Naomi	Zurcher	11201
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Quit screwing up the planet and do what is right.	negative	David	Zielinski	38571
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Renewable energy is already less expensive than gas plants over their lifetimes even before you factor in the costs of CDR and methane leaks. When you include those pollution costs — which we need to pay AS THEY OCCUR, not in some vague distant future— it's a no-brained: no more stranded fossil fuel assets please!	negative	Peter	Yungbluth	21601
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	this is unacceptable and will lead to destruction og life and beautiful lands.	negative	Annette	Wysocki	1351
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It is past time to consider our water, air, land, people and climate change before corporate greed and increasing fossil fuel availability! Stop this pipeline! M. R. Wyman	negative	Marion	Wyman	53533
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Climate change knows no borders. Protect our planet.	negative	Barbara Jo	Wrobleski-Mullis	85704
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No oil, no gas development. Period, Save the planet.	negative	April	Woods	99504
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	STOP THIS MADNESS and find another more healthy way to line your pockets. What a selfish, uncaring, unethical way to represent the good people of Tennessee , who put you in a seat of power to do right by them. Shame on all of you. These are my brothers and sisters you are planning to hurt and when you hurt them, you hurt me and ALL Americans! If money is the driving force behind this poorly thought out decision to start adding miles and miles of Methane gas pipelines, BEWARE..... there is such a thing as KARMA and it WILL come back to ruin your life in equal parts to all of the lives you could potentially harm if there was ever a catastrophic leakage of your pipelines in the pristine Tennessee counties at risk for this to happen to....all of these precious families who reside there. STOP THIS MADNESS AND STOP IT NOW!!!!	negative	Howard	Wistrich	85750
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I thought TVA was all about dams on rivers! producing sustainable electricity. Where did dirty coal and methane come into it?	negative	Virginia	winston	25404
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Now is the time to rebuild America's Biological Infrastructure.	negative	Thomas	Winner	11201
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's the only right thing to do !!!	negative	Douglas	Wilson	4650
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's time to shift into the future and get out of fossil fuels before the planet reaches the tipping point! Our infrastructure needs your expertise and to be restructured. Water system and waste management, recapture of deadly chemicals. Surely much of your expertise can be applied in these areas. If you wait till it is forced upon you it will be TOO LATE FOR ALL OF US. Barbara Williamson	negative	Barbara	Williamson	94706
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please don't put this gas line through our country that will not actually help our economy in any way. Choose clean energy option that will create more jobs for us and less exposure to harmful gasses in our area.	negative	Carmen	Willey	37016

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No more gas. Power plants!	negative	Joe	Wiederhold	98229
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No pipeline in Cheatham County, Tennessee!	negative	Ashlyn	Wheeler	37914
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>We were better at one time. Through the years, everything has been done quicker, easier, cheaper, at the cost of the environment. We need to think of ways to reverse this trend, &amp; help the earth &amp; thus everyone else in the long run.</p> <p>Don't forget about cutting back our carbon footprint. (and all that entails, including reduce, refuse, reuse, recycle, compost—when you can safely, &amp; living as simply as possible, cutting back on excess, downsizing, etc . . .). I'm trying, but I think we could do more to encourage others around the world. Including setting a good example ourselves. I'm not sure Trump did the wrong thing. I was not in favor of the Paris Agreement for my own reasons, and I am a tree hugger. I also love this planet &amp; all in it. but the nuclear option could blow it for all of us. (making it worse than it could ever get—because nuclear energy is very dangerous) I sometimes wonder about people who are pushing for the nuclear option. Do they just not know the past mishaps? do they have too much trust in humanity? do they not think of terrorism or other such deeds? Not to mention natural disasters?, etc . . . I think that was a mistake. It, nuclear energy, should not have been included in the answer to our problems. &amp; I worry that this is buried in this Green New Deal as well. Re a Climate Emergency, another problem is that Haste Makes Waste &amp; many times in our urgency to do good we move too fast for our own good &amp; the good of others. Someone/many may suffer as a result &amp; there may be no quick remedies before much suffering by many. So we need to take it slow by testing small areas first &amp; then progressing, realizing that some things will not show up right away. But the first steps above could start earlier. And what about hybrids? Solar/Electric regular/electric, etc . . ? They are a great way to start transitioning. Not to mention we all need to cut down on our energy consumption. Where is Carter? Can anyone help out in that matter? But in any case we need to realize that we may need to make changes for the best of all.</p> <p>This is the best time to realize that all people, animals, beings/creatures, spirits, etc . . . dwell in the same space: Earth. We are the past, present, &amp; future. We are all above, below, on and or in the earth. It is the home of us all &amp; we all need each other whether we know it or not. We should make all plans keeping the future of all in mind. We are all connected, so what we do in one part of the world will eventually get to another. I hope you will join me in praying for the president &amp; that he &amp; his team will realize our wishes &amp; understand they are for his benefit as well as the benefit for everyone else in the long run. If you'd like, you could pass on my wishes to him as well.</p> <p>I share a variety of perspectives. no matter who we are or where we came from, we can thank God that we are here &amp; that we do have a lot to be thankful for.</p> <p>Maybe not as much as some. But a lot more than others. yes, there are times when I feel worse than others, that happens to all of us, no matter who we are. But thank God we are here. We can also agree to disagree on many issues. That's life. The whole point is that we are all here, thank God, &amp; we all have life, &amp; we all are together.</p> <p>Stay safe &amp; Take care &amp; God Bless All . . .</p> <p>p.s. do not forget Indian wisdom, “you cannot eat, drink, or breathe money”. The earth is the home of us all. We need to take care of it/her so that it/she may take care of us.</p>	negative	Tara	Wheeler	21124
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA has enjoyed a significant roll in our nation's history. Please do not tarnish that place in time with this proposed development; one that will indelibly detract from all future TVA reputation.	negative	James	Wesley	98363
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	SAVE IT!!!!	negative	greeley	wells	97530
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	The air belongs to all of us, and your plan to abuse the atmosphere we all breathe is criminal.	negative	Stephen	Welgos	27587-7560
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Put the money into a clean renewable source of power.	negative	R	Weiss	98257
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>We must end the use of ALL fossil fuels immediately and replace them with clean and renewable alternatives. These are available and cheaper at this point.</p> <p>We can not continue to burn methane gas as a replacement for coal. We are way beyond that point. Our planet is overheating from the burning of any and all fossil fuels.</p> <p>Please do not switch from coal to methane gas. Choose wind or solar or hydro.</p> <p>Acknowledge that we are already at the beginning of a climate crisis and don't make the situation worse, especially when you have safer and cleaner choices.</p>	negative	Tina	Weishaus	8904
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Digusting greed!	negative	Marybeth	Webster	97526
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>Dear TVA,</p> <p>Please do not burden us with another gas plant. I fear that methane will be released and methane is a powerful green house gas and a major pollutant. I ask you to invest in wind and solar , instead, and thereby create the the green jobs of the future.</p> <p>Joe Wasserman</p>	negative	Joseph	Wasserman	6117
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Do the right thing and choose the option that cuts back on pollution.	negative	Brenda	Walstrom	55024
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	FROM DIANE WALLACE, FORSYTH County, North Carolina Resident- Let's protect all species and retain their habitats. It will eventually be too hot to sweat. And once the permafrost thaws past a certain point then the temperature of the Ocean will rise such that plankton will die off leading to an oxygen poor atmosphere starting above sea level.	negative	Diane	Wallace	27284
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	WTF?	negative	Patrice	Wallace	95060

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	After decades of operation, the Cumberland Fossil Plant is no longer cost effective or reliable, and it is one of the most polluting coal plants in the country. This is why I support TVA's decision to close the Cumberland Plant. I strongly encourage TVA to replace the plant's capacity with a renewable electricity alternative that is cost effective, safe and reliable while bringing jobs and economic development to the region. No more polluting solutions to our energy needs.	negative	Sue	Walden	94109
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Your ignorance and greed seem to know no bounds!!!	negative	Carol	Wagner	97322
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We all face extinction if measures are not taken immediately to start to reverse the last 100 years of devastating pollution and actions or lack of actions that have us in the climate collapse we are facing. The clock is ticking to no life on planet earth, you have the power to enforce change now and "maybe" be remembered for saving the human race or be "known" as the powers to be that did nothing!!!	negative	Richard	Vultaggio	28690
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Building more infrastructure for dirty fuel generation is contrary to our goal of increasing clean energy! Whatever short term benefits for TVA (management and share holders) might be achieved are not conducive to the long term well being of its customers!	negative	Walter	von Schonfeld	27707
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA should be a leader and not a laggard in the transition away from fossil fuels to renewable cleaner energy. Quit coal and build more solar, wind and hydro power.	negative	David	Vollrath	37601
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	One Eaeth - try not to screw it up	negative	Vince	Vitale	60139
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	we need to do everything possible to reign in climate change and to improve the environment for life's sakes.	negative	ann	violi	23389
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Please we do not need anymore methane in our enviroment! Please look to our future!	negative	Donna	Viale-Gertler	37082
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I urge you to oppose any project related to fossil fuels and put forth the effort toward renewables. We have plenty of fossil fuel entities to maintain our supply of energy as we work to transition toward renewable energy.	negative	J.	Venneman	40216-2840
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Building out the huge wind resources of the Mid-Atlantic Bight, the east coast's wide continental shelf, will create a large and integrated industry. Running 600 miles across nine states the Bight could produce the equivalent of 70% of America's current electricity. Its low water depth and proximity to the populous East Coast is a perfect place for offshore wind. In addition, offshore wind is the strongest at the time of the most electricity demand. Building more gas is an idea that should be replaced.	negative	Jane	Twitmyer	22967
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Don't do it!	negative	Paul	Turner	28748-6386
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I am asking you under the full weight of the future of this nation that you do not further the collapse of an already crumbling ecosystem by cutting down one more tree in the name of fossil fuels. The time has long come to sever ties with this overt and disastrous pollution, a complete abomination to the God who has so graciously gifted us this beautiful Earth on which we live. Please do not forget we were only given one precious planet. May your grandchildren breathe clean air, eat abundant and unspoiled fish from the rivers, and steward the old growth forests of ancient Appalachia with the same fervor you protect her with today when you stand firmly against this blatant attempt at exploitation of all we hold dear. May you rest easy in your bed at night knowing you loved the ground under your feet in a way that will protect your family and mine too. Thank you for reading.	negative	Kelsey	Tucker	37160
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We must protect people and the environment. I join Tennessee residents in urging no gas plan in Cheatham County.	negative	Nancy	Trevino	80304
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Your state needs to move away from coal plants and replace them with renewable energy sources like wind and solar. Thank you for your time.	negative	Sheila	Tran	55122
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We don't need any more pollutants!	negative	Margaret	Traina	1507
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	DON'T DO THIS! It's exactly what we DO NOT NEED as we are already in a climate crisis!	negative	Elise	Tooley	13637
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Clean energy only! Wind & solar now!	negative	Curtis	Tomlin	37421
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We are in an existential climate crisis, Code Red for humanity. We must stop building fossil fuel infrastructure and rapidly transition to clean, sustainable energy sources. The technologies exist, but we still seem to lack the political will. The Climate Clock is ticking.	negative	Rod	Tharp	98506
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This isn't project necessary as it's cost to the world's climate won't be erased.	negative	James	Talton	47421
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	As a TN resident nearby the proposed pipelines, I totally oppose these proposals. I know the negative impact this will have on our wildlife, water quality, and more. This is a very disappointing move from those who I expect to be putting more renewable energy sources in, and using fewer fossil fuels. Please halt these pipeline projects. The local communities are definitely opposed to these moves.	negative	Kevin	Sykes	37115

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	As a former resident of West Virginia and someone with a deep appreciation for the beauty of the region, I am deeply disappointed that you are investing in new fossil fuel based infrastructure. It was essential to replace the coal-burning plants for many reasons, but there are alternatives to methane-burning replacements. Methane is a dangerous contributor to global warming and the extraction process is detrimental to local ecologies and the health of people living near by. Please find carbon-free alternatives that are clean and renewable and create sustainable jobs for local workers.	negative	Sue	Swanson	1730
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Planet over profits	negative	Rob	Sulzman	8360
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	It's already too hot. Adding fossil fuel capacity will make things worse.	negative	Daniel	Sullivan	78749
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Enough with fossil fuels. Do you not understand that climate change is here and now? Snow storms in California. Tornadoes everywhere. Extreme heat for the past 3 weeks where I live. And no end in sight.	negative	Karen	Sullivan	78596
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Renewables are the future. Let's get with the times! The earth needs to heal and it's efficient doing that when it's left undisturbed., we need to lessen our impact now.	negative	Cynthia	Sugsen	89503
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I just read an article in a professional medical journal that so called safe levels of air pollution still cause brain damage in growing infants and children. The parents should be allowed to take legal action against those that cause it to insure they support those children for life.	negative	P. R.	Sturm	89506
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	There is no such thing as a safe pipeline. Clean energy is better for Tennessee but for the planetoid everything that lives.Environment and climate change are the #1 threat to the planet. Short term greed & convenience over longterm good and safety is poor policy.	negative	L.S.	strange	98281
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No gas plants.	negative	Darby	Stone	35749
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane is far more toxic to the environment than even carbon dioxide. Please do not go backwards in our serious efforts to save the planet.	negative	Cindy	Speas	22043
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Protect the water and land	negative	Pamela	Speagle	40214
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Such announcements should point out this largely 'fracted', not natural, gas and further adds "production pollutants" which will also counter efforts to reduce carbon emissions for attacking the greenhouse gases problems.	negative	James	Southerland	27511
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Absolutely not.	negative	Nikki	Snyder	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We oppose the power plant on Lockertsville Road as is it will have a negative impact on our property value, air quality, environmental disturbance of our waters and land. We have been land owners for more than 50 years and cherish our land and home. Please find other spaces for this monstrosity.  Thank you.	negative	Raymond	Smith	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This cannot happen	negative	Joy	Smiley	11756
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	TVA doesn't seem to know that natural gas is mainly methane which is 32x more dangerous to the atmosphere than carbon dioxide. TVA has acreage that solar panels can be installed on and the sun is a free source of energy. Fossil fuels need to be eliminated from the energy mainstream.	negative	Tom	Smedley	37205-3577
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	We don't need more dirty energy--please invest in the Green New Deal for the future of the planet!	negative	Kathy	Slawinski	10010
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	CEASE & DESIST NOW, POSTHASTE!	negative	Steve	Sketo	93312
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I am against the gas plant in Cheatham County because I know what it will do to our air and water, AND I know what the proposed 12 turbines will sound like at our home...yes I live that close to the plant and I know what it will do to the bedrock around our homes. You might want to check in Sycamore Creek for the Hellbender, a rare salamander. I've read on the Nextdoor app that they've been found there. If those aren't enough reasons try the hundred residents who are losing family farms for a fraction of their current cost when this plant could be built in the industrial park in Ashland City where none of this would matter since you say none of this environmental issues apply.	negative	Tim	Singleton	37015

7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	I'm directly across Lockertsville rd from the new tva land, where they plan on building this. I own around 65 acres, and four houses on the other side of the hill. I can't think of a worse thing to diminish my investment in my Cheatham county dream home and land.	negative	Jonathan	Singleton	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>The senators or representatives once the get elected, they forget the people and go their own way thinking that no one call recall him/ her back</p> <p>When the governments are chosen with the elections funded by the corporates, they shall have to follow the dictates of the corporates. The root cause, the election funding by corporates should be got stopped and elections should be held with zero expenses. Only state TV channel for canvassing, program, polices, and other declarations.</p> <p>Also an impeachment committee of social societies, no long drawn legal battles.</p> <p>Devinder Singh</p>	negative	Devinder	Singh	147001
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>It doesn't make sense to trade one fossil fuel for another, especially when there are other safe options that are more affordable and just as reliable. AND provide more and healthier jobs.</p> <p>What do you want to give your grandchildren???? Yours and mine...?</p>	negative	Marcee	Silver	27608
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	This proposal is dangerous and short term...choose renewables to increase capacity and ensure safety!	negative	Carol	Sibley	98103-6329
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Not in favor of this.	negative	Cindy	Shorey	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Signed form letter.	negative	Dayle	Sherba	99516
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	Methane is a terrible polluting gas and nothing should be built which would use or possibly emit methane gas! Therefore, the TVA should NOT build a methane gas-powered plant and miles of pipeline in Cheatham County. Instead, they should be building a plant which uses non-fossil fuels instead! That's the modern and much better option.	negative	Marion	Shepherd	48304
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No pipeline is ever safe. Think of all of the disasters that have occurred and how communities become devastated with the accountable parties never restoring the region to how it was pre-leak/pre-disaster. This is such a sensitive region. The risks are not worth it.	negative	Gloria	Shen	28805
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	toxic agenda, toxic coalition, toxic pollution, a cancer on the environment, the public at large, no accountability, no retribution	negative	A.F.	Shayne	90036
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	No pipe line. Ridiculous we even have to comment. Too many hazards, risks & environmental disasters. Protect our land, air, rivers & humans!	negative	Tracy	Sharp	37015
7/7/2023	Appalachian Voices Public Scoping Comment Letter	YOU know. THEY know. YOU and THEY knew a long time ago.	negative	ellene	shapiro	60035
6/21/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site [#7]	Would the TVA be opposed to the community resourcing their own environmental study, at their own expense, and who would we contact to stay in communication with in your office to do so?	neutral	Jonathan	Singleton	37015
7/3/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site Scoping Comment	WHY?! WHY on EARTH would y'all think it is okay to build this out in God's country only to serve West Nashville?! The impact that this would have on the area in regards to pollution and eminent domain is absolutely detrimental to the way of life out here. These are century farms and hard working families out here and NO ONE asked for this natural gas plant to be built. The amount of supposed jobs that this would bring does not negate that this natural gas will not be available directly to the area and your company ENBRIDGE has a NUMBER of EPA violations and you are a CANADIAN company...why not build there?! PLEASE for the love of everything holy, go somewhere else.	negative	Jennifer	Dubois	
7/7/2023	Cheatham County Generation Site - Scoping Comments	<p>My property is located approximately one mile from the proposed/opposed site. While I am not a life long resident of the area, I married my late husband and moved here in 1989. My husband's family and our farm property is some of the first deeds registered in Cheatham County in 1856.</p> <p>My concern is not only what happens to our environment, our creeks and our ponds, our wildlife, but also what happens to the value of our property? My husband passed away in 2017, leaving the farm to his family. In return we chose to sell a good portion of it and by doing so created some beautiful high dollar homesites, some close to \$1,000,000 in value. While I'm concerned with my property value, I can't imagine what will happen to the value of these new homesites.</p> <p>Why does it have to come here? What are your plans for the site that you apparently are replacing in Cumberland City? I grew up in that area, I was there when that plant became active back in the 60's. And I know for multiple families in that area, you are threatening or destroying their financial future. How many people will lose their jobs and their livelihood when you shut that place down? Why can't that property be converted to your new methane site? And how many jobs will be available at the proposed/opposed plant in Lockertsville? It is inconceivable why you feel the need to bring it into a very small rural area where creating road access alone for large vehicle transport will destroy century old farmland and countryside. I've been told the pipeline will cross multiple streams and destroy property values. Surely, in all your property search/research, a more viable location could be considered.</p> <p>During the first "meeting" in Ashland City, even your project manager, during his discussion with us, said if it was in his backyard, he'd fight it too. Most of us are smart enough to understand that individually we can't fight you and win, however together I pray we make our voices heard.</p>	negative	Lori	Walker	37015



7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000001	<p>Dear Ms. Johnson:</p> <p>I am shocked, saddened, and quite frankly, angry to learn the Lockertsville Road property is slated to become a methane gas producing energy site. I am a long time resident of the area with a small organic farm on Macon Wall Road. My focus is organic herbs and flowers and I have dedicated acreage for pollinators and wildlife. My property has several springs and a small creek that feeds into the Sycamore Creek. The proposed location is directly across the road from me, and I am opposed to this site being used for Methane Gas. I recognize my comments, questions and concerns may seem elementary to those of you in the "industry", but this is my life, my children's lives, my grandchildren's lives, and my land I'm defending here, and the TVA has been less than forthcoming with its plans and information regarding this site.</p> <p>We know Methane gas plants are associated with significant greenhouse gas emissions, primarily in the form of carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4). Methane is a potent greenhouse gas, with a much higher global warming potential than CO2. The extraction, production, and combustion of methane contribute to climate change and exacerbate global warming. Investing in renewable energy sources like wind, solar, and hydroelectric power would be a more sustainable and environmentally friendly alternative. Methane gas plants can release air pollutants during their operation, including nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). These pollutants contribute to smog formation, respiratory issues, and air quality degradation, which can have negative impacts on human health and ecosystems and I am extremely concerned about the potential healthrisks posed by living in close proximity to this proposed plant as well as the risks to the environment. Methane gas plants require significant amounts of water for cooling and other processes. Water withdrawal can deplete local water sources, affecting aquatic ecosystems and water availability for other uses. Additionally, improper storage or handling of wastewater generated during gas extraction and production processes can lead to water contamination. This project has the potential to put pressure on local water sources, particularly in areas where water scarcity is already a concern. While I am connected to East Montgomery Utilities for my water, many of my neighbors are on well water. I am concerned about the potential contamination of water sources due to the construction and operation of this project.</p> <p>Methane gas plants involve complex infrastructure and handling processes. There is a risk of accidents such as explosions, leaks, or fires, which could pose a threat to nearby communities, workers, and the environment. Regardless of potential mitigation tactics, these risks are unacceptable and TVA investing in safer and more sustainable energy options would be a wiser choice. I feel the TVA has failed in its due diligence about the treasured natural resources of this area and failed to consider its residents prior to the purchase of this land, and this project is moving us backwards. For your spokesperson Scott Brooks, to publicly state that we need more methane production to get us to solar is completely asinine. Methane gas plants rely on the extraction and burning of fossil fuels, contributing to ongoing fossil fuel dependence. We need a massive shift towards renewable energy sources that are more sustainable and have a lower environmental impact, not cling to antiquated, finite fossil fuels. You are the nation's leading power producer and I am extremely disappointed in your methane gas binge across the state. Exactly when was it determined this property would be best used as a Methane Gas plant and why are we not embracing and moving forward with solar energy?</p> <p>The presence of a methane gas plant near a community or a school can have social and psychological impacts on the community. Concerns about health risks, safety, and potential environmental impacts are leading to community anxiety and discontent. This project hasn't even begun yet and I'm already losing sleep over it. The proposed plant is central within a few miles of all three of our area schools; West Cheatham Elementary, Cheatham Middle School, and Cheatham Central High School. My child currently attends West Cheatham, 1st grade, and will be continuing on through our school system. That's 11 more years. Perception of such facilities near residential areas and schools can affect community relationships, property values, and the overall image of the area. Additionally, there is a potential risk of increased air pollution in the vicinity, which can have negative health impacts on students, teachers, and staff. Exposure to air pollutants can lead to respiratory issues, exacerbate existing respiratory conditions, and affect overall well-being.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000002	<p>We know methane gas is a fossil fuel primarily composed of methane (CH4) extracted from natural gas reserves or produced from organic waste. It's a non-renewable resource, but Solar energy is obtained from sunlight using photovoltaic (PV) panels or solar thermal systems and is a renewable energy source. Your plans make no sense.</p> <p>Burning methane gas releases carbon dioxide (CO2) and other pollutants into the atmosphere, contributing to greenhouse gas emissions and climate change. Methane itself is a potent greenhouse gas. Solar energy has minimal environmental impact once the solar panels are manufactured. It produces no greenhouse gas emissions during electricity generation, helping to reduce carbon footprints and combat climate change.</p> <p>The availability of methane gas depends on natural gas reserves, which are finite. It requires extraction and distribution infrastructure, as well as ongoing access to reserves. Solar energy is abundant and available worldwide as long as there is sunlight. It does not rely on finite resources and can be harnessed through individual solar installations or large- scale solar farms.</p> <p>As a non-renewable resource, the use of methane gas contributes to resource depletion. It requires continuous extraction and exploration efforts to maintain supply. Solar energy is sustainable and renewable since sunlight is an inexhaustible resource, and current estimates state the sun will be around for at least another 7 or 8 billion years, so I think it's a safe bet it can be harnessed for the foreseeable future without depleting natural resources.</p> <p>Methane gas power plants are often connected to centralized power grids, requiring extensive transmission and distribution infrastructure. They depend on a network to deliver electricity to end-users whereas solar energy can be harnessed at the point of consumption, making it suitable for both grid-tied and off-grid applications. Solar installations can be decentralized, reducing reliance on long-distance transmission and promoting energy self- sufficiency.</p> <p>The cost of methane gas is subject to market fluctuations and extraction costs. It may require significant investments in exploration, drilling, and additional infrastructure. The cost of solar energy has been significantly decreasing in recent years, driven by technological advancements, economies of scale, and government incentives. Solar panels have become more affordable and accessible for both residential and commercial applications. It's important to consider these factors when evaluating the benefits and drawbacks of different energy sources. While methane gas is a fossil fuel that contributes to climate change, solar energy offers a sustainable and renewable alternative with lower environmental impact andincreasing cost competitiveness.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000003	<p>Solar Power:</p> <p>The transition to solar energy creates new job opportunities and fosters economic growth and I don't understand why this isn't a priority of the TVA. Solar energy projects require skilled labor for installation, operation, and maintenance. The solar industry has been a significant source of employment, supporting a wide range of jobs, from manufacturing and construction to sales and installation. Additionally, local solar projects can contribute to the growth of the renewable energy sector. By investing in renewable energy, communities can benefit from the expansion of a clean energy sector and drive economic development. Solar energy systems generally require less maintenance compared to methane gas plants, as they have no moving parts. Regular cleaning of solar panels and occasional inverter replacements are the primary maintenance activities. In contrast, methane gas plants require ongoing maintenance and monitoring of equipment, pipelines, and storage facilities. Solar energy systems generate electricity without releasing air pollutants such as sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx), or particulate matter. By avoiding the combustion of fossil fuels, solar power helps improve air quality and reduces respiratory issues and other health concerns associated with air pollution, further reducing reliance on fossil fuel-based electricity generation and mitigating the carbon footprint associated with power production. Solar power generally requires minimal water usage once the panels are installed. Unlike methane gas plants, solar energy systems do not rely on water for cooling or other operational processes. This reduces the strain on water resources and helps conserve this valuable resource. Solar energy systems can be easily scaled up or down based on energy demands. They are suitable for various applications, from small residential installations to large utility-scale solar farms. This scalability allows for greater flexibility in meeting energy needs and adapting to changing requirements. Advances in solar panel efficiency, energy storage technologies, and grid integration have improved the overall performance and reliability of solar energy systems. This innovation can drive down costs further and increase the overall efficiency and effectiveness of solar power generation. Solar panels can be installed on various types of land, including rooftops, parking lots, and non-arable land. Solar power systems can coexist with existing land uses without causing significant habitat disturbance or land conversion. Proper land management practices, such as implementing pollinator-friendly solar projects, can also enhance biodiversity and support local ecosystems.</p> <p>Solar energy has experienced significant cost reductions in recent years, making it increasingly competitive with fossil fuels. The levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) for solar photovoltaic (PV) systems has declined dramatically, making it one of the most cost- effective sources of new electricity generation. Fossil fuel-based electricity generation, on the other hand, can be subject to price volatility due to fluctuations in fuel prices. The growth of the solar industry has stimulated technological innovation and increased competitiveness. Solar energy offers significant environmental benefits over fossil fuels. Solar power generation produces no greenhouse gas emissions during operation, contributing to mitigating climate change. Additionally, solar energy reduces air pollution, water usage, and the negative impacts of extraction and transportation associated with fossil fuels. These environmental benefits can lead to long-term cost savings and improved public health outcomes. Upgrading solar panels or adding energy storage systems can enhance the performance and extend the usefulness of existing solar installations. This flexibility allows for the integration of new technologies as they become available. The overall trend suggests that solar energy presents significant economic opportunities and advantages compared to fossil fuels, both in terms of cost competitiveness and long-term sustainability. Overall, solar power has significantly lower environmental impacts compared to methane gas plants. Solar energy helps mitigate climate change, reduces air pollution, conserves water resources, and minimizes habitat disturbance. These environmental benefits make solar power an environmentally sustainable and preferred choice for clean energy generation.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000004	<p><i>Why we should provide incentives for residential solar installation in Tennessee</i></p> <p>There are several compelling reasons to provide incentives for residential solar installation in Tennessee:</p> <p>Encouraging energy efficiency and residential solar installation can stimulate local economic growth and job creation. The solar industry provides opportunities for skilled labor, including solar panel installation, maintenance, and sales. By supporting the growth of residential solar, Tennessee can attract solar companies, create local jobs, and boost the economy. Investing in residential solar helps reduce dependence on imported energy sources. By generating clean, renewable energy locally, Tennessee can enhance its energy independence and security. This reduces vulnerability to fluctuations in fossil fuel prices and geopolitical risks associated with energy imports. By assisting homeowners with energy efficient upgrades like siding, windows and roofing as well as residential solar installation, the TVA can reduce energy demand, promote clean energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Solar power produces electricity without generating air pollution or carbon dioxide emissions, which contribute to climate change. By incentivizing solar, Tennessee can contribute to a cleaner environment and reduce its carbon footprint. Solar energy can help homeowners reduce their electricity bills. Installing solar panels allows residents to generate their own electricity and offset some or all of their energy consumption from the grid. By reducing monthly utility costs, solar power can make energy more affordable and provide long-term savings for homeowners.</p> <p>Distributed residential solar installations enhance grid resilience and energy security. During power outages or natural disasters, homes with solar panels can continue to generate electricity and provide critical power for essential appliances. This reduces the strain on the grid and helps communities recover faster. Investing in residential solar can provide long-term cost savings for homeowners. Although there are upfront costs associated with installation, solar systems have a lifespan of 25-30 years or more. Over time, homeowners can recoup their investment through reduced electricity bills and potentially even sell excess energy back to the grid. By providing incentives for residential solar installation, Tennessee can demonstrate environmental leadership and commitment to clean energy. Encouraging renewable energy adoption aligns with global efforts to combat climate change and fosters a sustainable future. Incentives can take various forms, such as tax credits, rebates, grants, or net metering policies. These incentives can help offset the upfront costs of solar installations and make solar energy more accessible and attractive to homeowners. By implementing such incentives, Tennessee can accelerate the transition to a clean energy future and reap the associated economic, environmental, and energy benefits.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000005	<p><i>Energy Buy-Back Programs</i></p> <p>The TVA needs to work with lawmakers to implement an Energy Buy Back Program. Tennessee is only one of three states without one. This is abhorrent. The TVA is the nation's largest power provider and owns more that 90% of the state's generating capacity, yet the TVA won't encourage any residential incentives for alternative energies? WHY? An energy buyback program is a policy that allows individuals or businesses to sell excess electricity generated from renewable energy sources back to the grid. A buy back program encourages renewable energy adoption by providing financial incentives for individuals and businesses to invest in renewable energy systems, such as solar panels or wind turbines. By allowing them to sell surplus energy back to the grid at a fair price, the program encourages the generation of clean and sustainable electricity.</p> <p>With an energy buyback program, individuals and businesses can generate their own electricity and reduce their reliance on traditional power sources. This promotes energy independence, as participants can produce a portion or even the entirety of their energy needs. It reduces dependence on fossil fuels and helps diversify the energy mix. Why isn't the TVA pursuing this? By creating a market for small-scale renewable energy producers, energy buyback programs stimulate the growth of the renewable energy industry. This includes the installation, maintenance, and manufacturing of renewable energy technologies, creating jobs and economic opportunities in the sector. Encouraging increased adoption of renewable energy through energy buyback programs leads to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. As more clean energy is generated and integrated into the grid, there is a corresponding decrease in the need for electricity from fossil fuel sources, which contribute to climate change. Energy buyback programs encourage distributed generation, where energy is produced closer to the point of consumption. This reduces transmission and distribution losses and enhances grid stability by decentralizing power production. It can also help alleviate strain on the grid during peak demand periods.</p> <p>Energy buyback programs empower consumers by allowing them to actively participate in the energy market. Participants can generate their own electricity, lower their energy bills, and even earn income by selling surplus energy. It promotes a sense of ownership and control over energy consumption, fostering energy efficiency and conservation. The availability of a stable market and financial incentives provided by energy buyback programs encourage innovation and technological advancements in renewable energy systems. This leads to improved efficiency, cost reductions, and the development of new technologies, further driving the transition to a clean energy future. Overall, energy buyback programs play a crucial role in accelerating the adoption of renewable energy, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and promoting sustainable development. They provide economic, environmental, and social benefits, helping to create a more resilient and cleaner energy system.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000006	<p><i>How many solar systems could be installed in Tennessee?</i></p> <p>The number of solar systems that could be installed in Tennessee depends on various factors such as available land area, rooftop space, energy demand, policy support, and economic feasibility. However, we can estimate the potential based on the solar resource and current capacity. Solar Resource: Tennessee has a moderate to good solar resource, with an average of around 4-5 peak sun hours per day. This indicates the amount of sunlight available for solar energy generation. Land Area: Tennessee has a total land area of approximately 109,000 square kilometers (42,000 square miles). However, not all of this land is suitable for large-scale solar installations due to factors like topography, land use, and environmental considerations.</p> <p>Rooftop Potential: Besides large-scale solar installations, there is also potential for solar systems on rooftops of residential, commercial, and industrial buildings. The total rooftop area available for solar panels in Tennessee is significant and can contribute to the overall solar capacity. Current Solar Capacity: As of 2021, Tennessee had an installed solar capacity of around 1,400 megawatts (MW), which includes utility-scale solar projects, commercial installations, and residential solar systems. Given the available solar resource, land area, and rooftop potential, Tennessee has significant untapped solar energy potential. The exact number of solar systems that could be installed in the state is challenging to determine without detailed analysis. However, with continued policy support, investment, and favorable market conditions, Tennessee has the potential to significantly increase its solar capacity and install thousands of solar systems, both utility-scale and distributed (rooftop) installations, in the coming years. The TVA should partner with local providers and membership cooperatives to conduct a comprehensive assessment and analysis of solar energy potential considering various factors like land availability, energy demand projections, economic viability, and regulatory frameworks of solar installations before moving forward with new methane plant projects and/or further fossil fuel expansions.</p> <p><i>Biodiversity Impacts</i></p> <p>Methane gas plants require significant land area for infrastructure, including the plant itself, storage facilities, and transportation infrastructure. Clearing land for construction can result in habitat loss and fragmentation, leading to the displacement or loss of local flora and fauna. The construction process can disrupt the natural soil structure and composition, leading to soil erosion, compaction, and loss of fertility. This can have cascading effects on the health and productivity of the surrounding ecosystems. Methane gas plants may generate wastewater or other effluents during their operation. If not adequately treated and managed, these discharges can contaminate nearby water bodies, affecting aquatic ecosystems and potentially harming aquatic organisms and the organisms that rely on them. Methane gas plants may release various air pollutants during their operation, including methane itself, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter. These emissions can contribute to air pollution, degrade air quality, and harm both human and ecosystem health. Construction and operation activities associated with methane gas plants can generate significant noise and light pollution. This disturbance can disrupt the natural behavior and habitats of local wildlife, affecting their feeding, breeding, and migration patterns. The combination of habitat loss, pollution, and disturbance from a methane gas plant can have adverse effects on biodiversity. Local flora and fauna may face challenges in adapting to or surviving in altered or degraded habitats, leading to a loss of species diversity and ecological balance. Methane gas plants involve complex infrastructure and handling processes, which can introduce the risk of accidents, leaks, or spills. Such incidents can have immediate and long- term consequences on ecosystems, including soil, water, and air pollution, as well as direct harm to plants, animals, and humans in the vicinity.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000007	<p><i>Bats in Cheatham County, TN remain in recovery from white nose fungus</i></p> <p>Bats in Cheatham County, Tennessee are in recovery from white-nose syndrome (WNS). White-nose syndrome is a fungal disease that has had devastating effects on bat populations across North America, causing significant declines in numbers. White-nose syndrome is caused by the fungus <i>Pseudogymnoascus destructans</i>, which grows on the skin of hibernating bats, particularly affecting their wings and muzzles. The disease disrupts their hibernation patterns, causing them to burn energy rapidly and deplete fat reserves, leading to weakened immune systems and death. Efforts to combat WNS and aid in the recovery of bat populations have been ongoing. Some of the measures taken include: Research and Monitoring, Cave and Mine Management, Education and Public Awareness, Conservation and Protection Efforts. Scientists and researchers have been studying the disease to better understand its impact and transmission mechanisms. They monitor bat populations to track the spread of the disease and assess the effectiveness of management efforts. Many caves and mines where bats hibernate have been closed or restricted to human access to minimize the spread of the fungus. This helps protect uninfected bat populations and limit disturbance during critical hibernation periods. Raising public awareness about white-nose syndrome has been crucial in preventing the unintentional spread of the fungus. Educational campaigns emphasize the importance of avoiding cave visits during the hibernation season and following decontamination protocols if visiting caves in unaffected areas. Efforts to protect bat populations include establishing conservation areas, creating bat- friendly habitats, and implementing measures to reduce disturbances and protect roosting sites. These actions provide bats with safe spaces for breeding, foraging, and hibernation. While the bat populations in Cheatham County, Tennessee are in recovery, it's important to remain vigilant and continue conservation efforts. White-nose syndrome remains a significant threat to bats in many regions, and ongoing monitoring and research are necessary to ensure the long-term survival and recovery of bat populations.</p> <p><i>The importance of bats in Middle Tennessee</i></p> <p>Bats play a crucial role in Middle Tennessee ecosystems, and their importance extends beyond the region to global ecological balance. Bats are voracious insect eaters, and many species consume large quantities of agricultural and forest pests, including mosquitoes, moths, beetles, and agricultural crop pests. Their insect-feeding behavior helps regulate pest populations naturally, reducing the need for chemical pesticides and benefiting local farmers and gardeners. Some bat species are important pollinators for a variety of plants, including fruit trees, cacti, and night-blooming flowers. As bats feed on nectar and fruit, they inadvertently transfer pollen from one flower to another, facilitating plant reproduction and contributing to the diversity of plant species in Middle Tennessee. Bats play a crucial role in dispersing seeds of various plants. They consume fruits and disperse seeds in their droppings as they fly, helping to regenerate forests and spread plant diversity. This process aids in forest succession and contributes to the health and resilience of Middle Tennessee's ecosystems. Bats occupy various ecological niches and are part of a complex food web. They are prey for predators such as owls and hawks, contributing to the balance and diversity of predator-prey relationships. Their presence helps maintain healthy ecosystems and promotes overall biodiversity.</p> <p>Middle Tennessee is home to numerous caves, which provide important roosting and hibernation sites for bats. Bats play a vital role in cave ecosystems by contributing nutrients through their guano (droppings), which supports unique cave-dwelling organisms such as bacteria, fungi, and invertebrates. Bats serve as subjects of scientific research, providing valuable insights into areas such as ecology, conservation, and disease dynamics. Studying bats helps us better understand their behavior, biology, and ecological importance. They also serve as educational ambassadors, raising awareness about the importance of wildlife conservation and the ecological role of bats. Bats have cultural significance and inspire fascination and awe. They are featured in folklore, literature, and art, adding to the richness of human culture. Their nocturnal presence and acrobatic flight patterns also contribute to the aesthetic value of Middle Tennessee's natural landscapes. Recognizing the importance of bats in Middle Tennessee is essential for their conservation and the maintenance of healthy ecosystems. Conservation efforts, including protecting roosting sites, educating the public, and promoting bat-friendly practices, can help ensure the continued presence and well-being of these beneficial and fascinating creatures in the region.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000008	<p><i>The Effects of a Methane Plant on Bat Populations in Cheatham County, TN</i></p> <p>The construction and operation of a methane gas plant can potentially have grave impacts on bat populations in Cheatham County, Tennessee. Clearing land for construction can result in habitat loss and fragmentation, potentially disrupting bat roosting sites and foraging areas. This loss of suitable habitat can lead to a decline in local bat populations. Methane gas plants can generate noise and light pollution, especially during construction and operation. Bats are highly sensitive to disturbances and known to be negatively affected by excessive noise and artificial lighting. These disturbances can disrupt their feeding patterns, roosting behavior, and migration routes, potentially impacting their survival and reproductive success. Methane gas plants can release air pollutants during their operation, including nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds. Poor air quality can affect the health of bat populations, particularly those roosting near the plant. Bats rely on clean air for their respiratory system and any pollution can have detrimental effects on their overall well- being. Bats are primarily insectivorous, and their populations depend on the availability of an abundant insect food supply. Methane gas plants may emit air pollutants that can harm or reduce insect populations in the surrounding area. If the local bat food sources are negatively affected, it can lead to food scarcity and potential declines in bat populations. To better understand the potential effects on bat populations, conducting thorough environmental impact assessments are essential to identify negative impacts and ensure the conservation of bat species in the area.</p> <p><i>The effects of a methane plant on honeybees</i></p> <p>The construction and operation of a methane gas plant can potentially have both direct and indirect effects on honeybees and their populations, regardless of the unusable “13 acres” (of the 286 acres) the TVA “set aside” for pollinators. Clearing land for construction can result in habitat loss and fragmentation, potentially affecting the availability of floral resources and nesting sites for honeybees. Methane gas plants release air pollutants during their operation, including nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds. Poor air quality can affect the health and well-being of honeybees, potentially compromising their immune systems and overall fitness. Methane gas plants might require the use of herbicides, insecticides, or other chemical substances in their vicinity for maintenance purposes. If these chemicals are applied in ways that are harmful to bees or their foraging habitats, honeybees may be exposed to toxic substances that can impact their health and colony survival. Construction and operation activities associated with methane gas plants can generate noise, vibrations, and increased human activity. Honeybees are highly sensitive to disturbances and can be negatively affected by these stressors. Increased stress levels may impact foraging behavior, communication within the hive, and overall colony health. The planned methane gas plant is located near agricultural fields and natural habitats rich in floral resources, thus there is a potential risk of contamination. Emissions from the plant, such as airborne pollutants or deposition of particulate matter, could potentially contaminate nectar and pollen sources. Bees collecting contaminated resources may experience negative health effects and/or transmit contaminants to the hive. The construction and presence of a methane gas plant can alter the landscape and land use patterns in the vicinity. This disruption can affect the availability and diversity of floral resources for honeybees. Changes in foraging patterns and reduced access to nutritious food sources can impact the health and productivity of honeybee colonies.</p> <p><i>Methane gas plants and nearby property values</i></p> <p>This area of Cheatham County is a highly desirable area to live in. The peace and tranquility of our natural resources are coveted. Properties located very close to the methane gas plant may experience a more significant impact on their value compared to those located farther away. The visibility of the plant and its associated infrastructure from neighboring properties can also influence buyer perceptions and affect property values. The presence of a methane gas plant near residential areas can raise concerns about potential risks, such as safety hazards, air pollution, or environmental impacts. Negative perceptions or fears about these risks may lead to decreased demand for properties in the vicinity, potentially impacting property values. Methane gas plants can generate noise and have visual impacts due to their infrastructure and associated activities. If these factors are noticeable and intrusive to neighboring properties, they can negatively affect property values. Consulting with local real estate professionals or appraisers familiar with the specific area can provide more accurate insights into the potential impact on property values.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000009	<p><i>Project Description and Purpose:</i> What is the proposed size and capacity of the methane gas plant? How was this site located and why was this site selected for a Methane plant? When did the TVA decide to convert this site to Methane production? What is the intended purpose of the plant (e.g., electricity generation, natural gas production, waste management)? What are the primary fuel sources for methane production (e.g., Hydraulic fracturing, or fracking/fracked gas, landfill gas, biogas from agricultural waste)?</p> <p><i>Environmental Impact Assessment:</i> What potential environmental impacts are associated with the plant's construction, operation, and decommissioning? How will air emissions be monitored and controlled to minimize pollution and ensure compliance with regulations? What measures will be taken to mitigate and manage potential impacts on water resources, including groundwater and surface water bodies? How will the plant address and manage waste, including by-products or residuals generated during operations?</p> <p><i>Community and Social Considerations:</i> How will the project engage with and address concerns from the local community, including nearby residents, schools, and businesses? What measures will be implemented to ensure the safety of surrounding communities and mitigate potential risks? How will the project impact local infrastructure, such as roads, utilities, and services? What are the potential socioeconomic impacts of the project, including job creation, local economic development, property values and community well-being? Will the project contribute to energy affordability, reliability, or energy security in Cheatham County?</p> <p><i>Economic Considerations:</i> What are the projected economic benefits of the project for Cheatham County, including job creation, tax revenues, and local economic development? How will the project impact energy prices, supply, and security in the local area? What city/county will be the primary beneficiary of the energy produced at this site? What are the projected long-term operational costs and economic viability of the methane gas plant? What are the projected long-term costs associated with a more sustainable energy source? What are the projected long-term costs associated with solar? Is solar a more cost effective and environmentally sustainable alternative? If not, why?</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000010	<p><i>Regulatory and Permitting:</i> What permits, licenses, or approvals are required for the construction and operation of the methane gas plant? How will the project comply with local, state, and federal regulations regarding environmental protection, emissions, waste management, and public health? What is the timeline for the permitting process and any associated public comment periods or environmental impact assessment requirements?</p> <p><i>Alternatives and Sustainability:</i> What alternative sites and energy sources or technologies, such as solar, wind, or geothermal, been considered and evaluated for the project's purpose? How does the methane gas plant align with regional and national energy goals, climate targets, and sustainability objectives? What measures are in place to optimize resource efficiency, energy recovery, and minimize greenhouse gas emissions during the plant's operations?</p> <p><i>Air Quality:</i> What are the expected and potential air emissions from the methane gas plant, including greenhouse gases, particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and other pollutants? How will the project monitor and control air emissions to ensure compliance with air quality regulations and minimize impacts on local air quality? What measures will be taken to mitigate the release of methane, a potent greenhouse gas, during the plant's operations? What technologies or emission reduction strategies will be employed to minimize air pollution, including the use of pollution control equipment or best available techniques?</p> <p><i>Water Resources :</i> How will the project impact water resources, including nearby surface water bodies, wetlands, and groundwater and how does the TVA intend to manage and protect our waterways? What measures will be implemented to prevent or mitigate potential contamination of water sources from plant operations, including wastewater management and treatment? How will stormwater runoff be managed to prevent sedimentation and potential pollution during construction and operation phases? Will the plant require water for cooling or other processes, and what will be the source of water? How will water usage be minimized or recycled?</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000011	<p><b>Soil and Land:</b> What is the extent of land disturbance and soil erosion that will occur during the construction and operation of the methane gas plant? How will soil erosion and sedimentation be controlled to prevent runoff into water bodies and neighboring properties? What measures will be taken to minimize the impacts on soil quality and ensure land restoration and rehabilitation post-construction? What measures will be taken to restore or rehabilitate land post-construction?</p> <p><b>Biodiversity and Ecosystems:</b> How will the project assess and mitigate potential impacts on local biodiversity, habitats, and ecosystems, including protected or endangered species? Will the project result in the destruction or fragmentation of important habitats or migration corridors? Are there sensitive ecosystems, wetlands, or wildlife reserves nearby that could be impacted by the project, and how will those impacts be minimized? What measures will be taken to minimize impacts on biodiversity and enhance ecosystem resilience, such as habitat restoration or conservation programs? What measures will be taken to restore or enhance biodiversity, such as habitat restoration and conservation? How will the construction and operation of a methane gas plant effect important pollinators such as bats and bees in the area? How will the construction and operation of a methane gas plant effect nesting raptors such as the American Bald Eagle in the area? Will the TVA consult with unaffiliated professional biologists to conduct a comprehensive biological and ecological field survey including an avian point count and wildlife assessment?</p> <p><b>Noise and Visual Impacts:</b> Conduct a noise impact assessment to measure the existing ambient noise levels in the project area and compare them with the expected noise levels from the methane gas plant. Identify noise-sensitive receptors, such as residential areas or other places where noise may have adverse effects on human health, well-being, or the enjoyment of the environment. What are the potential noise levels associated with the plant's operations, such as compressors, generators, turbines, cooling systems, or equipment used for processing or transportation including during construction and ongoing activities? How will the project mitigate noise impacts on nearby residential areas, schools, wildlife, and other noise-sensitive receptors? Will the plant have visual impacts on the surrounding landscape or views, and what measures will be taken to minimize or mitigate these impacts? Establish a noise monitoring program to regularly assess and verify the actual noise levels produced by the methane gas plant. Define appropriate noise monitoring locations and methods to ensure compliance with regulatory standards and to identify any necessary corrective actions. Develop a mechanism for addressing community complaints related to noise and promptly resolving any identified noise issues.</p> <p>Noise pollution is a significant concern associated with the operation of a methane gas plant. It's imperative the TVA communicate with nearby residents, schools, and other stakeholders about the expected noise levels and mitigation measures and provide clear information on the plant's operating hours, noise control measures, and contact points for addressing concerns or complaints related to noise pollution.</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000012	<p><b>Wildlife Presence and Habitat:</b> What wildlife species are present in the project area, including both terrestrial and aquatic species? Are there any threatened or endangered species known to inhabit or migrate through the area? What is the quality and extent of the wildlife habitat in and around the proposed project site? How will the project impact the existing habitat, including nesting areas, foraging grounds, or breeding sites?</p> <p><b>Wildlife Migration and Movement Patterns:</b> Do any wildlife species rely on migration corridors that pass through or near the project area? How will the project impact wildlife movement patterns, including migration routes or seasonal movement? Are there any measures in place to ensure wildlife can safely navigate around the project area, such as wildlife crossings or corridors?</p> <p><b>Ecological Impact:</b> What potential impacts will the project have on local ecosystems and ecological processes? How will the project avoid or minimize disturbances to wildlife, including noise, light pollution, or habitat fragmentation? What measures will be taken to protect sensitive habitats, such as wetlands, riparian areas, or critical wildlife areas?</p> <p><b>Threatened and Endangered Species:</b> Are there any federally or state-listed threatened or endangered species known to occur in or near the project area? How will the project address potential impacts to these species and their habitats? Will the project require any permits or consultations with regulatory agencies regarding threatened or endangered species?</p> <p><b>Mitigation and Conservation Measures:</b> What mitigation measures are planned to minimize impacts on wildlife and their habitats? Will there be efforts to restore or enhance wildlife habitat in other areas as compensatory measures? Are there opportunities for collaboration with wildlife conservation organizations or experts to develop wildlife-friendly practices or offset programs?</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015

7/7/2023	Comments and questions for the Tennessee Valley Authority's Scoping Notice Regarding Cheatham County Generation Site_000013	<p><i>Monitoring and Adaptive Management:</i> How will the project monitor wildlife impacts during construction, operation, and decommissioning phases? What protocols or monitoring programs will be implemented to assess the effectiveness of mitigation measures and adaptive management strategies? Will there be a mechanism to adjust project operations or take corrective actions if unforeseen wildlife impacts are identified?</p> <p><i>Engaging wildlife experts, conducting wildlife surveys and assessments, and considering stakeholder input are essential during the scoping period to ensure that wildlife impacts are properly evaluated and addressed in the subsequent stages of the project. Additionally, it is important to adhere to relevant local, state, and federal regulations regarding wildlife protection and conservation requirements. It is important to engage environmental experts, consult with relevant stakeholders, and consider site-specific factors to comprehensively evaluate and address the potential environmental impacts and concerns associated with the project.</i></p> <p><i>Climate Change and Carbon Footprint:</i> How will the project contribute to or mitigate climate change impacts, considering both direct emissions and indirect impacts (e.g., fugitive emissions, carbon intensity of the energy produced)? What is the carbon footprint of the plant, and how does it contribute to climate change? Are there measures in place to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions? Are there measures in place to monitor and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, including strategies for energy efficiency and the use of low-carbon technologies? How does the project align with local, national, or international climate change mitigation goals or commitments?</p>	negative	Tracy A.	O'Neill	37015
7/6/2023	Public Scoping Comment Letter	<p>We bought our farm 30 years ago, It's quiet, fresh air, safe, and is a place we have invested in. For 25 years, our 5 grandkids hunt, fish, ride our horses, and play here every week. Now we are worried that our whole future in our retirement is threatened. 1. If you had announced a wind mill or solar field I would not say a single word. 2. A gas line 300 yards from our property is a nightmare. 3. I delivered mail with the U.S.P.S. when the line exploded and caught fire in White Bluff, TN, in the early 1990s. That was in a field and we are surrounded by woods. 4. Prevailing winds blow south to north. Your intended palnt will send burned gas on those air currents directly to Sycamore High School and the town of Pleasant View. 5. Finally why would you choose to put a energy plant in a area with a population density as high as the Ashland City - Pleasant View area has become in the last 10 years? Dickson County and specifically the area in proximity to the Army Corps of Engineers Dam gives you the large power line access. It has no schools down wind of your smoke stack, and has not had a population explosion like North Cheatham County. Dickson County is rife with undeveloped land where the potential for forest fire killing people and destroy homes and the few remaining heritage farms Tennessee is Known for world wide.</p> <p>I never thought of selling our place until the last 2 weeks. I worked 30 years to build a house, barns, pond, and planted over 400 trees here for my family to enjoy now and when I'm gone. Now I'm for lack of a better word - distraught. If you wanted carbon neutral results - building a gas fired plant was not an answer. If you should decide to build a wind farm or solar farm I'll stand with you at any meeting or in front of any news camera. But til then I'll stand opposed to a gas line and turbine plant in our rural community. Thank you, Michael McKellar</p>	negative	Michael	McKellar	37015



Last name	First name	Zip code
Abadia	Betty	97224
Abate	Andrew	87108
Abbate	Joseph	23921
Abbott	G D	98506
Abbott	Katie	97703
Abela Serra	Roberto	07200
Abraham	Barbara	01453
Abramson	L.	60035
Abrecht	Kelly	27539
Ackerman	judith	10024
Ackerman	Maureen	21776
Acosta	Mike	92504
Adams	L	30135
Adams	James	95827
Adams	Sheila	V5K 3M8
Adare	Darley	28207
Adelman	Saul	29407
Adelson	Julie	90731
Adkins	Steve	60506
Adkins	Ezra	92115
adsit	roy	97228
Affolter	Angie	60060
Agro	Sister Joan	10913
Aguilar	Javier	61802
AIKIN	SHARON	14207-1428
Ainsley	Brian	32714
Aiton	William	20132
Aker	Katherine	91042
Alanis	Lauren	60657
Albert	Anthony	97330
Albert	Robert	28806
Alexander	Joyce	11111
Allbright	Galloway	38104
Allen	Kathleen	98118
Allen	Linda	77098
Allen	Benjamin	37917
Allen	Susan	27612
Allen	C.	42134
Allen	Cynthia	30043
Allen III	Doug	30076-1348
Alley	Lynn	92011
Alpha	Ingrid	47933
Altman	Allen	01230
Altro	Patricia	13027
Amadei	Laetitia	07000
Amidon	Eleanor	22920
Amlin	Judith	94510-1728
Amspaugh	Linda	45056
Anacker	Celeste	93105
Ande	H	55075
Andersen	Susan	53566-9220
Anderson	Sharon	M6P 3L5
Anderson	Judith	89509
Anderson	Laura	27616
Anderson	Andrew	55350
Anderson	Scott	55060
Anderson	Dorothy	02191
Anderson	Susan	02210

Anderson	Michael	60411
Anderson	Robert	60605
Anderson	Arlene	30338
Anderson-Pearce	Jeral	M3C 1H3
Andrews	Sarah	87047
Andrews	Robert	55901
Andrews	Penelope	04401
Andros	Charles	03608-0165
Andrychowski	Steven	06051-4073
Anduskey	Susan	46385
Angell	JL	95672-9411
Angier	Jeanne	94118-1013
Ann	Tina	94924-0265
Anonymous	Anonymous	97202
Anonymous	Anonymous	28429
Anonymous	Anonymous	48439
Anonymous	Anonymous	66207
Anonymous	Anonymous	47408
Anonymous	Anonymous	62232
Anonymous	Anonymous	60154
Anonymous	Anonymous	33573
Anonymous	Anonymous	86323
Anonymous	Anonymous	00000
Anonymous	Anonymous	11111
Anonymous	Anonymous	111394
Antonio	Beverly	21617
Appell	Stephen	11230
Appleton	April	37015
April	William	05677
Aquino	Nerissa	37015
Arce	Kristin	33176
Archbald	Montserrat	01093
Archer	Amelia	22936
Armstrong	Kathryn	28079
Arreola	Lorena	92058
Arthur	Jessica	37015
Ashmore	Sandra	85759
Askins	Susanna	97411
Asselstine	Christopher	T2N 4V7
Atchison	Katherine	80528
Aten	Wesley	78959
Atkins	Todd	02324
Atkinson	Ellen	24502
Atkinson	Jay	94803
Auer	Patricia	63021
Auerbach	Kyra	95472
Austin	Lyle	61401
Austin	Wade	35601-2810
Autry	Anne	41017
Avila	Dayana	33323
Aviles	Julio	90042-1114
Ayala	Carol	02830
Aydinyan	Anna	43022
Ayers	Ginny	37803-1955
Ayers	Kelly	91761
Aylward	David	28806
b	n	11040
B	Regina	11530
B	D	94703

Babst	Christina	90069-5525
Bacon	Pam	27292
Bailey	Tonda	37931
Bailey	Kim	N4S 2L9
Bailey	Janice	10019
Bailey	Jill	20814
Bain	N	10002
baiocco	rossana	25015
Bajaj	Ashok and Kiran	06901
Baka	Ryan	55411
Baker	William	76067-0826
Baker	Rebecca	97366
Baker	Deborah	46234
Baker	Sharon	46526
Baker	John	80524
Baker	Mark	11300
Baker-Smith	Gerritt and Elizabeth	23703
Balaban	Susan	60091
Bales	Elliott	82072
Baley	Patricia	89121-4920
Balish	June	11230
Balk	Marilyn	06880
Ballard	Latesha	27527
Ballard	Cynthia	49855
Ballenger	Barbara	91361
Ballo	John	60126
Banks	Jerry	30030
Banks	Stephanie	33428
Banks	Marie	85701
Barabi	Soraya	90025
Barbezat	Mary	60124
Barcott	Nick	98087
Barga	Anne	93401
Barker	Donald	27949
Barker	Anne	94903
Barnard	Alexandra	71913
Barnes	Andrea	H8P3M2
Barnes	Patricia	85710
Barnette	Perish	21224
Barre	Matthew	60126
Barrell	Carla	24101
Barrett	Marlene	43614
Barrett	Brian	60025
Barringer	Kirstin	65929
Barron	Pete	97401-5272
barry	sheila	01070
Barthel	Carolyn	01756
Basile	Diane	11804
Basye	Mae	27526-8719
Batway	Jewell	85120
Baugh	Jennifer	84129
Baum	Karen	75801
Bauman	Sarah	98229
Bautista	Melvin	85044
Baynor	Julia	37214
Bearden	Joe	27612
Beaton	Suzi	90210
Beattie	Kyle	32503
Beauchamp	Joe	08096-2412

Beauchemin	Derek	93063
Beaver	Dale	91910
Beck	Kristen	93940
Becker	Christine	27023
Becker	Martin	34145
Beckingham	Carolyn	BN7 1YG
Béder	Andrej	84102
Bein	Ann	90064
Beinstein	Danielle	37143
Beitzel	Amber	52722
Belcastro	Bernadette	11001
Belcastro	Frank	52001-6327
Belin	Maddy	20001
Belknap	Robert	27608
Bell	Stephanie	98198
Bell	Janet	44116
Bell	Frances	55104
Bell	Brett	91042
Benavides	Luiza	85730
Benedict	Skylar	85711
Benevento	Gina	33626
Bengel	James	27591
Benjamin	Patricia	01531
Bennett	Colin	40204
Bennett	Joan	19711-5125
BENOIT	MARIA	48744
Benson	Taylor	54952
Bent	Peter	93117
Bentley	Pauline	S427EB
Berberi	Julie	60175
Berg	Debra	78723
Berger	Joanna	22406
Berger	Jessica	48103
Bergey	Don	27106
Bergh	Colleen	92704
Bergstedt	Charlie	94133-1966
Bergstein	David	20853
Bergstrom	Brenda	92026
Berk	Astrid	22963
Berkey	Lydia	37101
Berkowitz	Suzy	33470
Berkowitz-Berliner	Jill	10549
Berlant	Rebecca	11215
Berlow	David	98133
Berman	Nancy	94707-1412
Bernett	Cynthia	28027
Bernstein	Abbie	90069
Bertano	Silvia	10129
Berumen	Yolanda	91706
Bessett	Kevin	05477
Bessler	Alexa	37146
Betteridge	Lynn	97045
BeuMaher	Samantha	92040
Beverly	j.	61801
Bevsek	Jean	80917-5457
Bez-Wogen	Leta	55981
Bhakti	Sara	98029
Bierbaum	Judy	87106
Bilisoly	Kandice	80917-2181

Bindner	Joan	55068
Binelli	Derek	07036
Binkley	Gina	37205
Binkley	Maria	37015
Binner	Burt	33762-3032
Bird	Timothy	37705
Bishop	Jimmie	55403
Black	James	19802
Blackman	Jeffrey	85717
Blackwell-Marchant	Patricia	94552-1708
Blackwood	Barbara	99206
Blade	Morgan	24149
Blaine	Alex	28226
Blair	Frances	98407
Blanton	Thomas	28630
Blatnik	Linda	07010
Blauwasser	Toby	80539
Blinston	Blaine	T5l 1g3
Block	M.D.	92037-6514
Block-Brown	Robert	53711
Blom	Thomas	92264
Bloom	Amanda	94601
Blue	Kevin	37015
Blum	Eugene	53147
Blumenthal	Robert	98115
Bocanegra	Patricia	78059
Bochsler	Robin	97362
Bohl	Jesse	23236-1149
Bohn	Diana	94707
Bois	Myriam	04230
Bojorges	Monica	37037
Boldman	Diana	83402
Bolduan	Linda	97034
Boletchek	Stephen	27502
Bolling	Ronnie	32043
Bond	S. Thomas	26378-8373
Bond	Tamara	92081
Bonner	Tracey	76014
Books	Jennifer	07920
BOOT	Patrick	75229
Booth	Simone	06330
Bordelon	Tika	98101
Bordenave	Michael	93728
Borges	Kent	80904-2841
Borgeson	Dean	56442
Borleis	Gerald	21244-1731
Born	Janet	47036
Borrelli	Silvana	80113
Borri	Patricia	80033
Bortoluzzo	Virginia	15015
Bosch	Alan	94928
Bossert	Kristen	19968
Bossert	Elizabeth	80439-4506
Bostic	Marty	90025
Bostock	Vic	91001-1819
Boston	Caroline	29919
Bosworth	Lesley	FY1 6BZ
Both	Bill	34788
Bouffard	Martin	83642

Boughner	Sharon	61844
Bouilland	Stacy	33486
Bouillaud	Marie -Martine	33139
Boumali	Omar	79901
Bourassa	Veronica	30741
Bourlotos	George	07836-4735
bovello	kathy	20815
Bovenkerk	Janet	60466-2214
Bowden	Deanna	37027
Bowden	Sally	10003
Bowman	Larissa	28730-9696
Boyce	Richard	45230
Boyd	Nancy	97405
Boykin jr	Johnny	29150
Boyle	Va	28805
Boynton	Lisa	21403
Bozzola	Eliette	03370
Brabham	Lorraine	07030
Brachel	Tiana	30121
Bracken	Fay	32712
Braddy	Fallon	60613
Bradley	Marya	53202
Bradley	Francis	G66 1BF
Brady	Carol	95610
Bramlette	Jenny	33545
Bramley	John	DN17 3BZ
Bramley	Shan	03102
Brandes	Germano	21202
Brandon	Jennifer	27295
Brandon	Victoria	91325
Brandt	Susan	NW3 2RL
Bratton	Christine	11201
Braut	Heather	34275
Bravo	Karen	60068
Brawner	Deborah	37211
Breakfield	Sandra	75236
Bresky	Robert	97045
Breza	Virginia	08638
Brian	Slosek	27701
Bridges	Linda	62613
Briggs	Sharon	85024
Brigham	Rick	49406-0586
Bright	Roselie	20850
Brinkley	Barbara	72401
Brisby	Susan	93536
Briskin	Jordan	94306
Britcher	Joyce	33909
Britt	Santi	02186
Britten	Rosalind	V7P 2J3
Brizendine	Devin	62703
Broadbent	Dawn	85635
broche	leora	07922
Brocius	Pamela	10128
Brockman	Blaise	91007
Broendel	Jane	20015
Brofford	Darha	32767
Bromer	John	28711
Brooker	Mark	60637
Brooker	Gary	87501

Brooks	Jeanne	97759
Brooks	Betty	83333
Brooks	TJ	80904
Brooks	Jennifer	85283
Brown	S.F.	98382
Brown	Corinna	29404
Brown	Frank	29426
Brown	Regina	29809
Brown	Jeff	73106
Brown	Lawrence	08201
Brown	Audrey	27298
Brown	Sylvia	49240
brown	valerie	21032-2212
Brown	Ingrid	67220
Brown	Ronald	80501
Brown	Damon	90016
BROYLES	ELIZABETH	28054
Bruce	Marney	20814-4724
Brucker	Ben	92624
Bruner	Edward	33810
Brunner	Chris	75002
Bryan	Judy	22311
Bryan	David	19958
Bryson	Melissa	80016
Bucher	Theresa	91356
Buckner	Carolyn	27516
Buising	Edwin	8900AA
Bullock	Elizabeth	60640
Bunch	Sharon	94611
Bungarden	Jim	56097
Burch	Judith	22932
Burk	Robert	90024
Burke	Barbara	95531
Burke	Bonnie	92160
Burke	Kathleen	85203
Burkhalter	Meghan	78108
Burmester	Rebecca	27612
Burnett	James	33040
Burns	Robert	97130
Burns	Pam	27519
Burns	Charlie	06850
Burrell	Kristopher	10467
Burtis	David	94515
Burton	Patricia	20877
Burton	Martha	34202
Butkiewicz	Mike	48313-1352
Butler	Jane	25427
Butler	Mark	29461
Butler	Edward	10021
Butler	Garrett	55049-0064
Butler	Rita	40215
Butler	Sam	90045
C	Elena	11360
C	Janet	20782
C	Greg	92701
C.	Jenk	37015
C.	W.	40207-2846
C.	Jolinda	60707
C.	Nya	30135



Cacioppo	Judy	35022
Cage	Ray	85712
Calambro	Leslie	23229
Calderbank	Nancy	98275
CALL	VICKI	92071
Callahan	Kevin	08086
Campbell	Liz	98103
Campbell	Alix	37122
Campbell	Cynthia	02474-6916
Campbell	James	81432
Campbell	Allan	95132
Campbell	Dudley and Candace	91401-1329
Camphire	Christian	34208
Campione	Carmella	26003
Campolettano	Marilyn	11733
Camus	Nathalie	10707
Cano	Elizabeth	33174
Canright	Mark	08802
Cantor	Miriam	90019
Capizzo	Jim	48071
Caplan	Jay	01093-9702
Cappetta	Mark	92270-5622
Card	Geraldine	93221
Cardinal	Enid	13027-9042
Cardwell	Adrian	WA16 8LE
Carlisle	Kate	81082
CARLSON	CRAIG	98501
Carlson	Ronald	20706-3470
carmichael	John	45202-6534
Carnal	Jim	93309
Carney-Feldman	Catherine	01938
Caron	Jessica	07452-1853
Carr	Kathleen	81413
Carrick	Valerie	91762
Carroll	Linda	99205-3178
Carroll	Colleen	33625
Carson	Barbara	93551
Carter	Michael	22003
Caruso	Rosemary	48169
Carville	Alison	33967
Casel	Rachel	98201
cash	john	85603-6515
Casseday	John	98103
Cassidy	Jessica	20170
Castelli-Hill	Susan	11747
castiglione	fatima	15008
Castillo	Erik	90230
Castri	Serenella	34170
Castro	Katherine	07032
Castro	Mafalda	41503
Cate	Tom	05602
Causey	Abby	46901
Cee	Jay	46634
Celli	Sandra	03801
Cerniglia	Suzanne	33460
Cerny	Jayne	94937
Cerretti	Diane	01879
Chadwick	Carina	90019
Challgren	Peg	55124

Chambers	Sharon	74107
Chambers	Patricia	06098
Chao	Beth	66047
chapman	sam	26757
Chapman	Joan	22901
Chapman	Audrey	F28HE43
chapman	Susan	BH5 2BS
Chappell	Carol	12440
Charleston	Robert	00830
Charney	Linda	K2M2R7
Chase	John	38103
Chaudhary	Omer	N6K 0H9
Chavez	Phyllis	90405
Chavez	Salissa	85140
Chegwidden	Louise	94609
Chejarla	Vinoda	01432
Chen	Alyisa	95959
Cherry	Bob	28607-5313
Chianis	Antonia	92317
Child	Katrina	94114
childs	pete	92270-2972
Chill	Deborah Lee	92399
Chittim	Veroune	97538
Cho	AJ	94579
Choy	Wesley	L5M 7Y8
Christensen	Alex	63119
Christgau	Andrea	76248
Christianson	Patricia	54451
Christophersen	Mary Kay	37620
Chung	Susan	90033
Churchill	Carol	03781
Cieplinski	Katherine	44240
Cierech	Thomas	07456
Clair-Howard	Maria	10566
Clapp	Don	28773-9780
Clapp	Jonathan	02645-1132
Clapper	Craig	52637
Clark	Kent John	53089
Clark	Maxine	98391
Clark	Kenneth	37180
Clark	Brian	15644-1529
Clark	Renee	97367
Clark	Craig	07010
Clark	Diane	27235
Clark	Natalie	72210
Clarke	J Scott	48105
Claudio	Jessica	33417
Claxton	Andrea	37865
Clayborne	Chris	23860
Clayman	Julie	44023-6306
Clear	Mark	80104
Cleere	Erin	05408
clemson	g	89166
Cleveland	Amy	23875
Clever	Amanda	45601
Clewett	Barbara	40356
Cline	Rev. L.	11901
Clinton-Leslie	Elsie	38670
Clipsham	Mark	50014

Close	Brian	29403
Cloud	Whitney	79525
Cloud	Jarrett	07874
Cloud	Nora	34448
Clouse	Thomas	91941
Coahran	Margaret	83843
Cobb	Sandra	44022
Cobbett	Annalee	94601
Coffey	Gerry	03086
Cohen	Harriet	10016
Cohen	Susan	92629
Cohn	Sheryl	11746
Cohn	David	85705
Cole	Susan	98258
Cole	Julian	01742
Colfer	D	33760
Collar	Anna	20002
Collins	Molly	37743
Collins	Raymond	33177-4444
Colours	Rebekah	44102
Combs	Debi	30033-4923
Comia	Emily	23235
Comunale	Elliot	44313
Conant	Martha K.	85743
Conard	Linc	91107
Conger	Barrie	36608
Conn	RevJim	90405
Conrad	Norm	98274
Conroy	Beverly Ann	54212
Constantino	Patricia	11231
Cook	Lucien	37015
Cook	C	40511
Coomber	Annette	07456
Cooney	Patricia	33705
Cooper	James	11102-3553
Cooper	Joelle	13042
Cooper	Terry	08560
Coopersmith	Emma	98102
Copper	David	24401
Coppotelli	Fred	28718
Coppotelli	Heide	28718
Corby	Kathleen	12567
Cormia	Morgan	08540
Cormia	Nancy	08540
Cornelia	Jared	19804
Corpolongo	Roger	48185
Corr	F	05301
Corrales	Yma	33193
Corry	Ronit	93101
Costamagna	marilyn	97504
Costello	John	94922
Cotham	Keith	38671
Cothorn	Julie	37032
Cotner	David	93001
Cotten	Kristina	23464
Cotterell	Karen	10012
Councilman	David	55426-1936
countryman	charles	44041
Countryman-Mills	G.	20852

Courtaway	Robbi	63119
Courter	Matt	98178
Courtney	Susan	32712
Courtney	Ms	92866
Cousins	Vera	60527
Covello	Sue	25430
Cowan	Christina	22015
Cowan	Caroline	37015
Cowen	Anna	97045
Cowgill	Allison	80525
Cox	Lanie	99224
Cox	Holly	07082
Cox	Edythe	02184
Coy	Barbara	34231
Coyle	Shelby	33029
Coyle	Gregory	94114
Coyle	Susan	93428
Coyne	Portia	30062
cozza	laurie	10980
Crahan	John	90045
Cramer	Chris	45651
Crane	Madeline	53209
CRANE	STEPHEN	78659
Crawford	Dara	04049
Crawford	David	40207
Cresseveur	Jessica	47150
Crone	Bob	96734
Cronin	James	99201
Cross	Heather	48187
CROSS	VICTORIA	20886
Cross	Russ	47954
Crouch	Eric	50014
Crowden	Michael	64701
Crowley	Lawrence	80027
Crownfield	Peter	08514
CROY	JEANNE	33715
Crupi	Kevin	49855-3729
Cuellar	Stephanie	11104
cuff	dee	97402
Culverhouse	Cecilia	94563
Cunningham	Jennifer	60440
Curia	Peter	85257-2637
curran	cindy	04008
Currie	Ann	60659-3141
Curry	Sonya	62249
curtin	robert	34231
Curtis	Jacqueline	45246
Curtiss	Sarah	10010
Curtiss	John	90066
Cut	Samantha	95817
Cuthriell	Shelby	22904
Cutler	Sarah	98230
Cybulski	Stephanie	14225
D	N	10075
D'Alessandro	Keith	48187
Dacus	Chris	37020
dadgari	joseph	90049
Dailey	Terry	72118
Daiss	Becky	22201

Dallin	Eric	39503
Dalonzo-Baker	Amelia	27607
Daly	Adell	37082
Dancer	Tom	28705
Daniels	Celia	66604
Dannhauser	Janice	64154
Darby	E.	97209
Dardarian	Jessica	95630
Darling	Deann	76011
Darlington	Beth	12603-3120
Darner	Renee	95476
Dash	Amitav	N1G 0G4
Daskal	Sharon	44122
David	Linda	22901
David	Terri	34285-2808
Davidson	Paige	37015
Davidson	Comms	28692-8923
Davies	Charlene	99218
Davies	Karen	23005
Davies	Debra	84119
Davis	Christina	98387
Davis	Burnet	22902
Davis	Scott	12828
Davis	Rod	68046
Davis	Carolyn	28801
Davis	Robin	27403
DAVIS	FRED	33613-4453
Davis	Phallon	95401
Davison	Jane	04538
Davlin	Sharon	21212
Dayan	Deedi	89141
Daye Hunter	Dominique	27217
De Baca	Sylvia	91773
de Ben	C	10009
De La Garza Und Senkel	Patrick	78504
De Silva	Ruvani	78744
De Volder	Bernard	09870
Deafenbaugh	Jordan	23456
Dean	Rose	55921
Deane	Jeanne	97361
DeAngelo	Vic	94121-3128
Deats	Lin	02540
DeBoer	Natalie	23229
DeCargouet	Yves	95458
Decker	MG	12538
Decker	Christie	94109
DeCristofaro	Jeffrey	28804
Degolier	Leland	57703
Deischer	Jeff	80011
Delaney	Janet	78731
Delaney	Haskell	10035
Delanoy	Katherine	81631
deLeon	Bianca	34982
Delin	Donna	60148-4428
Deller	Jeanne	98006
DeLong	Sandra	44143
DeLuca	Rosanne	22041
DeLuna	Marie Claire	53538
DeMarco	Joseph	07420-1448

Demarsin	David	03010
Demidio	Jessica	37015
Deng	Lawrence	95120
DeNiro	Julia	27407
Denisevich	Alex	02818
Denning	Daryl	14830
Denning	Chris	06897
Denninger	Sandra	02532
Dennis	Marilyn	91343-4612
DeNunzio	Bruce and Maureen	06855
Deon	Mariyo	37228
DePew	Jess	97355
Derence	Lea	34105
Derence	Lea	34105
Derrickson	Ray	27604
D'Errico	Mary	29576
Derrough	Patricia	28759
Dervin	John	32712
Derwent	Kimberly	43054
Deshotels	James	63072
Desjardins	Paul	06096-2046
DesJarlais	Marie	54601
Detmers	Peggy	57702-7425
dettling kalthofer	denis	02155
Dettmanm	Sonia	02141
Devers	Suzanne	45322
Devi	Achala	98072
Devine	Karla	90266
Devoss	Carol	60174
di Mdina	Owanza	34202
Dibble	Jean	34713
Dickerson	Susan	20735
Dickey	Samuel	44514
dickey	laura	07005
Dickey	Helen	94530
Dickson	Christina E	28711
Dickson	Sam	94037
DiDiego	Michael	89117
dietzmann	cynthia	83014
DiFante	Diane	16878
Diggle	Gloria	32038
Diggs	Gina	28679-9410
Dillard	Gavin	28711
Dillard	Bonita	63303
Dillon	Christi	28117-6519
DiMarco	Jennifer	28601
DiMassa	Marie	90807
Dineen	Charles	49065-9644
DINGER	GREG	96067
DiSalvo	Helena	34639
Diss	Marybeth	11218
Ditty	Marci	97232
Dix	Jeffrey	54401
Dixon	Angie	98236
Dlugin	Lee	10011
Dobbratz	Nicolas	37082
Doblinger	Joan	19962
Dodds	Kathrin	78573
Dodson	Brandon	37015

Dodson	Jenifer	34202
Doering	David	94109
Doerr	Karen	64030
Dolins	Merelyn	07040
dombek	amy	06033
Donaldson	Raymond	21042-3891
Donaldson	Karen	95945
Donkin	Sallie	06426
Donnay	Marguerite	32940
Donovan	Diana	28604
Donovan	Elaine	52405
Donovan	Stephan	85737-3451
Donsldson	Frances	21042
Doob	Jennifer	97214
Doolen	Tina	47630
Doran	Pamela	97394
Dorcas-Werner	Dawna	92399
Dormont	Mitchell	34655
Dornfeld	Robert	37303
Dorris	Carole	01609
Dorsey	Ann	91325
Doucette	Emmah	04037
Dougherty	David	06053-2750
Douglas	Dianne	85042
dowdall	dan	96767
Dowling	Brian	06519
Downey	Deirdre	99801
Downie	Elizabeth Morris	60093
Downing	Rosamund	06379
Doyle	John	25314-1656
Doyle	Marguerite	02132-5123
Dragon	David	01440
Drake	Tracy	60614
Driscoll	Marie	64081
Driver	Dianne	37025
Drop	Robert	3171DE
Dryer	Ellen	45140
Duarte	Astrid	28032-1638
Ducayet	James	60201-2560
Duckworth	Nadine	28681
Duda	Timothy Edward	78209
Dudley	Nancy	97759
Dugan	George	54202
Duggan	John	97530
Duley	Caroline	37204
Dullmeyer	Stacie	97303
Dumke	Sandy	57020
Dun	Rob	95066
Dunaev	Alexander	90292
Duncan	Barbara	24112
Duncan	Denny	97367
Dunkum	John	59801
Dunn	Margaret	53718
Dunn	Christopher	22192
Dunn	Gillian	M6J 3X3
Dunn	Timothy	11702-2634
Dunn	John	07960
Dunn	Mike	35040
Dunson	Debra	37174



Duran	Rebekah	85705
Durman	Alexander	19805-1867
Duruisseau	Marcel	V9C 3Y1
Dusenberry	Bethany	28791
Dutschke	Stephen	40207
Dwight	Annie	33037
Dynan	Denise	95407
E	A	53941
E.	Sue	82001
E.	B.	91361
Eaglin	Joychine	77584
Earley	Debbie	19943
East	Lawrence	28540
East	Christopher	55406
Eaton	Keith	84045-3971
Eaton	Kathleen	19709
Eberle	Mel	63114
Eby	Amber	95354
Economides	Cristina	10433
Edelhart	Taylor	94114
Edell	Elaine	91362
Eder	Caolan	20170
Edmondson	Dominique	20772
Edwards	Cynthia	48103
Edwards	Benjamin	66049
Edwards	Monique	85716
Eggers	J.	99101
EHLLEN	MARTIN	48060
Ehrlich	Jeremy	98109
Eikenbary	Susan	80525
Eisenberg	Paul	21210
Eisman	Gregg	92082
Eldridge	Elizabeth	06073
Eldridge	Richard	06073
Elias	Carol	13662
Eliopoulos	Jacqueline	80304
Ellenburg	Judith	01075
Eller	Rebecca	21087
Ellis	David	84404
Ellis	Maureen	60613
ellis	anne	33952
Ellison	Sharon	20876-6991
Ellison	Johanna	61401-8431
Ellman	Carl	11374
Ellsworth	Linda	98245
Elsenhans	Linda	08512
Elwell	David	20903
Embler	Kyle	30312
Emery	Keith	46219
Engle	I.	88352
Englert	Philip	60660-4740
Erba	Antonino	52001
Eriksson	Sue	77484
Erpelding-Garratt	Liz	32086
Erwin	Tom	45255
Esparza`	Laura E	78213
Espe	Greg	98115
Espinosa	Gale	85023
Espinoza	Amelia	99352

Espinoza	Louise	95407
Esposito	Eric	11228
Esposito	Louis	11209
Esslinger	Angela	67211
Etchison	Craig	26719
Ettinger	Banjo	10701
Evan	V	60660
Evans	D	64060
Evans	Micaela	46514
Evans	Julie	80238-2546
Evans	Christopher	94720
Evans	Michael	94563
Evans	Michael W	90034
Evans	Mike	94720
Evans	Bronwen	V5T 4L3
evillard	danielle	06000
Everett	Michal	79424
Everett	Todd	49103
Eversole	James	94590
Evoy	Ulla	M6M4P8
Ewing	Tory	68135
Ezzell	Kenneth	37015
Fagan	Timothy	85704
Fahrenwald	Gill	98507
Faircloth	Diane	19953
Fairless	Judy	07059-6708
Fake	Laura	19567-1413
Falck-Madsen	Judith	93013
Falcon	Ruth	12110
Falcone	Janet	40207-4095
Fandel	Rosemary	54848
Fantini	Diana	12523
Farquhar	Susan	48341
FASMAN	DENNIS	11590
Fast	Wendy	14437-9140
Fauconnier	Jean-Francois	54481
favor	julia	88605
Fee	Laurel	32118
Felci	Diane	33756
Fellows	Sarah	05495
Fellows	Arthur	78731
Fenske	James	53233-1111
Fenton	Reed	91406
Ferguson	Charlene	50569
Ferguson	Lisa	90731
Ferland	Linda	03743
ferraro	joseph	10306
Fetsko	Andrea	44116
Fetter	Sharon	98371
Feuchter	Robert H.	11432
Feyma	Nathaniel	97404
Fickey	Rachel	75803
Fidler	Christine	80223
Fiedler	Ed	78753-2928
Field	Liz	01720
Fields	Paul	75103-1138
Fields	Lyndsey	37204
Fierro-Clarke	Alexander	90026
Fife	Liz	20009-3093

Fifles	Johnny	83001
Fighera	Linda	12572
Filbin	Thomas	40475
Fingerman	Robert	37356
Finkel	Barry S.	60643-2409
Finkel	Allyson	92688
Finley	Susan	98270
Finnie	Mary	97236
Fischer	Wendy and Dan	20866
Fischer	Robert	46033
Fischer	Kenneth	85742
Fish	Mel	97394
Fish	Larry	92557
Fisher	Helen	28645
Fishman	Ted	95123-2639
Fiskin	Jensen	92260
Fitzgerald	John	56052
Flaherty	Barry	04680
Flake	E.L.	27516
Flanagan	Lucy	98177
Flanagan	Tracey	30107
Fleet	Caroline	24060
Fleischaker	Gail	01072
Fleming	Bruce	91405
Flener	Margarita	78387
FLETCHER	HERMAN	37876
Fletcher	Paddy	81507
Flores	Priscilla	79934
Flores-Jauregui	Marla	95823
Florian	Heather	54729
Foley	Stephan	93023
Foley Jr	Robert	37398
Font	Nico	71150
Fontaine	Kristin	98155
Fooladi	Siamak	97405
Forbes	William	75964
Ford	Judith	33021
Ford	Matthew	06511
Fordham	Sari	13104
Foreman	WILLIAM	44484
Forester	Teri	95610
forget	maurice	H2L 3V4
Forsht	Lynn	33031
Forsythe	Barbara	02050
Fort	Karen	60657
Fortunak	Sharon	55114
Foskett	MaryAnna	02476-8005
Fossa	Wendy	01929
foster	lorraine	97202
Fournier	Eric	03063
Fouse	Patricia	21047
Fowler	John	90405
Fox	Geri	37726
Fox	Lauren	05087
Fox	Vicki	12508
Fox	Stephanie C.	06002-2142
Foxall	Patty	44125-3243
Foxton	Trevanne	08816
Fraad	Tess	10009

Francis	Justin	37015
Francisco	Linda	48237
Frank	Christine	56001
Franklin	Joe	37601
Franklin	E	33020
Franzen	Ellen	94710
Fraye	Marivee	95006
Frazer	Jane	98404
Frederick	Nicholas D	70533
Freeman	Karen	78254
Freeman	Anna	43204
Freeman	Beth Jane	11793
Freeman	Emily	42050
Freeman	Linda	95991
Freudenberg	Sophie	52223
Freund	Rebecca	55104
Frick	Ava	V4t 3a8
Friedman	David	13066
Friedman	Wendy	60625
Fries	Diana	99344
Fritsch	Robert	06111
Froehlich	William	08053
Frohn	Joyce	54901
Fuchs	Wendy	31501
Fuller	Marilyn	95033
Fulson	Audette	10029
Funderburk	Lyle	97266
Fung	Laura	49330
Furness	Kim	CF24 4HB
fusinaz	Judy	30546
Futrell	Sherrill	95618
g	David	70401
Gaede	Marnie	81154
Gaertner	Diane	11725
Gage	Kyle	14424
Gagnon	Lisa	27403
Gaiser	Jörg	72270
Gaiti	Phyllis	21654
Galante	Susan	27526
Galdo	Querido	95445
Gallagher	Kevin	98155
Gallardo	Nina	92324
Gallo	Gina	17547
Galvin	James	12345
Gamsby	Laura	07034
ganMoryn	Croitiene	34480
Gantschacher	Herbert	05020
Garbato	Kelly	14622-3117
Garbrick	Kathe	66503
Garcelon	Marc	64112
Garcia	Sandra	07105
garcia	kay	33157
Garcia	Manny	80207
Garcia	Reyes	81120
García	Maura	27514
García-Palao	Antonio	28001
Gard	Alice	34102
Garden	Ked	91945
Garescher	Marie	10566

Garlock, PhD	Marie	27701
Garner	Peggy	12901
Garner	Rod	35235
GARRETT	KELLI	37015
Garrison	John	37015
Garrison	Leigh	37015
Garrison	Susan	63116
Garvett	Esther	33125-2768
gary	Sherrill	31070
Gaskill	Eric	08340
Gaskins	Melissa	32311
Gates	Joanne	03458
Gato	Liz	32908
Gawlinski	Carol	48117
Gawne Jr	William	60546
Gawne Jr	William	60546
Gayer	Judy	22101
Gear	Jim	97504
Géhant	Christine	25600
Geiszler	Lisa	95242
Genthner	Judith	02155
George	Janelle	80134
Georgiou	Alexis	95054
Gerjets	Astrid	31319
Gershanoff	Mary	01773
Gershon	Victoria	1716w4
Gerst	Joanne	94706
gerth	daisy	53074
Geukens	Christopher	91343
GEVESHAUSEN	JULIE	97702
Geyer	Marcia	22902
Gherardi	Lisa	95032
Gibb	Kenneth	89448
Gibson	Scott	25177-1644
Gibson	Mary	45840
Gibson	Susan	21060
Gibson	Jody	50315-5259
Gibson	Raymond	33020
Giffen	Phoenix	94952
Gifford	Elizabeth	02472
Gile	Barrie	90717
Gill	William	29360
Gillaspie	Richard	37187
Gillespie	Sharon	78703-4127
Gilliam	Rob	37040
Gillick	Bernadette	07006
gillie	teresa	22940
Gillman	Courtney	55432
Gilson	Ann	07081
Gilstrap	Tyler	37216
Gindele	Abigail	03801
Gingras	Brian	02184
GIORGIO	BARBARA	33774
Giorgio	Nicola	33774
Giovanoni	Richard L	60053-2261
Giovengo	Keren	31525
Girdley	Jessica	37206
Gits	Liana	60115
Glaser	Kirk	95060-3121

Glass	Richard	97402
Glasscock	Rita	87507
Glatt	Stephanie	93427
Gleason	Michalle	97233
GLEIM	NANCY	98664
Glein	Susan	02780
glenn	laura	66214
Glinsman	Kay	80538
Glogovsky	Rachael	53147
Gloor	Prisca	90066
Glyde	Jacqueline	97220
Gnemi	Irene	65550
Goade	Jennifer	89433
Godbout	Rachel	53703
Godfrey	Sharon	28805
Goel	Sharon	11418
Goetz	Mary	06512
Goff	Henry	92113
Goin	Cody	65622
Gold	Leslie	10014
Goldberg	Daniel	61761
Goldberg	Susan	91202
Goldman	Lyle	33415
Goldstein	Alan	08536
Goldstein	Susan	33908
Gomes	Gabriela	4520-248
Gomolka	Denise	08533
Gonzales	Catherine	37323
Gonzales	Leslie	93536
Gonzalez	Elimaris	31322
Gonzalez	Shauna	92807
Goode	Beth	90290
Goode-DeBlanc	Emma	77831
Gooding	Jeremy	97086
Goodman	Michael S	53704-3974
Goodman	Deborah	05091
Goodman	Margaret	93950
Gorak	Martha	77450
gordon	jeffrey	26501
gordon	matt	10980
Gordon	Amanda	32773
Gordon	Jade	92010
Gordon	Marc	95370
Gordon	Rachel	94707
Gore	Jesse	37206
Gormley	Laura	91712
Goslant	Carol	02138
Goslant	Clare	02138
Goslant	Kim	02138
Gosling	Fay	TR262JR
Goss	Alice	98236
Gottesman	Ziva	92115
Gotvald	Mark	94523
Grace	Amy	55082
Grace	Ashley	40205
Grady	Dalton	V5X 4S8
Graff	Andrea	94131
Graff	Steve	90025
Graham	Randal	37934

Graham	Lisa	97741
Graham	Amanda	87123
Graham	Dr. Dan	27517
Graham	Karyn	33604
Granger	David	37035
Grannell	William	80237
Grasso	Dori	21030
Graubner	Gabriel	94599
Gravance	Rochelle	59019
Graves	Caryn	94702
Gray	Joseph	37015
GRAY	JACKIE	27510
Gray	Charlotte	92544
Greco	Rose	60189-7020
Greear	Angela	30165
Green	Jamie	93004
Green	Rax	KT22 8RF
Green	Rhonda	90212
Greenberg	Nina	90039
Greene	Linda	77070
Greenidge	Jennifer	14760
Greenman	Jessea	94609
Greer	Ed	76016
Greer	Helen	85705
Gregory	Rick	84108
Gregory	Patricia	14425
Gregory	Oscar	20740-3908
Gregory	Nancy	80120
Gregory	Probyn	91042
Greinke	Pamylle	11958
Grenard	Mark Hayduke	85032
Grieser	Pamela	68508
grieves	kathy	85383
Griffith	Julie	60174
Griffiths	Jay	10010
Grimes	Cindy	05678
Grimes	Michelle	92115
Grimm	Carol	78666
Grimm	Carl	10520
Groch	Clare	60126
Gromoll	Norda	54521
Grondin	Melissa	02148
gronemeyer	kimberly	33615
Gross	Susan	90404
Grossman	Syd	22305
Grossman	Kathleen	34951
Grosso	Kenneth C.	07042
Grove	Jane	84015
Grove	Earl	44730
Grover	Matthew	12047
Grzegorzewski	Mark	33702
Guendelsberger	Debra	81133
Guerry Henriquez	Dena	91201
Guffey	Judy	92586
Guillory	Chris	98362-2803
Gulsen	Melodi	92831
Gulseth	Geralyn	94502
gushleff	gerald	62040
Gustafson	David	61265



Gutfleisch	Ellen	53089
Gx	Perry	92780
H	Kate	30525
H.	Shelby	37064
Haas	Erica	30022
Haas	Heather	94520
Hacker	Tim	42041
Hadcroft	James	02540
Haenisch	Alexandra	73447
Hagen	John	49770
Hagens	David	96150
Hager	Lawrence	22041
Haggard	Paul	78744
Hague	Joy	33765
Haig	Glenn	34114
Haines	Jessica	29560
Halbert	Ellen	20630
Hall	Peter	98282
Hall	Jennifer	37745
Hall	Jan	43085
Hall	Michael	45069
Hall	Cory	12065
Hall	Johnny	41615-9051
Hall	Matthew	40502
Hall	Kim	60448
Halladin	Margie	94903
Halliday	Deb	13145
Halloran	Michael	97305
Hallow	Leah	10701
Halpin	Rowena	23225
Halsey	Bill	22938
Halsey	William	37015
Halsey	Marnie	06515
Halsey	Peter	06525
Halversen	Susan	85718
Halvorsen	Verlaine	55345
Hamilton	Evelyn	27713
Hamilton	Alexander	60115
Hamilton	Andrew	95691
Hammond	Marcella	53719-2541
Hammons	Elizabeth	45686
Hanas	George	44030
Hancock	Mary	76118
Hancock	David	33133
Handford	Janet	02893
Hankins	Judith	32207
Hanks	Laura	97222
Hanks	Debra	27540
Hanmer	Noah	02809
Hannah	Amy	37303
Hannig	Sophie	55082
Hannon	Larry	28270
Hansen	Julie	57029-2224
Hansen	Amy	08802
Hansen	Elaine	67701
Hanshaw	Ashley	12828
Hanson	Sarah	98250
Hanson	Albert	97404
Hanson	Robert	21755

Hanson	Jim	32789
Hanuman	Kenda	23921
Hard	Mary	23185
Harden	Richard	92019
Harder	Kate	60137
Hardin	Karen	37743
Hardin	Brenda	21740
Hardwick-Pettis	Sandy	77573
Harper	Kate	95610
Harralson	David	90068
Harrigan	Dan	30156
Harrington	Tyler	12985
Harrington	Joyce	46615
Harris	Shirlene	78249
Harris	Scott	29440
harris	perry	10918
Harris	X	12054
harris	tom	08016
Harris	Freya	30310
Harris	Kymberlee	32777
Harris	Wayne	34203
Harris	B	06825-6504
Harrison	Cate	23231
Harrod	Mariah	40324
Harshman-Edwards	Helen	62092
Hart	Sara	29597
Hart	Ali	90065
Hart	Lucy	91316
Harter	Jana	78133
Hartig	Frank	27704
Hartman	Jonathan	98607
Hartman	Julia	28701
Hartz	Shelley	01460
Harvey	Valerie	27052
Harville	Avril	76180
Hathaway	Melissa	97230
Hathcock	Susan	37772
Hauck	Amanda	45504
Haupt	Carolyn	22302
Havas	Eva	20003
Haverfield	Heather	98260
Haverkamp	Kathy	14456
Hawkins	A J	23225
Hawkins	Jo Ann Sharrie	65737
Hawkins	LSavannah	60614
Hawkins	Thomas	95437
Hawkins	Carol	NE29 6SJ
Hay	Rebecca	37015
Hayden	Sue	27503-8125
Haydon	Noah	94015
Hayes	Linda	23452
Hayes	Rachel	37015
Hays	Helen	97045
hazzard	sandra	33578
HEAD	JIM	48237-3346
Headlee	Autumn	50047
Healy	Erin	28746
Hearle Ph.D.	Kevin	94402
Heath	Susan	97322

Heck	Kerry	07440
Heck	Nancy	93454
Heffernan	Avery	35233
Hefner	Andrew	27703
hegeman	eli	10024
Heide	Andra	32571
Heiden	Jessica	95503
Heider	Roberta	77058
Heinrich	Diane	48657
Heinsohn	Michael	55421-3734
Heitz	Gail	60068
Held	Kendra	81435
Heller	Luke	34243
Heller	Ruth	57227
Hellweg	Douglas	37075
Helly	Miranda	94612
Helman-Harman	Sharon and Michael	25425
Hembury	Phil	12304
Hemenez	Jeffrey	94583
Hemingway	Britlin	78737
Hemming	Eileen	19808
Henderson	Parrie	21797
henderson	mary	06382
Hendrickson	Ralph	96753
Hendry	Dawn	80127-2635
Henighan	Richard	37865
Henling	Daniel	98107
Hennessy	John	98026
Henninger	Francis	44319
henry	rick	13617
Henry	Amy	01060
Henry	Anne	34232
Henson	Lana	73106
Hepner	Jean	94062
Herbert	Jan	95492
Hermann	Birgit	94117
Hermann-Wu	Kate	02451
Hero	Terence	43302
Herrli	Lynne	53588
Herron	Gunner	37146
Hesh	Suzanne	85718
Hess	Regula	95620
Hesselgrave	Lisa	06405
Hetrick	Nathan	44107
Hewitt	Roxanne	89074
Hewitt	Lyndsey	40165
Heymann	Diana	06110
Heyneman	John	14580
Hibbard	Jeff	28778-2256
Hibberd	Rachel	27231
Higgins	Susi	91203
Highland	Kian	81501
Higonnet	Etelle	10029
Hilf	Lawrence	14618
Hill	Michael And Barbara	98355
Hill	Sherry	24084
Hill	Kathryn	37803
Hill	Kim	11207
Hill	Sarah	06762

Hill	Eloise	94501
Hill	John	00000
HILLEGAS	JIM AND CAROL	28711
Hillegas	Jan	39207
Hillman	Tami	32931
Hilton	Mary Lois	45036
himelhoch	bill	02130
Himes	Erica	22314
Hiner	Laurence	44024-8203
Hinshaw	Michael	48141
Hipszky	Ginger	80919
Hirtzel	Chelsea	47274
Hiscock	Richard	05641
Hissam	Timothy	78660
hissong	claren	43014
Hite	George	22150-1140
ho	ste	21208
HOCKLEY	BRUCE	05127
Hodges	Suzanne	95670
hodges	sherrri	85051
Hoehne	Angela	84416
Hoeksema	Bruce	33647
Hoff	Beverly	78660
Hoffman	Tara	85254
Hofmann	Hannes	97980
Hohenshelt	Felicity	32257
Hoke	Carol	28613-8499
Holcomb	Calum	33613
Holguin	Carla	90027
HOLLAND	CHARLIE	05751-9788
Holland	Gabriele	03884
Holland	John	20740
Holland	Kate	80247
Hollander	Jack	46385
Hollen	Keeley	47807
Hollinrake	Mark	10026
Holm	Sam	53207
holmes	david	33407
Holstein	Suzy Clarkson	53211
Holt	Amy	53711-5297
Homer	Gwyneth	24060
Honda	Mike	92706
Hondo	Michele	96793
Honeyman	Usha	97333
Hong	Celeste	90027
Hood	Ruth	30906
Hooge	Niels Henrik	04970
Hoogendoorn	Lynda	L5b3w3
Hoover	Coeli	03820
Hope	Phillip	10009
Hope	John	94114
Hopkins	Treja	37080
hopkins	steve	10580
Hopkins	Winifred	92833
Hoppman	Susan	80206
Horbinski	Andrea	94708
Horner	Michael	48076
HORNTON	THOMAS	02911
Horowitz	Jennifer	10583-6501

Horwitz	Martin	94122
Horwitz	Layne	85023
Hotaling	Robert	12208
Houde	Joe	92084
Hougham	Tom	46181
Hourani	Phil	48178
Hourcade	Catherine	95203
Hovi	Tanya	55792
Hoving	Melissa	97030-6803
Howard	Sarah	13206
Hoyt	Gavin	23150
Hreha	Tim	21210
Hudson	Dianne	55604
Huggins	Barbara	80010
Hughes	Kayla	37015
Hughes	Lisa	45140
Hughes	Rich	94112
Hughes	Maggie	09704
Humbert	Jennifer	20037
Humphrey, PhD	Nicolas	54301
Humphreys	Tim	95062-5014
Hunt	Chet	37922
Hunt	James	37015
Hunt	Jimmy	37015
Hunt	Patricia	37015
Hunt-David	Astrid	10562
Hunter	Janice	45233
Hupf	Mark	23113
Hurley	Sharon	25267
Hurley	Bethany	22903
Hurn	Emily	01007
Hurst	Mark	94563
Hutchens	Marie	97402
Hutchens, Jr.	John	29579
Hutchins	Caroline	37080
Hutchins	Katherine	85050
Hvoslef	Erik	81201
Hydro	Sister Mary David	33574-2450
hyldahl	Yausen	85016
Hyzer	Virginia	08077
ichikawa	jeri	98604
Imlay	Marc And Alice	20616
Inabinet	Sam	23464
Ingalls	Ingrid Elisabeth	33426
Ingle	Evan	97230
Iradah	Anahata	30230
Irvine	Josephine	21286
Irwin	MARION	89011
Isaacson	Joel	94709
Ishmael	Elizabeth	56367
Iskra	Matthew	48214-1125
Ivanova	Julia	90210
Iversen	Sheryl	92563
Ivey	Gary	97702
J	G	53503
Jackson	Donna	37015
Jackson	Ruth	37920
Jackson	Paula	45229
Jackson	Sasha	48228

Jackson	Phyllis	58030
Jacobs	Lauren	49685
Jacobsen	Edith	52101
Jacobson	j	28786
Jacobson	Lisa	32304
Jacques	Karen	95811
James	Becky	77089
James	Ian	ST5 9PT
Jandourek	Alexia	54944
Janet	Torgerson	72631
Janke	Donna	33952
Janowitz-price	Beverly	85014
Janzick	Stan	10465
Jardine	Robert	95014
Jaskoski	Helen	97206
Jastromb	Virginia	01060
Jaskowskiak	Phyllis	97034
Jaynes	Cristen	98103
Jeanis	Jason	37043
Jefko	Lisa	61073
Jencik	Barbara	24563
jenkins	Shirley	23112
Jenkinson	Lynn	48108
Jenrow	Kenneth	48858
Jern	Jessica	83014
Jerrems	William	83712
Jett	Rachael	90501
Johnson	Lorraine	98125
Johnson	Nancy	98366
Johnson	Richard	98227
Johnson	Karl	76126
Johnson	Andy	37069
Johnson	Kim	44035
Johnson	Barbara	14626-4430
Johnson	Kenneth W	07755
Johnson	Keith	27344
Johnson	Philip	27705
Johnson	Aubrey	39567
Johnson	Alice	02472
Johnson	Chessa Rae	46219
Johnson	Emilie	47403
Johnson	Emily	52336
Johnson	Mary	32132
Johnson	Mr Roy	32935-2976
Johnson	Ruth	33573
Johnson	Deanna	95618
Johnson	Joel	95060
Johnson	Shawn	92024
Joncus	Andrew	10040
Jones	Richard	37015
Jones	Robert	10549
Jones	Pat	88030
Jones	Eleanor	02138
Jones	Beth	61036
Jones	Elsa	60181
Jones	Allison	94612
Jones	Debz	cb24 5es
Jordan	Dorothy	98264-9401
Jordan	William	78218

Jordan	Susan	55422
Joslyn	C	06053
Jsntr	Thomas	30338
Judd	D	84017
Jumonville	John	78247
Juncker	Suzy	75208-3111
Just	Michael	10601
Justice	Jolayne	89166-8049
K	C	53147
K	Gary	54476
K.	Saran	90035
Kafka Ellis	Sue	81927
Kahakalau	Nalei	96727
Kahigian	Peter	01831
Kalfsbeek	Lindsey	94509
kanaan	alistair	12451
Kane	Brooke	22101
Kane	Caitilin	57022-2012
Kane	Pamela	07921
Kanno	Tracy	02910-1401
Kaplan	Allan	60073
kaplan	sharon	95060
Kappa	Bill	32124
Karaffa	Ray	32908
Karl	Brian	94930
Kasbarian	A	07033
Kasey	C	25414
KASPER	JASON	80631
Kastner	Annette	22902-6164
Katsetos	Andrew	78704-5198
katsouros	Tracey	20601
Kauffmann	Deborah	37209
Kauffold	Don	60004
Kavanaugh Jr	Michael	22963
Kawamura	James	92336
Keach	Stephen	22901
keats	james	01119-2735
Keeler	David	92683
Keener	Stephen	66215
keeney	diane	37215
Keller	Sophia	98146
Kelley	Mary	96765
Kellman	Lisa	94131
Kelly	MELISSA	37331
Kelly	Suzanne	63139
Kelly	Elizabeth	30720
Kemple	Jason	08865-4469
Kenner	Kate	05301
kenyon	dawn	12833
KERNER	Jim	07621
Kerr	Tara	28312
Kerrigan	Warren	44017
Kerschner	Bobby	28215-3739
Kessler	Roberta	60403
kettell	meg	11222
Keyes	Jeannie	98057
Khalsa	Keval Kaur	27707
Khalsa	Mha Atma S	90035
Khalsa	Amrit	90278

Kiang	Katherine	46202
Kidd	Lori Beth	33912
Kiesling	Jon	63119
Kilgore	Jen	37062
Killeen	Robert	60611
Kilurden	Rilriia	89431
Kim	Ji-Young	98012
Kim	Minseo	44321
Kim	John	10583
Kimberly	Gaia	80906
Kimmel	Kevin	07901
King	Sharon	37055
King	Fawn	08534
King	Terry	01773
King	Sue	94588
Kinney	Douglas	13820
Kiriaty	Susanne	96779
Kirk	Vivian	83112
Kirk	Thomas	12463
Kirsch	Caroline	82609
Kirschling	Karen	94117
Kiser	Anna	40242-7793
Kishbaugh	Courtney	44102
Kite	Richard	20001
Kittredge	Dan and Lilly	91941
Kitzinger	Jana	54107
kleber	keith	85743
Klein	Renee	90292
Kliche	Diana	90804
Kline	Carrie	26241
Klinger	Barbara	44124
Klumb	Carole	53119
knaack	dennis	10457
Knable	Jacqueline	28791
Knapp	Harry	92507
Knight	Haven	48306
Knight	Bronze	92627
Knott	Theresa	98023
Knox	Sylvester	29211
Knox	Elena	95689
Knuth	Lilly	11530
Kobzak	Valeria	90210
Koch	Peter	12498
KOCH	ROBERT G	10708
Kockro	Sven	14913
Kocoras	Peggy	01360
Koepke	Justine	87123
Kofler	Michelle	01373-9777
Kofsky	Lauren	55305
Kohlhagen	Susan	63132
Kohlman	Christopher	10461
Kokal	Kristin	78552
Konczal	Eddie	08831-8720
Koritz	Raleigh	55442
Korman	Scott	11005
Kornfeld	Richard	91101
Kornrich	Bill	37869
Kornstein	Nina	01701
Koslen	Stacie	37015



Kossmann	Diane	33308
Koster	Philip	49441
Kovshun	Rita	80013
Kozak	Brandon	34771
Krajicek	Clover	60525
Kramarck	John and Elizabeth	19734
Kramchak	Garry	77072-3907
Kranowski	Steven	24060-3431
Krantz	Amy	37015
Krantz	Judy	37015
Krantz	Barbara	60645
Krasner	Shay	84096
Kraus	Marion	89522
Krause	Al	10025-6931
Krebs	Jim	21131
Krell	Elinore	21218
Kretchmar	Katherine	55011-9652
Kroeger-Mappes	Joy	21532
Kross	Walter	28792
Kroupa	Brenda	23146
Kruckenberg	Mindy	52402
Krueger	Lauren	11566
Krupa	Janet	PR3 1DE
Kruschwitz	Vicki	23139
Krygsheld	Clarence	60440
Kubala	MJ	94550
Kubrin	Francine	90049
Kuczynski	Ryan	08880
Kulkarni	Robin	55386
Kulp	Jeff	27612-6111
Kulukundis	Delia	11101
Kuperus	Daniel	97215
Kurzweil	Andrew	11229
Kushner	Judy	08034
Kusyk	Jayne	24590
Kutach	Jeff	77904
Kutz	Susan	88012
Kuziola	Paulette	37640
L	T	60646
Laabs	Sharon	92037
Labb	Deborah	60546
Laboy-Vagell	Marjorie	06084
Lackland	Edith	98112
Lacob	Noa	48108
LaComb	Marc	06489
Ladines-Lim	Joseph	48103
LaFleur	Teresia	01776
LaFour	Liz	77482
Lahnar	Ken	63114
LaLond	Sharon	85704
Lambert	Sylvia	57750
Lambert	Laura	55109
Lambros	Kathryn	98117
LaMere	Alexis	27244
Lamert	Joanne	44313
Landman	JOhn	92122
Landress	Judy	76943
Landsberg	Marisa	90266
Lane	Deborah	86314-8310

Lanes	Susan	60051-2539
Lang	Lynn C.	56303
Lang	Pat	94022
Langelan	M	20815
Langelier	Karen	28403
LANGELOTTI	ALEXIS	07022
Lantow	Susan	60586
Lanzman	Sarah	22935-1111
laplante MD	sharron	06084-0886
LaPointe	Larry	92589-0751
Lapointe	Kenneth	90031-0032
Laprade	Lorraine	02896
Larkin	Renee	70506
Larsen	Nadine	92629
Larson	Wendy	95380-4933
Larsson	Alexandra	724 67
LaRue	Erik	98233
LaSchiava	Dona	85614
Lashua	David	54530
Lasiter	April	72903
LaStrapes	Pat	77089
Latamore	Bert	22642-5738
Latham-Magee	Stephanie	06790
Lauder	David	60523
laughon	charlotte	30548
Laurance	Lauree	97520
Laurent	Bruna	32908
Lavigne	Francis	V1J 4M2
Law	Zada	37015
Lawhorne	Jennifer	23223
lawler	sandy	03054
Lawrance	Andrew	73072
Lawrence	Suzy	27516
lawrence	mandy	HR2 7AB
Lawson	Kathryn	45247
Layne	Allister	30094
Lazio	Rochelle	44107
Leahy	Connor	97225
Leahy	Edmund	61362-1880
Leavitt	Jared	92058
LeBlanc	Joe	95472
LeBlanc	Robert	90294
LeBrecht	Helen	10597
Lederman	Natalie	37015
Lee	Kathleen	98503
Lee	Leslie	75206
Lee	George	37660
Lee	Cynthia	44505
Lee	Y	87357
Lee	Barrie	28269
Lee	Christina	47805
lee	I	33952-4515
Lee	Brenda	90712
Lee	Peter	94118
Lee	Sandra	94928
Leeman	Lola	94702
Leff	Claudia	10543
Legardeur	Armand	10025
Léger	Magaly	85110

Lehman	Cynthia	44121
Lehman	Carol	27215-3847
Lehman	M.	01020
Leibowitz	Arthur	23235
Leighty	Jacque	20901
leino	marja	10012
Leithwood	J.	00333
Leland	(Miss) Lora	04104
LeMaire	Marc	54665
Lemonik	B. R.	10541
Lemont	Susan	02476
Lencioni	Mel	38343
Lenhart	Donna	12603
Lenz	Pat	06405
Leonard	Tom	28607
Lepola	Minnea	00924
LePore	Lodiza	05201
Lerma	Luis	85745-1098
Lerner	Ellanora	06320
Lesem	Ken	05408
Levin	Shaun	33411
Levine	Grace	10701
Levinson	Gilda	33071
Levy	Rachel	97232-3027
Lewis	Gloria	37027
Lewis	Leone	97303
Lewis	Kathleen	02169
Lewis	Susan	80439
Lewis	O	90009
Lexa	Rebecca	98631
Li	Xiaoying	27410
Liddle	Lee	93720
liebowitz	virginia	10002
Lightfoot	Stacey	23185
Likens	Jessica	90620-3156
Lilith	Ms	93003
Lilling	Glenda	10573-3631
Lillis	Jocelyn	06514
Lilly	Carolyn	92120-3155
Lim	Yee Yean	43300
Linda	Lauren	92637
Lindberg	Kristy	62025
Linder	LC	29171
Linder	Tami	87144
Linder	Patty	95136
Lindsay	Jordan	48823-8560
Lindsey	Judith	03034
LINERUD	TIM	94002
Lingren	David	94530
Link-New	Virgene	98221
Linn	Alan	28602
LIPKA	DIANE	01452
Lippert	Timothy	33579
Lippman	Donna Robin	10009-2922
Liss	John	m5s2m4
Liss	Cynthia	11228
Litteken C.PP.S.	Sister Clare Ann	63118
Littellmann	Thomas	53216
Little	Jillian	44094

Little	Brett	28303
Littledale	Helen O.	13790
Liu	Hannah	98686-2258
Livingston	Sarah	06074
Livingstone	Judith	02641
Lobel	Colleen	92126
LoCicero-Walsh	Jessica	86005
Loeppert	Sara	27608
Lofgren	Christine	24179
Lofton	Saab	98104
Logan	Marilyn	28731
Loken	Kristin	25419
Lomas	Leslie	80303
Lombardi	Robert	11234-5612
Long	Laura	78612
Long	Dave	55118
Long	Cliff	67212-5677
Long	Jeffrey	94117
Longo	Dawn	29605
Longyear	Sharon	12466
LooneyKochie	Lois	77062-2720
Lopez	Edgar	29909
Lopez Millan	Ilana	07087
Lotz	Jude	91505
Loui	Rachel	94040
Love	Reeve	87110
Love	Adam	80223
Lovejoy	Deanna	37015
Loveland	Jim	33714-1970
Lowe	Barbara	V7Z 0A3
Lowery	Candice	10550
Lowrey	Bruce	64055
lucas	steve	78704
Lucas	Janie	94110
Lukas	J	04966-3057
Lukaszewicz	Anna	14219
Lukes	Zachary	59802
Lulla	Tara	77059
Lund	Amy	14075
Lurtz	Jamie	89121
Luther	Barbara	37080
Luttmann	Rick	94928-1716
Lutz	Heather	32169
Lynch	Martha	10314
Lyngen	Pamela	55313
lynn	william	97045
lynn	smith	28604-8156
Lynn	Stuart	01602
Lynn	Anderson	35803
Lyon	Jane	94931
Lyons	Christine	02919
Lyons	Cassandra	40502
Lyons	David	95540
m	Michele	22903
M	Amy	37857
M	Frances	37857
M	Kellie	37067-6640
M	Bonnie	65202
M.cecilia	M.cecilia	41123

Mac Nish	Robert	85718
MacAuley	Wendy	07042
MacCready	Christian	43315
MacDonnell	Lisa	01742
Mace	Pat	22553
MacFarland	MacKenzie	15224
MacGregor	Susan	98052
Macintosh	Arlene	33327
Mackanic	Janice	08742
Mackison	George	33009
Maclean	Matthew	14222
MacStay	A. Alia	48843
Madden	Michael	10956
Madden	Ryan	11225
Madrigale	Angelo	11211
Magee	Rebecca	30310
Maggied	Michael	57078
Maghoney	Crystal	37042
Maglione	Jude	28803
Magrath	Pat	91784-1674
Maguire	Peter	06513
Mahaffey	Kevin	82601
Mahoney	Rachel	55317
Mairlot	Roger	81042
Majewski	Gene	60101
Malbon	Elizabeth Struthers	24060
Malher	Nanette	37015
Mallam	Karen	27344-8219
Mallery	Fred	97405
Mallory	Charlotte	40502
Malloy	John	33570
Malmros	William	12020
Malone	Jim	K36 H344
Malven	Tania	85719
malyon	ann	07436
Mancini	Elena	01012
Mandarich	Vic	53120
Manganelli	Dennis	08088
MANNING-BROWN	Helen	93422
Manobianco	Daniel	60629
mansfield	cameron	32707
Mansi	Sandra	71330
Mantes	Mary Ann	84106
Mara	Jane	97533
Marek	Vivian	20650
marie	eve	06000
Mariott	Jerri	94611
Markham	Michael	28105
Marklin	Judith	03229
marlin	lynn	23232
Marner	Eugene	13820
Marsh	George	44883
Marsh	Tiffany	40347
marshall	dolly	97477
Marshall	Ross	14489
Martin	A	75044
Martin	Benjamin	12903
Martin	Paul	49643
Martin	Tyson	91505

Martinelli	Rosanna	31015
Martinez	C.	92104
Martley	Heather	21214
Marwick	Jean	10566
Masar	Jacki	40291-5614
Masongsong	Zoe	91401
Massa	Marie	90031
Massey	Carolyn	62301-5233
Materi	Sandra	82604
Matson	Leila	32176
Matthews	Susan	37015
Matthews	Larissa	11561
Matthews	Stuart	27501
Matulina	Karen	32080
Maughan	Dianne	04668
Maughan	Dianne	04668
Maurer	Thomas	04622
Maurizzio	Jennifer	12764
Maury SSJE	Bro. Jonathan	02138
Mavros	Elena	33328
Maxwell	mindy	01966
May	Roger	97504
Mayer	Charles	97034
Mayo	Nan	02494
Mayton	Robert	42303
Mazique	Kent	60004
Mazza	Stacey	34251
Mazzola	Lisa	33612
Mazzotti	Gary	62625
McAlister-Raeburn	Clare	48104
McAllister	Kyle	37210
McCammon	Doreen	95959
McCane	Barbara	23325
McCann	Ellen	95437
McCarthy	Carole	95603-2922
McCarthy	S.J.	94112
McCarty Bass	Brenda	31093
McCaw	Karen	90043
McClanahan	Jamie	37216
McClellan	Ceri	00000
McClintock	Gloria	98274
Mcclure	Teresa	08002
McClure	Susan	59715
McCollum	Tamar	37601-2278
Mcconaughey	J	98225-7237
McConnell	Jennifer	43230
McCorry	Eileen	27312
McCorry	Susan	90405
McCoubrie	Elise	63376
McCoy	Amy	01370
McCubbin	Holly	37015
McCullough	Maureen	55403-3520
McDaniel	Jodi	37015
MCDONALD	MAUREEN	92240
Mcdonnell	Robert	92656-3877
McDonnell	Kevin	04034
McDonough	Mary Ann	10963
McFadden	Stephanie	44070
McGarry	A.C.	48180

McGee	Linda Norris	37015
McGovern	Donlon	97211-6411
McGowan	Julie	45238
McGrath	Maria	11226
McGraw	Cheryl	27606
McGuire	Ellie	18017
McHendry	Kathleen	01007
McIlwraith	Sarah	00744
McIntosh	JoAnn	37043
McKain	Josh	02066
McKee	Chelsea	78520
McKee	Lary	97026
McKelvey	James	91350
McKenna	Caephren	94609
McKenzie	Rachelle	04042
McLaughlin	Timothy	02360
McLaughlin	Susan	92610
McLean	Alexandria	37128
Mclean	Bess	37035
McMahon	Nancy	98501
McMahon	Annie	86324
McMichael	Jan	63122
McMillan	Joanne	34476
McMullin	Linda	65802
Mcnamara	Marion	60010
McNeirney	Ellen	20814
McNulty	Maura	12203
McPhail	Jim	T2J3R8
McRae	Susan	98506
McRae	Dr. Stacey	92028
McSwain	Susan	22971
Mcvey	Judy	64747
Meacham	Stephanie	48040
Mead	Stephen	12203
Meadows	Brian	38553
Meaux	Andre	33409
Medeiros	Mary-Ann	96768
Medina	Kathleen	01238-9474
Medlin	Nellie	38635
Medlin	Barry	30120
Mehle	Anthony	44406
Meier	Axel	94606
Meilenner	Stephen	53703
mejia	carolina	94523
Mejia	Lily	92543
Mejia Contact	Marianna	95073-9708
melear	erik	32806-5140
Melia-Chiappetta	Eileen	L4S0A4
Mell	Richard	89005
Mellen	Linda	92646
Mello	Annette	95006
Melocik	Christina	25414
Melton	Jim	29707-1576
Meltzer	Rachel	22201
Melville	Colin	87043
Menasco	Mika	92114
Menchini	Peter	94110
Mendel	Charlotte	HA5 5AA
Mendelson	Stuart	38114

Menechella	Tony	40601
Mennel-Bell	Mari	33062
Merchant	Kurt	24112
Merendino	Caleb	33020
Merlin	Federico	35121
Merrill	Dick	97411
Merriman	Keri	80465
Messick	Will Cody	37013
Meszaros	Elizabeth	10025
Metz	Whitney	26582
Metzler	JoAnne	14611
Meuer	Rita	53704
Meyer	John	37801-2905
Meyer	Candace	73071
Meyer	LeeAllen	02152
Meyer	Carl	06070
Meyer	Melissa	06070
Micco	Adrianne	95687
Michaels	Brenda	98368
Michalik	Joanne	92128
Michelson	Carol	14733
Mick	Marilyn	96815
Mickelsen	Carol	53932
Middlebrooks	Ethan	11104
Middleditch	Ellen	87547
Miess	Shira	39211
Miettinen	Anne	33500
Mietus	Norbert	24983
Miiller	Victor	50310
Militello	Cheryl	29615
Millemaci	Linda	14217
Millen	Pat	99217
Miller	Rick	83014
Miller	Sara	53149
Miller	Annette	22150
Miller	Jason	22201
Miller	Mary	23226-3022
Miller	Dusty	37015-1717
Miller	Brian	45373
Miller	Debra	07823
Miller	JB	48158
Miller	Dorothy	02;5@
Miller	Teresa	66608
Miller	Jim	46151
Miller	Diane	34748
Miller - Tuscarora Nation	Rahkwees Keh	37825
Milliken	Gerry	86326
Minault	Kent	37917
Minerovic	Constance	44067
Miniscalco	Emma	20002
Minnick	Wally	21740
Minsky	Nina	93001
Miranda	Maria	11222
Mireault	Kathleen	02130
Misovich	Maria	47906
MISSEY	EVELYN	63362
Mistretta	Jill	94904
Mitchell	Greg	37146
Mitchell	Beverly	83709



Mitchell	Robert	80002
Mitchell	Madison	93063
Mittan	Ron	87120
Mittelman	Willard	30605
Mitton	Rick	90068
Miyamura	Lia	37206
Mieczewski	Shari	55106
Mlynek	Aaron	55422
Mnamengi	Ussi Abuu	02034
Moderacki	Deidre	10009-3319
Moderacki	Mary	10009
Modjeski	Jan	29576
Modola	Lisa	32666
Moeckel	Cindy	06278
Mogg	Sandra	64503
Mohan	Debi	33199
Moissant	Helen	97502
Molgora	Bianca	94110-6138
Molina	Nelson	90620
Mondello	Joseph	80538
Mondragon	Michelle	32701
mong	josh	97206
MONROE	RICHARD	98006
Monroe	James R	94521
Monson	Carol	41075
Monteleone	Kathy	92530
Montemayor-Thomas	Sofia	01773
Montgomery	Ji	17011
Montoya	Thomasine	87124
Moody	Ray	96828
Moore	Joelene	76180
Moore	Neva	07605
Moore	Robert	27587
Moore	Johann	33139
Moore	Lindsay	BT15 2AR
Moran	Abigail	06611
Morano Brown	Darleen	23229
Moreau	John	94577
Morey	Sandra	94602
Morgan	Sybil	78230
Morgan	Paula	32708
Morrell	Cynthia	01075
Morris	Sue and John	05658
Morrison	Christina	28714-6602
Moser	Janet	11510-1715
Moss	Paul	55110
mossmann	archie	95518
Mott	Frances	76660
Moundou	Jean-Pierre	20906
Mousaw	Deanna	94602
Moutier	Elena	55100
Mower	Amy	98266-2004
Muchowski	Phoenix	55303
Mudrick	Stephen	65203
Mufson	Susan alic	10011
Mugnaini	Elio	50100
Mulcare	James	99403
Mulder	Joni	34293-4246
Mullin	Cheryl	55032

Mullins	Rodney	24293
Mummaw	Angela	37191
Munger	Martha	54755
Munn	Erica	90028
Murdock	Lauren	93110-1669
Murphy	Janelle	77590
murphy	cindy	32503
Murray	Kathy	14626
Murray	Joan	90066
Murrock	Eric	54235
murrow	stacey	21040
Murry	Charla	93003
Myers	Carol	11572-1405
Myers	Jeanette	07054
Myers	Rob	27612
Myers	Ed	50010
Myles	Paula	02645
Nace	Amanda	99515
Næss	Petter	00672
Naeter	Debbie	24938
Nafziger	Nikki	94590
Nagel	Dennis	85719-6900
Nagyfy	Desiree	99006
Nahan	Lena	02140
Naidnur	Joseph	61614
Naiman	Karen	80247-3020
Naji	Eric	77429
Nakamura	Carol	96816
Nanamori	Wesley	96826
Napps	Shirley	24590
Narvios	Raquel	94134
Narvios	Tem	94134
Nau	Carol	21084-1815
Naughton	Lucy	03194
Navan	Gloria	30043
Necker	Edward	97404
Neill	Laurie	95567
nelson	jan	97402
Nelson	James	44667-1306
Nelson	Annette	10470
Nelson	John	07109
Nelson	Craig	92284
Nelson	Scott	94511
nero	kim	92627
Neste	Lisa	27265
Neumann	Ted	12009
Neville	Paula	14626-1345
Nevins	Suzanne	98325
New	Robert	05701-9820
Newman	Kathy	78250-4163
Newman	Sally	44067
Newton	Shelly	89014
Newton	Nancy A.	47401
Nguyen	Dylan	95035
Nicely	A.	26554
Nicholas	Jill	14526
Nichols	Debra	93551
Nicholson	Alice	98105
Nicoles	Rebecca	37189

Nielsen	Nathan	87043
Nielsen	Patricia	28412
Nielsen	Antonella	02400
nieman	kimberly	55446
Niese	Patrick	47006-8207
Nihipali	Michele	96717
Nissen	Ida	32503
Nitschke-Alonso	Maya	53711
Noblett	Jeanine	28694
Nolan	Ginny	27959
Nolte	JoAnn	38103
Norden	Michael	43512
Nordhof	Pamela	49419
Norris	James	63111-2441
North	Calvan	84118
North	Laurie	SW19 4EL
Novak	Paul	53711
Novick	Victor	97520
Novkov	Russell	53705
Novkov	Linda	33909
Nowak	Diane	86326
Nowicki	Ann	97480
Nowicki	Renae	34610
Noyes	Donna	11743
Nuesch	Raymond	22940
Nunez	Carlos	91335-6421
O	Jean	04614
O Sullivan	Rita	K36 H344
O'Brien	Daniel	12547
O'Dell	Chauncey	37743
Obyrne	Nancy	32080-7241
O'Connell	Kathleen	46227
OConnor	Barbara	81623
O'Dell	Deb	37922
O'Grady	Darlene	98272
Ohlendorf	Richard	34202
Olivas	Alyssa	94513
O'Loughlin	Leslie	79106
Olsen	Loretta	45177
Olsen	Donna	58104
Olson	Elizabeth	01824
olson	diane	90403
Olson	Ryan	95403
Omans	Jeff	33418
O'Nan	Elizabeth	27517
O'Neal	Maureen	97223-8981
O'Neill	Tracy	37015
Ongerth	Steve	94801
Oomerjee	Gulshan	93065
O'Reilly	Fiona	99999
Orgee gillman	Lauren	Cb23 3pq
Orlich	Sam	53215
O'Rourke	Kevin	13316
O'Rourke	Melissa	85225
orourke steiner	patti	43221
Orticerio	Anne	02859
Ortiz	Frank	90022
Osborne	Elizabeth	37938
Osborne	Jillian	37015

O'Shea	Shane	77396
O'shields	Miranda	35967
Osmon	Anja	75021
Ososki	Jordan	30087
OTOole	Joe	60618
O'Toole	Jim	55906
Ouedec	Chris	56100
Ouellette	Tracy	98232
Ouellette	Ashley	04005
Ouellette	Marcia	47905
Overton	Steve	LE19 3GP
Owen	Karyon	25422
P	Cece	98118
P	Ron	91762
P.	JOHN	14227
P.	El	95481
Pacheco	Ernest	94544
Packard	Roger	53551-9638
Packer	Patti	12302
paddon	jane	V7R 2P4
Padilla	Anne	92284
Page	Matthew	91320
Page	Rory	T6R 2X4
Paige	Matthew	37210
Painter	Derrick	37411
Palladine	Michelle	92262-6620
Palm	Lowell	43160
Palmerston-Lundgreen	Kim	03000
Paltin	Sharon	95454
Panayi	Christopher	10007
Papa	Delia Mihaela	33050
Papa	Laza	10407
paradis	bettie	01860
parchim	elden & barbara	97523
Parciak	Sandra	07734
Pardi	Marco	30043
Parisi	Julie	12498
Parker	Katie	37216
Parker	Joan	27235
Parker	Jane	21212
Parker	Elaine	94708-2220
Parkins	April	94611
Parks	Diane	37866
Parks	Ed	73505
Parks	Joan	28789
Parnall	Joanne	48328-1120
Parr	Annie	24599
Parr	Sarah	21204
Parra	Jean parra	33000
Parrino	Aderet	92584
Parshall	Sharon	98024
Paskewitz	Joan	80540
Pasqua	John	92025
Pasqualini	Jude	28715
Pastora	Aldo	208974
Pate	Jessica	44312
pate	nathan	47454-9421
Patel	Heather	66210
Patel	Riddhi	93311

Paterson	Chris	05048
Patrick	Robert	36451
Patten	Robin	73115
Paul	Pamela	34695
Pavcovich	Michelle	98125
Pawloski	Linda	85345
Paxton	G.	10009
Payne	Chester	64093
Payne	Brittany	48067
PEACOCK	NANCY	98125
Pearce	Linda	37027
Pearson	Cheryl	24060
Peckman	Kristin	24019
Peckover	Geoffrey	11229-1434
Pedersen	Sven	81101
Pedersen	David	V8M 1W6
Peeters	John	60901
Pelkey	S	28016
Pence	Karen	64063
Pennell	Michael	28645
Penner	Susan	94608
Pennington	Sherry	98032
Pens	Heather	98506
Pereira	Sheila	80907
Perinotti	Tiziana	94109
Perkins	Akankha	05091-1152
Perkins	Jane	80537
Perry	Brooke	07080
Perry	David	94306
Perry	Sue	94103
Peskin	Laura J.	10543
Peteinaraki	Maria	71305
Peter	Jonathan	85248-9235
Peter-Contesse	Lindsay	37211
Peters	Susan	48820
Petersen	Elsa	32940
Peterson	Reuben	97124
Peterson	Kyle	48313
Peterson	Karen	60062
Peterson	Richard	60062
Peterson	Eloise	06880
Petkiewicz	Jim	95125
pettit	kimberly	84532
Petyerak	Steve	30188
Pevec	William	95864
Pfaffman	Amy	28803-9102
Pflugrad	Linda	53142
Phelps	Richard	37388
Phelps	Sally	85711
PHILIP	CECIL	75056
Philipps	Justin	43055
Phillips	Janice	77833
Phillips	Harry	27340
Phillips	Claire	80540
Phillips	Teresa	80525
Phillips	Ellen	94704
Phillips	Weslie	85390
Phoenix	Judith	28607
Piazza	Peter	19966

Picchetti	Gloria	60613
Piccione	Maryann	34653
Pilling	Monte	84526
Pilon	Corinne	77450
Pinckard	Christopher	53705
Pinckard	Cory	97224
Pinkerton	Ross	10029
Pinque	Meryl	04401
Pinsky	Ellen	87059
Pinsof	Robin	60035
piper	janna	97293
Pire	Pat	53226
Pirrone	Annette	94960
Pisano	Lisa	11214
Pitale	Alison	97003
Pitman	Tom	91506
Pitt	Joe	24128
Pitt	Jon	75243
Plantamura	Carol	92122-1137
Please	Rob	11217 -2159
Pletschet	Fran	85375
Plummer	Gary L	45040
Polak	Carolyn	44134
Polasky	Hildegarde	28715
Polishuk	Sandy	97212
Pollach	Karen	97214
POLLACK	CALEB	10025
Pollak	Jeannie	93036-6210
Pollet	Tristin	70047
polley	Daniel	60660
Pollock	Adrienne	99999
Polston	Mark	37015-1926
Poock	Patricia	34442
Pooley	Lynn	44107
Popejoy	Gary	96062
Porrero	Esperanza	10001
Porter	Barbara	27705
Porter	Judith	28659-7706
Porter	Jeffrey	48082
Porter	NM	48197
Porter	Tim	32404
Porter	Kenneth	85713
Porter	Beth	Ws4 2en
Porter	Christopher	CH45 5DR
Porterfield	Nancy	96826
Posch	Robert	32825
Posner	Dyana	11222
Posthumus	Daniel	37015
Poulos	Peter	45242
Poulos	Michael	38804
Powell Morgan	Peggy	78041
Power	Cassandra	85234
Poxon	Judith	95816-5250
Pratt	Debbi	98199
Pratt	Joy	93066
Praus	Diana	12204
Prescott	Nicole	33442
Pressoir	Jean	30106
Preuss	Karen	94931

Prezant	Jennifer	06460
Priem	Lou	13326-4148
Priest	Louise	93060
Priggins	Tammi	44095
Prince	Janet	03064
Prince	Cheryl	21212
Prince	Sandi	06896
Prinz	Bea	53578
Privitera	Nora	94602
Probert	Matt	14033
Procter	Karen	99517
Proctor	Janine	30303
Prostko	Linda	49316
Pruden	Clare	BR8 7RU
Pruitt	Patricia	60302
Pruner	Paula	91605-4937
Przybysz	Slowomir and Irene	06897
Puett	Barbara	78746
Pugh	Lindsay	23220
Pugh	AnnLouide	89107
Puma	Catherine	22314
Punday	Nicole	75035
Purucker	Ramona	33139
Purucker	Susanna	33139
Pyette	Rochelle	N1r2t6
Quarrier	Sidney	37209
Quest	Wendi	02155
Quinliva	Diane	80229
Quirk	Joseph	10009-4998
Quiros	Donna	12487
Qureshi	Bushra	H3W 2H2
R	Chris	75231
R	M	81003
R	K	94117-2718
R. Watson	Michael	95476
Raby	John	04074-7307
Rafferty	Paul	10025-7954
Rago	Francesca	94523
Rahikainen	Patricia	54302
Raitano	Joan	11783
Ramirez	Emmanuel	60640
Ramirez	Hank	92116
Ramis	Anna	02188
Rammelkamp	Zoe	53705
Ramos	Sarah	05430
Ranker	Natalie	97459
Rankin	Emily	38866
Raper	Connie	27572
Rapoza	Laurene	28401
Raptis	Christina	11725
Raught	Lisle	13833
Rawlings	Peter	01862
Ray	G Douglas	11102
Rayburn	Guinne	45211
raymond	K	11216
Raymond	Timothy	14607
Raymond	Mike and Susan	48315
Raynaud	Valérie	11100
Rea	Cynthia	20170

Rea	Norma	77433
Rea III	Charles	32935
Ream	Catherine	59825
Reback	Mark	98607
Redd	Aaron	84604
Reddy	Paul	AL7 2LD
Redmon	Lynn	33617
Reed	Taylor	77051
Reed	Claudia	33922
Reed	robert	92651
Reese	Toby Ann	44280
Reeves	Stefanie	37015
Reeves	Lenore	60448-1368
Regan	Laura	29572
Reid	Matthew	94515
Reid	Jules	BH11 8HN
Reindollar	Elizabeth	20708
Reinke	Olivia	51232
Reisenweber	Dorie	55812
Reitinger	Faith	37082
Renaud	Dave	21703
Rendzio	Candice	98683
Rendzio	Candice	98683
Renee	Jan	97206
Renfrew	John	49855
Renfrew	John	49855
Renfro	Robert	80220
Rensch	Allison	90210
Renwick	Beth	21218
Reola	Matt	92672
Reuter	Debra	95687
Revesz	Bruce	07009
Reyes	Marts	00780
Reyna	Teresa	38558
Reynolds	Matt	37211
Reynolds	Lisa-May	29907
Reynolds	Jeff	04401
Reynolds	Tawny	94702
ribeiro	isabel	00351
Riboton	Patoue	64600
Ricci	Lynn	54016
Rice	Jay	94947
Rich	Catherine	80863
Richard	Jennifer	75683
Richards	Deborah	82053
Richards	Geoffrey	98370
Richards	Bill	22043
Richardson	Margaret	24541
Richardson	Annick	45429
Richardson	James	01742
Richardson	Linda	33951
Richardson	Rebecca	85749
Richardson	Lucii	SM1 4QB
Richkus	John	07307
Richmond	Terri	72944
Richter	Lindsey	60022
Rickard	Louise	05443
Ricketts	Mary	45504
Ricks	Linda	28516



Riddle	Carolyn	98685
Ridella	Gerard	94546
Ridenour	Patty	45419
Rieger	Tanja	90210
RIEGLE	ROSALIE	60201
Riehart	Dale	94107-1807
Riesch	Chris	12564-1503
Rietz	Marguerite	98230
Riley	Annie	55127
Rinear	Charles	08027
Ringler	Ronald	92530
Ringnalda	Jonelle	55104
ring-revotskie	PETER	94901-4417
Ripley	Colleen	60560
Rist	Margaret Karen	21212
Ritter	Patricia	91423
Rivalsi	Douglas & Elvira	30214
Rivers	Jerry	11575
Roberman	Barbra	27705
Roberson	Anthony	37035-5439
Roberson	Victoria	37035-5439
Robert	Claude	J2M 1S3
ROBERTS	EARL	78218
Roberts	Lisa	45211
roberts	les	87569-0199
Roberts	Anne	31421
Roberts	Tara	32320
Robertson	John Mark	K8N1J7
Robinson	Eric	38104
Robison	Cheryl	76107
Robison	Isabel	02905
Rocha	Candace	90032-1308
Rodgers	Mark	77807
rodgers	k	64064
Rodis	Carolyn	25443
Rodrigue	Jim	04345
Rodriguez	Grisel	33169-3801
Rodriguez	Borja	28028
Rogge	Mary	68506
Rohatynski	Tammy	48114
Rohn	Diane	22101-6004
Rojas	Shanna	92345
Rol	Neal	21048
Roland	Jelica	52420
Rolfe	Larry	48890
Rolfes	Kevin	78737
Rollins	Ned	48103-3465
Rollins	Jessica	41051
Rollins	Brenda	32812
Rollison	Sheri	94945
Roman	Nora	94110-5913
Rome	Abigail	20910
Romero Villanueva	Sonia	10005
Romo	Roland	85705
Romoff	Janet	33068-5107
Ropicki	James	32605
Roquebrune	Patsy	37015
Rosa	Nicole	80904
Rosasco	Gregory	34690

Rosati	Doyla Rosati	35594-5171
Rose	Chris	94952
Rosen	Beth	45220
Rosen	Ken	90212
Rosenberg	David	53217
Rosenberry Chase	Joy	53719
Rosenblum	robert	92123
Rosenblum	Stephen	94301
Rosenkotter	Barbara	98243
Rosenthal	Bill	NY
Rosner	Anthony	02472
Rosolina	Krista	37658
Ross	Lilli	22901
Ross	Mark	97440
Ross	Ellen	10003
Ross	Charlotta	31031-2121
Ross	Glenn	95570
Rossing Angeltveit	Andreas	03915
Rountree	Janet	23434
Rousseau	Claudia	20904-3248
Roussiere	Christophe	06000
Rove	Frances	66206
Roy	Debasri	03062
Royalty	Stanley	49770
Royle	Frances	B3L 1X5
Rubenstein	Rina	90018
Rubin	Sheila	35209
ruck	west	85601
Rueggsegger	Elizabeth	01040
Ruge	Mox	91403
Ruiz	Kathleen	97138
Ruiz	George	94070
Ruland	Kate	30572
Rule	michele	03301
Rule	Juliann	56310
Rulli	Nicholas	90026
Rullmann-Stekl	Andrea-s Bernhard	83707
Runyon	Kristine	55438
Ruppel	Christie	70460
Ruscansky	Kia	02368-0522
Rush	Catherine	01880
Russell	Toni	94044
Russell	John	DL12 0QW
Russell English	Reva and Andrew	40505-3268
Russo	Brian	07508
Ruth	Carol	94305
Ruth	Lucymarie	94610
Ruwe	Ben	95018-8842
Ryan	Carolyn	63146
Ryan	Ellen	02155
Ryan	Lynn	90505
Ryckman	Meredith	N5Y 2X3
ryden	wendy	11771
Rye	Faye	90505
Rynes	Michael	60565
Ryrholm	Nils Gunnar Emanuel	75272
s	j	13081
s	susan	07871-3172
S	H	32869

Sabnis	Rohan	94063
Sacchetti	Diane	27587
saign	geoffrey	55116
Sakiyama	Cathryn	63017
Saldutti	Michael	31407
Salstrom	Fredric	47876-0093
Salt	Max	02816
Salvia	Helen	27312-8225
Sammis	Henry	05255
Samp	Cece	60176
Sanchez	Tom	90031
Sandeen	Judith	68901
Sanders	Jenny	66441
Sandvig	Daniel	98272
Sansone	Ellen	60062
Santiago	Wilfredo R.	11220
Saphier	Jollee	91367
Sapirman	Nadine	08844
Sapirman	Nadine	08844
Saravanja	Natasha	94131
Sargent	Shawn	02673
Sargent	Laurie	94550
Sasso	Scott	11215
Sautter	Tamar	78227
Savage	Edward	24070
Savary	Carol	94131
Sawyer	Jesse	22963
Saxon	Diana	97301
Saxton	Gwyneth	TR262RZ
Sayre	Alex	01601
Schaefer	Harry	20901
Schafer	Dennis	46225
Schally	Jennifer	55082
Schaubhut	Roxann	94567
Schauer	Andrea	94598
Schear	Roberta	94618
Schechter	Ruth	66209
Scheffey	Lee	03229
Schegloff	Myra	90405
Schein	Christoph	12249
Schenk	Michael	21237
Schepis	Debbie	07204
Scherer	Justine	76200
Scherry	Laura	60626
Schilke	Michael	10023
Schiller	Joe	37040
Schlaff	Heather	95973
SCHLAGMAN	DANIEL	11554-2915
Schmidt	Christine	60193-5317
Schmitt	Brynn	14850
Schmitz	Martha	21131
SCHMOOCK	GARY	27278
Schneider	Valerie	61114
Schneider	Sybil	32503
Schneider	David and Marge	06405
Schoemer	Richard	53523
Schrager	Janet	33315-1261
Schroeder	Leslee	46112
Schroepfer	Tracy	53716

Schueth	Steve	60613-4684
Schulte	David	85712
Schultz	Lesley	94610
Schwadron	Anna	13850
Schwartzbach	Sybil	12180
Scobie	Madeleine	KA8 9EN
Scott	Amy	24934
Scott	Brian M.	44118
scott	christina	14305
Scott	Matthew	BD20 5HW
Scott	Jennifer	33916-7463
Scott	Michele	06108
Scott	Joan	92252
Seakwood	John	12125
Seaman	Jessie	37015
Seaton	Chris	93101
Sebesta	Doyle	78160
Seeger	Donald	40214
Seegott	Mary	44021
Seigle	Robert	75143
Self	Rita	46835
Self	Winke	92037
Sellon	Louise	07974
Sells	Greg	78741
Selove	John	97405
Selquist	Donna	54121
Seltzer	Devon	27260
Seltzer	Rob	90265-5630
Selvage	B	V6B2L3
Semorile	Trina	10036
Sena	Isabel	11372-3242
Senft	Wayne	N6K 1C2
Senn-Burke	SUZANNE	37931
Sequichie-Kerchee	Debbie	73527-0701
Serafino	Stephen	93012
severson	theresa	53704
Sevier	Crystal	95372
Sewald	Michelle	80202-1257
SEWRIGHT	Kathleen	32708
Seyfried	Mike	89005-3721
Sezonov	Erica	77074
Sguigna	Naren	91325
Shackman	Susan	95050
Shafer	Roberta	44094
Shaffer	Anita	27525
Shafransky	Paula	98284
Shallman	Elsy	33470
Shannon	Sean	11238
Shapiro	Steve	98144
Shapiro	Leo	20740-3713
shapiro	ellene	60035
Shapiro	Madeline	90605
Sharkin	Martha	44107
Sharp	Josh	37015
Sharp	Tracy	37015
Sharp	Nancy	28412-6418
Sharpe	Charles	95524-9301
Shasky	Mike	33301
Shaub	George	08618

Shaw	Pamela	45216
Shaw	Dylan	87114
shayne	a.f.	90036
sheehan	nancy	12043
SHEEN	DIANA	04530
Shel	Herb	32303
Sheldon	Arthur	30096
Shen	Gloria	28805
Shephard	Phil	78628
Shephard	Brenda	33775
Shepherd	Marilyn	95570
Shepherd	Marion	48304
Sheppard	Lynn	37015
Sherba	Dayle	99516
Shields	Jamie	97229
Shields	Juli	13748
Shields	Gail	04922
Shimazaki	Paul	94124
Shining Bearheart	Bekki	14850
Shippee	Bob	23233-7516
Shively	Judy	92101
Shoberg	Lu	95116-1526
shore	elizabeth myrin	94979
Shorey	Cindy	37015
Shorr	Victoria	93110-2439
Short	Marjorie	01915
Shotz	Alyson	11231
Shrader	Greg	85018
Shroff	Kayomarz	80109
Shryock	Richard	24060
Shup	Marilyn	28803-2236
Shurtz	Carole	33486
Sibley	Carol	98103-6329
Sickles	Rev. Dr. David	44094
Siegler	G	02140
Siekevitz	Ruth	10023
Sikand	Nina	14620
Sikand	Vikram	07086
Sileno	Michael	27408
Sillasen	Becky	43206
SILVA	MELISSA	02144
Silva	Kim	06114
Silva	Grace	91605
Silver	Marcee	27608
Silver	Margaret	32233
Silver	Ron	32233
Silverstein	Susan	11217
Simington	Kathy	91764
Simmons	Steve	45434
Simmons	Fae	02067
Simnick Thurman	Suzanne	28117
Simon	Philip	94912
Simpkins	Jackie	37016
Simpson	Eric	45233
Simpson	Malcolm	89104
Simrin	Benjamin	94707
Sims	Margaret	23114
Sims	Catherine	27713
Singer	Linda	35801

Singh	Devinder	147001
Singleton	Greg	22153
Singleton	Jonathan	37015
Singleton	Kayce	37015
Singleton	Tim	37015
Siniard	Susan	35761
Sipe	Robert	23805
Sippel	Katrin	10023
Siraco	Adria	34983
Sired-Cook	Issie	RH6 8PF
Sitomer	Joan	06611
Sivesind	Torunn	80214
Sivulich	Lenore	04260
Škalič	Dita	09226
Skarada	Darcy	95451
Skelton	elizabeth	47150
Sketo	Steve	93312
Sketo	Steve	93312
Skibinski	Lynn	14150
Skolnick	Kate	11238
Skudra	Renee	27403
Slabotsky	Lisbeth	K1Z8M6
Slaughter	Jonathan	43209-2405
Slawinski	Kathy	10010
Slivinsky	Stephen	10509
Sloan	Ricky	34606
Sloman	Stephanie	02859
Slonaker	Lynn	12564
Small	Anne	37642
Small	James	37642
Small	Sally	46219-4420
Smart	William	36301
Smedley	Tom	37205-3577
Smelt	Walter	02170
Smiley	Joy	11756
Smilge	Friederike	37083
Smith	Kim	26253
Smith	Mark	54154
Smith	Will	53705
Smith	Elizabeth M W	22405
Smith	Sarah	23901
Smith	Thomas	84780
Smith	Raymond	37015
Smith	Nora	97007
Smith	Taylor	45344
Smith	Janis	11742
Smith	Marsha	13053
Smith	Mary Ann	10520
Smith	Kristin	68134
Smith	Adrian	27559-0265
Smith	Michael	62946
Smith	Bret	95060
Smith	Judith	94601-1320
Smith	Terrie	91977
Smith	Barbara	85742
Smith	Deanna	85251
Snow	Linda	90019
Snyder	Nikki	37015
Snyder	Valerie	97116

Snyder	Allisyn	91405
sofir	Aviram	97124
Soletzky	Robin	85053
Solomita	Katherine	28451
Solomon	Gail	33437
Sootheran	Lynne	52101
Sopher	Nancy	22973
Sorge	Sven	10713
Sorgeler	Barbara	19966
Sotere	Valerie	06460
Sousa	Eunice	27450
Southerland	James	27511
Southworth	Todd	50702-5438
Souza	Mike	44278
Sowell	Lesa	78006
Speagle	Pamela	40214
Speas	Cindy	22043
Specht	Nicole	02910
SPECTOR	BEVERLY	94105
Speer	Cheryl	98607
spelter	katarina	53714
Spencer	Cynthia	11218
Spencer	Martha	28712
Spencer	Brent	90723
spinner	mark	28451
Spiropoulou	Zoe	12436
spoon	leslie	93402
Spradlin	Karen	36265-2751
Spray	Eric	80026
St. John	Kathryn	95006
Stacey	Karen	60657
Staffan	Lorie	45504
Stafford	Diana	46040
Stagliano	David	78256
Stanborough	Jeanne	22901
Stanley	Gabriel	60471-1922
Stanojevic	Erica	95066
Stansbury	Karen	06794
Stanton	Joan	12186
Staples	Laura	34232
Star	Sarah	45345
Stark	Kathy	22902
Stark	Richard	77365
Stark	Lee	80017
Startup	Warren	78664
Staten	Richard	07106
Staunton	John	46614
Steele	Mary	92677
Steen	Mary	83704
Stefano	Lori	98597
Steffes	Wayne	96001
Steger	Ruth	33702
Stein	Cindy	91320
Steiner	A.L.	12418
Steinert-Bresilge	Heidi	60545
Steinfatt	Lea	20257
Steinhaus	Joanie	77550
Steininger	Lorenz	22554
Steinmayer	William	06355

Stenberg	Frances	60187
Stenross	Barbara	27510-1150
Stephens	Christine	25301
Steponaitis	John	94109-7095
Stern	Roberta	94618
STERNBERG	ELYSE	08053
Sterner	Steph	23455
Stevens	Martha	97885
Stevens	Trish	04987-3036
Stevens	David	46217
Stevens	Linda	60901
Stevens	Paula	32818
Stevenson	Nan	55126
Stevenson	Douglas	94555-1472
Stewart	Rachel	37110
Stewart	Lucretia	10019
Stig	Jessica	56560
Still	Brian	92103
Stillwell	Lyda	49006
Stockslager	Jack B	85705-5019
Stolfi	Jackie	11762
Stoller	Helene	10035
Stone	Lisa	77096-2107
Stone	Darby	35749
Stoner	Cynthia J	60103
Stopa	Martha	60561
Stout	Susan	V7G 1V2
Stowe	Beverly	80526
Strain	Darren	19015
strange	L.S.	98281
Strassfield	Zoe	11976
Stratman PhD	James	80204
Straub	Tricia	65201
Strealy-Colom	Tina	22901
Street	Jason	32951
STRICKER	BRADLEY	H3S1Y7
strickland	jim	02132-3229
Strickland	David	31088
Strompf	Roni	33428
Strous	Allen	43113
Stuart	Joanna	29204-3414
Stullken	Emelia	15153
Sturm	P. R.	89506
Stutheit	Don	98026
Subramanyan	Venkatesan	600017
Sucharov	Moir	20006
Sudano	Carmela	84047
Sudheer	Sarah	78750
Suell	Brandon	37015
Suell	Chrissy	37015
Sugsen	Cynthia	89503
Sullivan	Jennifer	22314
Sullivan	Daniel	78749
Sullivan	Karen	78596
Sullivan	Sandra	77551
Sulzman	Rob	08360
sumilhigh	freddie	95991
Sundberg	Rebecca	48197
Sunde	John	64501



Sundquist	Makayla	83840
Suriyamongkol	Cara	37207
Surowicz	George	08080
Swabb	Molly	83615
swan	shirley	b14 7sr
Swanson	Kathie	53565-9154
Swanson	Roberta	49419
Swanson	Sue	01730
Sward	Douglas	21043
Swart	Tamara Noel	48412
Swartz	Martha	85705
Swenson	Ruth	59602
Swenson-Zakula	Kimberly	55331
Swift	Joe	93010
Swinconos	Suzanne	53548
Swindell	Elak	30830
Swinford	Bonnie	37917
Switzer	Dan	L3V3J9
Sykes	Kevin	37115
T	A	94805
Tait	Barbara	60404
Takacs	Nancy	98168
Takatsch	Julie	12771
Talton	James	47421
Talton	James	47421
Tang	Joanna	93117
Tangney	Milly	02360
Tanke	Joseph	60638
Tanzi	Nancy	08817
Tapper	Helena	10019
Tarolli	ML	13074
Tate	Cynthia	24060
Tate	Donna	90043
Tatro	Susan	95503
Taub	Mara	87504
Taurog	Rebecca	55118
Tavaglione	Eileen	26508
Taylor	Jenifer	12065
taylor	laura	11201
Taylor	John	59804
Taylor	Guy	48152
Taylor	Merideth	20653-3109
Taylor	Jeri	06488-3235
Teed	Cornelia	98225
Teeple	Grace	23220
Tejeda	David	94114
Tepen	Colleen	63021
Terrell	Walter	10465
Tetel	John	27701
Tetro	Barbara	10309-3738
Thacker	Frank	45638
Thaler	Gary	02151-4112
Tharp	Rod	98506
Tharp	Rod	98506
Thatcher	Jeanne	95926
Thatcher	Tobey	85629
Thoman	James	37076
Thomas	Randy	75080
Thomas	Cheryl	45013

Thomas	Dwayne	46408
Thomas Jr	Earl	54520
Thomasson	Tabitha	30533
Thompson	Andrew	48127
Thomson	Joy	97404
Thorn	Debbie	98024
Thorn	Kimberly	52241
Thornburg	Ryan	37015
Thorne	Lisa	78657
Thornsberry	Jean	98023
Thornton	Deborah	79556
Thornton	Mary	76111
Thorp	Alec	10598
THORPE	SAMUEL	12498
Tildes	Katherine	02673-3612
Tilley	Joe	43229-4332
Timm	Sarah	37037
Tine	Tina	37919
Tinker	Bruce and Pam	22306
Tinker	Pam	97405
Titworth	Jerrilynne	34237
To	Erh-Yen	95835
Todd	Samuel	28227
Tomasello	Pela	95062
Tomlin	Curtis	37421
tompetrini	phil	34442
Tomsky	Andy	92029
Tooley	Elise	13637
Torre	Lupe	33701
Toshalis	Barbara	49006
Tostanoski	DeeDee	22314
Townsend	Peter	01721
Traina	Margaret	01507
Tran	Sheila	55122
Traniello	Francine	02346-6386
Trasoff	Stephanie	98248
Traum	Norman	80304
Traver Jr	Jay	55401
Treat	Jay	80216
Trevino	Nancy	80304
TRIPLETT	TIA	90066
Tripp	Bob	20190
Trivisonno	Susan	95135
Troland	Mary	11572
Troth	Tracy S	39208
Troxell	Shawn	78130
Trumbull	Mark	80306
Truong	Justin	94112
Tuck	Rickert	34677
Tucker	Terry	25443
Tucker	Kelsey	37160
Tucker	Lauren	32303
Tuminski	Elizabeth	06907
Tuning	Sarah	94596
Tuomi	R.G.	91362-1460
Turechek	Dennis	13825-2276
Turgeon	Jaime	22407
Turner	Julie	57601
Turner	Judith	44124

Turner	Paul	28748-6386
Turner	Madeleine	00000
Turov	Ilya	92555
Twitmyer	Jane	22967
Tyler	Margaret Guilfoy	63122
Tym	Alice	37353
Ucko	Aaron	20850
Udovich	Adam	98502
Ukoha	Grace	65202
Ultican	Lanna	64015
Unertl	Ann	53548
Unknown	Beth	97213
UpChurch	Phil	37877
UPCHURCH	DEBORAH AND DAVID	46219
uren	geri	53523
Urquhart	Peter	44143
Utendale	Maryan	98225
Uyenishi	Steven	98115-6009
Vadnais	Kathleen	01020
Valder	Janice	28215-3220
Valens	Martin	10516
Van Denburgh	Medora	04463-6123
van Dijk	JH	9713 JB
Van Dorselaer	Pieter	09250
Van Dusen	Sara	94303
Van Kammen	Daniel	08540
Van Lenten Becker	Marty	13820
Van Meter	Keith	98368-0021
Van Riper	Fleet	21217
Van Tassell	Robin	93067
Van Zee	Ali	95437
Vana	Lisa	44215
Vana	Bryan	21211
vanantwerp	mari	45202
Vance	Mel	V2X 3J7
Vance	Patricia	85701
VanWinkle	Jean Marie	24523
Varlese	Susanne	80304
Vassilakidis	Sophia	77057
Vaughn Jr.	Bobby	44145
Veishlow	Joyce	06515
Velez	Francisco	10708
Venegas	Andres	79912
Venneman	J.	40216-2840
Ventura	Vianney	92683
Vera	Laura	77539
Verdugo	Donna	60402
Vermillion	Katherine	94583
Viale-Gertler	Donna	37082
Vielhaber	Janet	50035
Viers	Valdyne	78754
Vigil	Brandon	80236
Viljoen	Christina	35210
Villeneuve	Michele	37660
Vincenzi	Beba	37015
Vinett	Bill	37211
Vinton	Glen	03915
Vinton	Janine	03915
violi	ann	23389

Virzi	Nichelle	86409
Vitale	Vince	60139
Vitting	Anne	02750
Voeller	Estelle	97501
Vogel	Steven	22046
Vogt	Susan	99712
Voise	Eric	85044
Voitzuk	Pablo	94618-1745
Volage	Rosemary	07083
Vollmer	Alexander	94901
Vollrath	David	37601
von Schmacht	Susan	95076
von Schonfeld	Walter	27707
Vonbartheld	Annika	89502
Vorstermans	Matthew	27560
Vossoughi	Siamak	98117
Voth	Theodore	53704
Vrabel	Karrie	55407
Vrambout	Maryse	55082-4030
Vu	Tien	98059
Vultaggio	Richard	28690
Vyas	Shelley	27614
VyhnaI	Kristin	87122
W	S	23113
W	LeRoy	87059
W	Alan	21044
W.	A.	10507
Wade	Susan	27616
Wadhams	Sabrina	02150
Wagner	Carol	97322
Wagner	Michael	33324
Wakefield	Marie	97365-9519
Wald	Aloysius	43214
Walden	Sue	94109
Waldo Gaffney	Jennifer	89166
Waldorf	Ashley	57702
Walker	Kenneth	30064
Walker-Quilliam	irene	LA9 4JE
Wallace	Beth	37881
Wallace	Pam	37743
Wallace	Diane	27284
Wallace	Michael	34241
Wallace	Patrice	95060
Wallar	Margaret	97520
Wallman	Joshua	10009
Walsh	Gwen	44022
Walsh	Kevin	06443
Walstrom	Brenda	55024
Ward	Elaine	78757-2725
Ward	Doris	29527
Ward	Diana	33713
Warrier	Gopal	43016
Washington	Chris	10019-1140
Wasserman	Joseph	06117
Wasserman	Barbara	91311
waters	diana	90503
Watson	Harold	65803
Watson	Megan	Fk7 0IZ
Watt	Celeste	70433

Watts	Carol	78704
Watts	William	30605
Waugh	Kym	80203
Waymouth	Ting	22152
We	Barbara	99181
Weappa	Amy	78704
Weatherwax	Nancy	49224
Weaver	Esther	12528
weaver	virginia	32955
Weber	Ahnna	53589
Weber	Jeanine	49546
Webster	Marybeth	97526
Webster	Betsy	28125-7721
Webster	Jennifer	19943
Weddle	Cassie	60563
Weedman	Ruth	98632
Weibel	Emma	92037
Weiland	Sherry	01749
Weinberg	Gerhard	27243
Weingart	Larry	33066
Weininger	Gail	94611
Weinstein Klass	Naomi	12720
Weirich	Robin	92618
Weishaus	Tina	08904
Weiss	R	98257
Weiss	Valerie	96746
Weiss	Stuart	80246
Weiss	S	94301
Weissman	Steve	28801
Weisz	Russell	95060
Welgos	Stephen	27587-7560
Welkowitz	William	22202
wells	greeley	97530
Welsford	Susan	49441
Wendt	David	94596
Wenzel	Joseph	55042
Wert	Jodi	27703
Wertime	Shirin	22206
Wertz	Deborah	47904
Wesley	James	98363
Wessman	Eric	10803
West	Carrie	47303-1124
West	Eric	32127
Westbourne	A	80301
Westoby	Jacky	12345
Wetzel	Glen	85374
Wharton	Becky	78602
wheeler	tara	21124
Wheeler	Ashlyn	37914
Whipple	Dave	93940
White	Nancy	99216
White	Robbie	20902
White	David	40391-1221
White	Trina	32060
White	John	81506
Whitehurst	Carol	10960
Whitelock	James	33162
Whitener	Dr. Scott	08873
Whitford	Ree	94558

whiting	gm	97846
Whitlock	Sue	42503
Whitman	Fanny	02790
Whitney	Calista	99208
Wiederhold	Joe	98229
Wieland	Chuck	94583-1683
Wight	Barbara	98026
Wilber	Stewart	94114
Wilcox	Barbara	07922
Wilder	Karen	48602
Wiles	John	27713-6542
Wiley	Kimberly	14612
Wiley	Mara	02747
Wilkerson	Jere	93424
Wilkins	Kim	LE3 3FJ
Willey	Carmen	37016
Williams	Caitlin	23225
Williams	Alice	29440-6077
Williams	Nathaniel	10019
Williams	David	48203
Williams	Tom	60085
Williams	Christina	30619
Williams	Kathryn	33913
Williams	Glen	96094-9225
Williams	Catherine	85719
Williams Philipsen	Lori	54914
Williamson	Patricia	07856
Williamson	Deborah	40601
Williamson	Barbara	94706
Williford	Marissa	30680
Willoughby	Amy	24079-2623
WILLROTH	Alana	55110
Wilmsen	Sherry	97367
Wilsey	Frank	21215
Wilson	Dana	24522
Wilson	Richard	13815
Wilson	Sherita	14228
Wilson	Tina	89048-5174
Wilson	Jessica	08610
Wilson	Jeffrey	28105
Wilson	Sara-Jane	55414
Wilson	Douglas	04650
Wilson	Tessa	47404
Wilson	Karen	60615
Wilson	Johnny	32601-4226
Wilson	Nancy	86403
Wind	Casey	37210
Windberg	Thomas	78745-1633
Windham	Dallas	75038
Windhauser	Bret	34237
Windrum	Ken	90004-4921
WINELAND	Karen	53704
Winiarski	Nathan	23112
Winkle	Annetta	53142
Winkle	Celeste	11226
Winkler	Erich	10014
Winkler	Diane	47546
Winner	Thomas	11201
Winningham	Lisa	95032

winnubst	karen	75104
Winstead, Jr.	W. Marvin	27856
winston	Virginia	25404
Wirth	Charles	57036
Wirth	Chuck	57036
Wiseman	Ann	61854
Wishart	Ryan	68132-2306
Wishik	Bryan	08540
Wistrich	Howard	85750
Wistrich	Howard	85750
WITT	LUCAS	98604-8347
Wittenborn	Andrew & Kathleen	10570
Wittich	Mariana	43228
Witt-Kite	Anna	60087
Wittlinger	Jennifer	80487
Wittmann	Victor	21136
Wojtalik	Alan	21234
Wojtalik	Nikki	21234
Wolf	Rachel	02903
Wolf	Karen	21224
Wolf	Darlene	34102
Wolf	Robert	34102
WOLFE	Terry	26508
Woll	JoAnne & Marvin	27617
Wollscheid	Eric	60525
Wood	Pamela	22935-1108
Wood	Sandy	45213
Wood	Margaret	60564
Wood	Barb	86305
Woodman	Bradley	60201
Woodman	Heather	32825
Woodroof	Lucille	37143
Woods	Roth	48103
Woods	April	99504
Wootan	Cathy	44109
Wooten	Rachael	27608
Wootten	Ruth	85282
Workman	Pam	98229
Worth	Jason	LS10 3DR
Worthy	Darryl	29706
Wouk	Nina	94063
Wray	Caleb	34609
Wright	Lawrence	23225-1223
Wright	Barbara	97404
Wright	Katherine	48381
wright	kimball	60637
Wright	Madeline	91941
Wright	Debra	85603
Wright	Sally	85209
Wright, MD	Lara	94706
Wroblewski-Mullis	BarbaraJo	85704
Wruk	Kayla	53125
Wu	Blake	94549
Wuethrich	Linda	30582-1535
Wyatt	Bridget	97209
Wychor	Steven	01970
Wyman	Marion	53533
Wyndham	Carol	03458
Wynn	Kelly	20191

Wysocki	Annette	01351
Xavier	Marjorie	95409-6323
Yans	Marie-Laurence	01254
Yarnell	Susan	27516
Yater	Joan	22308
Yazdi	Teri	94070
Yip	Erin	94065-1006
Yohe	Bonnie	68506
Yonemoto	Jimmie	95126
YORK	PEGGY	04103
Youabian	Anita	90024
Youens	Rachel	11210
Young	Mandee	44121
Young	Noel	49508
Young	Joslynn	96732
Yrarrazaval-Correa	Christopher	92706
Yungbluth	Peter	21601
zahadek	clara	24640
zahler	gary	44720
Zajac	Andrea	48895
Zalk	Lyn	11740
Zaloudek	Clyde D	10009
Zamora	María Mercedes	49347
Zapp	Sherrel	92691
ZASTROW-HENDRICKSON	LILA-DAVE	54165
Zeltmann	T.	11942
Zidian	Brian	44515
Ziegler	Jennifer	45246
Ziehlermartin	Paige	91016
Zielinski	David	38571
Zierikzee	R.	94118
Ziese	Erv	54481
Zinbi	Adrienne	B3A3B7
Zook	Theodore	22207
Zucchi	Carlo	22100
Zurcher	Naomi	11201
Zwicker	Maria Louise Morandi Long	04664
Zylberberg	Maxine	94131
zywan	katherine barrett	21211



This page intentionally left blank.





[tva.com](http://tva.com)